

NRR-PMDAPEm Resource

From: Galvin, Dennis
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 1:24 PM
To: Kelvin Henderson (kelvin.henderson@duke-energy.com)
Cc: Arthur.Zaremba@duke-energy.com; Joshua.Duc@duke-energy.com; Pilo, Tony; Jeffrey Robertson (jeffrey.robertson@duke-energy.com); Lukes, Robert; Dion, Jeanne; Barillas, Martha
Subject: Harris and Robinson - Accepted for Review of License Amendment Request (CAC Nos. MF8439 and MF8440)

SUBJECT: SHEARON HARRIS NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, UNIT 1, AND H. B. ROBINSON STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT, UNIT NO. 2 – ACCEPTANCE OF REQUESTED LICENSING ACTION RE: AMENDMENT REQUEST (CAC NOS. MF8439 AND MF8440)

Dear Mr. Henderson:

By letter dated October 3, 2016, Duke Energy Progress, LLC (previously Duke Energy Progress, Inc.) (the licensee) (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System Accession No. ML16278A080) submitted a license amendment request for Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1 (HNP) and H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit No. 2 (RNP). The proposed amendment requested review, approval, and adoption into the HNP and RNP Technical Specifications DPC-NE-3008-P, Revision 0, "Thermal-Hydraulic Models for Transient Analysis," and . DPC-NE-3009-P, Revision 0, "FSAR / UFSAR Chapter 15 Transient Analysis Methodology." These methodologies will be used to support the performance of (1) thermal-hydraulic calculations and (2) Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) and Updated FSAR (UFSAR) Chapter 15 transient analysis as part of the reload design analysis for HNP and RNP,

The purpose of this letter is to provide the results of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff's acceptance review of this amendment request. The acceptance review was performed to determine if there is sufficient technical information in scope and depth to allow the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review. The acceptance review is also intended to identify whether the application has any readily apparent information insufficiencies in its characterization of the regulatory requirements or the licensing basis of the plant.

Consistent with Section 50.90 of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), an amendment to the license (including the technical specifications) must fully describe the changes requested, and following as far as applicable, the form prescribed for original applications. Section 50.34 of 10 CFR addresses the content of technical information required. This section stipulates that the submittal address the design and operating characteristics, unusual or novel design features, and principal safety considerations.

The NRC staff has reviewed your application and concluded that it does provide technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review and make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposed amendment in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety and the environment. Given the lesser scope and depth of the acceptance review as compared to the detailed technical review, there may be instances in which issues that impact the NRC staff's ability to complete the detailed technical review are identified despite completion of an adequate acceptance review. You will be advised of any further information needed to support the NRC staff's detailed technical review by separate correspondence.

Based on the information provided in your submittal and discussions during the pre-licensing meeting on May 2, 2016, the NRC staff has estimated that this licensing request will take approximately 700-800 hours to complete. The NRC staff expects to complete this review in approximately 12 months, which is November 2017. If there are emergent complexities or challenges in our review that would cause changes to the initial

forecasted completion date or significant changes in the forecasted hours, the reasons for the changes, along with the new estimates, will be communicated during the routine interactions with the assigned project manager.

These estimates are based on the NRC staff's initial review of the application and they could change, due to several factors including requests for additional information, unanticipated addition of scope to the review, and review by NRC advisory committees or hearing-related activities. Additional delay may occur if the submittal is provided to the NRC in advance or in parallel with industry program initiatives or pilot applications.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (301) 415-6256.

Dennis Galvin
Project Manager
U.S Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing
Licensing Project Branch 2-2
301-415-6256

Hearing Identifier: NRR_PMDA
Email Number: 3148

Mail Envelope Properties (Dennis.Galvin@nrc.gov20161107132300)

Subject: Harris and Robinson - Accepted for Review of License Amendment Request
(CAC Nos. MF8439 and MF8440)
Sent Date: 11/7/2016 1:23:33 PM
Received Date: 11/7/2016 1:23:00 PM
From: Galvin, Dennis

Created By: Dennis.Galvin@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"Arthur.Zaremba@duke-energy.com" <Arthur.Zaremba@duke-energy.com>
Tracking Status: None
"Joshua.Duc@duke-energy.com" <Joshua.Duc@duke-energy.com>
Tracking Status: None
"Pilo, Tony" <Tony.Pilo@duke-energy.com>
Tracking Status: None
"Jeffrey Robertson (jeffrey.robertson@duke-energy.com)" <jeffrey.robertson@duke-energy.com>
Tracking Status: None
"Lukes, Robert" <Robert.Lukes@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Dion, Jeanne" <Jeanne.Dion@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Barillas, Martha" <Martha.Barillas@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Kelvin Henderson (kelvin.henderson@duke-energy.com)" <kelvin.henderson@duke-energy.com>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office:

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	4357	11/7/2016 1:23:00 PM

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: