

From: [Richard Currit](#)
To: [Muir-Quintero, Jessie](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Re: Strata/Kendrick - #0116RLC002
Date: Wednesday, March 02, 2016 9:42:30 AM

Hi Jessie,

48CK2028 did indeed have previous concurrence. However, our current historian and National Register Coordinator (Brian Beadles) did not think that it met the criteria.

You are correct, somehow I missed putting 48CK2260, 48CK2273, and 48CK2274 in the letter. We concur that those sites are eligible for listing in the NRHP. My apologies.

Richard L. Currit
Senior Archaeologist
Wyoming State Historic Preservation Office
2301 Central Ave., Barrett Bldg. 3rd Floor
Cheyenne, WY 82002
307-777-5497

On Tue, Mar 1, 2016 at 2:22 PM, Muir-Quintero, Jessie <Jessie.Muir-Quintero@nrc.gov> wrote:

Mr. Currit,

Thank you for your comments on the Class III survey for Kendrick. I have two questions related to your comments.

1. The Class III survey recommended site 48CK2028 as eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. Your recommendation was that it was not eligible. On page 3 of the Survey, in the summary table, it shows that 48CK2028 was eligible with previous SHPO concurrence. I was curious if something has changed or if the Survey was potentially incorrect?
2. Three sites recommended as eligible by the Class III report (48CK2260, 2273, and 2274) were not mentioned in your letter. We assume that means the SHPO concurs.

For the Kendrick site visit, the NRC intends to be there April 19-21st. Strata will provide a site tour on the 19th. The other two days will be for resource-specific tours and discussions. I'll let you know more details as we get closer to the date.