

Industry Feedback on NRC consideration of resumption of rulemaking to decouple Loss of Offsite Power from Loss of Coolant Accidents

Michael Tschiltz

Director, Risk Assessment, NEI

NRC Expectations for Resumption of Rulemaking

- Updated Lead Topical Report (LTR)submittal
 - *BWROG has indicated there is no interest in revising and resubmitting a LTR now or in the foreseeable future*
 - *Conclusions in 2008 remain valid today*
 - *LTR not cost effective*
 - *Estimated implementation costs would be prohibitively expensive for benefit to be obtained*
 - *Additional analysis expectations beyond what has already been done are expensive*
 - *PRA Technical Adequacy.. Need for upgrade to PRA models.. Internal events update, shutdown, seismic*
- Pilot Application
 - *PWROG and BWROG have indicated that there is no interest in moving forward with a pilot application.*
- Priority
 - *Industry believes NRC should devote limited resources in the risk area to complete licensing activities in a predictable and timely manner.*
 - *NRC's time estimate of 4-5 years to complete rulemaking ... disincentive for pursuing*
- Diversion of limited staff resources from higher priority actions
 - *Other risk-informed licensing activities have significant industry interest and commitment to implement (10CFR50.69, RITS 4b, RITS 5b)*
 - *DRA staff should be devoted to these activities as opposed to pursuing LOOP/LOCA rulemaking*