

# Industry Views on NRC Decommissioning Funding Plan Reviews

*Janet Schlueter*

On Behalf of NEI's Fuel Operations Committee  
NRC Public Meeting - September 14, 2016

# Overview

- Triennial DFP Updates Submitted by Facilities
  - Key Planning Assumptions, e.g., orderly shutdown
  - Use of Operational Funds
  - Completeness Based on Existing Guidance
- NRC Review of Submittals
  - Predictable Schedule and Routine Communication
  - Requests for Additional Information Process

# Industry DFP Submittals

## Key Planning Assumptions:

- *Inventory Quantities of Material are Removed from Site Prior to Decommissioning*
  - Licensee Assumption Used and Accepted by NRC Well Before Decommissioning Planning Rule (Dec 2012)  
e.g., SNM is an asset and the owner of the material has a vested interest in its safe/timely removal
  - Abrupt Shutdown and Walk-away NRC Presumption not Realistic, Cannot be Justified and not Specified in Rule or Guidance; 10 CFR 70.38 Implies Orderly Shutdown  
e.g., Unlicensed Independent Contractors not Authorized to Operate Proprietary Equipment and Processes to Remove Material not Owned by Licensee

# Industry DFP Submittals (continued)

- *Operating Funds Used to Remove and Disposition Licensed Material*
  - Licensees Historically Justified and NRC Approved Use of Operating Funds—not Decommissioning Funds--to Fund Safe Removal and Disposition of Material
  - Neither 2012 DPR or Related Guidance (e.g., NUREG-1757) Supports NRC Assertion that Decommissioning Funds Must be Used for Such Purposes; Rather, Final Rule “Codifies” Cost Estimate Methods Previously Acknowledged by NRC

# NRC Review of Submittals

- NRC Review Schedule and Communications
  - Need More Timely Review and Predictable Schedule to Reduce Mutual Resource Expenditures and Inform Next Triennial Submittal
    - NRC Review of Some Submittals Has Taken Years
- NRC Requests for Additional Information
  - Must be Based on Regulatory Requirements and Not a Request for Commitments; More Timely; Clearly Articulated and Communicated
  - Number of “Rounds of RAIs” Should be Limited, e.g., 2

# In Summary

- DPR Did Not Mandate New Planning Assumptions; Historical Approach Used by Industry and Accepted by NRC is Adequate
  - e.g., orderly shutdown and use of operating funds
- Industry Willing to Work with NRC to Identify Lessons-Learned from Submittals Post DPR and Ways to Increase Efficiency of NRC Reviews