



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

June 16, 2016

Mr. Mano Nazar
President and Chief
Nuclear Officer
Nuclear Division
NextEra Energy
P. O. Box 14000
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

SUBJECT: TURKEY POINT NUCLEAR GENERATING, UNIT NOS. 3 AND 4 – STAFF REVIEW OF MITIGATION STRATEGIES ASSESSMENT REPORT OF THE IMPACT OF THE REEVALUATED SEISMIC HAZARD DEVELOPED IN RESPONSE TO THE MARCH 12, 2012, 50.54(f) LETTER (CAC NOS. MF7886 AND MF7887)

Dear Mr. Nazar:

The purpose of this letter is to provide the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) assessment of the seismic hazard mitigation strategies assessment (MSA), as described in the May 24, 2016, letter (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML16162A660), submitted by Florida Power and Light Company (the licensee) for Turkey Point Nuclear Generating, Unit Nos. 3 and 4 (Turkey Point). The mitigation strategies assessment confirms that the licensee has adequately addressed the reevaluated seismic hazard within its mitigating strategies for beyond-design-basis external events.

BACKGROUND

By letter dated March 12, 2012 (ADAMS Accession No. ML12053A340), the NRC issued a request for information pursuant to Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), Section 50.54(f) (hereafter referred to as the 50.54(f) letter). The 50.54(f) letter was issued as part of implementing lessons-learned from the accident at the Fukushima Dai-ichi nuclear power plant. Enclosure 1 to the 50.54(f) letter requested licensees reevaluate the seismic hazard using present-day methodologies and guidance. Concurrent with the reevaluation of seismic hazards, the NRC issued Order EA-12-049, "Issuance of Order to Modify Licenses with Regard to Requirements for Mitigation Strategies for Beyond-Design-Basis External Events" (ADAMS Accession No. ML12054A736). The order requires holders of operating power reactor licenses and construction permits issued under 10 CFR Part 50 to develop, implement, and maintain guidance and strategies to maintain or restore core cooling, containment, and spent fuel pool cooling following a beyond-design-basis external event.

By letter dated March 27, 2014 (ADAMS Accession No. ML14106A032), the licensee provided its reevaluated seismic hazard for Turkey Point in response to the 50.54(f) letter.

On December 10, 2015 (ADAMS Accession No. ML16005A621), the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) submitted Revision 2 to NEI 12-06, including guidance for mitigating strategies assessments regarding reevaluated hazard information. The NRC subsequently endorsed NEI 12-06, Revision 2, with exceptions, clarifications, and additions, in Japan Lessons-Learned Division (JLD) interim staff guidance (ISG) JLD-ISG-2012-01, Revision 1, "Compliance with Order EA-12-049, Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Requirements for Mitigation Strategies for Beyond-Design-Basis External Events" (ADAMS Accession No. ML15357A163).

MITIGATION STRATEGIES ASSESSMENT

By letter dated January 22, 2016 (ADAMS Accession No. ML16013A472), the NRC staff documented its review of the licensee's reevaluated seismic hazard, also referred to as the mitigating strategies seismic hazard information. The staff confirmed the licensee's conclusion that its reevaluated seismic hazard is bounded by the current design-basis at all frequencies above 1 Hertz (Hz). In addition, the staff concluded that the Ground Motion Response Spectrum determined by the licensee adequately characterizes the reevaluated seismic hazard for the Turkey Point site.

The licensee stated that the Turkey Point MSA was performed consistent with NEI 12-06, Revision 2. Appendix H of NEI 12-06, Revision 2, describes acceptable methods for demonstrating that the reevaluated seismic hazard is addressed within the Turkey Point mitigating strategies for beyond-design-basis external events. The NRC staff confirmed that the licensee's seismic hazard MSA is consistent with the guidance in Appendix H.4.1 of NEI 12-06, Revision 2, as endorsed, by JLD-ISG-2012-01, Revision 1. Therefore, the methodology used by the licensee is appropriate to perform an assessment of the mitigation strategies that addresses the reevaluated seismic hazard.


The NRC staff has reviewed the seismic hazard MSA for Turkey Point. The NRC staff concludes that sufficient information has been provided to demonstrate that the licensee's plans for the development and implementation of guidance and strategies under Order EA-12-049 appropriately address the reevaluated seismic hazard information stemming from the 50.54(f) letter.

M. Nazar

- 3 -

If you have any questions, please contact me at (301) 415-1617 or via e-mail at Frankie.Vega@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Frankie Vega', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Frankie Vega, Project Manager
Hazards Management Branch
Japan Lessons-Learned Division
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-250 and 50-251

cc: Distribution via Listserv

M. Nazar

- 3 -

If you have any questions, please contact me at (301) 415-1617 or via e-mail at Frankie.Vega@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Frankie Vega, Project Manager
Hazards Management Branch
Japan Lessons-Learned Division
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-250 and 50-251

cc: Distribution via Listserv

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC
JHMB R/F
RidsNrrDorlLPL2-2 Resource
RidsNrrPMTurkeyPointResource
RidsNrrLASLent Resource
RidsAcrsAcnw_MailCTR Resource

FVega, NRR
BTitus, NRR
EBowman, NRR
MShams, NRR

ADAMS Accession No. ML16166A321

*** via e-mail**

OFFICE	NRR/JLD/JHMB/PM	NRR/JLD/LA	NRR/JLD/JHMB/BC(A)	NRR/JLD/JHMB/PM
NAME	FVega	SLent	GBowman	FVega
DATE	06/15/2016	06/15/2016	06/16/2016	06/16/2016

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY