

REVISED RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

APR1400 Design Certification

Korea Electric Power Corporation / Korea Hydro & Nuclear Power Co., LTD

Docket No. 52-046

RAI No.: 199-8223
SRP Section: 03.08.01 – Concrete Containment
Application Section: 03.08.01
Date of RAI Issue: 09/08/2015

Question No. 03.08.01-9

10 CFR Part 50.55a provides the regulatory examination requirements of the prestressed concrete containment. Standard Review Plan (SRP) 3.8.1, Section II.7, provides guidance for testing and examining the preservice, in-service, and repair/replacement requirements of the concrete containment. DCD Tier 2, Section 3.8.1.7, "Testing and Inservice Inspection Requirements," describes the testing and in-service requirements of the containment. The staff reviewed Section 3.8.1.7 and noted that additional information is needed in order to complete the safety review procedure of the testing and in-service inspection of the concrete containment. In accordance with 10 CFR Part 50.55a, and SRP 3.8.1, the applicant is requested to explain the following:

- a. DCD Tier 2, Section 3.8.1.7.1, "General Requirements," does not identify whether this containment is considered a prototype containment. DCD Tier 2, Section 3.8.1.7.1, "General Requirements," does not identify whether this containment is considered a prototype containment. It is believed that containment designed to ASME Subsection CC, incorporating new or unique design features not yet confirmed by tests are supposed to be designated as prototype containment. Thus, the applicant is requested to address whether this containment is designated as a prototype design and if not, explain why not. If it is a prototype containment, Section 3.8.1.7.1 of the DCD should be updated accordingly and explain that the additional provisions applicable to prototype containments in ASME Subsection CC, Article CC-6000 are implemented.
- b. DCD Tier 2, Section 3.8.1.7 does not identify and discuss the examination requirements of the containment, including the supplemental requirements of 10 CFR 50.55a. DCD Tier 2, Section 3.8.1.7 does not identify and discuss the examination requirements of the containment, including the supplemental requirements of 10 CFR 50.55a. Thus, the applicant is requested to include this information in the DCD.
- c. CD Tier 2, Section 3.8.1.7, does not identify and discuss the periodic leakage testing and examination of the containment in accordance with 10 CFR 50, Appendix J. CD Tier 2,

Section 3.8.1.7, does not identify and discuss the periodic leakage testing and examination of the containment in accordance with 10 CFR 50, Appendix J. The applicant is requested to provide this information in the DCD.

- d. From the information provided in Section 3.8.1.7.2 of the DCD, it is not clear to the staff whether all applicable positions of Regulator Guides (RG) 1.35 and 1.35.1 are performed for the inservice inspection of the tendon systems or whether there are any exceptions. From the information provided in Section 3.8.1.7.2 of the DCD, it is not clear to the staff whether all applicable positions of Regulator Guides (RG) 1.35 and 1.35.1 are performed for the inservice inspection of the tendon systems or whether there are any exceptions. The applicant is requested to provide this information in the DCD.

Response

- a. The structural configuration of the reactor containment building (RCB) of the APR1400 is identical, with the exception of wall thickness, with that of Shin-Kori Unit 3, which has been tested and verified as a prototype. Therefore, the RCB of the APR 1400 is classified as a non-prototype containment, according to ASME Section III, Div.2, Subarticle CC-6150.

The 6 inch linear increase in wall thickness may result in different stresses, strains and displacement from Shin-Kori Units 3&4. However, inherent behavioral characteristics are not changed due to the structure's shape and composition being the same as Shin-Kori Units 3 & 4.


The design parameters, such as compressive strength and elastic modulus of concrete, mix design, cut-off date of material standards, and site conditions affect stress, strain, and displacement. However, these design parameters do not affect inherent structural behaviors. Therefore, those design parameters are not the principle variables used to determine whether the APR1400 RCB is a prototype or not.

As a reference, Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.18, which was withdrawn in 1981, provided the definition of prototype RCB. At present, no regulatory document clearly defines classification criteria to be used to determine if a containment is prototype. Based on RG 1.81, Revision 1 (1972), Appendix A, the following discussion is prepared to demonstrate that the APR1400 RCB is not a prototype. Review items in RG 1.81 demonstrate that changes made in the APR1400 containment should not be considered reasons to classify the RCB as a prototype.


In addition, there is a comparison between APR1400 and Shin-Kori Units 3&4 under ultimate pressure. The static behavior and ultimate pressure capacity (UPC) of the APR1400 RCB under internal pressure is almost the same as those of Shin-Kori Units 3&4. The increased wall thickness affects the ultimate pressure capacity of the RCB, but the behavior of both NPP RCBs is almost the same, as shown in Figure 1.

Table 1 Review Results according to RG 1.18 Revision 1 (1972)


Review Items	SKN 3 Unit	APR1400 Unit	Review Result
A number of buttresses other than six	Three	Same	Non-prototype
Any buttresses in the dome	Three	Same	Non-prototype
A pattern of tendons other than vertical tendons and hoop tendons in the wall, and three groups of tendons oriented at 60 degree in the dome	240 degree	Same	Non-prototype
A prestressed dome with a shape other than ellipsoidal on top	Hemispherical	Same	Non-prototype
A base other than a conventionally reinforced flat slab	RC flat slab	Same	Non-prototype
A general containment shape different than a vertical cylinder	Cylindrical Shell	Same	Non-prototype
Individual tendons with ultimate strength greater than 500 tons	ASTM A416 Grade 270	Same	Non-prototype
An opening larger than 0.2D	Diameter of Equipment hatch & Airlock etc.	Same	Non-prototype
Two openings with a diameter greater than 0.15D separated by a distance less than 0.2D	Diameter of all penetrations	Same	Non-prototype
A connection of the cylindrical wall to the bottom slab or to the top dome by a sliding joint, a hinge, or a combination of hinge and sliding joint	All connections	Same	Non-prototype
An intermediate interior floor connected to the wall	Separation with 2 inch gap	Same	Non-prototype
Any other structural design feature that may decrease the safety margins from that of a containment confirmed by an acceptance test	UPC (Refer to Figure 1)	Margin increased	Non-prototype




(a) Az. 45°, EL. 109'



(b) Az. 45°, Mid height



(c) Az. 45°, Springline



(d) Dome Apex.

Figure 1 Radial or Vertical Displacements of RCB under Internal Pressure, Nonlinear Analysis Results for UPC Evaluation (Dot line: APR1400, Solid line: SKN34)

- b. The structural integrity test (SIT) complies with the requirements of Article CC-6000 of ASME Section III. The in-service inspection (ISI) performed in accordance with the requirements of ASME Section XI, Subsection IWL, RG 1.35.1 and 10 CFR 50.55a. The examination requirements of the tests will be added to DCD Tier 2, Subsections 3.8.1.7.1.1, 3.8.1.7.2.1 and 3.8.2.7.2 as shown in the attachment associated with this response.
- c. The periodic leakage rate testing of containment is described in DCD Tier 2, Subsection 6.2.6. A brief description will be added to DCD Tier 2, Subsection 3.8.1.7.2.1 as shown in the attachment associated with this response.
- d. The in-service inspection (ISI) is performed in accordance with [ASME Section XI, Subsection IWL, 2007 Edition with 2008 Addenda](#), RG 1.35.1 and 10 CFR 50.55a with no exceptions. Although RG 1.35 which has withdrawn as of August 2015 is valid to APR 1400 DC according to the code cut-off date (July 2014) of APR 1400 DC, RG 1.35 is not needed to design of APR 1400 DC since the requirements of RG 1.35 are incorporated in the ASME IWL applied. Thus, RG 1.35 is not needed and will be deleted in DCD. In addition, ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE is not applied to DCD Subsection 3.8.1 for Concrete Containment. DCD Tier 2, Subsection [3.8.1.2.2](#), [3.8.1.7.2.1](#), [3.8.1.7.2.3](#), [3.8.7](#), and [Table 1.9-1](#) will be revised as shown in the attachment associated with this response.

Impact on DCD

DCD Tier 2, Subsections [3.8.1.2.2](#), [3.8.1.7.1](#), [3.8.1.7.1.1](#), [3.8.1.7.2.1](#), [3.8.1.7.2.3](#), [3.8.2.7.2](#), [3.8.7](#), and [Table 1.9-1](#) will be revised as indicated in the attachment associated with this response.

Impact on PRA

There is no impact on the PRA.

Impact on Technical Specifications

There is no impact on the Technical Specifications.

Impact on Technical/Topical/Environmental Reports

There is no impact on any Technical, Topical, or Environmental Report.

DRAFT

APR1400 DCD TIER 2**3.8.1.2.1 Design Codes, Standards, Specifications, and Regulations**

The design codes, standards, specifications, and regulations are listed in Table 3.8-1. The primary design code for concrete containment is ASME Section III, Division 2, Subsection CC (Reference 3).

3.8.1.2.2 NRC Regulatory Guides

Conformance to each NRC Regulatory Guide (RG) is described in Section 1.9. The NRC RGs applicable to the design of the concrete containment are ~~NRC RG 1.35 (Reference 4)~~, NRC RG 1.35.1 (Reference 5), NRC RG 1.136 (Reference 6), and NRC RG 1.7 (Reference 7).

3.8.1.2.3 Industry Standards

Internationally recognized industry standards published by ASTM are used whenever possible to define material properties, testing procedures, and fabrication and construction methods.

3.8.1.3 Loads and Load Combinations

The containment is designed to resist the loads given in Article CC-3000 of the ASME Code and NRC RG 1.136 with the exceptions listed below.

- a. The post-LOCA flooding combined with the safe shutdown earthquake (SSE) is more severe than the post-LOCA flooding combined with the operating basis earthquake (OBE) set at one third or less of the SSE for the plant. Therefore, only the post-LOCA flooding SSE combination is considered in the design.
- b. Subarticle CC-3720 of the ASME Code is satisfied when the containment structure is exposed to the load combination listed below. As a minimum design condition, the pressure ($P_{g1} + P_{g2}$) is not less than 310 kPa (45 psig).

$$D + F + T + P_{g1} + P_{g2}$$

APR1400 DCD TIER 2

and welding procedures are in accordance with Subarticle CC-4530 of ASME Section III, Division 2.

All nondestructive examination procedures are in accordance with Section V of the ASME Code.

3.8.1.7 Testing and Inservice Inspection Requirements

3.8.1.7.1 Structural Integrity Test

The structural integrity test (SIT) is performed in accordance with ASME Section III, Division 2, CC-6000 to verify the structural integrity of the containment. The test is performed after the containment is complete, including the liner, concrete structures, all electrical and piping penetrations, equipment hatch, personnel airlocks, and post-tensioning.

The pressure will be brought up to 115 percent of the containment design pressure in approximately five or more equal increments. At each pressure level, the pressure will be held constant for 1 hour prior to measuring the deflections.

~~Under the test pressure level, the crack pattern for all cracks larger than 0.254 mm (0.01 in) and longer than 150 mm (6 in) will be mapped at the locations required by the ASME Code.~~

3.8.1.7.1.1 General Requirements

Prior to operating the plant, the SIT is performed to demonstrate the structural acceptability of the primary containment.

At each pressure level, the pressure will be held constant for 1 hour to allow for the pressure and containment response to stabilize and to survey the exterior surface of the primary containment. Internal and external temperature measurements will be taken.

Pre- and post-test inspections will be performed to confirm that the concrete, liner plate, and interior structures were not damaged during the SIT.

Under each test pressure level, the crack patterns are measured in accordance with Subarticle CC-6225 of ASME Section III, Division 2. All cracks larger than 0.254 mm (0.01 in) and longer than 150 mm (6 in) will be mapped at the locations required in Subarticle CC-6350 of ASME Section III, Division 2. Displacement of the containment is measured and evaluated in conformance with Subarticle CC-6223 and CC-6360 of ASME Section III, Division 2.

APR1400 DCD TIER 2

elevation. This criterion may be waived if the residual displacements within 24 hours are not greater than 10 percent.

Test results and conclusions will be documented in a separate report.

3.8.1.7.2 Inservice Surveillance

(Reference 4), NRC RG 1.35.1, and 10 CFR 50.55a

3.8.1.7.2.1 General Requirements

During the plant life, the in-service inspection of the containment is performed in accordance with the requirements of the ASME Section XI, Subsection IWL. The inservice inspection includes a visual examination of the concrete exterior surface for cracking, spalling, or grease leakage; a visual inspection of the tendon anchorage assembly of sampled tendons; a tendon lift-off test to discover damaged or broken tendon wires and to provide reasonable assurance of an acceptable prestress level during the plant life.

The containment is designed to allow access to the post-tensioning systems during in-service inspections.

3.8.1.7.2.2 Prediction of Tendon Lift-off Forces

The prediction of the

The visual examination is performed for accessible areas in accordance with Subarticle IWL-2510 of ASME, Section XI. When conditions exist in accessible areas that could indicate the presence of, or result in, degradation to inaccessible areas, the acceptability of inaccessible areas will be evaluated to meet the requirements of 10 CFR 50.55a. The examination of the post-tensioning system, including sample selection, tendon force and elongation measurements, and examination of anchorage areas meets the requirements of Subarticle IWL-2520 of ASME, Section XI.

The prediction of tendon lift-off forces is determined based on the estimated prestress losses at the time of test. As a minimum, the following sources of prestress loss are considered:

- a. Elastic shortening taking into consideration the sequence of stressing of the tendon
- b. Creep and shrinkage of concrete
- c. Stress relaxation in tendon
- d. Reduction of wire cross section due to corrosion, if any

The containment leakage tests comply with 10 CFR 50, Appendix J and are performed in accordance with NEI 94-01 and ANSI/ANS 56.8. Type A, B, and C tests are described in Section 6.2.6.

APR1400 DCD TIER 2

- e. Ineffective wires (e.g., broken, unseated) documented during initial stressing

The procedures and formulations presented in NRC RG 1.35.1 are used to establish the upper bound and lower bound of prestress losses at the time of test.

3.8.1.7.2.3 Acceptance Criteria

The acceptance criteria for the in-service inspections are as specified in ASME Section XI, Subsection IWL-3000. ~~The acceptance criteria also meet any additional requirements of NRC RG 1.35.~~

Items with examination results that do not meet the acceptance standards are evaluated to determine:

- a. Causes of the condition
- b. Acceptability of the containment without repair
- c. Whether or not repair is required, and if required, the extent, method, and completion date for the repair

3.8.2 Steel Containment

The APR1400 does not use a steel containment.

This subsection pertains to ASME Class MC components that are part of the containment described in Subsection 3.8.1. ASME Class MC components include the equipment hatch, personnel airlocks, and piping and electrical penetration sleeves.

3.8.2.1 Description of Containment

3.8.2.1.1 Equipment Hatch

The equipment hatch consists of a dished head door, matching barrel frame with anchorage, and the lifting equipment that operate the hatch door as shown in Figure 3.8-13. The clear

APR1400 DCD TIER 2

- b. Initial leak rate test: hatches are tested at a peak pressure. Leakage does not exceed the design limit of the volume of the airlock in 24 hours.

3.8.2.7.2 Inservice Inspection

MC components are tested in accordance with ASME Section III, Division 1, Subsection NE 6000.

Inservice inspections of the MC components follow the requirements of ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE, with the additional requirements of 10 CFR 50.55a. Subsection 6.2.6 describes leak-rate testing of the containment system and associated acceptance criteria.

3.8.3 Concrete and Steel Internal Structures of Steel or Concrete Containment

3.8.3.1 Description of the Internal Structures

The internal structure is a group of reinforced concrete structures that enclose the reactor vessel and primary system. The internal structure provides biological shielding for the containment interior. A description of various structures that constitute the internal structure is given in the following paragraphs. The details of the internal structure are shown in Figures 1.2-2 through 1.2-8.

The internal structures are seismic Category I structures with the exception of platforms that do not support seismic Category I equipment and miscellaneous steel.

Platforms that do not support seismic Category I equipment and miscellaneous steel are seismic Category II structures. Seismic Category II structures are designed for the SSE using seismic Category I criteria to prevent adverse interaction with other seismic Category I structures, systems, and components.

The containment internal structures are connected with the containment basemat by mechanical splices. The reinforcing bar of the internal structures is anchored into the foundation by the use of mechanical splices welded to both sides of a thickened liner plate. The layout of the connections between the internal structures and the foundation is shown

The visual examination is performed for accessible areas in accordance with Subarticle IWE-2310 of ASME, Section XI. The inservice inspection summary report as required by IWA-6000 is to include the evaluation results for inaccessible areas identified for evaluation.

APR1400 DCD TIER 2

- COL 3.8(7) The COL applicant is to confirm that uneven settlement due to construction sequence of the NI basemat falls within the values specified in Table 2.0-1.
- COL 3.8(8) The COL applicant is to provide the necessary measures for foundation settlement monitoring considering site-specific conditions.
- COL 3.8(9) The COL applicant is to provide testing and inservice inspection program to examine inaccessible areas of the concrete structure for degradation and to monitor groundwater chemistry.
- COL 3.8.(10) The COL applicant is to provide the following soil information for the APR1400 site: 1) elastic shear modulus and Poisson's ratio of the subsurface soil layers, 2) consolidation properties including data from one-dimensional consolidation tests (initial void ratio, C_c , C_{cr} , OCR, and complete e-log p curves) and time-versus-consolidation plots, 3) moisture content, Atterberg limits, grain size analyses, and soil classification, 4) construction sequence and loading history, and 5) excavation and dewatering programs.

3.8.7 References

1. 10 CFR Part 50, "Domestic Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities," U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
2. ASME Section III, Subsection NE, "Class MC Components," The American Society of Mechanical Engineers, the 2007 Edition with the 2008 Addenda.
3. ASME Section III, Division 2, "Code for Concrete Containments," Subsection CC, American Society of Mechanical Engineers, 2001 Edition with 2003 Addenda.
4. ~~Regulatory Guide 1.35, "Inservice Inspection of UngROUTED Tendons in Prestressed Concrete Containment," Rev. 3, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, July 1990.~~
5. Regulatory Guide 1.35.1, "Determining Prestressing Forces for Inspection of Prestressed Concrete Containments," U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, July 1990.

ASME Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components," American Society of Mechanical Engineers, 2007 Edition with 2008 Addenda.

APR1400 DCD TIER 2

Table 1.9-1 (5 of 38)

NRC Regulatory Guide		Revision / Issue Date	Conformance or Summary Description of Deviation	DCD Tier 2 Section
1.34	Control of Electroslag Weld Properties	Rev. 1 03/2011	The APR1400 conforms with this NRC RG except that the electroslag process is not used during fabrication of any reactor coolant pressure boundary components.	5.2.3.3, 5.2.3.4.4, 5.3.1.4, 5.4.2.1.4
1.35	Inservice Inspection of UngROUTed Tendons in Prestressed Concrete Containment	Rev. 3 07/1990	The APR1400 conforms with this NRC RG.	3.8.1.2.2, 3.8.1.7.2.3
1.35.1	Determining Prestressing Forces for Inspection of Prestressed Concrete Containments	07/1990	The APR1400 conforms with this NRC RG.	3.8.1.2.2, 3.8.1.5.1.2, 3.8.1.5.2.2, 3.8.1.7.2.2, 3.8A.1.4.1.3.3, 3.8.1.2.2, 3.8.1.5.1.2, 3.8.1.5.2.2
1.36	Nonmetallic Thermal Insulation for Austenitic Stainless Steel	02/1973	The APR1400 conforms with this NRC RG.	5.2.3.2.3, 5.4.2.1.4, 6.1.1.1, 6.1.1.2.2, 6.1.1.2.3
1.40	Qualification of Continuous-Duty Safety-Related Motors for Nuclear Power Plants	Rev. 1 02/2010	The APR1400 conforms with this NRC RG.	N/A
1.41	Preoperational Testing of Redundant On-site Electric Power Systems to Verify Proper Load Group Assignments	03/1973	The APR1400 conforms with this NRC RG.	14.2.12, 8.1.3.3
1.43	Control of Stainless Steel Weld Cladding of Low-Alloy Steel Components	Rev. 1 03/2011	The APR1400 conforms with this NRC RG.	5.2.3.3, 5.3.1.4, 5.4.2.1.3