

From: [Hutson, Jim D \(CONTR\)](#)
To: [Everly, JKeith](#); [Liu, Tilda](#); [Grice, Thomas](#); [Raddatz, Michael](#)
Cc: [Devault, Randall Maynard](#); [Nguyen, Qui](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] RE: LAR 16-04 CPM System Upgrade
Date: Monday, May 09, 2016 8:09:05 AM

All,

When Mike was signing out the letter he contacted me regarding putting dates in the NRC letter. We did not establish dates in our letter because this is a LES driven change not DOE's. As such we did not mandate a schedule. However, I told Mike the NRC proposed schedule should be ok. I thought we were going to coordinate the schedule with LES.

Apparently LES waited for NRC approval before procurement of the new equipment as well as the software changes. The procurement and subsequent hardware/software changes will take longer than specified in the NRC letter.

I have talked with Qui, DOE's SME and we are ok with the 90 day period. Sorry for the confusion and difficulty. Please advise if any questions.

Thank you.

Jim

From: Everly, JKeith [mailto:JKeith.Everly@nrc.gov]
Sent: Friday, May 06, 2016 1:34 PM
To: Liu, Tilda <Tilda.Liu@nrc.gov>; Grice, Thomas <Thomas.Grice@nrc.gov>; Raddatz, Michael <Michael.Raddatz@nrc.gov>; Hutson, Jim D (CONTR) <Jim.Hutson@Science.doe.gov>
Subject: RE: LAR 16-04 CPM System Upgrade

I believe it is acceptable but will need DOE's expert opinion.

J. Keith Everly
Senior Program Manager (Licensee Security)
Information Security Branch
Division of Security Operations
Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response
(O)301-415-7048 (C)301-717-0596 (FAX)301-415-2190

From: Liu, Tilda
Sent: Friday, May 06, 2016 1:25 PM
To: Everly, JKeith <JKeith.Everly@nrc.gov>; Grice, Thomas <Thomas.Grice@nrc.gov>; Raddatz, Michael <Michael.Raddatz@nrc.gov>; Hutson, Jim D (CONTR) <Jim.Hutson@Science.doe.gov>
Subject: Re: LAR 16-04 CPM System Upgrade

If it is OK with everyone on this distribution, I can let them know that they have 90 days to

make a submittal. Please confirm that this is acceptable.

On: 06 May 2016 11:37, "Everly, JKeith" <JKeith.Everly@nrc.gov> wrote:
I believe DOE had conversation with Mike R. and LES on this. DOE told Mike R. that the duration in Mike's letter was way too short. Jim Hutson of DOE can give you more details.

J. Keith Everly
Senior Program Manager (Licensee Security)
Information Security Branch
Division of Security Operations
Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response
(O)301-415-7048 (C)301-717-0596 (FAX)301-415-2190

From: Grice, Thomas
Sent: Friday, May 06, 2016 11:52 AM
To: Liu, Tilda <Tilda.Liu@nrc.gov>; Raddatz, Michael <Michael.Raddatz@nrc.gov>; Everly, JKeith <JKeith.Everly@nrc.gov>; Hutson, Jim D (CONTR) <Jim.Hutson@Science.doe.gov>
Subject: RE: LAR 16-04 CPM System Upgrade

Note: I dropped the LES folks off the distribution for this email since this is an internal discussion.

Jim and Keith,
What are your thoughts on the timeline proposed by LES? Are you comfortable with this?

Note: The letter actually says 14 days to make the upgrades and then another 30 days for the report. That makes the dates May 11th for the upgrade and June 10th for the report.

Thanks,

Tom

From: Medina, Ricardo [<mailto:Ricardo.Medina@urencocom>]
Sent: Friday, May 06, 2016 11:45 AM
To: Liu, Tilda <Tilda.Liu@nrc.gov>
Cc: Raddatz, Michael <Michael.Raddatz@nrc.gov>; Grice, Thomas <Thomas.Grice@nrc.gov>; Everly, JKeith <JKeith.Everly@nrc.gov>; Hutson, Jim D (CONTR) <Jim.Hutson@Science.doe.gov>; McGowen, Bryan <Bryan.McGowen@urencocom>; Maxwell, Brandon <Brandon.Maxwell@urencocom>; Thyne, Salem <Salem.Thyne@urencocom>
Subject: [External_Sender] LAR 16-04 CPM System Upgrade

Tilda,
I've spoken to Jim Hutson about the stipulation listed in the letter attached and we are in

concurrence that the "14 days from date of the letter" due date is extremely limiting for submitting the Security Assessment Report. I am requesting that we extend that due date to 90 days from date of the letter; bringing the new due date for that action to July 26, 2016. Please contact me with any questions or concerns.

Regards,

Rick Medina
Licensing Engineer II

URENCO USA
PO Box 1789
Eunice, NM 88231

Tel: +01 (575) 394-5846
Mob: +01 (505) 975-2764
Email: ricardo.medina@urencocom
Web: www.urencocom

Please don't print this e-mail unless you really need to.

From: Grice, Thomas [<mailto:Thomas.Grice@nrc.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2016 7:31 AM
To: Miller, Debra
Cc: Medina, Ricardo
Subject: LES Letter

Debbie,

Please go ahead and send the full set of hard copies. Also, please scan the letter and send it to Rick Medina. I have cc'ed him on this email for your convenience.

Thank you,

Rick,

This will be the corrected letter with the correct spelling of Mr. Thyne's name and the corrected license amendment number.

Regards,

Thomas A. Grice, Chief
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards
Division of Fuel Cycle Safety, Safeguards, and Environmental Review

Enrichment and Conversion Branch
Mail Stop T-4B16
Washington, DC 20555
T: 301-415-7333
F: 301-415-0020
E: Thomas.Grice@nrc.gov

This email transmission is confidential Louisiana Energy Services, LLC and intended solely for the person or organization to which it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, you must not copy, distribute or disseminate the information or take any action in reliance of it. Any views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender, except where the sender specifically states them to be the views of any organization or employer. If you have received this message in error, do not open any attachment but please notify the sender (above) and delete this message from your system. Please rely on your own virus check, as no responsibility is taken by the sender for any damage arising out of any bug or virus.

This email transmission is confidential Louisiana Energy Services, LLC and intended solely for the person or organization to which it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, you must not copy, distribute or disseminate the information or take any action in reliance of it. Any views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender, except where the sender specifically states them to be the views of any organization or employer. If you have received this message in error, do not open any attachment but please notify the sender (above) and delete this message from your system. Please rely on your own virus check, as no responsibility is taken by the sender for any damage arising out of any bug or virus.