

# **Official Transcript of Proceedings**

## **NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

Title:                   Advisory Committee on the Medical Uses  
                              of Isotopes Spring 2016 Meeting: Open

Docket Number:     (n/a)

Location:             Rockville, Maryland

Date:                 Friday, March 18, 2016

Work Order No.:     NRC-2241

Pages 1-152

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC.  
Court Reporters and Transcribers  
1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
(202) 234-4433

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

+ + + + +

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON THE MEDICAL USES OF ISOTOPES

+ + + + +

SPRING 2016 MEETING

+ + + + +

OPEN SESSION

+ + + + +

FRIDAY,

MARCH 18, 2016

+ + + + +

The meeting was convened in room T-2B3 of Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, at 8:01 a.m., Philip O. Alderson, M.D., ACMUI Chairman, presiding.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

PHILIP O. ALDERSON, M.D., Chairman

FRANCIS M. COSTELLO, Agreement State  
Representative

VASKEN DILSIZIAN, M.D., Nuclear Cardiologist

RONALD D. ENNIS, M.D., Radiation Oncologist

STEVEN R. MATTMULLER, Nuclear Pharmacist

DARLENE F. METTER, M.D., Diagnostic Radiologist

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

MICHAEL O'HARA, Ph.D., FDA Representative

CHRISTOPHER J. PALESTRO, M.D., Nuclear Medicine  
Physician

JOHN J. SUH, M.D., Radiation Oncologist

LAURA M. WEIL, Patients' Rights Advocate

PAT B. ZANZONICO, Ph.D., Vice-Chairman

NON-VOTING: ZOUBIR OUHIB

MEMBER-SELECT: RICHARD GREEN

NRC STAFF PRESENT:

SCOTT MOORE, Acting Director, Office of Nuclear  
Material Safety and Safeguards

DANIEL COLLINS, Director, Division of Material  
Safety, State, Tribal and Rulemaking Programs

DOUGLAS BOLLOCK, ACMUI Designated Federal  
Officer

SOPHIE HOLIDAY, ACMUI Alternate Designated  
Federal Officer and ACMUI Coordinator

SAID DAIBES, Ph.D., NMSS/MSTR/MSEB

MICHAEL FULLER, NMSS/MSTR/MSEB

ESTHER R. HOUSEMAN, OGC/GCLR/RMR

DONNA-BETH HOWE, Ph.D., NMSS/MSTR/MSEB

ANGELA McINTOSH, NMSS/MSTR/MSEB

GRETCHEN RIVERA-CAPELLA, NMSS/MSTR/MSEBKATIE

TAPP, Ph.D., NMSS/MSTR/MSEB

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC PRESENT:

DEBBIE BENSEN, Elekta, Inc.

BETTE BLANKENSHIP, American Association of  
Physicists in Medicine

CATHERINE GILMORE-LAWLESS, Elekta, Inc.

LYNNE FAIROBENT, American Association of  
Physicists in Medicine (AAPM)

CAITLIN KUBLER, Society of Nuclear Medicine and  
Molecular Imaging (SNMMI)

RICHARD MARTIN, American Association of  
Physicists in Medicine

CARL MELLERBY, Nordea

ERIC PERRY, Kentucky Department for Public Health

CRAIG PIERCY, Elekta, Inc.

MICHAEL PETERS, American College of Radiology

KAREN SHEEHAN, Fox Chase Cancer Center

ROBERT THOMAS, Elekta, Inc.

CINDY TOMLINSON, American Society of Radiation  
Oncology (ASTRO)

T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Staff Response to OIG Audit of NRC Oversight of Medical	
Use of Nuclear Material .....	5
Leksell Gamma Knife Icon Licensing Guidance.....	33
Germanium-68/Gallium-68 Medical Use Generator	
Update .....	54
Special Presentation to Mr. Mattmuller.....	68
Thoughts on Leaving the ACMUI.....	70
Open Forum.....	76
Administrative Closing.....	138
Meeting Adjournment.....	152

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

## P R O C E E D I N G S

(8:01 a.m.)

CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: So welcome back everyone, to the second day of our spring meeting. And I'm going to -- this is Dr. Alderson, and I'm going to turn over the proceedings to Doug Bollock of the NRC.

MR. BOLLOCK: Good morning. We'll start off the morning with a presentation on our staff response to our Office of Inspector General audit of NRC's oversight of medical use of nuclear material.

So if you want me to go over a little bit of background of the audit, the audit findings, recommendations, and our response, and what we're doing moving forward.

MS. HOLIDAY: For persons on the telephone, could you please mute your phone. If your phone does not have that capability, please press Star 6. Thank you.

MR. BOLLOCK: Thank you, Sophie.

Okay, a little bit of background. So our Office of Inspector General is the NRC's internal oversight of our programs. And so they decided to audit our medical program last year.

So the audit objective was to determine if NRC's oversight of medical use of radioactive isotopes

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

1 adequately protects public health and safety. So in  
2 order to do that, they spoke with NRC staff here at  
3 headquarters, NRC regional staff, agreement state  
4 staff, and a number of licensees.

5 So the audit was completed about summer of  
6 2015. And their findings were that the NRC does provide  
7 adequate oversight of the medical use of radioactive  
8 isotopes to protect public health and safety.

9 However, opportunities for improvement  
10 exist with regard to clarification of NRC's medical  
11 event reporting requirements, periodic self-assessment  
12 of medical event reporting, and with providing better  
13 feedback to the ACMUI.

14 So their first recommendation was to  
15 clearly define the purpose of medical event reporting  
16 in a publicly available document and clarify the  
17 reporting requirements.

18 So during the audit they found there was  
19 some confusion as to why, what the purpose of medical  
20 events or reporting of medical events was. And there  
21 are some differences between NRC staff, Agreement State  
22 staff, within NRC regional offices. So it was pretty  
23 clear that we should have one specific definition for  
24 the purpose of medical events.

25 Recommendation 2 is to proactively provide

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 all medical licensees with medical event tracking  
2 trending information for lessons learned purposes.  
3 And I know we spoke a little bit about that yesterday,  
4 and Dr. Langhorst had some comments on that. So they  
5 recognize, the OIG audit recognized that as well.

6 The third recommendation was develop and  
7 implement policy and procedures that require periodic  
8 assessments of NRC's approach to medical event  
9 reporting. These assessments should include whether  
10 the intended purpose of the reporting requirements are  
11 being met and the thresholds of reporting requirements  
12 are appropriate.

13 And their fourth recommendation was  
14 develop and implement policy and procedures to guide  
15 provision of sufficiently detailed and timely feedback  
16 to ACMUI from NRC staff.

17 So our staff responses, so we are, for the  
18 first recommendation, we took some actions. And we  
19 found what the official purpose of medical event  
20 reporting was. It goes back to 1980, back when medical  
21 events were called medical misadministrations. But we  
22 took that statement and put it on our NRC website. We  
23 put it on the medical list server, sent it out to  
24 everyone on the medical list server.

25 In the current rulemaking, we are planning

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1 on adding that in the statements of consideration for  
2 the current rulemaking. And what that will do is just  
3 update it from, essentially, from saying medical  
4 misadministrations to medical events.

5 And we also sent an RCPD letter to the  
6 Agreement States. And I can read to you the official  
7 -- out of the statements of consideration for the 1980  
8 rule, the Commission's purpose in requiring  
9 misadministration reports. The NRC was to identify  
10 their causes in order to correct them and prevent the  
11 recurrence.

12 The Commission was able to notify other  
13 licensees if there is a possibility that they could make  
14 the same errors. So that right there is the purpose,  
15 to identify and correct, or to correct and prevent  
16 recurrence, and to give us the ability to notify the  
17 licensees of these events. And that can help them, help  
18 prevent from making the same errors.

19 So the second recommendation, with our  
20 second recommendation we have some medical event  
21 tracking trending initiatives. Essentially, we are  
22 allowing the access to the general public, as I said  
23 yesterday, access to the ACMUI medical event slides. So  
24 the slides that Donna-Beth provides once a year and then  
25 the ACMUI, in the second meeting, provides that back to

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 us. Those are now specifically pulled out and provided  
2 on the public website.

3 There was some question. I know we, just  
4 a little bit more background on that. We had some  
5 discussions about how much information we'd get, you  
6 know, to find the causes and any actions that were taken.  
7 We do share that in the slides when it's known.  
8 Sometimes it's not always known, but we do our best, if  
9 it is known, to put it in those slides so the public can  
10 get that, and the licensees can get that as well.

11 All right, for Recommendation 3, we'll be  
12 conducting an annual self-assessment in the overall  
13 effectiveness of NRC's event reporting program. So  
14 even though it was specific to medical events, we  
15 evaluate on a yearly basis all events as part of our  
16 annual assessment review. And so as part of that, we  
17 will do a separate self-assessment and looking at some  
18 specifics and effectiveness of just medical or event  
19 reporting in general.

20 And for the last recommendation, we have  
21 updated our policy and procedures that related to our  
22 work with the ACMUI. So our Policy and Procedures, 2-5,  
23 basically it didn't need any updating, because that is  
24 how we make a decision on what to include, basically  
25 major medical policy to include ACMUI but Policy

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

1 Procedure 6-15 is how we actually implement our workings  
2 with the ACMUI.

3 So we've updated those procedures to  
4 enhance our communications, essentially to give the  
5 memos and with better rationale behind our proposed  
6 actions.

7 So in the past we've always given, as Sophie  
8 did yesterday, the update from all the open action items  
9 but now, for anything that we either don't agree with  
10 partially or don't agree completely with the ACMUI, we  
11 will give in a memo format, response back to the ACMUI  
12 with our reasoning why.

13 All right, any questions?

14 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Would anyone on the  
15 Committee like to raise a question? Yes, Dr. Ennis?

16 MEMBER ENNIS: I have a few actually, if  
17 that's okay.

18 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Yes.

19 MEMBER ENNIS: One, I had a few questions.  
20 One, in terms of response from staff to us, could it be  
21 more interactive, like a presentation at this type of  
22 a venue rather than a memo?

23 MR. BOLLOCK: We considered, we did  
24 consider that, because we have the open, we go over the  
25 open action items list. Sophie goes over that. That's

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 an opportunity to ask those questions. So we feel we  
2 do have that, or you have that opportunity to ask us  
3 questions there.

4 But in between the meetings twice a year,  
5 you know, there's six months. Time goes on. You may  
6 or may not think to ask the question then. So it's the  
7 in-between we will be providing the memos, just so we  
8 have, essentially you have, I guess, an official record  
9 of why we decided to go one way or the other based upon  
10 your recommendations.

11 But yes, I mean, we encourage open  
12 communication with the ACMUI. But that was kind of the  
13 rationale behind why we didn't just leave it to this  
14 meeting.

15 And some other things that we are actually,  
16 actually Mike and I were discussing this morning, not  
17 necessarily in regards to recommendations but just some  
18 of the staff actions. We may just take, five, ten  
19 minutes out of the ACMUI meetings and go over what staff  
20 has been working on.

21 Because some of them are based on  
22 recommendations or may be tangentially associated with  
23 some of the recommendations from the ACMUI. That's  
24 something we plan to do. And that'll be more of the  
25 informal, you know, presentation during the ACMUI

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 meetings.

2 MEMBER ENNIS: All right, great. Yes,  
3 that was mentioned. I think that would be great. So  
4 I guess the question now for us would be do we want our  
5 subcommittee, for example, that works on things and had  
6 the reports, when we get a report back from the ACMUI  
7 do want to reconvene the subcommittee just to digest  
8 that response in some way? Would that be a useful  
9 process?

10 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: I don't know about  
11 that. I think it would depend on the report and the  
12 issue. Other people would like to comment on that  
13 question? Dr. Langhorst?

14 MEMBER LANGHORST: I think it would be very  
15 important for the subcommittee to review it and provide  
16 just maybe some written responses to that. Because it  
17 doesn't necessarily, it may not warrant another  
18 presentation. But I think it would be very helpful to  
19 have the subcommittee then give an assessment for the  
20 overall Committee.

21 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Okay. Thanks, Dr.  
22 Langhorst. Did someone else have a comment on this  
23 particular question?

24 VICE CHAIRMAN ZANZONICO: Oh, not on this,  
25 not on the current question.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Anything else on Dr.  
2 Ennis' suggestion?

3 (No audible response.)

4 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: So the Committee's  
5 leaving it that, obviously depending the content or  
6 whatever, but they have a perhaps brief written response  
7 to say this is clear, we understand, or here are a couple  
8 of issues that would be useful.

9 So given that everyone seems to agree with  
10 that, then we'll try to adopt that approach. Other  
11 comments, Dr. Ennis?

12 MEMBER ENNIS: Yes. I just have one, I  
13 guess one other. So with a more clear statement of what  
14 the purpose of a medical event is, combining with our  
15 conversations of yesterday, it seems like it's time to  
16 really, with the new changes in, you know, the culture  
17 of how you get a good quality culture and a good safety  
18 culture, we really ought to move more to what Laura has  
19 been talking about as an ideal.

20 And our challenge is how do we transform  
21 medical events that are now really, had a significant  
22 punitive component to them politically, and how can we  
23 or can we transform them into the more just culture that  
24 is prevalent today?

25 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Yes. Well, I think

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 that's a very good question. I don't think that -- and  
2 I think it's a complicated question. We're not going  
3 to answer it right now.

4 MEMBER ENNIS: No, no.

5 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: It's probably a topic  
6 for a future meeting.

7 MEMBER ENNIS: Yes.

8 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Does anyone want to  
9 comment on that before we move on?

10 MEMBER COSTELLO: Yes. I would think, to  
11 the extent we could get -- I'm sorry, that's something  
12 I think the Committee should take up as a whole sometime,  
13 maybe in a subcommittee or wherever you'd want to do it.

14 Because a lot has happened since 1980 in  
15 terms of therapy. And we've learned a lot in the  
16 implementation of this. And I think maybe we can  
17 probably put together a rationale that's more timely;  
18 it fits better the paramedical practice.

19 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Good. All right, very  
20 good. And we'll certainly consider that. Yes, Dr.  
21 Langhorst?

22 MEMBER LANGHORST: I know a few Commission  
23 briefings ago Dr. Thomadsen was talking on safety  
24 culture. And I was also bringing up the idea of how NRC  
25 can support or undermine a licensee's safety culture.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1                   And I really appreciated the report here.  
2           On Page 15 of that report, wait a second, yes, it says,  
3           ''Some stakeholders noted that NRC's approach to medical  
4           event reporting is perceived to be punitive in nature.  
5           Specifically stakeholders opine that the associated  
6           reporting requirements are actually a deterrent to  
7           self-reporting medical events.''

8                   And so this is NRC's own review of how this  
9           could be perceived as not supporting safety culture.  
10          It's very difficult, and I know the Commissioners  
11          brought it up at that point in time, well, we're the  
12          regulator. Yes, we understand that. But maybe in this  
13          instance can there be, because medical use is different,  
14          because maybe there could be a different model of how  
15          you receive medical event reporting and what you do with  
16          that in the initial instance of a licensee having that  
17          problem.

18                   Now, maybe if there are repeated problems  
19          there's another path you have to take. But can it be  
20          in a way that, yes, we need this information, we'd like  
21          to share as much, and maybe we could share it with the  
22          community and not necessarily name names but give the  
23          instance of what's happening, what led to it, how you  
24          fixed it, and what the results were.

25                   That could be of tremendous help to medical

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1 licensees and especially the smaller licensees that  
2 maybe don't have as much resources to devote to all of  
3 this. So I just ask that we help advise the NRC and the  
4 NRC be open to maybe a slightly different model that we  
5 could use to help support this development of a safety  
6 culture between licensees and the regulators.

7 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Right. So I think  
8 that's a fine suggestion. Yes, Mr. Costello?

9 MEMBER COSTELLO: And all the persons work  
10 at the NRC.

11 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Yes.

12 MEMBER LANGHORST: That's why I said  
13 regulators, sorry.

14 MEMBER COSTELLO: That's right. Whatever  
15 percentage they said yesterday of licensees that belong  
16 to the Agreement States and the approaches taken by the  
17 Agreement States are not uniform with each other. In  
18 fact, NRC regions aren't always uniform with each other.

19 It is a very important point, Dr. Langhorst.  
20 And maybe if we do a subcommittee or something to look  
21 into it, if it had recommendations that go beyond the  
22 language of the purpose of the medical event, and if you  
23 go into all that you talked about, you know, perhaps some  
24 of these could be anonymous as far as, because of the  
25 public.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 But I've heard the same thing from licensees  
2 in our State that they don't mind reporting at all. But  
3 the idea of associating their institution with a mistake  
4 they have is a deterrent.

5 Now, when they tell me that there's very  
6 little solace that I can give them. I can't tell them  
7 they don't have to report, and I can't tell them we can  
8 make them anonymous. Because that's not how it is. You  
9 know, we get the report, we give it to NRC, and the  
10 process moves on.

11 So if we do get a group to look into this,  
12 well, we certainly want them to look into revisiting the  
13 36 year-old, you know, definition of medical  
14 misadministration. Maybe it can have a broader scope  
15 and talk about things that you talked about, talk about  
16 safety culture, and talk about ways of implementing it  
17 in a way that's more likely to bring about what you're  
18 trying to do. Thank you.

19 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Yes. Dr. Dilsizian?

20 MEMBER DILSIZIAN: Yes. I just wanted to  
21 bring the clinical stress when these things happen in  
22 medical misadministration.

23 The first thing we actually do, besides  
24 thinking about the NRC, is call our legal counsel. So  
25 just to let you know, that we, you know, while this, you

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 know, the culture of safety is an interesting concept.  
2 But the stress on the clinicians, and the patient, and  
3 the hospital, and legal counsel is parallel if not more  
4 stressful.

5 And so if NRC, in any way, can soften these  
6 misadministration concepts that we should be reportable  
7 but not necessarily a medical/legal action, it really  
8 may help the physicians to report them. I'm just  
9 letting you know that it's not just the NRC, it's the  
10 other aspects of the medical/legal.

11 MR. BOLLOCK: If I can address some of  
12 these? I think the purpose is, you know, the purpose  
13 has got to remain the same. I think the purpose is  
14 important that we, like I said, identify them to correct  
15 and prevent recurrence and then any information we can  
16 disseminate otherwise to help it from happening again.  
17 I think that's, as long as we keep that as the goal, I  
18 don't think that's going to change the purpose.

19 However, what you all are speaking on is how  
20 do we implement that. And as, you know, Dr. Suh had a  
21 presentation yesterday about the medical event  
22 reporting. I think in any way that you all can help us  
23 with that implementation will help.

24 You know, fortunately for us in the NRC, you  
25 know, we have our regulations. If you're not in

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 compliance with a regulation then, you know, essentially  
2 you have a violation. But it's not a, it's legally  
3 binding to an extent, but it's not the same things that,  
4 you know -- we understand you have other concerns that  
5 aren't -- our concerns is not, you know, we're not  
6 directly affecting this, well, but we are affecting it  
7 because of the regulations.

8 So we understand that. And we are  
9 sensitive to that. And a lot of the efforts going  
10 through the whole, you know, our process of we license,  
11 we inspect and we enforce, and going through that, we  
12 try to enforce such an inspection, and by that the  
13 enforcement through performance base.

14 And how our structure works and how, you  
15 know, we understand the good safety culture, and this  
16 is a good safety culture going not just from the NRC  
17 reactor side. We've got a good hold on that. But if  
18 you look at safety culture across any industry, any  
19 field, professional field, there are consistencies of  
20 good safety culture. And we do understand that.

21 So, you know, you should be able to bring  
22 up when a mistake is made or something without  
23 repercussion. And that is always a sign of a good safety  
24 culture. So hopefully that's where we could all get to.

25 But, because like you said, there are other

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 aspects that you have to consider. We have to be open  
2 to that. I think we are, you know, we as the medical  
3 staff. And we can communicate that to our management.  
4 And I think, with your help, we can get the  
5 implementation to get it to work at the best way.

6 So, you know, my main points are the purpose  
7 I don't think is going to change. But you can help us  
8 with the implementation to help minimize those  
9 crossovers that cause other unintended issues from our  
10 part while still working together to get, you know, to  
11 get the good information out so that we can prevent it.  
12 And other licensees can, you know, it can prevent them  
13 from having these events occur and, at the same time,  
14 you know, promote a good, healthy safety culture.

15 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Right. So Mr. Ouhib  
16 has a comment and then Dr. Langhorst will be next.

17 MR. OUHIB: Yes. I think this is a great  
18 initiative. And let me go back to the purpose again.  
19 So what mechanism is in place currently to actually  
20 inform the users? And that is whether they are NRC  
21 States, I mean, NRC-regulated States or Agreement  
22 States.

23 And the second is how many cases will it take  
24 to actually identify that this needs to go to users? Is  
25 it two cases, is it three cases, similar.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1                   And then the other item is that unless the  
2                   information is accurate, it doesn't serve any purpose.  
3                   So that means there is, like we talked yesterday, there  
4                   is work that needs to be done on both sides of the aisle.  
5                   There's from the regulators, perhaps education and  
6                   training, and then from the users, them also.

7                   That information is really crucial. And  
8                   why is it crucial? Here's why. We're trying to help  
9                   and assist others. This is not because we want to get  
10                  to the nitty gritty. You could help us prevent  
11                  something. And that's from both parties, that is the  
12                  regulator and the end user.

13                 MR. BOLLOCK: So the different levels,  
14                 first the reporting, the reports that come in, they are  
15                 publicly available on our website. So, I mean, that's  
16                 a good and bad thing. But that's one level to get, one  
17                 step to get that an event happened.

18                 And so that information is available for  
19                 other licensees, and regulators, and whomever to see  
20                 that and say, okay, well, this happened and hope, you  
21                 know, someone with a good, whatever you call it, quality  
22                 assurance program, what have you, would look at that and  
23                 say how can we make sure that this doesn't happen to us.

24                 When we see -- one of the other things that  
25                 we do is we evaluate. Because we get all the event

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 reports that come in. We evaluate for a trend. If we  
2 see a trend or a number of issues or issues that are  
3 significant, like, that we feel are very safety  
4 significant, there could be a high impact, we have  
5 generic communications. It's one of our avenues.

6 So we would take the information that we  
7 learned from an event or a series of events and share  
8 that in a generic communication. There's different  
9 levels of generic communications.

10 The first one is an information notice.  
11 And that simply is just here's the information we have  
12 from what has happened, and here is what you can learn  
13 from it. And it's just a, you know, it's just  
14 information. There's nothing that is a requirement on  
15 any licensee.

16 The next level is a regulatory information  
17 summary which typically doesn't, again, no requirements  
18 on licensees, but it may be a little bit more in-depth.

19 And then there are other levels if we see  
20 something that requires some sort of order or action.  
21 There are higher levels. I've not seen any of those on  
22 the material side at all. But we do have, that's kind  
23 of the escalation for getting the information out and  
24 what we'd expect from it.

25 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Dr. Langhorst?

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1                   MEMBER LANGHORST:       To answer your  
2 question, Dr. --

3                   MR. OUHIB:   Zoubir.

4                   MEMBER LANGHORST:   Zoubir. One, you can  
5 learn something from one event, because it may be very  
6 valuable for your license. So I would say, you know,  
7 if you could just give it for all of them, and you have  
8 that consistent information, and full and accurate  
9 information, that would be great.

10                  Mr. Bollock, I just want to say that a safety  
11 culture is not a thing that you write down and then you  
12 say, okay, now we're all going to follow it. It doesn't  
13 happen that way. And I know you appreciate that. It  
14 is a living, breathing thing. And it's based a lot on  
15 trust.

16                  And so trust you have to build. And it can  
17 go like that. And when there's a mistake, you have to  
18 work hard on the trust that, yes, I can bring this  
19 forward. And everybody agrees, yes, boy, we're really  
20 sorry this happened. What can we do for this instance,  
21 what can we learn, and how do we apply it every place  
22 else so that we can get that valuable lesson?

23                  I know licensees do that right now with the  
24 information that NRC puts out. I'm not aware of any  
25 Agreement States being able to put out like information.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1 But that's helpful for my users to say, hey, this  
2 happened over here. You might look at it and see what  
3 we can learn from it.

4 I just would like the NRC to be open, and  
5 I know Agreement States too -- when I say NRC, I mean  
6 everybody, sorry, Frank -- that we continually talk  
7 about this. And because medical use is different, it  
8 may require a little different perspective on that give  
9 and take between the regulated folks and the regulators.

10 So it's an area that I think we want to  
11 explore. I think it will be very helpful to all of our  
12 patients. And I just cheerlead and encourage everyone  
13 to be involved in it. Thank you.

14 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Yes. Ms. Weil?

15 MEMBER WEIL: I totally agree with what Dr.  
16 Langhorst is talking about. And it devolves down to,  
17 you know, the inspector, the person who interacts with  
18 the licensee.

19 And something that NRC and States could do  
20 is to train those folks to enforce that those folks  
21 promote this kind of a culture which is not blame, which  
22 is not punitive, hopefully, which is not negative in any  
23 way but rather that there's a positive spin. We're here  
24 to help you, we're here to help others. And I'm sure  
25 that that's not how many inspectors approach their jobs.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. BOLLOCK: And that is a very good point.  
2 And we do, like I said, you know, we do promote, as an  
3 agency, that performance base. So, you know, we are  
4 supposed to give credit for licensees that identify the  
5 issue and correct it. And, you know, that's how we're,  
6 I mean, that is the push for the NRC.

7 But you're right, it gets down to the  
8 individual inspectors, whether they're an NRC regional  
9 inspector or they're a State inspector. And then along  
10 with that though is when we do see a non-compliance with  
11 a regulation, you know, we have an obligation to identify  
12 it. But then what do you do with it?

13 MR. BOLLOCK: Right. Right. And there  
14 are, and now it gets to levels of enforcement, and  
15 follow-up action. And this is something that, you know,  
16 can be evolving. You know, it's evolved on the other  
17 side of the, on the reactor side of the house. It's  
18 evolved.

19 We went from completely compliance-based to  
20 the performance-based. They have -- the reactor  
21 oversight process has changed from purely traditional  
22 enforcement to where you look at significance. And  
23 they've got, you know, for violations, they have  
24 non-cited violations.

25 It's basically you get a finding, they

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 correct it, and that's it. It's in a report, it's  
2 publicly available, but there's no civil penalty, you  
3 know, at that point no potential civil penalty. It's  
4 just a very cut and dry, here's your violation, here it  
5 explains it. They have to take action to correct it,  
6 and we're done. And, you know, like I said, that's  
7 really the way the agency as whole is moving towards.

8 And we do, we do train our inspectors. We  
9 do, you know, try to promote that for everyone. So, you  
10 know, we'll continue to do that, and hopefully that will  
11 help. But there is still, you know, at the end of the  
12 day, if there is a non-compliance we will take some  
13 action.

14 You know, whether it's just a report that  
15 has a, you know, a severe Level 4 finding, you know, with  
16 no civil penalties, but it's still in a report. It's  
17 still publicly available. And that, in itself, could  
18 have consequences in the medical community, you know.

19 But we understand that we have to work. And  
20 this is why, you know, evaluate changing medical events  
21 and what it takes and, you know, the aspect from the  
22 medical community, we appreciate that you all bring that  
23 to us.

24 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: There's enough  
25 interest around the table that I think that we should

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 form a subcommittee on this particular issue and follow  
2 it. And I don't think that, although I see other hands  
3 up now, I mean, we could discuss this the rest of the  
4 morning, but I think we shouldn't do that.

5 We should, in fact, have a subcommittee. And  
6 then we should make it our business during the off times  
7 to get together and move this issue forward. I think  
8 that, Frank, you should definitely be on that committee  
9 because of the State's issue. Vasken, you were  
10 interested in the medical/legal side. Mr. Ouhib, would  
11 you like to join that committee? I'd like at least a  
12 couple of other people. Who else would, would you like  
13 to be on that, Sue? Okay.

14 MEMBER LANGHORST: Can we also, because  
15 this is not our issue, it's our issue. So I would like  
16 a few NRC staff to be helping us.

17 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: I think that's a great  
18 idea. And --

19 (Simultaneous speaking.)

20 MEMBER LANGHORST: And I wouldn't mind  
21 having maybe a person from the Office of Inspector  
22 General be on that to --

23 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Well, we should check  
24 on that. But I think the idea --

25 MEMBER LANGHORST: No.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: I think the idea of  
2 having staff engaged in this process is a very good one.  
3 So, Sue, why don't you be on this subcommittee. In fact,  
4 why don't you chair it? Would you like to do that?

5 MEMBER LANGHORST: I would be so honored.

6 (Laughter.)

7 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Wonderful. So we  
8 probably need one more person on this subcommittee. Who  
9 else has a passion?

10 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: All right. So I have  
11 five right now. I have you as the chair, I have Frank,  
12 Vasken, Dr. Ouhib and Laura. Is that -- Dr. Ennis?

13 MEMBER ENNIS: It has to be six, right?

14 MEMBER LANGHORST: Yes.

15 MEMBER ENNIS: I'll be glad to --

16 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Doctor -- yes,  
17 absolutely. So Ron Ennis, so you have six. That's the  
18 committee.

19 MR. BOLLOCK: I think we have one too many.  
20 Yes, we can only have five. Just because --

21 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Only have five?

22 MR. BOLLOCK: Right.

23 MEMBER ENNIS: I thought we could have six.  
24 It's less than half.

25 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: That's because of the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1       --

2                   (Simultaneous speaking.)

3               MR. BOLLOCK:  Yes, we --

4               CHAIRMAN ALDERSON:  That's because Mr.  
5       Ouhib isn't a member yet.

6               MR. BOLLOCK:  Yes, because Mr. Ouhib is not  
7       a member yet.

8               CHAIRMAN ALDERSON:  So we'll keep him off.  
9       And when he becomes a member, he'll get on this  
10      subcommittee immediately.  How about that?

11              MS. HOLIDAY:  Dr. Alderson, this is Sophie.  
12      While Mr. Ouhib is not a full member yet, meaning he  
13      doesn't have voting privileges, he can still serve as,  
14      like, a consultant, like he did to Dr. Suh's  
15      subcommittee.

16              CHAIRMAN ALDERSON:  All right.  So we'll  
17      have Mr. Ouhib serve as that consultant now.  And then  
18      we'll have the other five people that we've named  
19      comprise the committee.  And it is true that I think  
20      we're going to need a lot of interaction with NRC staff  
21      when this committee goes forward.  So we'll work with  
22      Sophie to figure out how we should get that done, if  
23      that's acceptable to you, Mr. Bollock.

24              MR. BOLLOCK:  We'll support.  But I just  
25      want to make sure we understand so I know how best to

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 support what specifically this subcommittee is going to  
2 be.

3 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Well, the charge that  
4 you all have been talking about is this culture of safety  
5 and how to get there. And so we'll rely on Dr. Langhorst  
6 to look at the whole issue of medical events.

7 We've spent a number of times here  
8 discussing medical events, not just the culture but the  
9 idea of clarity definition in addition to culture. So  
10 I think one of the ways that the subcommittee can get  
11 really engaged with this it so somewhat define that  
12 agenda in that scope of things.

13 MEMBER LANGHORST: Yes. This is Sue  
14 Langhorst. I think Dr. Suh's group is looking at  
15 medical event definition, and understanding, and so on.  
16 Maybe we could focus on the application of reporting and  
17 that aspect of -- let me think of the exact wording and  
18 get that to Sophie. And can we --

19 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Right. It's  
20 application, implementation that's --

21 MEMBER LANGHORST: Implementation and how  
22 to foster that safety culture in reporting medical  
23 events, and investigating, and then not only, I guess,  
24 notification of medical events and then reporting on  
25 medical events.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: So I think that's  
2 actually a very good approach. One could take a very  
3 superficial kind of theoretical approach and probably  
4 finish that report in the next 20 minutes.

5 But the fact of the matter is that if you  
6 really go into the issue to try to solve a problem that  
7 has seemed resistant to solution, it then is going to  
8 take a much more sophisticated and deep effort to figure  
9 out how people like Burwick, for example, changed the  
10 whole safety culture in medicine from a punitive one to  
11 more like it is today.

12 And so that's going to be a much more  
13 difficult problem. And so with Dr. Langhorst leading  
14 the team and this great team we've got, I'm sure we'll  
15 get there.

16 MEMBER COSTELLO: One more question.

17 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: One more question, and  
18 then we'll move to a new subject.

19 MEMBER COSTELLO: I think I pushed it down.  
20 Mr. Bollock, do you think that we have a chance of making  
21 the public reports of these medical events anonymous  
22 with respect to the hospitals?

23 I think maybe it's something you need to  
24 talk to your legal people about. But I think that could  
25 be a colossal step forward. And I know I hear from my

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1 licensees. They don't mind reporting to us really at  
2 all. They don't want to see it on the cover of the  
3 Philadelphia Inquirer, okay.

4 Because really, we've got reporters who  
5 look at the NRC's webpage reports every single day, every  
6 single day, okay. And so if I have a thing saying  
7 whatever hospital has had a medical event, it could show  
8 up on the next day's newspaper. So if that has a chance  
9 of being approved, I think it would be a big step forward.

10 MR. BOLLOCK: I mean, I can't answer that  
11 here. I think there's a possibility just knowing that  
12 some of the States have restrictions on that. I believe  
13 New York is one of them that they are, by statute, they're  
14 not allowed to give specifics. So do I think it's  
15 possible? Yes.

16 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Well, this is one of  
17 many aspects this Committee --

18 MR. BOLLOCK: Correct.

19 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: -- should look at. So  
20 we'll proceed with that. Let's move on to a new topic.

21 MEMBER LANGHORST: As I always tell my  
22 researchers, if it was easy it would have already been  
23 done.

24 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: That's correct, that's  
25 exactly right. Okay. Next topic, wherever we are, Mr.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Bollock. Go ahead.

2 (Laughter.)

3 MR. BOLLOCK: Next up is Sophie Holiday and  
4 Eric Perry from the state of Kentucky.

5 MS. HOLIDAY: Okay. Good morning. For  
6 those of you who aren't aware, my name is Sophie Holiday,  
7 and I work with the medical radiation safety team.

8 MR. PERRY: And my name is Eric Perry. I  
9 work for the Kentucky Department of Public Health as a  
10 license reviewer and materials inspector for the  
11 Agreement State Program.

12 MS. HOLIDAY: Okay. So today, thank you,  
13 we're here to speak to you about the Leksell Gamma Knife  
14 Icon, 10 CFR 35.1000 licensing guidance.

15 Specifically we'll touch on our working  
16 group which is comprised of members from both NRC and  
17 the Agreement States, give you an overview of the Icon  
18 features and an overview of our licensing guidance.

19 So to start this off, I'd like to give you  
20 a little bit of background. Several months ago the NRC  
21 and the Organization of Agreement States Board, or the  
22 OAS Board, became aware of several Agreement States who  
23 had licensees that notified them that they intended to  
24 purchase and install Elekta's newest gamma knife model,  
25 the Icon.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           In addition, NRC sealed source and device  
2           team informed the medical team that they were close to  
3           issuing the SS&D certificate for this particular device.

4           However, I will note that Elekta had not  
5           reached out to the medical team directly. So we had to  
6           find out through other avenues. But the Icon was  
7           already being marketed to potential licensees at the  
8           point by which we found out. So this prompted the very  
9           swift formation of an NRC/OAS working group to try to  
10          meet the needs of the patient community.

11          So our working group was formed to complete  
12          three objectives. First, to review and evaluate the  
13          Icon sealed source and device certificate and any  
14          relevant documentation including an owner's manual.  
15          Two, determine if the Leksell Gamma Knife Perfexion Unit  
16          and the Icon Unit were similar enough that they could  
17          be addressed in a single 35.1000 licensing guidance  
18          document. And three, if so, develop the licensing  
19          guidance document accordingly, whether that be as  
20          separate documents or a single document.

21          So for our working group, there were four  
22          members, Eric and myself are the co-chairs. Eric is  
23          from the State of Kentucky. And I'm here from NRC  
24          headquarters. Our other members were Michelle Simmons  
25          from NRC's Region IV and Ms. Debora Vail from the State

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 of California.

2 We had our kickoff meeting on December 22nd  
3 of 2015. We were joined by a few of the members in the  
4 audience, representatives from Elekta, where they gave  
5 us a presentation on the overview of the Icon as well  
6 as recommendations.

7 Our working group worked very, very  
8 expeditiously at a very aggressive pace. We spent about  
9 three and a half weeks developing our guidance. We met  
10 multiple times in a week in order to try to get guidance  
11 out as soon as possible. And we completed our guidance  
12 on January 22nd, 2016.

13 MR. PERRY: Thank you, Sophie. So the Icon  
14 offers a number of different features over the Perfexion  
15 unit. However, the source assembly and the overall  
16 method of delivering the radiation dose is very similar  
17 to the Perfexion; however they've added a couple of  
18 features to facilitate treatment of the patients without  
19 using a stereotype frame.

20 And that includes this cone beam computed  
21 tomography scanner and this intrafraction motion  
22 management, or what Elekta is now calling their high  
23 definition motion management. And that allows the  
24 system to work without the frame being rigidly attached,  
25 without a frame at all and with no rigid attachment to

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 the patient.

2 So as you can see here on the left, we've  
3 got a picture of the stereotactic frames that were used,  
4 have to be used with the Perfexion and can be used with  
5 the Icon. And the other picture shows them setting up  
6 for a frameless therapy where they use a plastic mask,  
7 a thermoplastic mask, and the mask adapter to immobilize  
8 the patient and also monitoring for movement.

9 Right here you see a more close-up view of  
10 that. And this was borrowed from Elekta's  
11 presentation.

12 So the patient lays on the couch. They have  
13 a marker on their nose and two fixed markers on the  
14 patient couch, and an infrared camera that monitors the  
15 relative position of those three markers and can monitor  
16 that within about, you know, a point, I believe they said  
17 0.3 millimeters of movement causes a pause in the  
18 deliverance of the dose. And so the patient is  
19 repositioned to the proper location, and then the dose,  
20 the treatment can continue.

21 And this kind of shows that. I know that's  
22 hard to see, but what that shows is kind of what the user  
23 gets from the system, from the monitoring system.

24 So the picture on the right, you can see the  
25 red line on the little screen. When the relative motion

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 exceeds that threshold, that's when therapy is paused,  
2 until they basically reset and movement stops. And they  
3 can resume the therapy. And it monitors continuously.  
4 And it's kind of interesting.

5 One thing I didn't talk about, and I meant  
6 to earlier, what goes along with this is the cone beam  
7 CT scanner so that they can image the patient just prior  
8 to therapy and do a proper transformation of the  
9 treatment volume, from a patient-specific coordinate  
10 system to the Leksell coordinate system, so that the  
11 patient is properly positioned relative to the focal  
12 point of the unit.

13 And so there's not necessarily -- you don't  
14 have to do the imaging, the MR imaging with the fiducial  
15 box and things of that nature prior to treatment. It  
16 simplifies the process, also allows for a fractionated  
17 delivery of the dose which is a pretty big step in gamma  
18 radiosurgery.

19 Because now if you have areas that may be  
20 close to areas that you don't want to give an excessive  
21 radiation dose to, you can break that therapy up into  
22 multiple fractions and thereby reduce the dose to  
23 surrounding tissue.

24 MS. HOLIDAY: Okay. So moving on to an  
25 overview of the licensing guidance. I would like to start

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 by saying members on the Committee were provided with  
2 the licensing guidance when the working group completed  
3 its work in January of this year.

4 So what the working group decided to do was  
5 to create a single licensing guidance document that  
6 merged both the licensing commitments for the Perfexion  
7 unit and the Icon unit. And as such, the working group  
8 attempted to marry the guidance such that all the  
9 requirements for the Perfexion unit are applicable to  
10 the Icon unit.

11 This does not mean that licensees who have  
12 a Perfexion unit but are not upgrading to the Icon unit,  
13 meaning that they are just retaining their Perfexion  
14 unit as is, they do not have to do anything to amend their  
15 license.

16 But if they are licensees who do want to  
17 upgrade their unit to the Icon unit, meaning they get  
18 the cone beam CT, and the IFMM or the HDMM system, and  
19 the thermoplastic frameless mask, then there would be  
20 additional requirements for the Icon unit. But as Eric  
21 stated, the Icon unit can use both the stereotactic frame  
22 and the frameless mask option.

23 Our guidance also incorporates, as you  
24 heard from Katie's presentation yesterday, general  
25 formatting and language that is included in all 35.1000

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1       licensing guidance documents that were issued after  
2       2013.

3               So what is the current status of our 35.1000  
4       guidance? As I stated, we provided the guidance to the  
5       ACMUI. And I would like to note that typically NRC gives  
6       the ACMUI 60-days to review and comment on our license  
7       guidance document.

8               However, I had a conversation with both the  
9       ACMUI chair and vice-chair in December to discuss the  
10      guidance. And since, basically, there are no  
11      significant technical departures, meaning the Icon  
12      essentially has the Perfexion core, meaning none of the  
13      radiation sources are changing, we just have these  
14      additional components, the cone beam CT, the IFMM system  
15      and the thermoplastic mask, they agreed that it was okay  
16      to forego the standard 60-day review period.

17              So thank you to the ACMUI for accommodating  
18      this. So we were able to get the guidance out to the  
19      Agreement States and the regions ahead of time so that  
20      we would be able to, again, meet the needs of the patient  
21      community.

22              We did receive some comments from an ACMUI  
23      member, so we do appreciate those comments. And the  
24      working group is also resolving your comments, Dr.  
25      Langhorst.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1           So in February, just last month, on February  
2           22nd, we provided the guidance to the NRC regions for  
3           a 30-day review comment period. We also sent the  
4           guidance to the Agreement States on February 25th, just  
5           a few days later, for their review and comments.

6           Currently, we have received maybe three or  
7           four sets of comments. And we've already begun to  
8           review and respond to those comments.

9           Once the working group resolves all of the  
10          comments, in approximately three to four weeks, the  
11          guidance will have to move through the general  
12          concurrence scheme, meaning through management and  
13          legal counsel review.

14          With that, we expect the guidance to be  
15          issued in early summer of 2016. I would also like to  
16          note, as I said earlier, we pursued a very aggressive  
17          schedule with developing this license guidance  
18          document.

19          Typically, working groups that are  
20          assembled to address 35.1000 guidance documents take  
21          between six to nine months alone to develop the guidance.  
22          Then when you factor in the ACMUI's review, review from  
23          the States and NRC staff, it can tack on an extra three  
24          to four months. So I will pat ourselves on the back.  
25          We were able to get this out in just a fraction of that

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

1 time.

2 So at this time we would like to open it for  
3 any questions.

4 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Excellent report,  
5 administrative efficiency, congratulations on that.  
6 Are there comments from, yes?

7 VICE CHAIRMAN ZANZONICO: I just have a  
8 general question first. How common is an NRC/OAS  
9 working group in drafting guidance? My perception is  
10 that typically it's an NRC only working group. Is that  
11 not the case?

12 MS. HOLIDAY: No. Actually, in the past  
13 maybe four years, every emerging technology has been  
14 evaluated by joint NRC/OAS working groups.

15 VICE CHAIRMAN ZANZONICO: And then a  
16 technical question. So there's an onboard cone beam CT.  
17 Is that what is used for the simulation? I mean, I'm  
18 ignorant about the technology. So I don't know if they  
19 do the equivalent of a simulation for this or not.

20 MR. PERRY: What they do with the cone beam  
21 CT scanner is that allows them to ensure the patient is  
22 positioned properly and can be put in a position relative  
23 to the focal point. And the focal point lies at the  
24 coordinates of 100, 100, and 100 in the Leksell  
25 coordinate system, which is relative to the machine.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 That allows them to position the patient so that that  
2 focal point is properly positioned relative to the  
3 treatment volume.

4 I believe in the past, and I'm sure Dr. Suh  
5 is much more familiar with this than I'll ever be, but  
6 they would attach the frame to the patient's head, make  
7 use of a fiducial box and an MR scanner to properly do  
8 that transformation. This allows them to do that  
9 transformation essentially at the machine just prior to  
10 therapy.

11 VICE CHAIRMAN ZANZONICO: So how would the  
12 -- but this isn't used to define the target volume,  
13 right?

14 MR. PERRY: No.

15 VICE CHAIRMAN ZANZONICO: No, that's done  
16 by more conventional simulation?

17 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Dr. Suh?

18 MEMBER SUH: So in terms of the essential  
19 difference between the Icon and Perfexion is you now have  
20 onboard imaging. So from a clinician standpoint,  
21 you're going to have greater confidence. And what you  
22 see on the computer screen is what you're actually going  
23 to treat.

24 So it actually takes into account what the  
25 traditional generation oncology -- what they learned

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 excel our base system. For many years now, we've  
2 actually had cone beam guidance where, before we treat,  
3 we image the patient, then we go ahead and treat the  
4 patient.

5 With gamma knife, we've always gone under  
6 the assumption that, with the frame in place, that the  
7 image that you obtained, say MR/CT scan and then you do  
8 computerized planning, when you put the patient into the  
9 machine, you assume that that positioning was -- you have  
10 the same fidelity between what you did before versus  
11 after computerized planning. This actually will allow  
12 you to do it much more in real time, right before you  
13 do the treatment.

14 The other big advantage of the Icon system  
15 is that you will be able to better adapt the plan. So  
16 if you do some type of fractionated treatment and you  
17 see shrinkage of the tumor, and you wanted to treat the  
18 patient a couple of weeks later or a month later, you  
19 can actually sculpt a radiation dose better than you can  
20 with the Perfexion system.

21 So it does have some advantages that should  
22 allow for better outcomes overall. I imagine you'd have  
23 more data for that, but that's what it will allow us to  
24 do.

25 VICE CHAIRMAN ZANZONICO: And all that can

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 be done based on the cone beam CT?

2 MEMBER SUH: So in terms of, again, we don't  
3 have an Icon yet, but in terms of work flow we've still  
4 got the MR scanner as kind of our image of choice. And  
5 then we can use the cone beam to actually help adapt the  
6 plan.

7 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Dr. Ennis?

8 MEMBER ENNIS: I think, just to answer your  
9 question, you're still going to do a pre-CT scan, MR  
10 fusion for the plan. And then the cone beam allows you  
11 to verify that with your plans you've got the exact same  
12 location, head positioning and everything.

13 VICE CHAIRMAN ZANZONICO: Right. That was  
14 my question. It seemed otherwise. Because I didn't,  
15 again, so all I knew is that the quality of cone beam  
16 CT really was adequate for, you know, state of the art  
17 treatment planning. But it's not replacing --

18 MEMBER SUH: So the work flow can be a  
19 little different. The big change in the work flow is  
20 going to be you can get the image values right before  
21 treatment. But in terms of the, you know, if you want  
22 to use a frame placement, you know, that MR/CT, at this  
23 point it would be the same.

24 VICE CHAIRMAN ZANZONICO: So the cone beam  
25 is really more for verification in --

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MEMBER SUH: Up front. And you can also  
2 perhaps use it for planning. So again, that's where  
3 studies need to be.

4 VICE CHAIRMAN ZANZONICO: For fraction.

5 MEMBER SUH: For more fractions. Yes.

6 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Mr. Ouhib?

7 MR. OUHIB: Yes. This is nothing  
8 different than what we have seen using a linear  
9 accelerator, basically. We went through that  
10 transition basically this same way. So, you know, we  
11 went from frame to frameless basically and using cone  
12 beam CT for SRS and the SBRT patient, basically.

13 So really the whole purpose, as it was  
14 stated previously, is just verification that you are on  
15 target basically instead of relying on fiducial markers.  
16 And things can happen with fiducial markers as we know.  
17 But I think having the image now, the level of confidence  
18 is much, much higher.

19 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Other questions,  
20 comments? Yes, Ms. Weil?

21 MEMBER WEIL: If this is indeed an  
22 improvement in technology, would it have prevented the  
23 medical events that Dr. Howe reported yesterday where  
24 the gamma knife had been serviced and the bed was  
25 misaligned? Would this preclude that, those errors?

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. PERRY: That's very hard to answer  
2 because of, I mean, the circumstances around that  
3 medical event, I'm not intimately familiar with it, as  
4 I'm sure Dr. Howe is.

5 MEMBER WEIL: There were eight of them,  
6 yes.

7 MR. PERRY: Well, it was eight patients,  
8 one event.

9 MEMBER WEIL: One event.

10 MR. PERRY: So that's kind of hard to  
11 answer. And remember that these changes to the design  
12 are more about the patient's position on the couch.  
13 You're still relying on the couch to properly position  
14 the patient relative to the focal point.

15 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: I'll make a comment  
16 too, Laura. And this is strictly from the patient's  
17 point of view. And if I'm incorrect about this, please  
18 correct me. But it's my understanding that one of the  
19 disadvantages of the previous version of the gamma knife  
20 is the need to wear this frame.

21 Patients do not like the frame. It hurts.  
22 And they will literally go to other technologies, drive  
23 a long way to not do it. So the Icon allows frameless.  
24 And that is a huge advantage for the patients.

25 MEMBER SUH: If I could just make a comment.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 So although for some patients the frame-based system can  
2 be uncomfortable and perhaps not be right for some  
3 patients, for some situations a frame-based system is  
4 going to give you more accuracy than a frameless system.

5 So if I were doing a functional case for  
6 someone with a movement disorder, or Parkinson's  
7 Disease, or someone who worked between the trigeminal  
8 nerve, I would want to make sure that there is very little  
9 chance of me moving him between what you do for  
10 pre-treatment versus the actual treatment itself.

11 So that's where I think the advantages of  
12 having these very small focal beams of radiation being  
13 pointed to one area. So I think the frame-based system,  
14 although Icon will allow for a frameless type  
15 situations, I think there is always going to be a place  
16 where you do want to use a frame, just to emphasize that  
17 point.

18 MS. HOLIDAY: Absolutely. So to follow  
19 that up, as we were informed, the Icon, you are able to  
20 use both frameless and frame. So depending on the  
21 patient conditions, the physician will make the  
22 determination whether or not to pursue the framed with  
23 the bolts in your head, which of course I don't think  
24 is very comfortable for many people, or the  
25 thermoplastic frameless mask.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1                   So you are able to use either/or. And  
2                   depending on which mode you choose, whether you go with  
3                   the frame or the frameless, that will reflect in the work  
4                   treatment planning.

5                   CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Yes, good. Other  
6                   questions or comments? Hearing none --

7                   MS. HOLIDAY: So may I ask a question of the  
8                   Committee? While we provided the Committee with the  
9                   draft guidance and the subcommittee was not formed, can  
10                  the Committee give us any feedback, although not going  
11                  into the particulars of the guidance since it is  
12                  pre-decisional and non-public?

13                  Would the Committee endorse the guidance  
14                  knowing that we will be addressing comments that I've  
15                  received, that we've received from Dr. Langhorst?  
16                  Would the committee consider doing that?

17                  CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: So that question is  
18                  before the Committee. Dr. Langhorst?

19                  MEMBER LANGHORST: I would feel  
20                  uncomfortable in endorsing it just because we didn't do  
21                  a formal subcommittee review in presentation. Not that  
22                  I am not personally endorsing it, I have questions on  
23                  it.

24                  I'd still like to see what the final version  
25                  comes to. Because I was a little nervous in what it was,

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 what the expectations were of Perfexion unit licensees  
2 who weren't necessarily changing to the Icon system.

3 MS. HOLIDAY: Okay.

4 MEMBER LANGHORST: So I would feel  
5 uncomfortable.

6 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: All right. So that's  
7 one opinion. Mr. Ouhib?

8 MR. OUHIB: Yes. I think a review of the  
9 final draft will be very useful, by a subcommittee  
10 perhaps, and make any comments or what not.

11 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: I'm going to suggest  
12 that we not form a subcommittee, but rather that those  
13 interested parties with that expertise who are members  
14 of the ACMUI be provided with copies so that they can  
15 review that. And then we can determine in the future  
16 whether, at that point, they might be willing to endorse.  
17 Yes?

18 MEMBER LANGHORST: Yes. I would suggest  
19 that we don't hold up this, because again, they're  
20 licensing guidance, we can make changes and --

21 MS. HOLIDAY: Absolutely.

22 MEMBER LANGHORST: -- suggest at any point  
23 in time, as nebulous as that seems. So I wouldn't want  
24 to hold up in having a full, formal review of that. So  
25 that would be my suggestion.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: All right. So I think  
2 that's consistent with what I just suggested. So the  
3 people who would, I'm sure, like to have this would be  
4 Dr. Langhorst and Mr. Ouhib. Does anyone else like to  
5 get a copy of the full guidance?

6 MEMBER O'HARA: I would.

7 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Dr. O'Hara would like  
8 to get that.

9 MS. HOLIDAY: Absolutely. We will just  
10 send it to the full Committee. And any members that wish  
11 to provide comments can do so.

12 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Very good. Okay.  
13 One final comment?

14 MEMBER ENNIS: Just a question, so I  
15 understand the process. Without this final document  
16 provided to NRC, are licensees able to actually use it?  
17 Or they can't even start using it until the NRC has  
18 provided the Agreement States with that information?

19 MR. PERRY: From the Agreement State  
20 standpoint, and I'll speak for my Agreement State, we  
21 would be very hesitant to amend a license or to issue  
22 a license for this unit without such guidance from the  
23 Commission. I know that that's not true of every  
24 Agreement State. And I believe that the NRC regions are  
25 not going to issue amendments prior to the guidance.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 And that comes from their management.

2 MS. HOLIDAY: So I know we all don't  
3 understand compatibility, but 35.1000 is a  
4 Compatibility D which basically means the Agreement  
5 States do not have to adhere to our guidance document.  
6 So we are aware of a couple of Agreement States that have  
7 already begun the amendment process to add the Icon to  
8 their licenses.

9 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: So given that  
10 limitation on what has, up to this point, been a very  
11 efficient process, I would like to ask Dr. Langhorst and  
12 Mr. Ouhib that when you are provided with the guidance,  
13 I would like you to promptly respond indicating your  
14 support or your lack of that.

15 And because if support there, then in fact  
16 the guidance can be issued and the patients can receive  
17 the benefits of this technology.

18 MS. HOLIDAY: Absolutely.

19 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Yes?

20 MR. OUHIB: I have a follow-up question  
21 based on Dr. Ennis. What about the institution that  
22 actually is going to be using the frame? So there's  
23 really nothing that has changed, per se. They're not  
24 going to frameless. They're going to be using the  
25 frame.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MS. HOLIDAY: Are you referring to if  
2 they're not adding on the additional components of that,  
3 kind of --

4 MR. OUHIB: And the additional components  
5 --

6 MS. HOLIDAY: Just remaining as the  
7 Perfexion?

8 MR. OUHIB: -- Icon and simply using the  
9 frame just like they will be using it in Perfexion, so  
10 there's really, other than the additional imaging  
11 component that's there, that's all.

12 MS. HOLIDAY: So this is actually a  
13 question that the working group had addressed early on,  
14 because it was a question that was brought up by the  
15 representatives in the back of the room.

16 And the working group did not feel  
17 comfortable with the notion of adding an Icon to  
18 someone's license and saying, you know, we know you're  
19 not going to use the frameless option, but you can  
20 install it anyway.

21 It kind of almost defeats the purpose of why  
22 the licensee would add the Icon. Because the whole, I  
23 guess, the beauty of getting an Icon is to be able to  
24 use either/or, frame or frameless. So it's kind of  
25 tricky.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1                   There was a question, maybe if we held off  
2                   on giving the licensee the thermoplastic mask, would  
3                   that then be okay? But also from a license reviewer  
4                   standpoint, it was too much of a burden to have to amend  
5                   the license to add the Icon, to not use the thermoplastic  
6                   mask, and then amend it again to be able to use it in  
7                   its full functionality.

8                   CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Okay. So we are going  
9                   to get the guidance distributed to the pertinent members  
10                  of the Committee who will respond promptly. And are  
11                  there any other comments before we close this topic?  
12                  One final comment? I'm trying.

13                  MEMBER ENNIS: I apologize for dominating  
14                  the conversation. But is the manufacturer aware as soon  
15                  as there are -- so I'm not quite understanding, but if  
16                  the manufacturer's aware that users will not be able to  
17                  use this without guidance being issued by the NRC, I  
18                  guess it's a rhetorical question, but how is it that they  
19                  weren't running to your office very early on to get that  
20                  done so that things weren't delayed in the whole process?

21                  MS. HOLIDAY: I can't speak for the  
22                  manufacturer. Perhaps the manufacturer would like to  
23                  speak for themselves. But I can't, you know, tell  
24                  anyone to do that. So I'm sorry. I can't speak for  
25                  them.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: So hearing no other  
2 comments, and unless the manufacturer wishes to speak,  
3 they don't appear to be coming forward, so we'll move  
4 on to the next topic. Thank you very much.

5 MS. HOLIDAY: Thank you.

6 MR. PERRY: Thank you.

7 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: All right. Ms.  
8 Daibes, oh, Mr. Daibes. Dr. Daibes is going to talk to  
9 us about the Germanium/Gallium generator.

10 DR. DAIBES: Thank you. First of all,  
11 thank you for your time today. My name is Said Daibes.  
12 And I will be providing you an update on what's happening  
13 with respect to the Germanium/Gallium-68 medical  
14 generator.

15 And just to provide a fast overview, I'm  
16 going to be providing some very brief background. I  
17 believe that a lot of that information was provided  
18 during the ACMUI briefing of the Commission. And I will  
19 provide you a current status and the regulatory options  
20 that are pursuant.

21 So I think that one of the key aspects behind  
22 the generator that we're currently evaluating and  
23 working on is that it has been used in Europe now for  
24 a while. So there's quite a bit of data that supports  
25 its use.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1                   And right now one of the biggest impacts is  
2                   that there's a whole lot of data that outlines its use  
3                   for new and recurring patients. So there's data and  
4                   peer-reviewed data that supports that.

5                   Right now, the FDA, as we heard yesterday,  
6                   is currently reviewing an application. And it was  
7                   provided to the Commission yesterday. So one of the  
8                   biggest components of this generator is that it's needed  
9                   in order to create the actual Gallium-68 regulated  
10                  pharmaceutical that will be used on patients. So a  
11                  facility that is planning on using this regulated  
12                  pharmaceutical will need some form of generator close  
13                  by or access to it.

14                 So what's the current status? What we have  
15                 seen today is that, as you're very aware of, that a DFP  
16                 will be needed. What is a DFP? A decommissioning  
17                 funding plan.

18                 And why is a DFP needed? Well, basically  
19                 the parent isotope, Germanium, is a very long-lived  
20                 isotope, 270-days half-life. Being a long-lived  
21                 isotope and being an unsealed radioactive material,  
22                 triggers a DFP requirement due to the fact that, in Part  
23                 30 regs, Appendix B, there's no defined value for  
24                 Germanium-68. So automatically a 10 millicurie limit  
25                 is triggered or defaulted to, in that case triggering

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1       that DFP requirement.

2               Some people have raised concerns with  
3       respect to this DFP, and I believe everybody is aware  
4       in the committee, is aware of this. So I'm not going  
5       to go into details.

6               So what are we doing right now is that, well,  
7       we saw the issue, and we're pursuing multiple regulatory  
8       options that are currently available in our regulatory  
9       framework.

10              The first option that we have been  
11       undergoing and tasked with is a license-specific  
12       exception. And what is that? What is behind this?  
13       Well, it will be an exempting, it will be a specific  
14       option to accept the DFP requirement for a person that  
15       would like to apply for this.

16              So staff believes that the most efficient  
17       and effective way to provide this regulatory relief will  
18       be pursuant to this potential exemption.

19              And how will that be pursued? We're  
20       granting or we're working right now in the potential of  
21       granting an authority to the regions if a legally binding  
22       contract exists that will allow a licensee or a client,  
23       a person that requests this generator, to send it back  
24       to the distributor or the vendor that provided that  
25       generator to that person or licensee.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 A document has been drafted and is currently  
2 being reviewed by management. So from the last ACMUI  
3 meeting, we provided you information that has changed.  
4 We informed you that we were pursuing that, but we have  
5 completed that. And it's currently under review.

6 The secondary option that supports that  
7 specific exemption under 35.19 is a potential direct  
8 final rule that we're currently pursuing a rulemaking  
9 plan on. And it's under evaluation by OGC right now.  
10 So what has changed since our last ACMUI meeting is that  
11 that rulemaking plan has been drafted, and right now  
12 we're under evaluation right now.

13 So the effort behind this direct final rule  
14 will be that it will potentially amend Appendix B of 10  
15 CFR 35.35 to include that limit that does not exist for  
16 Germanium-68, disallowing -- or the new license,  
17 allowing that a licensee that accesses this generator  
18 would not trigger DFP requirement.

19 So we believe today that the planned action  
20 will be sufficient to ensure public health and safety  
21 until a more permanent regulatory solution is achieved  
22 through rulemaking. And that's currently under the  
23 process.

24 So any questions that -- and I'm sorry,  
25 before we proceed, first of all I want to appreciate the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

1 service of ACMUI to this effort. All of your guidance  
2 and information has been extremely helpful in making  
3 sure we can proceed with this, especially Mr.  
4 Mattmuller. Thank you for your guidance and help with  
5 this.

6 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: So Mr. Mattmuller  
7 clearly has been our leader in this regard. So we'd like  
8 to hear from him today.

9 MEMBER MATTMULLER: Yes, very encouraged  
10 by this development and very thrilled to see this  
11 happening. A couple of comments/questions for you.  
12 Can you explain to us the mechanics of how this exemption  
13 would work through the different regions?

14 DR. DAIBES: So that's a good question. So  
15 an analysis has been implemented or initiated, seemed  
16 to me, of a DFP for this specific case. So through this  
17 review or analysis, everything was broken down into  
18 components, details, to see if there's buried behind our  
19 need for this DFP for this case.

20 And that review or potential document,  
21 legal document, has been drafted that breaks everything  
22 into that very in-detail analysis. And what that allows  
23 the regions, if approved, it allows the regions to  
24 potentially exempt or exempt when a person files an  
25 application or files for access for this generator for

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 the actual requirement of the DFP.

2 So it will allow flexibility to the region,  
3 at their discretion, if the licensee satisfies their  
4 requirement, to exempt that licensee from that  
5 requirement. And our branch chief would like to say  
6 something.

7 MR. BOLLOCK: Yes. To add to that, so  
8 basically when we get, you know, with the approved  
9 guidance to the regions, their license reviewers would  
10 then, following that, be able to allow a licensee to come  
11 to them with an exemption with the specifics that we  
12 talked about yesterday. The specifics being, you know,  
13 there are still limits to, even based on the ACMUI report  
14 recommendations, limits to how many generators,  
15 basically the amount of activity allowed and then also  
16 having that assurance that the generators, once they're  
17 expired or once they've been used, will go back to the  
18 manufacturer.

19 And our Chairman of the NRC, you know, hit  
20 it right on the head. The lynch pin is that legal  
21 requirement, and how is that going to be withheld and  
22 how can the license reviewers ensure that that's in a  
23 license amendment?

24 So, you know, the licensees would have to  
25 come requesting the exemption and prove that they have

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 those specific things in their exemption showing that  
2 they meet those requirements.

3 So, you know, that is important. A  
4 licensee can't just come to us and say, well, exempt us  
5 from a DFP. They have to meet the specifics on what  
6 we're allowing.

7 DR. DAIBES: If I may, so basically it will  
8 provide specific conditions for a license reviewer to  
9 be able to amend or basically to allow access for that  
10 licensee.

11 And it's real important to clarify that even  
12 though this may exempt the DFP, it will not exempt a  
13 licensee from financial assurance that is required. So  
14 the initiative is not to exempt financial assurance but  
15 the DFP component that is in the ranks.

16 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Dr. Langhorst and Mr.  
17 Mattmuller, together.

18 MEMBER LANGHORST: Essentially what you're  
19 saying is there would be a license condition for that  
20 licensee's --

21 DR. DAIBES: That's correct.

22 MEMBER LANGHORST: -- license that says  
23 you're exempt from this and whatever the stock language  
24 would be if they meet all those requirements. So they  
25 would have a specific condition in their license for this

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 exemption.

2 DR. DAIBES: Yes, ma'am.

3 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Mr. Mattmuller?

4 MEMBER MATTMULLER: A comment, another  
5 question. In thinking about the Commissioner's  
6 comments yesterday, with further thought on it, it's  
7 really from a licensee's perspective.

8 We're the one that's going to require that  
9 they take it back. Because the alternative would be  
10 I've got stores to take care of it, which is a much  
11 bigger, complicated, expensive task than just boxing it  
12 up and shipping it back to the manufacturer.

13 So from a practical perspective, it's a  
14 minimal issue. Legally it has to be addressed. But  
15 there are some big reasons why everyone's going to want  
16 to send it back to the manufacturer.

17 My question would be what about, do we have  
18 to worry about a compatibility category for the  
19 agreement states when it comes to exemptions like this?  
20 And then -- yes.

21 DR. DAIBES: I believe not. However,  
22 that's something that will be brought to our OGC  
23 representative. And that person or OGC will define that  
24 when that's complete.

25 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Mr. Costello?

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 DR. DAIBES: We don't believe that's the  
2 case.

3 MEMBER COSTELLO: There are present  
4 exceptions though. Compatibility really only applies  
5 to -- I'm sorry. Compatibility really applies to  
6 rulemaking. So if you talk about exemptions, there's  
7 no meeting compatibility there.

8 I'm sure there'll be some letter to go out  
9 to the Agreement States, all the Agreement States, a  
10 letter explaining this. I think that States will not  
11 hesitate, really, if the NRC is, you know, recommending  
12 that we give exemptions to this. I'm confident that  
13 States will follow the NRC's lead here.

14 In fact, I remember, it reminds me of your  
15 presentation, you talked about John Jefferson's comment  
16 on it. And that came up in the context of them coming  
17 to us and asking exemptions for the -- At that time, we  
18 would have loved to give it to them, but we couldn't  
19 see a way clear to do it, you know. We needed to find  
20 a way to be consistent with the other Agreement States  
21 and with the NRC.

22 But I would not worry so much about the  
23 States following this. I'd be very surprised if there  
24 were States that would be not following it. But  
25 eventually we're going to do the direct final rulemaking

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1       which I think could --

2                   DR. DAIBES:   And that's why I said that  
3       we'll need to pass this by OGC.   Because we have that  
4       direct final rule initiative that is still under review.  
5       So we're not fully aware --

6                   MEMBER COSTELLO:   This draft is really only  
7       a temporary fix, I think, just so we get this thing going.  
8       The direct final rule is really the ideal way of doing  
9       it.

10                  CHAIRMAN ALDERSON:   Dr. Ennis?

11                  MEMBER ENNIS:   So what's the timeframe to  
12       have it, this exemption -- What's the timeframe for  
13       this exemption to be completely in place and available  
14       for users?

15                  DR. DAIBES:   I will say months.   I will not  
16       say a specific timeframe, but I will say in a few months  
17       we believe that it shall be out.   Again, it's still under  
18       review by OGC.   So we're hopeful, a few months.

19                  MEMBER ENNIS:   And second question, for the  
20       final rule, are there other isotopes that we should be  
21       thinking about adding to the final rule so we don't have  
22       this problem again with something else coming down the  
23       pike?

24                  Or   should   we   include   Dr.   Langhorst's  
25       formula that she discovered within the final rule so when

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1 the new isotope were to come we could just apply that  
2 rule and move forward?

3 DR. DAIBES: Our branch chief will -- Right  
4 now for the direct final rule it's just the Germanium.  
5 And that actually, that question, I predict will be a  
6 question from our management and our Commission, should  
7 we bring this up for direct final rule.

8 Potentially, that could be something that  
9 stops this direct final rule and puts us into, the  
10 looking into what else should we do to update the table  
11 which likely would put us into normal rulemaking, extend  
12 the process to get it done correctly with other isotopes  
13 that are safe, they are practical, you know, good uses  
14 for the public, and again, so in a safe manner.

15 And that's why we understand that there  
16 could be those, so many obstacles to get to rulemaking  
17 change that we, at parallel, went with the exemption,  
18 basically the guidance for an exemption and allowing an  
19 exemption.

20 At the same time, for that quick fix for this  
21 specifically, should we look into that or that be the  
22 next steps. Because, you know, anything with  
23 rulemaking does, as you all know, it takes a lot of  
24 resources, takes a lot of time.

25 The Commission is very, and the NRC staff,

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 they're looking at everything very closely for any new  
2 proposed rules. So because of that, there will be extra  
3 scrutiny, even for direct final rule, to get the most  
4 -- basically get the most out of the rules.

5 And so, I mean, you asked a great question.  
6 And, like I said, I foresee our Commissioners asking the  
7 same thing if not, you know -- and we look at it as, you  
8 know, we consider it as well.

9 So that may slow up the direct final rule,  
10 but open it up a little bit more and be helpful, more  
11 helpful in the long run. And, you know, we're not  
12 opposed to that either. But again, that's why we had  
13 the parallel paths of developing some sort of guidance  
14 to our regional offices that we'll share with the States  
15 for specifics that we would allow an exemption.

16 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Dr. Langhorst?

17 MEMBER LANGHORST: For our new members, I'd  
18 like to just let you know the reason that we're at this  
19 point in the Part 30, Table B, or Appendix B, is that  
20 Germanium was not licensed by the NRC at the time that  
21 table was developed. It was not under regulatory  
22 authority of the NRC, because it was cyclotron-produced.

23 The inclusion of cyclotron-produced  
24 radioactive materials like Germanium came to be in 2009.  
25 And this was an unfortunate miss of that full inclusion

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 of those types of isotopes into byproduct definition.  
2 So that's why we are where we are.

3 The NRC does not issue exemptions lightly.  
4 But they are exactly for these types of things where an  
5 unfortunate set of circumstances has made a disconnect  
6 in the rules in what is needed for public health and  
7 safety.

8 Commissioner Svinicki yesterday mentioned  
9 Mr. Mattmuller's images of how much improved the  
10 Germanium-68, or excuse me, the Gallium-68 images were  
11 and the fact that having this generator out there for  
12 medical use empowers many hospitals to provide this kind  
13 of imaging agent when they don't have cyclotrons.

14 It's a generator that allows much more  
15 expansion of this type of technology and to the benefit  
16 of many patients out there. So this is an enhancement  
17 of public health without any diminishment of safety.

18 And so I commend the NRC's, Said's work, and  
19 everybody else that it takes to do this, to get this  
20 little glitch, this disconnect of the regulations and  
21 what is truly needed out there so that we can get this  
22 done quickly and that we can eventually get to those  
23 other issues of, you know, bringing the rest of the  
24 regulations up to speed.

25 But I just thank you so much. And it's just

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 such an impact on so many patients. And I just encourage  
2 NRC staff, please keep working on it and getting it done.  
3 Thank you.

4 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Thank you. Any other  
5 comments on this particular topic? Well, thank you very  
6 much, Doctor. Very good report, thank you.

7 And I think we're running a little ahead of  
8 schedule. 9:45 is when the next presentation begins.  
9 Are we able to begin that presentation now or --

10 MR. BOLLOCK: No.

11 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: No.

12 MR. BOLLOCK: No, we're not. We're  
13 waiting for Scott Moore to --

14 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Right. So we should  
15 take a 15 minute recess?

16 MR. BOLLOCK: Yes. Or five, ten minute.

17 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: So we'll begin at, 9:45  
18 is what the schedule here says.

19 MR. BOLLOCK: That's fine.

20 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: That's good. All  
21 right, 9:45. And we'll be reconvened for the  
22 presentation.

23 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went  
24 off the record at 9:27 p.m. and resumed at 9:47 p.m.)

25 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Thanks, everyone,

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 welcome back. We're now getting ready to have Mr. Moore  
2 from the NRC make a special presentation to Mr.  
3 Mattmuller.

4 MR. MOORE: Thank you, Dr. Alderson. It's  
5 good to see so many of you, those of you that I know.  
6 Those of you that don't know me, I'm Scott Moore. I'm  
7 the acting director for the Office of Nuclear Material  
8 Safety and Safeguards.

9 And I am down here to recognize Steven  
10 Mattmuller for his service on the advisory committee.  
11 I will be back later in the day, and I'll try to get a  
12 chance to talk to many of you before you leave.

13 So Steven Mattmuller has served on the  
14 advisory committee since March of 2008, that's eight  
15 full years. He was renewed for a second term in 2012,  
16 so two terms on the Committee.

17 He's briefed the Commission three times  
18 during public Commission meetings, including in June  
19 2009, October 2010, and then we all saw him yesterday  
20 talk about Germanium/Gallium.

21 Mr. Mattmuller has demonstrated expertise  
22 in the field of nuclear pharmacy and represents that  
23 specialty well on the advisory committee. He's talked  
24 about a number of things. We mentioned the  
25 Germanium/Gallium generator issue yesterday, also the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 advanced notice of proposed rulemaking on the Part 20  
2 regulations on radiation protection standards, and the  
3 licensing of radium-223 dichloride, as well as  
4 challenges with the domestic supply of molybdenum and  
5 revisions to the AO criteria, and the major revisions  
6 to Part 35.

7 So we appreciate Mr. Mattmuller's service  
8 to the Committee. He's advised the staff very well.  
9 And we appreciate your participation on the Committee.  
10 We have some things to give you, Mr. Mattmuller. So if  
11 you could join us. Sophie?

12 MR. MOORE: So first we have a flag that has  
13 been flown over the Capital and a certificate from  
14 Congressman Van Hollen attesting to the fact that the  
15 flag has been flown over the Capital.

16 (Laughter.)

17 MR. MOORE: And then next we have a  
18 certificate from Chairman Burns in recognition of Steven  
19 Mattmuller's eight years of service and leadership on  
20 the ACMUI which has resulted in significant  
21 contributions to the work of the NRC, signed by Steven  
22 Burns on 11 March.

23 And finally, we have a gold lapel pin with  
24 an eagle on it. Sophie, do you want to get in on this?

25 (Laughter.)

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. MATTMULLER: Thank you very much.

2 (Applause.)

3 MR. MOORE: So congratulations. It's  
4 great to see everybody. I'll be back in a couple of  
5 hours. And I hope the rest of the morning's discussions  
6 go very well. Thank you.

7 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Well, Steve, I think  
8 we're ready for you.

9 MEMBER MATTMULLER: It's worn out.

10 MEMBER LANGHORST: He's broken it already.

11 (Laughter.)

12 MEMBER MATTMULLER: So as I fade away,  
13 there we go, all right. Just a reminder, this is  
14 Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York City.  
15 This is not my place. In fact, it's my understanding  
16 the top floor on the right, that whole level is Pat's  
17 office. And also this is a terrific photograph,  
18 probably taken by a helicopter.

19 So this is my place, Kettering, Ohio, in the  
20 southwest corner of the state. We couldn't afford a  
21 helicopter, so this is actually an artist's rendition.

22 (Laughter.)

23 MEMBER MATTMULLER: And my office is on the  
24 back side buried next to the cyclotron. But we do share  
25 this gentleman in common, Charles Kettering, who had

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 several profound quotes, and a prolific inventor, second  
2 only to Thomas Edison in patents. And his biggest was  
3 the electric starter in the automobile.

4 But I went back through the old agendas for  
5 the past eight years trying to pull out a few of my  
6 favorite agenda items to comment on. And this one is  
7 one of my personal favorites because, as you can imagine  
8 I get excited about techniques and generators too, in  
9 addition to all generators.

10 But just to remind us how we get our moly  
11 is a very complex process and how we're trying to convert  
12 the HEU targets to LEU which really is a factor of five  
13 less in production and a factor of five greater for  
14 waste. But there are some real challenges in solving  
15 that issue. So in the end, LEU moly is always going to  
16 be more expensive than what we currently produce now.

17 So progress has been made, but our reactors  
18 on the left are still aging, fading away, so to speak  
19 too. So we're still in a very tenuous situation. So  
20 this is a topic that is worth keeping an eye on in the  
21 future.

22 Here we go. Well, I did serve on a number  
23 of committees. And one issue was metrication, and we  
24 came to an issue of trying to figure out an old  
25 traditional unit of activity versus the traditional unit

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1 of volume.

2 And we couldn't find that traditional  
3 volume, so we substituted one called a firkin which, for  
4 those of who know my enthusiasm for informal meetings  
5 across the street, but this seemed to be an appropriate  
6 choice.

7 This is a picture that's in the lobby of our  
8 hospital. And it's a big one. It's about four feet by  
9 six feet. And for a number of years of working there,  
10 I always thought this was either Wilbur or Orville  
11 Wright.

12 But it's actually Charles Kettering. He  
13 was active at the time of the Wright Brothers, had his  
14 own aircraft company. And it turns out this picture was  
15 taken of him on his way to a committee meeting in  
16 Columbus, Ohio. He was going to a committee meeting for  
17 the Ohio State University.

18 So while we may think we're pretty cool  
19 coming here to Rockville for an ACMUI Committee meeting,  
20 we'll never be Charles Kettering cool. So another  
21 profound statement from him, believe and act as if was  
22 impossible to fail, which I took to heart as we worked  
23 on this project of trying to get regulatory relief for  
24 a Germanium DFP issue and to get Gallium out into the  
25 clinical world where it can benefit these patients.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1                   So I had a lot of help with this report and  
2                   couldn't have accomplished it without the subcommittee,  
3                   especially with Sue Langhorst and the hours she spent  
4                   in the law library. But even Laura was very helpful,  
5                   and Bruce Thomadsen, and their comments to the  
6                   Commissioners, and past presentations, and of course the  
7                   staff. The staff was great in working towards getting  
8                   resolution of this.

9                   And we're not quite there yet, but I'm very  
10                  confident we will get there. So it truly was a group  
11                  effort.

12                 So I've been very fortunate in my  
13                 professional life that I've always been in an  
14                 environment of great leadership and support. And it's  
15                 what I found here too. And it's very much appreciated.  
16                 Excuse me.

17                 And I've also had a lot of support from home,  
18                 from my wife, Michelle, as her support has never wavered.  
19                 And even when I had to give a lot of attention and a lot  
20                 of time to women named Ashley and Sophie.

21                 (Laughter.)

22                 MEMBER MATTMULLER: Who, she reminded me  
23                 Wednesday before I left, she goes, you know, I've never  
24                 met these women. But she does know they do exist. So  
25                 thank you for the opportunity to serve. And I hope I've

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 met your expectations. Thank you.

2 (Applause.)

3 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Well, we are quite a  
4 bit ahead of schedule at this point. It's 10:00 a.m.  
5 And the next item is open forum. So would you like to  
6 just move ahead with open forum?

7 MS. HOLIDAY: Yes.

8 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Yes, let's do that.  
9 So, Sophie, I guess that means you. And so if you will  
10 -- so we're now going to go to the open forum which is  
11 headlined as we will discuss medical topics of interest.  
12 And so Sophie, if you'd like to lead us to some that you  
13 think we should discuss, we can do that. If not, we'll  
14 go on our own. Yes. Or Dr. Langhorst will lead us.  
15 Yes.

16 MEMBER LANGHORST: So having been here a  
17 few years sitting next to this gentleman here, I'm going  
18 to miss that whispering in my ear while I'm trying to  
19 pay attention to others.

20 (Laughter.)

21 MEMBER LANGHORST: I do want to encourage  
22 the new members. When I first was here I was, like, what  
23 in the world is going on? What does this mean, how do  
24 they do this? I encourage you to ask your question, and  
25 don't be afraid that if you've asked it before and you

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 still don't quite understand it ask it again.

2 I am very encouraged by our training we're  
3 going to have later today on regulations and how things  
4 work. Because as a radiation safety officer, I kind of  
5 know a lot of that, but I didn't know a lot from the  
6 perspective of NRC.

7 So I encourage you to understand that if  
8 only to support your own RSO back at your place. But  
9 don't be afraid to ask questions. Because you'll know  
10 half of us are going, yes, I didn't know what that meant  
11 either. So I just encourage the new folks to do that.  
12 Thank you.

13 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Good. Great advice.

14 All right. Are there any other items that  
15 people would like to discuss? Dr. Ennis.

16 MEMBER ENNIS: Just a question first.  
17 Donna-Beth gave a very good presentation of events  
18 yesterday. But I gave a presentation on the same topic  
19 in October. Why is it that we do that? It seems like  
20 one report from NMED, from either NRC staff or from ACMUI  
21 would be adequate. Why are we duplicating that?

22 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: You believe that it was  
23 duplicative, you gave the same medical events that were  
24 given by Dr. Howe?

25 (Simultaneous speaking.)

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1                   MEMBER ENNIS: I think it felt -- pretty  
2 similar events.

3                   CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: So we'll let Dr. Howe  
4 respond to that.

5                   DR. HOWE: The intent of my presentation is  
6 to introduce all the medical events, and organize them  
7 for you. And then if you see a trend or something you  
8 would really like to follow up on. Then that's supposed  
9 to be your main focus on the next one. If you don't have  
10 anything you want to follow up with, it's not necessary  
11 to have another meeting.

12                   Because it's not supposed to be I do it, then  
13 you do it again. It's what does the ACMUI, from its  
14 perspective looking at these medical events, glean from  
15 the medical events? And bring us a new perspective. So  
16 the other thing is we used to have two presentations when  
17 I did mine.

18                   I did one for medical events. And our  
19 medical physicist did one for other events that dealt  
20 with medical facilities. Loss of sources, spills,  
21 those kinds of things. We haven't had that report for  
22 a long time. So you may want to consider adding that  
23 in. I think Sue would be --

24                   CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Dr. Langhorst.

25                   MEMBER LANGHORST: So yes, when Ralph Lieto

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 used to do those.

2 DR. HOWE: Absolutely.

3 MEMBER LANGHORST: And I know that Dr.  
4 Thomadsen asked me, Sue, we used to have those. And so  
5 I did put something together for your report last time,  
6 which I apologize. Again, I wasn't here to help support  
7 you in those.

8 And so yes, I didn't remember that it was  
9 in consort with yours. So, and let me tell you that was  
10 a lot of work. And I don't know that I can do it every  
11 time, so.

12 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Mr. Fuller would you  
13 like to comment?

14 MR. FULLER: Yes, just briefly. Dr.  
15 Ennis, I spoke a little bit about this yesterday. The  
16 presentation that you received from Dr. Katie Tapp, on  
17 Yttrium-90 microspheres, and the work that one group has  
18 done to make changes to some of the 35.1000 licensing  
19 efforts that are underway. That was a direct result of  
20 the Committee looking at what Donna-Beth had presented.

21 And deciding that they wanted to drill into  
22 that. So they drilled into it. They got to sort of,  
23 identified some things that perhaps were based upon the  
24 medical and clinical judgment of the members of this  
25 Committee. Decided that you know that's really kind of

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 inappropriate to be reporting, and so forth.

2 So that's really the intent. That's sort  
3 of where we envisioned how this would go. And as  
4 Donna-Beth said -- Dr. Howe said, I'm sorry -- if you  
5 look at what she provided. And you say okay, well for  
6 this year it seems kind of normal. Nothing there that's  
7 really striking us as something we want to dig into, or  
8 drill into. Then that's fine. You can pass. But  
9 really it's not, you're right, I don't think it serves  
10 anyone to just simply repeat. Or maybe package it in  
11 some different way and do it over.

12 It's really, we're providing you with the  
13 data. And a little bit of information about some of  
14 these medical events. And then it's for you to do with  
15 that what you deem appropriate.

16 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Mr. Ouhib.

17 MR. OUHIB: Yes, first of all, let me just  
18 say that what Dr. Howe presented yesterday, I find it  
19 extremely valuable. But I should add that perhaps we  
20 need to define some goals behind that presentation.  
21 What exactly we're trying to accomplish with that  
22 information, prior to seeing that data?

23 And then perhaps act on it, or provide some  
24 recommendations or whatnot. But they have to be sort  
25 of you know, two or three items well defined. Okay,

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 here's the purpose of this. And it's not only  
2 information that we provided, because I looked at it as  
3 information. And then from there I started to think  
4 like, okay, well why is this happening? And can we do  
5 something about this? Or how can we improve this and  
6 so on?

7 But I think if we define some goals, I think  
8 that would be extremely helpful.

9 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: So it seems that with  
10 respect to medical events, in fact we have set out with  
11 some things to do. So, Dr. Suh has a Committee that's  
12 looking at the clarity or the definition. And then Dr.  
13 Langhorst has just taken on the new Sub-Committee this  
14 morning, which several of you are on. To really work  
15 on the culture, the communication, and the things around  
16 medical events.

17 So in fact, I think we have responded to the  
18 report with some Committee actions.

19 Dr. Langhorst.

20 MEMBER LANGHORST: Always what I have felt  
21 in our report is that that Sub-Committee can delve into  
22 the NMED data, the nuclear materials, event data base.  
23 It's not nuclear medicine. It's nuclear materials.  
24 And we can do exactly what you're saying. And give that  
25 perspective.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1                   We don't always delve into, I know  
2 Donna-Beth, excuse me, Dr. Howe gives us that  
3 information. But we can delve into it in our own way  
4 to look to see, are there other items in the NMED database  
5 that are of interest to us.

6                   One question I do have along this lines is  
7 whether -- and I realize there is budget constraints --  
8 whether it's possible to get reports on what are the  
9 total number of procedures at this point in time?  
10 Because it's been about five years or so since we had  
11 that data. So we can again look at, it's this many  
12 medical events, out of this many procedures that are done  
13 per year.

14                   So I wondered if that could be a possible  
15 thing that NRC can provide us in the next year or two?

16                   MS. HOLIDAY: Hi, Dr. Langhorst. This is  
17 Sophie. Just to follow up on that. I know this is  
18 something that the Committee has requested. And as you  
19 have recognized, this is a very tight budgetary  
20 environment for us.

21                   Staff will always advocate and put the  
22 request forth, but ultimately it's up to whether or not  
23 we have funds to purchase those reports. Because those  
24 reports are several thousands of dollars. And that's  
25 several thousands of dollars for just a small piece of

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 a report. Not even a full report.

2 So when we do have funds, if we have funds,  
3 staff will absolutely provide that data to the  
4 Committee. Maybe we'll be able to do that at the next  
5 meeting because it has been several years since we've  
6 been able to purchase a report. I just can't commit to  
7 that since it's not my money to play with.

8 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Yes, Mr. Ouhib.

9 MR. OUHIB: Yes, if I could just add one  
10 more comment. It would be helpful and I believe you have  
11 done it, is to get that data well in advance, prior to  
12 the meeting for instance. For us to sort of brainstorm  
13 on that and come up with some sort of recommendations,  
14 or plan of actions, or whatnot.

15 DR. HOWE: Dr. Alderson, also just to  
16 follow up on what Sophie said. The data that we purchase  
17 doesn't come out with, oh, here's the number of  
18 procedures for the different modalities. Sometimes on  
19 some years they don't even address our issues.

20 And in other cases they lump it so largely  
21 that it's difficult to tease out. So it's not as simple  
22 as saying, we want the number of total administrations  
23 for this, this, and this. It doesn't come that way.

24 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Okay.

25 Yes, Frank. Dr. Costello.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1                   MEMBER COSTELLO: A caution about mining  
2                   NMED data. First of all, those reports often raise more  
3                   questions than they answer. I mean, how many reports  
4                   when you look at them, do you find yourself wanting to  
5                   ask questions? Like, why did that happen? Or why did  
6                   this happen? Or what was the effects on the patient?  
7                   And the data, the quality of the data is very, very,  
8                   variable in that regard.

9                   And just from a simple counting point of  
10                  view, I think we should all assume there's a certain  
11                  amount of under reporting. For the reason that we've  
12                  been talking about. You know we may discourage people  
13                  from reporting by the way we handle the reports.

14                  So I think you know mining the data is useful  
15                  but you have to be cautious about making major  
16                  conclusions based on data that is inherently suspect.  
17                  Thank you.

18                  CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Dr. Metter.

19                  MEMBER METTER: I was just wondering as far  
20                  as me looking for the denominator on how many procedures  
21                  are done. Since my understanding of the Y-90  
22                  microsphere is a unit or a standard dose is when they're  
23                  sent. Perhaps you could go to the manufacturer and just  
24                  see how many they have sold as a baseline?

25                  CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Yes, Dr. O'Hara.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1                   MEMBER O'HARA:   If that, many of these  
2                   companies consider that proprietary, that kind of thing  
3                   proprietary information.   We're using the Y-90  
4                   microspheres as an example.   One company has a  
5                   humanitarian device exemption from the FDA, which  
6                   they're limited to a total number of patients per year.  
7                   And the other has a PMA, which is the highest review that  
8                   we give a medical product.

9                   And the company will tell us how many units  
10                  they have sold in a yearly report, but again it is  
11                  proprietary.   In some cases you can get the information  
12                  publicly from some of their presentations.

13                  In terms of the denominators, it's  
14                  something that the FDA is always interested in too.   And  
15                  with respect to using again, Y-90 microspheres as an  
16                  example, it's very difficult because they're used  
17                  off-label so much.

18                  You know the glass microspheres are  
19                  indicated for primary liver tumors.   And the polymeric  
20                  microspheres are indicated for colorectal mass.   But  
21                  they both have, both companies have investigation device  
22                  exemptions, ongoing.   Where they're looking at other  
23                  indications for use.

24                  And there's a large number of  
25                  physician-sponsored studies going on too.   That's where

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 physicians are actually looking at a number different  
2 indications. But so we are as challenged as the NRC with  
3 our databases. And I would hazard a guess that many of  
4 you have tried to look at what used to be called, the  
5 MOD database in FDA, and been totally frustrated by it.

6 And it is changing, that database is  
7 changing. It's now called, SUS internally. The  
8 general public can't get access to SUS yet, as they could  
9 get, they still have access to MOD. But MOD will  
10 eventually go away, and SUS will completely take over.  
11 And it's supposed to be, it's being designed to be much  
12 more user friendly.

13 I still can't use it. I don't even have  
14 permission to use it.

15 So, but it's the denominator, and a lot of  
16 what I've heard here today from NRC, talking about the  
17 problems with these databases. As I said, FDA database  
18 is very vague, very vague indeed. And many times our  
19 analysts look at these and they have to start  
20 investigating. They have to start to see where a device  
21 has failed.

22 And remember our database is different.  
23 Really, we look at device failures. That's what we're  
24 looking at. And we regulate the manufacturers. We're  
25 not regulating the users, as NRC does. So there's a lot

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 of differences.

2 But you'll see that coming out of our  
3 Division of Radiological Health, you'll see at least  
4 three, maybe four different methods that regulatory  
5 scientists are using to analyze this subjective data,  
6 from these subjective databases.

7 So you'll see you know hopefully  
8 publications coming out. There's at least one that's  
9 close to coming out right now.

10 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Yes, Dr. Palestro.

11 MEMBER PALESTRO: Yes, in response to your  
12 comment, Darlene. I can tell you that I spent a  
13 considerable amount of time in preparation for the  
14 presentation regarding alpha and beta emitters, trying  
15 to get those data for the other beta emitters that are  
16 on the market and for the alpha emitters. And it was  
17 absolutely impossible.

18 I contacted the companies, and they  
19 referred me to many different people within their  
20 organization. And that went nowhere. And I tried  
21 looking on line, on the web and so forth to see, but I  
22 just could not find the data.

23 And then several of these agents have gone  
24 from one company to another over the years, which further  
25 complicates it, so.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Mr. Ouhib.

2 MR. OUHIB: Yes, just a comment to Dr. Howe,  
3 regarding the medical event. It is hard to swallow, the  
4 idea that we are still seeing some errors that have  
5 significant impact related to the unit. You know,  
6 air-kerma strength versus millicuries and all that.  
7 And these are really detrimental when you look at a lot  
8 of it more in depth, to these errors.

9 I'm just wondering if perhaps that might be  
10 the work of the Sub-Committee? To actually look into  
11 that? And it's perhaps time for us to move away from  
12 the millicuries and go to a single unit such as air-kerma  
13 strength. And stay away from apparent activity type of  
14 thing.

15 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Any other comments on  
16 this particular subject?

17 (No audible response.)

18 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Hearing none, are  
19 there other subjects that people would like to raise?

20 Dr. Langhorst.

21 MEMBER LANGHORST: As I said, I've been on  
22 this Committee a little while and I am still frustrated  
23 in not knowing how many medical licensees there are.  
24 NRC publications tell how many material licensees there  
25 are. I cannot find how many medical licensees there

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 are. Could we get that data? Could we have it?

2 You know, I know there's Agreement States  
3 and there's NRC licensees, but just to know how many --  
4 and I'm not asking number of authorized users -- that  
5 will be the next layer. I'm looking for number of  
6 medical licensees.

7 Now I know, in our instance at Washington  
8 University in St. Louis, we are medical use licensees,  
9 but we're also a big research licensee. If they have  
10 medical use approved in their license, that's the  
11 numbers I want to know.

12 So that would be great. And I really don't  
13 mean to diminish the medical team's resources in time  
14 added, as far as getting this data. I would think it  
15 would be something that you would have already, so  
16 please.

17 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: So, a clarification.  
18 So if you have an institutional broad license, and  
19 Washington U certainly does, you would consider that one  
20 licensee. Is that right?

21 MEMBER LANGHORST: Yes. That's all I'm  
22 looking for.

23 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: A single licensee.

24 MEMBER LANGHORST: That's all I'm looking,  
25 how many medical licensees are there in the country?

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Okay, all right.

2 MEMBER LANGHORST: And how many are  
3 Agreement States? How many are NRC? You don't even  
4 have to tell me what they are per Agreement State.

5 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Dr. Howe would like to  
6 comment.

7 DR. HOWE: We have to do what's called an  
8 OMB approval. And renew these things for regulatory  
9 reasons. And one of them is Part 35, and in doing Part  
10 35 we have to come up with the number of NRC licensees.  
11 And we do that by program code. So we know how many NRC  
12 licensees we have. We know what their program codes  
13 are. They don't always have primary program codes.  
14 They may have secondary tertiary program codes.

15 So we have that data. That is accessible  
16 to us. What we do not have is the same level of detail  
17 for the Agreement States. So in our OMB clearances, we  
18 do have on a yearly basis, the number of Agreement State  
19 licensees.

20 Now that covers all materials. And so it's  
21 not just medical. And so what we do is we make an  
22 assumption which may not be a safe assumption anymore,  
23 that the ratio of NRC medical use licensees should be  
24 the same as the ratio of Agreement State licensees.

25 So we know the total number of NRC

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 licensees. We know the number of medical use licensees.  
2 We develop a ratio and then we take the total number of  
3 Agreement State licensees, and we multiply by that  
4 ratio.

5 Now when we had more NRC licensees than now,  
6 that was a good approximation. I'm not so sure that's  
7 a good approximation anymore. But that is how we  
8 determine how many medical use licensees there are. We  
9 don't have the ability in the Agreement States, other  
10 than using a ratio, to go from NRC modalities to  
11 Agreement State.

12 But that information we can provide very  
13 easily.

14 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: So what you say, you  
15 already have the information you just described.

16 (Simultaneous speaking.)

17 DR. HOWE: We already have the information.

18 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: You just have to look  
19 it up. Yes?

20 MR. BOLLOCK: Short answer is we can get you  
21 the number of NRC licensees and give you an estimate of  
22 Agreement State or total.

23 MEMBER LANGHORST: I would love to have  
24 just even that shared with the whole Committee.

25 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Dr. Langhorst will be

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 very happy if you provide this information.

2 (Laughter.)

3 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Mr. Costello.

4 MEMBER COSTELLO: I can't speak to any  
5 States other than Pennsylvania, but we use the same  
6 program codes.

7 MEMBER LANGHORST: Is your mic on?

8 MEMBER COSTELLO: Yes.

9 (Laughter.)

10 MEMBER COSTELLO: I can't speak for any  
11 States other than the fine Commonwealth of Pennsylvania,  
12 but we use the same program codes as the NRC does. And  
13 if someone were to ask us, I'm pretty sure we could give  
14 you the same breakdown that the NRC can give you.

15 Okay, not only the total number, but we can  
16 probably give you the total by program code. How many  
17 are cardiologists. How many there are whatever they may  
18 be.

19 Far as the other States go, you have to ask.  
20 Then if you would ask, you might get information.

21 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Dr. Langhorst.

22 MEMBER LANGHORST: Maybe will be answered  
23 later this morning, or early this afternoon, but is the  
24 ACMUI allowed to ask Agreement States if they could give  
25 us this information?

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MEMBER COSTELLO: I think it would be  
2 better if the NRC asked, would be much better.

3 MEMBER LANGHORST: Are we allowed to ask?

4 MEMBER COSTELLO: Allowed?

5 MEMBER LANGHORST: Without a big formal, oh  
6 we need to have this --

7 (Simultaneous speaking.)

8 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Yes, Dr. Bollock.

9 MR. BOLLOCK: I don't know that we can ask  
10 this. We may be able to, but it may -- issue, I think  
11 what Dr. Langhorst eluded to is OMB clearance.  
12 Typically when we ask questions that aren't specific to  
13 what we're regulating, we need an OMB clearance if we  
14 ask more than nine entities.

15 Agreement States are each their own entity.  
16 Unfortunately, that may be the case. So in the  
17 meantime, we can get you our numbers and the estimates.  
18 And you know that gives you know a relative ballpark for  
19 denominators for events. And so we do know generally,  
20 have those numbers. But yes, we would have to look into  
21 it to see if we could ask all the States something like  
22 that.

23 I mean it seems, I know it seems like a  
24 simple question.

25 MEMBER COSTELLO: I think that I, not being

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 bound by OMB requirements myself, I could probably get  
2 Pennsylvania numbers myself. A guy just send them to  
3 somebody.

4 MEMBER LANGHORST: Okay, thank you.

5 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Well it would be  
6 interesting if we have the data that the NRC already has  
7 based on ratios. And then if you were to get some data  
8 from, you could at least do a comparison in Pennsylvania  
9 and see how close they were.

10 But you still would have an overall numbers,  
11 you know. Just from what the NRC can provide. So I  
12 think it's great that that can be provided. And we  
13 should go ahead and do that.

14 MR. BOLLOCK: Yes, we'll get you what we  
15 can.

16 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Right and when you have  
17 that number, just obviously send the answer around to  
18 all of us on the ACMUI.

19 Dr. Ennis.

20 MEMBER ENNIS: May as well go to the second  
21 layer then, because what about authorized users? We  
22 were hampered in our Committee about the alpha/beta  
23 emitters with this issue. And we really could not make  
24 an intelligent decision in the end.

25 MR. BOLLOCK: And that is true because not

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 every -- authorized users don't have to necessarily be  
2 listed on every license. So for that we could only give  
3 an estimate. And there's some other things.

4 Broad scopes have multiple authorized  
5 users. So those numbers getting to that, the number of  
6 authorized users, it really does get to where we don't  
7 think we can get other than a rough estimate, anything  
8 close to an accurate number, unfortunately.

9 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Dr. Palestro.

10 MEMBER PALESTRO: Yes, I was just going to  
11 echo Ron's sentiments. It would be nice to have. And  
12 it would have been particularly valuable to the type of  
13 discussion that went on over, is there or is there not,  
14 a lack of authorized users?

15 But again, there's no reliable way to get  
16 to that information. Because certainly New York which  
17 is an agreement state, our own institution has a broad  
18 human use license. New York State doesn't have the  
19 individual authorized user data. They have the  
20 licensee, but not the AUs.

21 MR. BOLLOCK: Exactly right. Just, this  
22 was a question, as many of you know with the training  
23 experience. We actually have going on and answering  
24 questions to Congressional oversight staffers, both  
25 Senate and Congress, our Commission. And those are

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 questions that we were asked. Because those are some  
2 of the points that the pharmaceutical company was  
3 making.

4 And right, we can't get to the number of AUs.  
5 But for 35,300 licensees, we have an estimate of about  
6 2500. It's probably low, a low estimate. But about  
7 2500 across the country that you know. And we were able  
8 to find that and we used, because we know the number of  
9 NRC licensees, and we used that rough ratio to estimate  
10 the Agreement States.

11 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Sophie answer, yes.

12 MS. HOLIDAY: If I may follow up, both Dr.  
13 Palestro and Dr. Ennis, also got, we were requested by  
14 professional organizations for the numbers as well.  
15 And NRC has what is called, Web Based Licensing, WBL.  
16 And that's how we our license reviewers input licenses,  
17 medical use licenses and such.

18 And so in that system currently they do not,  
19 you're are not able to pull out the specific number of  
20 AUs. However, you are able to pull up if someone is  
21 authorized under 300, not 390, but 300, or 200, or 400.  
22 Something along those lines. So the number that Doug  
23 gave you, is how we got it from just the general number  
24 of who is authorized under 300.

25 Now we're also saying, to answer Dr.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Langhorst's question, there was a letter that went out  
2 to the Agreement States. And I will have to give credit  
3 Dr. Sandy Gabriel, who used to be a member of the medical  
4 team. She's apparently listening, because she sent me  
5 this lovely document that went out that has the results  
6 of the annual count of radioactive material licenses  
7 within the National Materials Program.

8 So I will share that with the Committee  
9 because I don't know if this non-public information, but  
10 I will send this to the Committee at the conclusion of  
11 this meeting.

12 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Thank you.

13 Dr. Howe.

14 DR. HOWE: Just to clarify, that number is  
15 published every year in the information guide. Yes,  
16 that NRC publishes. And so that's publicly available.

17 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Very good. All right.

18 MEMBER LANGHORST: So if you let me  
19 clarify. It's material licenses, it's not medical  
20 licenses?

21 MS. HOLIDAY: That is correct. Just  
22 materials.

23 MEMBER LANGHORST: Thank you.

24 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: So that's something  
25 different, yes.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1 Mr. Mattmuller.

2 MEMBER MATTMULLER: Now that I'm leaving  
3 the Committee, I've lots of ideas for work for you guys.

4 (Laughter.)

5 MEMBER MATTMULLER: But I don't know if  
6 it's work worth doing. Actually, I just have two. And  
7 the first one I would suggest would be an update on the  
8 moly-99 supply issue. And I'm not throwing my successor  
9 underneath the bus. I've already talked to him and he's  
10 agreed to do this, so. I think that would for the  
11 Committee to consider.

12 And then the second topic would be for Dr.  
13 Daibes to give us an update on the number of exemptions  
14 that have been granted.

15 (Laughter.)

16 MEMBER MATTMULLER: An update, I mean --  
17 implementation and success of the exemption program.

18 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Okay. Those are yes,  
19 good suggestions. I think that time will be the issue  
20 on the implementation. It won't be available  
21 immediately. And the update on the molybdenum  
22 situation, do you have someone in mind you'd like to do  
23 that?

24 MEMBER MATTMULLER: Yes, Rich. He's  
25 agreed.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Oh, Rich has agreed.  
2 Okay, good, great. Thank you. We look forward to  
3 getting that information. Yes, thanks very much.  
4 Okay, good those are actual, yes fine.

5 Other topics that people would like to  
6 discuss today? We are more than 30 minutes ahead of  
7 schedule at this particular time.

8 Yes, Dr. Zanzonico.

9 VICE CHAIRMAN ZANZONICO: I'm just  
10 wondering what efforts can be undertaken by the NRC staff  
11 and or by the ACMUI to disseminate regulatory  
12 information in particular, updated information, more  
13 effectively to the user community?

14 I think we around this table, and I imagine  
15 even more so among the NRC staff, have a skewed  
16 perception that people live and breathe the regulations,  
17 which believe it or not, they don't.

18 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Right.

19 VICE CHAIRMAN ZANZONICO: And I think  
20 typical AUs who are otherwise very well informed about  
21 many things, are often unaware of new developments. And  
22 I mean I can account to this personally. You know before  
23 I joined the Committee I thought I was pretty well  
24 informed about the regulations.

25 And then once I was on the Committee, I was

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 surprised how ignorant I was of many of the regulations  
2 and the process and so forth and so on.

3 So it really strikes me that there's a  
4 disconnect between what the NRC promulgates and what the  
5 users in the field know. And I know there are many  
6 mechanisms in place that in principle should be  
7 effective. But they are not nearly as effective as the  
8 NRC, and as we think they are.

9 And I'm just wondering what new mechanisms,  
10 what efforts could be undertaken to improve that  
11 situation? I don't know if -- I'm thinking even perhaps  
12 an NRC representatives speaking regularly at  
13 professional meetings. But not just with a booth, you  
14 know tucked away in the area of the displays where no  
15 one goes.

16 But maybe even speaking at plenary  
17 sessions, you know requesting from the main professional  
18 organizations and giving periodic updates on  
19 regulations and what's changing. What will impact  
20 practice?

21 Because as I said, I think there's a real  
22 disconnect in terms of what authorized users day in and  
23 day out, are aware of with respect to regulations, and  
24 changes in regulations, and how they impact practice.  
25 And what's actually occurring?

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1                   CHAIRMAN ALDERSON:   And this idea was  
2 specifically mentioned in my presentation to the  
3 Commission yesterday.   And it is part of the  
4 communications plan that we're hoping to support here.

5                   The idea suggested there was that ACMUI  
6 member or members, along with an NRC staff person, would  
7 appear at some of the major meetings.   Now you know as  
8 well as I, and you do who are in the organizations, that  
9 whether you're on a plenary or whether you're in another  
10 session somewhere, that that is something you have to  
11 organize with those societies and the people who present  
12 those meetings.

13                  But the fact is that all of us know people  
14 who are running those organizations and can certainly  
15 make an impact.   So if we agree to go ahead with that  
16 -- we've more or less agreed to go ahead with it -- but  
17 if we actually move to implementation.   Then certain  
18 people who are going to be at those meeting will agree  
19 to take the lead.

20                  They'll make the contacts, and they'll try  
21 to get onto one of those sessions that are probably  
22 initially running in parallel.   To just to see if any  
23 one shows up.   And if people are really interested that  
24 --

25                                   (Laughter.)

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1                   CHAIRMAN ALDERSON:   Well, but if your  
2 people are interested then you know the interest begins  
3 to get greater and then you say well we, perhaps we could  
4 be part of plenary at this or that point.

5                   VICE CHAIRMAN ZANZONICO:  It could follow,  
6 but I mean the thing you want to avoid is you don't want  
7 to be in the, on the display floor in the same aisle as  
8 the IAEA, and the ICL.  Those are the loneliest people  
9 at the meeting.  EFU, you have to really have a very  
10 prominent role in the meeting.  This is why I mention  
11 something like plenary session or some such thing as  
12 that.  And that's just a perfunctory appearance.

13                  CHAIRMAN ALDERSON:    No, it's not an  
14 appearance I mean, but maybe I didn't say the idea that  
15 I had was that you know you would listed in the program  
16 as many refresher courses are at many of these meetings.  
17 And you'd be course number whatever, on a particular day,  
18 and a particular room, and a particular time.

19                         And there would be a hundred and fifty seats  
20 in the room, and you know you would hope that some people  
21 are going to come and want to talk to the NRC about  
22 various things.  You'd have an actual program.  You  
23 would have planned an actual presentation that would  
24 cover topics that you would have announced.  And then  
25 you'd be a Q&A session as well that would probably take

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 an hour or so. And that's the idea.

2 Mr. Ouhib.

3 MR. OUHIB: Yes, I think just to let you  
4 know that has been used in the last two years within the  
5 American Brachytherapy Society for instance. We have  
6 had an NRC representative participate in sessions where  
7 there was discussions and all that, an update on the rule  
8 making. As a matter of fact, Michael perhaps can  
9 testify to that. And Sandra was part of it also three  
10 years ago and so on.

11 But I also think the AAPM also has been so  
12 very active in that. So there, it's out there with some  
13 organizations. I mean no doubt about it. But maybe we  
14 just need to push a little bit more.

15 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: So Lynne Fairobent  
16 wants to make a comment.

17 MS. FAIROBENT: Yes, Lynne Fairobent with  
18 the American Association of Physicists and Medicine. I  
19 think it's great that you want to do something like that.  
20 But I'm sorry, I think it's the wrong approach.

21 I think it's up to each of the professional  
22 societies when they wish to invite an NRC, or an FDA,  
23 or a CMS regulatory individual. We do that. Cathy  
24 Haney last year spoke as the lead kick-off speaker at  
25 AAPM spring clinical meeting for example.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           We have had a variety of sessions, when  
2           appropriate, with NRC individuals. Many of the  
3           professional societies have government relations  
4           experts who do routine updates to their memberships on  
5           what's pending in a variety of federal agencies. And  
6           State agencies.

7           I think that, and Sue will probably cringe,  
8           but the vehicle for NRC reaching out to the medical  
9           community and ACMUI reaching out to the medical  
10          community, should have been moving forward within NRC  
11          regulatory issues conference to the medical licensees  
12          or to materials licensees.

13          And there had been an effort for that, and  
14          my understanding is it's not going forward at this time.

15          CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Yes, that is correct.  
16          That was suggested. It was actually discussed by this  
17          Committee. And it was felt that it would be better and  
18          more efficient, more cost effective for us to go to the  
19          meetings, than to try to have a national meeting and have  
20          people come in for that meeting.

21          I would say that for any of you who -- and  
22          I know many of you have your own organizations, but in  
23          leadership positions, you've heard many of the old  
24          adages about communication. You know you communicate,  
25          communicate, and communicate. Sometimes several

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 different ways, several different places and ultimately  
2 no matter what you try to do, you're ultimately  
3 criticized for not communicating. Because somebody  
4 fails to hear it.

5 So what I believe what you need to do is to  
6 offer your services. You don't wait for somebody to  
7 call because then they're angry that you haven't done  
8 your job. You actually offer to go to them.

9 Now if we in fact go to various societies  
10 and offer to put together you know a presentation for  
11 one of their refresher courses. And they say, no you  
12 know, we're not interested. We don't need you. Well  
13 then when they have a problem in a few years, we'll be  
14 able to point out that we offered and you said, no.

15 But I think if you don't offer then you  
16 aren't doing what you need to do in terms of  
17 communication.

18 Dr. Dilsizian.

19 MEMBER DILSIZIAN: I want to say that when  
20 we organized the FDA panel, that at the SNMMI we thought  
21 nobody would show up. But actually it was full house,  
22 people lined up. People want to know how the  
23 regulations occur and what's new.

24 I'm not sure if NRC should be separate, or  
25 if FDA and NRC combination probably would work well.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1 But to reassure you, the people do like it and do attend.

2 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Yes. Fifteen or more  
3 years ago, and I don't remember what the issues were.  
4 There was issue in the radiology community with some NRC  
5 things. And I actually was involved in putting together  
6 some sessions at that time. And they were reasonably  
7 well attended.

8 I would also point out that the American  
9 Board of Radiology came up with the idea several years  
10 ago that it might be interesting to put on a regular  
11 session at the large radiological meeting called the  
12 Radiological Society of North America, RSNA.

13 And the initial response to that was similar  
14 to this. Oh, no, no, you know, no one will come. And  
15 no one will be interested but why don't you go out there.  
16 It's now become a real you know, looked forward to part  
17 of every annual meeting. And no they don't get a  
18 thousand people, but they get a hundred.

19 And so they're out there telling each year  
20 exactly what's going on. It's been very valuable for  
21 them.

22 Dr. Metter.

23 MEMBER METTER: Yes, for like what you're  
24 talking about, reaching out to societies. And for the  
25 SNMMI big annual meeting, and we did do an NRC update.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Mr. Bollock was there. And it was very well attended.  
2 And they had a lot of questions. And I think the issues  
3 coming up with training and experience and all that will  
4 be a hot topic.

5 And I believe that many of us who are  
6 involved in leadership and involved in future meetings,  
7 I think we should bring that up. And I think it would  
8 be a definite service to the community.

9 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Thank you. I think  
10 that if you just listen to the controversy. You know  
11 the discussions I'll say, that we have over issues like  
12 the one that Dr. Palestro's Committee will deal with.  
13 Over the question of medical events. I mean it seems  
14 to me that there are people out there who want to know  
15 the answers to these questions.

16 And we can't provide pat answers, but we can  
17 certainly provide updates and get their input as well.  
18 And they will enjoy that.

19 Yes, Dr. Metter.

20 MEMBER METTER: I think it would be a great  
21 opportunity to educate our fellow colleagues in the  
22 community about the current, the just culture, the  
23 safety culture. And you know put that in. And I think  
24 you know just starting it now. It'll take years and  
25 years for the change.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 But having them realize that you know the  
2 NRC is a regulator. But we're here to improve. We're  
3 doing quality improvement, no blame. We want to improve  
4 on what we do. And I think that would be actually a very  
5 good starting point to see maybe you know different  
6 motivation for reporting.

7 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: It will certainly be  
8 good for the general public to hear that message. We'll  
9 have to be concerned about the fact that they will expect  
10 it to happen by the next month or so.

11 (Laughter.)

12 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: And you know that won't  
13 be true. But I think it will be a good message.

14 Dr. Palestro wanted to speak.

15 MEMBER PALESTRO: Yes, just to say that I  
16 agree with you Phil. I think it's better to be proactive  
17 than reactive. And if the societies are not interested,  
18 they'll tell us so.

19 And I also think that when in fact they are  
20 interested, we'll try to identify topics that would be  
21 germane to their societies. I think training and  
22 experience is probably going to be germane to a lot of  
23 societies. I think the technetium shortage, or the  
24 potential shortage which it seems to come up  
25 periodically, would be another hot topic for something

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1       like the SNMMI.

2                   CHAIRMAN ALDERSON:       Good,    excellent.  
3       Thank you.

4                   Yes, Dr. Langhorst.

5                   MEMBER LANGHORST:    I just wanted to add one  
6       other perspective to this discussion.   I think it's  
7       important especially in Agreement State licensees for  
8       the ACMUI to promote our presence, in that we're an  
9       advisory Committee for the NRC staff.   But that doesn't  
10      mean we only talk NRC.

11                   And while it is frustrating to be able to  
12      get information between NRC licensees and Agreement  
13      States and all that, what we were discussing before.  
14      The NRC is the driver of this bus.    What they're  
15      regulations say, are primarily what Agreement States  
16      have to implement.

17                   And so I would encourage that the promotion  
18      of the ACMUI, and what we do, and why Agreement State  
19      licensees need to know and be involved in, I think that's  
20      really important.

21                   CHAIRMAN ALDERSON:    That is an important  
22      topic, I agree.   So if we in fact think that we should  
23      be out there talking to at least the three societies that  
24      were mentioned in our Commission presentation  
25      yesterday, which are the physics, the AAPM, the Society

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 of Nuclear Medicine, and the third was ASTRO I believe.

2 If we wish to move forward to get some ideas,  
3 you know how far ahead meetings are planned. So it would  
4 be probably very useful for us to have a representative  
5 who was going to just you know on our behalf, approach  
6 the organization and say, is there a place on your  
7 program?

8 And you may find out that they'll say well,  
9 yes sure, but not until you know 2018. But you know,  
10 but we have to be out of there starting these  
11 conversations in order for something to happen.

12 So it's pretty clear I think on the  
13 Committee, that we have several people who would in fact,  
14 be with the physics organization primarily. We have  
15 several people who clearly are related to ASTRO. We  
16 have other people clearly who are related to the Society  
17 of Nuclear Medicine.

18 So it would seem that that might be a  
19 starting place. But that isn't to suggest that other  
20 organizations shouldn't also be approached if in fact  
21 this effort moves forward.

22 So it would be, I think, helpful if Dr.  
23 Palestro and Dr. Metter for example talked about how to  
24 contact the Society of Nuclear Medicine.

25 If Dr. Ennis and Dr. Suh talked about how

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 to approach ASTRO.

2 If Dr. Langhorst -- and many of you are  
3 involved. Dr. Dilsizian also, the Society of Nuclear  
4 Medicine, or cardiologic organizations for that matter.

5 And Dr. Zanzonico, Dr. Langhorst, think  
6 about the physics organizations. And sort of pair up  
7 and then decide how you'd like to approach the  
8 organization? And then approach them.

9 Dr. Metter.

10 MEMBER METTER: Another important  
11 organization I think is the American College of  
12 Radiology because they do the majority of nuclear  
13 medicine procedures as far as diagnostic radiologists  
14 in the country.

15 And actually I was just appointed for the  
16 next two years for the annual meeting, to be on the  
17 Committee. And for the 2017/2018 meeting, they have our  
18 annual leadership meeting in D.C.

19 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Yes.

20 MEMBER METTER: So --

21 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: So will you approach  
22 them for us, I mean?

23 MEMBER METTER: Yes, I'm on the Committee.

24 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Good, oh you are. So  
25 I mean that's perfect. So please, ask them if they would

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 be interested in such a session?

2 Yes, Mr. Ouhib.

3 MR. OUHIB: Yes, certainly let's not forget  
4 the American Brachytherapy Society.

5 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Absolutely. Who do  
6 you think might represent us there?

7 MR. OUHIB: Well, I'm the Chair of the  
8 patient safety, so I could certainly push for that. And  
9 we have done it with in the ABS. We have done this,  
10 several sessions with NRC representatives in there.

11 But I think we need to have some sort of a  
12 formal relationship there. And have it sort of like an  
13 annual thing. That there is a session on, you know  
14 regulatory and cultural safety and so on and so forth.  
15 And I think that will improve. Yes.

16 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Dr. Zanzonico.

17 VICE CHAIRMAN ZANZONICO: This is just a  
18 comment for NRC and for all of us as well. I think in  
19 these presentations you really have to go to make an  
20 effort to avoid citation of CFR numbers, and of  
21 abbreviations. I've been to these presentations where  
22 you are very knowledgeable people, and they just don't  
23 speak in plain English.

24 It's all acronyms, abbreviations, citation  
25 of as I said, CFR numbers so forth and so on. And I think

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 you immediately lose a large fraction of your audience  
2 and the people who are most needy of this sort of  
3 information.

4 I mean I think these presentations to people  
5 who are not really engrossed in the regulations need to  
6 be very much in plain English.

7 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: You're absolutely  
8 correct. Absolutely correct.

9 Dr. Langhorst.

10 MEMBER LANGHORST: I just wanted to ask Mr.  
11 Fuller. I think, do members of the medical teams serve  
12 as liaisons to some of these organizations too?

13 MR. FULLER: Yes, that's correct. To some  
14 of the organizations, and then you know as folks on our  
15 team move on. Then we try to actually replace some of  
16 those. To be specific, and I don't know that's it's  
17 actually formalized, but I am very much involved on a  
18 regular basis with both the American Brachytherapy  
19 Society and with ASTRO.

20 We have a little bit more formalized  
21 relationship with the AAPM. We have two folks that are  
22 actually members. One of their Government Regulatory  
23 Affairs Committee and one with the Therapy Physics  
24 Committee. And then the Society of Nuclear Medicine,  
25 Mr. Bollock, Doug, has been involved most recently with

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1 those folks and has made presentations and so forth.

2 So and we have team members, several team  
3 members who are actually members of the Health Physics  
4 Society as well as past members, who are members of the  
5 Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging.

6 So to answer your question, I think I  
7 covered a lot of them. I know I didn't cover everybody.  
8 I might have missed a few but we work hard and try hard  
9 to stay engaged and we have several people on the medical  
10 team who wear multiple hats in that regard.

11 I would like to take this opportunity and  
12 I'm just trying to poke fun a little bit here. Dr.  
13 Zanzonico, I just made three presentations a few weeks  
14 ago to the American Brachytherapy Society and I was  
15 trying to be very careful to use plain language. But  
16 I did remark that we've got nothing on the medical  
17 community when it comes to acronyms.

18 (Laughter.)

19 MR. FULLER: I've actually attended many  
20 sessions and I was taking so many notes so that on break  
21 I could ask folks what does that acronym mean? Or what  
22 are those initials for? And so I actually remarked in  
23 one of my presentations that, I said to the audience,  
24 I said, you folks got nothing on us. I said, I thought  
25 we were bad. But it's true.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 I mean again, just in fun. We as any other  
2 group, if you will, we do have our own jargon. We have  
3 our own acronyms. And we have to be very, very mindful  
4 of that and not just blurt out the initials as if  
5 everybody understands what we're talking about. You're  
6 exactly right.

7 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: We have a comment at  
8 the microphone.

9 MS. KUBLER: Hi, this is Caitlin Kubler.  
10 I'm with the Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular  
11 Imaging. I was just texting our education department  
12 to figure out if we actually had a spot open for an ED  
13 session of our upcoming annual meeting. And I will get  
14 back to you with that.

15 But as far as governance updates go, we are  
16 happy to do those at any time. And we would gladly  
17 welcome an update from the NRC, especially since there's  
18 so much going on with the training and experience  
19 requirement issue, as well as Part 35.

20 I know Doug presented at our mid-winter  
21 meeting. And we were very receptive and we were glad  
22 that he was there to provide an update.

23 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Excellent and clear.  
24 Delighted to hear that. Thank you.

25 Yes.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1                   MEMBER ENNIS: Just as a professional with  
2                   ASTRO, you know was really engaged with Mike as he  
3                   mentioned the last few years. We've got a relations  
4                   Committee that I'm involved in, and in the annual  
5                   meeting. And I think it's been very well received. I  
6                   know we had actually, Mike O'Hara also to talk about the  
7                   issues, which happens to be a lot of equipment issues  
8                   in that session. You know I got really a lot of good  
9                   feedback from it.

10                   So I do think the membership you know are  
11                   interested in these things. And the more we do, the  
12                   better.

13                   CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Excellent.

14                   Yes. Dr. O'Hara.

15                   MEMBER O'HARA: To follow up on that.  
16                   ASTRO also had invited a few years ago, it had invited  
17                   the Commissioner of Food and Drug Administration to give  
18                   a plenary lecture at ASTRO. And ASTRO hid their concern  
19                   that the request for the Commissioner showed up, ended  
20                   up with me.

21                   They held their, they were very gracious but  
22                   you could really tell that they were a little  
23                   disappointed --

24                   (Laughter.)

25                   MEMBER O'HARA: -- that the Commissioner

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 wasn't standing there instead of me.

2 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Dr. Langhorst.

3 MEMBER LANGHORST: And I can understand  
4 that because it's not just the talks or the lectures that  
5 are given. It is the opportunity to mingle and interact  
6 with people on a one-on-one basis, which I know is not  
7 real effective at those booths. But that is an  
8 opportunity not only for the societies to learn about  
9 the regulators. But the regulators to learn about the  
10 issues important. And what is on the minds of those  
11 people, so.

12 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Absolutely.

13 We have another comment at the microphone.

14 MS. TOMLINSON: Hi, this is Cindy Tomlinson  
15 from ASTRO. I'm guilty of inviting Dr. O'Hara and Mike  
16 Fuller to our Government Relations Council Meeting last,  
17 at our last annual meeting. We are working with Mike  
18 Fuller to figure out ways of engaging our membership with  
19 the NRC. And our annual meetings really are focused on  
20 science and it's very hard for us to get folks to policy  
21 type discussions.

22 So we are working on other ways to engage  
23 with NRC. We will likely -- Michael O'Hara you're  
24 learning this for the first time -- also engage with FDA  
25 as well. But outside of our annual meeting. Sometimes

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 it's just too difficult to get those things in.

2 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Absolutely. Well  
3 that's the start of a fact finding that we'll discover  
4 as we move forward with this initiative.

5 Yes, Dr. Suh.

6 MEMBER SUH: So one way to try to help with  
7 awareness and communication, perhaps expectations as  
8 well, is that actually to make change in any culture,  
9 we're talking about the just, safety culture we were  
10 talking about, and how do we -- the transparency through  
11 to medicine is.

12 I think that one of the things to consider  
13 is also to start at the grass roots of actually the  
14 trainees, the residents. Because I can tell you during  
15 my residency, I didn't even know that NRC really had any  
16 impact in terms of what I would do in my career.

17 I had no idea of the ACMUI just until I  
18 started getting these phone calls. Hey, John would you  
19 like to serve on this Committee? So I think those are  
20 the type of things which I think, just to help increase  
21 that awareness. And I know there are several residents  
22 which are actually very interested in policy.

23 I mean some of them have their MBAs and MDs.  
24 So I think if they were aware that this is a potential  
25 opportunity, just to increase the awareness. I think

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 that would also be very effective as well because I think  
2 just the transparency of sharing what type of medical  
3 events have actually occurred.

4 And if that was shared with residents,  
5 they'd think wow, I really need to pay attention to which  
6 side of the brain I treat when I do radiosurgery. I  
7 really need to pay attention to making sure I do a time  
8 out, ask for their name, and their birth date before I  
9 treat the patient.

10 I really need to you know pay attention when  
11 I put in an applicator in a GYN patient, it doesn't slip.  
12 I mean all these things if you read about it -- and I'm  
13 just a big believer that the more you see, the more you  
14 do, the more you hear, it becomes part of your habits.

15 I think that's where, I think as a Committee  
16 -- I mean one of the valuable efforts we can provide to  
17 our patients which is why we're here for, is to make sure  
18 that we provide best care possible.

19 And I think we start from the grass roots  
20 level of the residents and they're aware of it. And  
21 they're saying, you know the NRC is not the enemy.  
22 They're here to help facilitate. You know with your  
23 education really to keep you out of trouble. I think  
24 that would go a long way.

25 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Well John, I think

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 that's the first time I've heard that idea. I think it's  
2 a fascinating idea actually. I'm just trying to think  
3 of the various ways one could approach it.

4 I'll ask you one follow-up question. Do  
5 you think in that context, which would be, or is either  
6 of these the correct answer? Would you think that you  
7 would try to organize something like this, or approach  
8 this through the Accreditation Council for Graduate  
9 Medical Education, the ACGME? Through the American  
10 Board of Radiology? How would you approach the  
11 trainees?

12 MEMBER SUH: No, so I think you could use  
13 that approach right now. I was thinking more of a grass  
14 roots you know in radiation oncologists, called ARRO,  
15 the American -- there's an association for radiation  
16 oncology graduates.

17 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: There is?

18 MEMBER SUH: They meet every year. They  
19 meet this Saturday before ASTRO. And maybe a  
20 possibility of approaching their leadership to say, we  
21 would like to do just a very short presentation about  
22 what the NRC does.

23 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Okay.

24 MEMBER SUH: What, how this may impact you  
25 as you're talking about experience, we're talking about

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 competency. Just let them start thinking about  
2 competency. It's not, just because you finished a  
3 radiation oncology residency does not give you perhaps  
4 in the future, the right, or the authority to say, okay,  
5 I'm going to go ahead and be the expert in this.

6 I mean it's flung with kind of  
7 self-regulated as well. And I think that one of the ways  
8 you try and keep yourself out of danger is to know what  
9 your limits are. So if you've really not been formally  
10 trained in procedure X, it's probably not a good idea  
11 to say, okay, let me just watch a video and I'm going  
12 to try this on this patient.

13 So I think there's multiple facets of how  
14 to approach this. But one I think is to just increase  
15 the awareness and I think now that -- when I read these  
16 medical events I always scratch my head like, wow, this  
17 is, I wonder why this happened? And if this was shared  
18 more openly with the trainees I think it would be very  
19 impactful.

20 Because they're like, that would never  
21 happen to me. Well, look this has happened 20 times now.

22 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Right.

23 MEMBER SUH: So I think they'll start to see  
24 that.

25 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: I think that it's just

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1 a great idea. Potentially very impactful. I hope that  
2 we can pursue this idea with you.

3 Dr. Metter, next and then --

4 MEMBER METTER: I think that's an excellent  
5 idea. And most of these societies do have organizations  
6 for trainees or young professionals. Like the ACR has  
7 young professionals and the resident and fellowship  
8 section. The Society of Nuclear Medicine has their own  
9 group too.

10 And the other people I think, that is  
11 another layer, would be the program directors. Because  
12 they are their, quote, "parents during the training  
13 period." And if you can get the idea of the safety  
14 culture you know starting to happen. Then they'll say  
15 well you know we're doing this to help with our patient  
16 care. So it doesn't happen again.

17 And so I think like the Nuclear Medicine  
18 Program Directors. It's a great organization and the  
19 Association for Program Directors in Radiology, a large  
20 group of people. And I think if the NRC comes to these  
21 meetings, they can meet with those groups too. And so  
22 it could be you know something that would be you know  
23 more than one audience for that visit.

24 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Mr. Ouhib.

25 MR. OUHIB: And I think not only the new

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 graduates will benefit from that, but even senior  
2 practitioners. Because there are emerging  
3 technologies coming. And we're seeing some errors  
4 happening. So I think it would be great to have some  
5 sort of refresher course per se. And update, you know  
6 the organization about what's going on. What this  
7 modality or what this technology, and so on and so forth.

8 And then you know, it's an opportunity to  
9 sort of share what kind of errors are happening. And  
10 how can these be prevented? Maybe there's some feedback  
11 from the attendees. And so on. So it would be like a  
12 discussion, not just simply a presentation type thing.

13 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Interesting. So as we  
14 begin to expand and explore this idea, it's quite clear  
15 that it has many tentacles moving out into various  
16 different directions, valuable directions.

17 So I believe, that we have discussed the  
18 idea of communication more or less in the abstract thus  
19 far. I mean now we're really beginning to discuss how  
20 we would get it done. And it seems that we're sort of  
21 a Committee of the whole.

22 So that the question that I'm wrestling  
23 with, please help me with it right now in this  
24 discussion, is should we move ahead with our  
25 communication initiative, which was well received by the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Commissioners?

2 Should we move ahead with the communication  
3 issue as a Committee of the whole? That is the whole  
4 ACMUI is going to focus on this issue and work on it  
5 together through our various societies. Or should we  
6 actually appoint a Sub-Committee on communication,  
7 which would require that there would be people on it who  
8 basically represent different facets of the ACMUI? I'd  
9 just like to have your advice on that question.

10 Dr. Zanzonico.

11 VICE CHAIRMAN ZANZONICO: Well I think it  
12 would be most effective if the entire Committee was  
13 engaged in it. Simply because by definition the  
14 membership is defined to represent all different  
15 stakeholder groups in the regulatory environment. And  
16 we don't want to miss any by not including them on a  
17 Sub-Committee. So I think if everyone was engaged that  
18 would be the most effective way to go.

19 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Okay. Other  
20 opinions?

21 Ms. Weil.

22 MEMBER WEIL: I hate to take over Sophie's  
23 spot but I think that the entire Committee cannot act  
24 except in a public forum, whereas a Sub-Committee can.

25 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Where did she go? Oh,

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1       there she is.  You're hiding.

2                   MR. BOLLOCK:  I mean yes, so it would we  
3       could probably --

4                   CHAIRMAN  ALDERSON:       Technicality  for  
5       Sophie.

6                   MS. HOLIDAY:  Technically speaking, the  
7       Committee, if you're taking on a full action, each  
8       individual member is reaching out to their respective  
9       organizations.  That's fine.

10                   It's just a matter if you guys are  
11       deliberating on an item.  An item that needs a vote, or  
12       Committee consensus if you will, that's when we get into  
13       the whole public realm type issues.  That's a fact of  
14       governance.

15                   So it's here while we're in the room  
16       discussing and Dr. Alderson put up a suggestion, or Dr.  
17       Zanzonico put up the suggestion that we look at this as  
18       a whole.  That's saying that each individual member on  
19       this whole Committee will go do something with their  
20       respective organizations.  That's fine.  If all of you  
21       agree, that's your public discussion.

22                   You only need a Sub-Committee if I guess  
23       you're doing a report, or you're putting up formal  
24       recommendations.  Something along those lines to NRC  
25       staff, which will eventually end up as a vote from the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 full Committee, or an endorsement. In this case I think  
2 what you're pursuing is absolutely acceptable.

3 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Mr. Costello would  
4 like to comment.

5 MEMBER COSTELLO: If we were to meet as a  
6 Committee in the whole, which I would recommend by the  
7 way. And sometime down the line we want to have a  
8 conference call where we've all discussed it. If that  
9 were made public that wouldn't bother me.

10 You know, we have phone conferences that are  
11 made public and I think that we are all candid and effect  
12 during these phone conferences. And if we had  
13 teleconference of discussing communications, it would  
14 be public. That might even be a benefit. There might  
15 be people who would learn something about our  
16 communications effort by looking into our -- why to  
17 anything would you want to keep an effort at  
18 communication secret?

19 You know, we keep that laying in our bushel  
20 basket. So maybe if we did, you know sometime  
21 accomplish -- we're deliberating before we go forward.  
22 I'd be happy to have the public see that, and think that  
23 we're doing our jobs.

24 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Good. All right.  
25 Now that's two opinions that we, that it is appropriate

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 for us to look at this issue as a Committee of the whole.  
2 And two opinions that that would be the right thing to  
3 do.

4 Ms. Weil.

5 MEMBER WEIL: If we want to be nimble and  
6 able to act in timely way, as a full Committee, then we  
7 have to be aware that that kind of a public  
8 teleconference requires notice in the federal register.  
9 And you know there has to be, we just need to keep in  
10 mind the process, which takes time.

11 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Yes, Dr. Ennis were you  
12 going to comment on that point?

13 I would say that as we get this effort  
14 started working as a Committee of the whole, that we  
15 would just communicate with one another, rather than  
16 have you know Committee Y, you know teleconferences.  
17 And we would work on the individual sort of initiatives  
18 that we discussed this morning. And then we'd come back  
19 here and discuss them as part of our group meetings. So  
20 that we wouldn't raise that particular technicality in  
21 process.

22 Someone else had their hand up and I forgot  
23 who it was. Yes, Dr. Ennis.

24 MEMBER ENNIS: This is for Doug, and Sophie  
25 and others on staff. It sounds like we may be asking

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1       you to make a fair amount of trips and presentations.  
2       Do you need us to say that in some formal way so that  
3       you can go to your funders and say, hey, we need funds  
4       to be able to carry out our requirements the ACMUI  
5       expects us to do?

6                   MR. BOLLOCK:  It wouldn't hurt.

7                   (Laughter.)

8                   MR. BOLLOCK:  And I envision all this  
9       discussion and we are, I know I've spoken with the  
10      medical team.  And Mike and I have many discussions, and  
11      discussions at the last meeting with Dr. Alderson.  We  
12      are 100 percent behind all this you know.  We fully agree  
13      with you.  You know outreach is very important,  
14      communication is important.  And we have just amongst  
15      ourselves planned and have been -- you know Mike's gone  
16      out to ASTRO and Donna-Beth, and that's why I'll go to  
17      FICA.  It's another important outreach to get the  
18      patient aspect.

19                   I was at SNMMI two months ago.  So we do you  
20      know, plan on doing that and that outreach.  But like  
21      you said, it is, you know we do run on a budget.  So our,  
22      and funds are limited, and travel funds to get out there.  
23      But we've with that, because you know we are right now  
24      we're in a constricting budget environment in NRC.  So  
25      less and less travel funds.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 But we still have a plan I discussed with  
2 the medical team, and my branch as a whole. Like we  
3 still would get at least one person to each of the  
4 meetings that is important to get out to. And that will  
5 be our goal. And I can sell that to management. And  
6 kind of as a whole, put that as a higher priority.

7 So you may not see, I may not be at the next  
8 SNMMI meeting, but Said will be there. And he'll be able  
9 to speak on all the topics, or you know Mike will go to  
10 ASTRO. Sophie will be at HPS. So you know we will  
11 still, we still plan to continue that. And be nimble  
12 with our, conservative with our travel funds.

13 But any input that you can get to us. Any  
14 other subjects or topics prior to these meetings that  
15 are important, that you know you feel are important to  
16 your community that we can speak on, we feel that's  
17 greatly appreciated. Helps us deliver the message,  
18 answer questions, be prepared.

19 And then kind of what like what Mike and I  
20 call it, like just happen to ask the regulator, just a  
21 chance for us to say, this is what we're working on. And  
22 I think we have seen it you know be beneficial at SNMMI.  
23 You know made them aware of training experience issues  
24 that were going on.

25 Because it wasn't necessarily in the public

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1 forum other than you know the Sub-Committee was doing  
2 their work and they're given the recommendations. But  
3 there was a lot more going on behind the scenes. Not  
4 just from the NRC, but the political pressure. And so  
5 there are definite benefits. We see all the benefits  
6 and we will strive and work to be able to do that as much  
7 as possible.

8 So you know if, any recommendation, yes it  
9 can't hurt to say well, you know help. We should be able  
10 to fund this communication with whatever travel is  
11 needed.

12 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: So in front of the  
13 Commission we mentioned three meetings. It for  
14 example, but we mentioned three meetings. And so it  
15 might be useful to go back to the funding side and for  
16 the NRC, to say to us, well we think that in our current  
17 fiscal year, the next fiscal whatever, we can afford each  
18 year to go to three meetings, five meetings?

19 There are other ways to handle this problem.  
20 Once you establish a communication pathway, then  
21 probably at sometimes there can be one or two members,  
22 usually two would be better of this Committee, who might  
23 create you know a reasonable communication pathway with  
24 another organization.

25 There's also all sorts of electronic

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 mechanisms that can be brought to bear this day and age  
2 in the right sort of facility, where if certain people  
3 could be in present and others could be on a video  
4 conference. But I think Dr. Langhorst comment was very  
5 useful. It isn't just the session, it's also the  
6 mingling and them getting to know you. And being more  
7 comfortable.

8 So as much as we can in the early going, I  
9 would hope that we'd be able to have a representative  
10 who would be along there with a member of the ACMUI.

11 MR. BOLLOCK: And Dr. Alderson you hit it  
12 right on. You know it may become where we have to kind  
13 of one year go to, one year represent this meeting, the  
14 next year this meeting. Hopefully we can get to the ones  
15 that have the more, you know signs that we have, already  
16 have very good relations with. You know those will  
17 probably still go to every year. And we will work to  
18 prioritize. And that's on us, and that's on me with  
19 budgeting the staff and making sure.

20 And that's actually something that we have  
21 to think about going forward. But we fully support and  
22 we recognize the importance of it. And so does my  
23 management. And so any support or any direction that  
24 we can get from ACMUI and can help us with the message  
25 back and forth, you know. Help us help you, help us.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Very good.

2 MR. BOLLOCK: We appreciate that.

3 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Dr. Langhorst.

4 MEMBER LANGHORST: I appreciate Dr.  
5 Zanzonico's comment that he's learned a lot being on this  
6 Committee. And from our profound comments by Mr.  
7 Mattmuller, how it gets into your heart. So I wanted  
8 to point out a resource ACMUI members have that staff  
9 put together for us a couple years ago.

10 I asked that staff give a history of who has  
11 served on ACMUI. I invite you to look at those lists  
12 and have those people help you at your societies.  
13 Because they know. They know already and I think they'd  
14 be thrilled to help promote this too. So I just wanted  
15 to point out that's on the website. And use that.  
16 Because I think that's a very valuable tool.

17 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Good. Thank you.

18 MR. BOLLOCK: And if I could also, to go  
19 back to a half an hour ago when we began this  
20 conversation. Dr. Zanzonico brought up a good point  
21 about you know, when we get new guides out. We do you  
22 know, we try our best to pass on any new guidance as best  
23 we can. We put out communications, send them out to the  
24 States. And then the States are to pass it on to their  
25 licensees. We sent out --, you know, mail out to our

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 licensees.

2 We sent out medical List servers, something  
3 that we highly encourage people. Anybody can sign up  
4 I believe and that just, when we add something to the  
5 medical List server, they'll get the update. And  
6 they'll see that this new guidance is out, or what have  
7 you.

8 So there is actually a lot of information  
9 on the public website. Such as the information, Dr.  
10 Langhorst is bringing up, and among many other things.  
11 So that is helpful and you know I encourage you all to  
12 communicate that out to your societies, to your peers.  
13 And hopefully that will help. Because you know we  
14 recognize there is only so much we can do.

15 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Right. So as we  
16 promulgate this, it may be that you know we wind up in  
17 the beginning, getting NRC people physically at the  
18 meetings for the major societies. And not the ones not  
19 as large, but hopefully eventually you'll get there.

20 So I want to go back to when we talked about  
21 like who's going to contact whom? Because that's the  
22 first step. You have to contact.

23 We've already heard some things. Because  
24 we have people in the audience from SNMMI and from ASTRO.  
25 So we've learned for example when you make a contact with

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1       ASTRO, that they want to meet, but they don't want it  
2       to be at their scientific meeting. They want it to be  
3       at a meeting of the government group. So that's  
4       something with which we'll have to adjust.

5               In each case we ought to contact and find  
6       out that we can make a schedule, yes this year, and this  
7       is where it is. And maybe the Society of Nuclear  
8       Medicine will say, they would rather have it at the  
9       mid-winter meeting than the main meeting. Who knows?

10              Then the content, and in part they help  
11      define the content. What, you know we say to them, what  
12      is it? Here's some things we're thinking about, what  
13      do you want to hear about? And then you begin to develop  
14      a content that you know the audience is interested in.  
15      And then you sort of create your educational or  
16      communication objectives and then you get into the  
17      details of how you're going to present it. And so on  
18      and so forth.

19              So we've got to go through that particular  
20      set of steps, so for ASTRO, Ron Ennis and John Suh were  
21      going to be involved. And you've got this great idea  
22      about the trainees, and please I hope we can pursue that.  
23      And we don't know exactly where that's going to go yet.

24              For SNMMI Chris is going to represent us.  
25      Darlene you can be involved there too, but you're clearly

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 going to ACR and talk to them for us.

2 Who's going to AAPM? I don't have that  
3 clear. Who --

4 MR. OUHIB: I'm a member of the AAPM of  
5 course. And a member of the ABS by the way.

6 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Okay, so you would  
7 contact them. And we've also heard from AAPM that they  
8 may not be as interested in this as some of the other  
9 organizations, but --

10 MR. OUHIB: But the clinical symposium is  
11 a --

12 (Laughter.)

13 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: She wants to make a  
14 comment, Lynne Fairobent.

15 MS. FAIROBENT: Lynne Fairobent from AAPM.  
16 Dr. Alderson, my point was not that AAPM isn't  
17 interested. We already have a mechanism in place that  
18 we have used successfully multiple times with NRC and  
19 other federal agencies. And far as that goes, you did  
20 hear from Mike for example, we have had historically NRC  
21 staff as liaisons formally to our appropriate scientific  
22 as well as our government relations Committee.

23 We have had the Chairman of NRC speak at  
24 AAPM's meeting. We have had several office directors  
25 and several staff. So it's not that we're not

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 interested.

2 My point was that there are already  
3 mechanisms in place for various societies. And that  
4 those mechanisms should be what ACMUI or federal agency  
5 staff work through. Not to create a new thing.

6 And as far as AAPM, I am the point of contact  
7 for AAPM for any federal agency, for any issue. And that  
8 was the point of my comment earlier.

9 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Okay, thank you. I  
10 stand corrected. And Mr. Ouhib will be in touch with  
11 you shortly. Okay.

12 So basically we'll work in this way with,  
13 and there are other people here. Good people who  
14 probably are related to other organizations and you  
15 should be thinking also about what contact might be  
16 there. But we have to watch out for that tendency to  
17 sort of go from nowhere to all of a sudden we're reaching  
18 out to 15 organizations. And then it just becomes an  
19 overwhelming task. And the NRC can't quite keep up. So  
20 we have to balance our enthusiasm with pragmatism.

21 Yes.

22 MEMBER COSTELLO: Phillip, since I'm a  
23 member of the Committee, it's not addressed to the  
24 medical practitioners. But I do update the  
25 Organization Agreement States and activities of the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1       ACMUI. Now for the most part, they're pretty familiar  
2       with the regulations. But all these parties are  
3       interested in what we do here.

4               I'm on the agenda every year, and I update  
5       them on the many issues that you listed for the  
6       Commission yesterday. And I do it every year.

7               CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Good, great. Well I  
8       think that we have a starting point. That is the point  
9       of contact. We have already made some contacts and  
10      learned some things. So in fact I would hope that the  
11      people that we just talked about and named would actually  
12      begin to make those contacts.

13              And then I would say that if you would work  
14      through Sophie and myself, you know on how these things  
15      are evolving. Just email us and so on and that should  
16      suffice. And then we can see where we do from there.

17              And Mr. Fuller would like to comment.

18              MR. FULLER: Thank you Dr. Alderson. Mike  
19      Fuller with the medical team. I just wanted to share  
20      a little bit about my own personal experience with how  
21      successful this can be. This is also very, very, this  
22      communication, this two-way communication or this  
23      effort to continually open up these lines of  
24      communication is very, very beneficial to us when it  
25      comes to specifically the emerging medical

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1 technologies.

2 If we follow a normal process, which means  
3 a normal fulcrum or process, where we don't really know  
4 about new technologies until someone applies for it. We  
5 have, I mean there's no better way of saying it. We have  
6 failed. Because we will be in the way. We will be the  
7 deterrent or the obstacle.

8 So through these communications, and I  
9 could give you many, many examples in the last few years,  
10 where we have sort of under our own initiative, but at  
11 the invitation of various professional societies -- and  
12 I'm taking another opportunity because this is a public  
13 meeting. The earlier that we know of something that's  
14 in the pipeline or coming down the road, the better.

15 I can give you examples, I'm not going to  
16 name names, but I could give you examples of how this  
17 has been extremely successful in my opinion because I  
18 found out about something at a national meeting. Simply  
19 by going on the exhibit hall, and walking around, and  
20 asking questions.

21 And then I could give you examples of where  
22 we found out too late about something. And by the time  
23 we got our, we kind of got up and running and got focused  
24 on it, that perhaps the medical community could have  
25 benefitted from the availability of this newer

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 technology, or a new drug, or what have you, sooner.

2 So I just applaud this. I think we all on  
3 the medical team and NRC staff would agree that there's  
4 really nothing negative that can come from this  
5 initiative. And I thank you for the effort and the  
6 initiative.

7 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Thank you. Well I  
8 think that we have a plan about how to move forward at  
9 this particular time. Let's implement that plan.

10 We have actually run over our allotted time  
11 for the open forum by just a few minutes. And Sophie  
12 is prepared to provide some important logistic details  
13 in what we call the Administrative Closing. But  
14 remember we still have a very important session coming  
15 up from Ester Houseman. So we still have some good  
16 things to do here.

17 MS. HOLIDAY: Okay. So to follow-up from  
18 my presentation yesterday. This is your second most  
19 important presentation that you'll hear.

20 (Laughter.)

21 MS. HOLIDAY: And that is planning for your  
22 next meeting which will be the fall meeting. And as we  
23 stated that is typically held in September and October.  
24 And then prior to this meeting I do send out a meeting  
25 wizard to pulse the Committee on their availability.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 I am happy to say that all members said they  
2 were available September 14th and 15th. I'd like to  
3 confirm that that has not changed. It's very hard to  
4 see. It's the very last page in your packet.

5 VICE CHAIRMAN ZANZONICO: Sophie, just  
6 from the 6th through the 9th, actually through the 10th  
7 is the World Molecular Imaging Congress meeting.

8 MS. HOLIDAY: Okay.

9 VICE CHAIRMAN ZANZONICO: And I'll be  
10 there. But I don't know if other people will be there.

11 MS. HOLIDAY: Sure.

12 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: The dates again,  
13 Sophie that you were proposing?

14 MS. HOLIDAY: September 14th and 15<sup>th</sup> that  
15 is a Wednesday and a Thursday.

16 MEMBER LANGHORST: So that is not a time I  
17 can be here.

18 MS. HOLIDAY: Not for you.

19 MEMBER LANGHORST: And I think I said that.

20 MS. HOLIDAY: Okay.

21 MEMBER LANGHORST: There is a meeting in  
22 St. Louis on moly-tech supply. And I really want to be  
23 at that meeting.

24 MS. HOLIDAY: Okay. Then I stand  
25 corrected, I think that's the only day that only had one

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 conflict. Sorry, Dr. Langhorst.

2 MEMBER LANGHORST: That's okay.

3 MS. HOLIDAY: With that being said, that's  
4 the only date that only had one person as a conflict.  
5 So I think as it stands that might still be the  
6 Committee's first choice. I know not preferable.

7 The only other options I had in yellow  
8 although there some that Dr. Zanzonico said, the week  
9 of the 4th is out of the question since there is a  
10 conference going on that week.

11 I had responses for September 1st and 2nd.  
12 And then October 6th and 7th, so I'll start with the  
13 September 1st and 2nd. Does anybody have a conflict for  
14 September 1st and 2nd?

15 (No audible response.)

16 MS. HOLIDAY: Okay. Likewise does anybody  
17 have a conflict for October 6th and 7th?

18 MEMBER ENNIS: Those are my -- I think  
19 Friday would be difficult for me. Friday is my wife's  
20 birthday. If home for it. But I don't have --

21 MEMBER LANGHORST: Sophie, just to -- the  
22 1st and 2nd that is the Thursday, Friday before Labor  
23 Day weekend. But that's okay with me. And the 6th and  
24 7th is before, in case anybody has that day off, Columbus  
25 Day.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MS. HOLIDAY: I mean you don't want to spend  
2 your last few days before a holiday with me?

3 MEMBER LANGHORST: I have no problem at all  
4 because your name is Holiday.

5 (Laughter.)

6 MEMBER COSTELLO: I think I just said to you  
7 one day, but I can't recount now though. I forget what  
8 my one day is.

9 MS. HOLIDAY: Okay. Dr. Langhorst if I may  
10 ask, is your meeting on the 14th and the 15th, or just  
11 the 14th?

12 The other option I had was September 15th  
13 and 16th.

14 MEMBER LANGHORST: The meeting that I have  
15 conflict with starts on the 11th and goes through the  
16 14th.

17 MS. HOLIDAY: Okay. So that means there's  
18 high likelihood that you'd not be able to make for the  
19 15th and 16th meeting.

20 MEMBER LANGHORST: Not on time.

21 MS. HOLIDAY: Yes. Okay, so then it sounds  
22 like we can either go with our first choice as September  
23 1st and 2nd with a conflict for Dr. Ennis.

24 Or September 14th and 15th which is a conflict for  
25 Dr. Langhorst.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Or did anybody have an issue with coming October  
2 6th and 7th? Understanding that that is Mrs. Ennis'  
3 birthday weekend.

4 MEMBER ENNIS: I could leave early --

5 MEMBER LANGHORST: We could have Dr.  
6 Thomadsen send her flowers.

7 (Laughter.)

8 MR. OUHIB: It's actually becoming  
9 Mattmuller's responsibility to go.

10 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: So what was, either of  
11 these dates that you're talking about is fine with me,  
12 but I didn't understand the discussion about the 15th  
13 and 16th. Because your meeting ran from the 11th to the  
14 14th, right, so?

15 MEMBER LANGHORST: Actually it goes  
16 through the 15th.

17 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Oh, it goes through the  
18 16th. I'm sorry.

19 MEMBER LANGHORST: The 15th, okay, sorry.  
20 I was --

21 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: I missed that part.  
22 All right so that's fine.

23 MEMBER LANGHORST: I would prefer October  
24 6th and 7th.

25 MEMBER LANGHORST: Sorry, but --

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1                   MEMBER ENNIS: Okay if everyone would be  
2 okay with me leaving at noon? And that works in my  
3 personal area.

4                   CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: And the meeting is  
5 usually over in the early --

6                   (Simultaneous speaking.)

7                   MS. HOLIDAY: No, the fall meeting is our  
8 longer meeting, since that's when we have all of our  
9 annual required training such as ethics, allegations,  
10 and information security. We can plan that for the  
11 first day. So that you can meet your annual required  
12 training. It's too early to kind of plan when the  
13 meeting will actually end.

14                   But given that we can plan around that, so  
15 I guess with that being said. Perhaps our first choice  
16 then will be October 6th and 7th for the Committee.

17                   And then your second, your backup date would  
18 you like that to be either Sept 1st and 2nd or September  
19 14th and 15th?

20                   CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: 1st and 2nd. I think  
21 we should try to have Dr. Langhorst here.

22                   MS. HOLIDAY: Okay. So then to confirm, I  
23 have our first choice for the fall meeting as October  
24 6th and 7th. And our backup date as September 1st and  
25 2nd.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1                   Okay, so at this time I would like to go over  
2                   the new recommendations that were mentioned during this  
3                   meeting.

4                   As you will see on the screen -- I will  
5                   provide this electronically and hard copies to the  
6                   Committee prior to your departure today.

7                   Item 16 was not on there before, but since  
8                   Dr. Alderson mentioned it during yesterday's open forum,  
9                   this is when he formed the Sub-Committee to review and  
10                  evaluate the training and experience requirements for  
11                  all modalities in CFR Part 35.

12                  Sub-Committee     members     include     Dr.  
13                  Langhorst, Dr. Metter, Dr. Palestro as the Chair, Dr.  
14                  Suh and Ms. Weil.

15                  Are there any comments or questions about  
16                  Item 16?

17                  (No audible response.)

18                  MS. HOLIDAY:   Okay.   Moving on, Item 17 and  
19                  18, and also Item 19 on the following page, have to deal  
20                  with the teleconference meeting that we had on last  
21                  Thursday   related   to   the   training   experience  
22                  requirements for authorized users of alpha beta gamma  
23                  emitters and their 10 CFR 35.390.

24                  Those items were not on your lists earlier,  
25                  so I've added them now that our meeting is in session.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1           So Items 20 through 22, relate to the spring  
2 meeting that we have had these past two days. Item 20  
3 is the action item that Mr. Fuller suggested. That NRC  
4 staff will provide data to the ACMUI for medical events  
5 reported over a five year span, for training purposes.  
6 And I will provide that data to you prior to the fall  
7 meeting.

8           Item 21, Dr. Alderson formed a  
9 Sub-Committee today to one, explore the impact of  
10 medical event reporting and its impact on self-reporting  
11 safety culture, if you will.

12           Two, identify potential ways to improve  
13 effectiveness of self-reporting in support of a culture  
14 of safety. And three, suggest ways to share any reports  
15 and lessons learned with the medical community to  
16 promote safety.

17           I'm sorry, I forgot to list the  
18 Sub-Committee members.

19           CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Dr. Langhorst is the  
20 Chair. We certainly remember that.

21           MS. HOLIDAY: Dr. Langhorst is the Chair.  
22 We have Ms. Weil. I believe we have Dr. Suh.

23           CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: I've got it, it's Sue  
24 Langhorst as the Chair. Frank Costello is on for  
25 States. Vasken, and Susan M is on for medical, legal

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1       whatever.  Laura Weil is on, Ron Ennis is on.  And Mr.  
2       Ouhib is going to be a consultant at this time.  That  
3       is the membership.

4                   MS. HOLIDAY:  Excellent.  Thank you.

5                   Item 22 is that NRC staff will provide the  
6       ACMUI with a draft final 35.1000 licensing guidance  
7       document for the Leksell Gamma Knife Perfexion and  
8       Leksell Gamma Knife Icon.  Interested members will be  
9       encouraged to provide comments to the working group,  
10      understanding that it will be on an abbreviated time  
11      schedule so that we can issue the guidance as early as  
12      possible for the patient community.

13                  The last item I believe, Item 23 is that Dr.  
14      Langhorst requested that NRC staff provide the ACMUI  
15      with the total number of medical use licensees within  
16      the United States.  This includes NRC and Agreement  
17      States.

18                  I did forward that to you guys during this  
19      meeting.  So that will be waiting on you in your email.  
20      And since I did send it, I am asking if can close this  
21      item as it is now sitting in your email in-boxes?

22                  MS. HOLIDAY:  Just to clarify, the document  
23      that I provided breaks down all materials licenses  
24      including industrial, medical, and academic.  So that  
25      document does include the data that you're looking for.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 So does anybody agree to my closing Item 23?

2 MEMBER LANGHORST: I'd like to just say I  
3 want to see it before it closes. Sorry, I can't. I'm  
4 not ready to do that instantaneously.

5 MS. HOLIDAY: Sure I can tell you that Ms.  
6 Weil's pulled it up in your email. But it does include  
7 the information.

8 MEMBER LANGHORST: I'd like to look at it  
9 and think about it. So if you don't mind, I think that  
10 we could close it next time.

11 MS. HOLIDAY: That's fine. I'll just  
12 follow up at the fall meeting to say that I provided it  
13 on the 18th.

14 MEMBER LANGHORST: That would be great.

15 MS. HOLIDAY: And then Item 24, obviously  
16 not listed is that we have planned the fall meeting with  
17 a first choice as October 6th and 7th. And your second  
18 choice, or backup date as September 1st and 2nd.

19 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: I think you should have  
20 an item in here about this extensive discussion we just  
21 had on how we're going to begin to implement the  
22 communications plan.

23 MS. HOLIDAY: I didn't include it because  
24 it, typically items that we include are items that staff  
25 will be doing, or providing to the ACMUI and then if the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1       ACMUI requests something from the staff, or a  
2       Sub-Committee is formed, or a recommendation is passed.  
3       If you do feel that this is an action item, and it should  
4       be captured. I'm more than happy to add that.

5                   CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Well that's an action  
6       item because as we make contacts and this effort evolves.  
7       We're going to come back to you and to Mr. Bollock and  
8       look for your availability to join us in this  
9       implementation plan. So there will in fact be items for  
10      the NRC. And there will be budget impact, although  
11      modest, there will be budget impact.

12                  MS. HOLIDAY: Okay, so then the action item  
13      will be, the ACMUI will contact their respective  
14      professional organizations for possible interactions  
15      between NRC staff and ACMUI members with their  
16      societies.

17                  CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Yes, that's fine. I  
18      accept that.

19                  MS. HOLIDAY: Okay.

20                  MR. BOLLOCK: Can I just --

21                  MS. HOLIDAY: Sure.

22                  MR. BOLLOCK: As you know Lynne pointed  
23      out, we already in a lot of cases, we do already have  
24      a lot of conferences, right. So we can, we do have  
25      contact information that work with us, ASTRO, SNMMI, so

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 we do already have a lot of those contacts. So you don't  
2 have to reinvent the wheel. Or --

3 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: No, we won't. Right.

4 MR. BOLLOCK: So we'll provide you with  
5 that, who we have just so that the ACMUI members are  
6 talking to the same people that we talk to.

7 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: And so the people who  
8 are going to talk to those respective organizations, I  
9 mean you need to be in touch through Sophie, with Mr.  
10 Bollock, and work in particular way.

11 And the wording that Sophie just used was  
12 sufficiently general and vague that it allows us to do  
13 those sorts of things. That's the reasons for it.

14 MS. HOLIDAY: I think you have a comment  
15 from Dr. Howe.

16 DR. HOWE: It's not about this one, but one  
17 of the earlier ones --

18 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Microphone.

19 DR. HOWE: Not about this one, but one of  
20 the earlier ones. I believe when you were, when I was  
21 giving my medical event, that you wanted to see the five  
22 year on --

23 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: That was mentioned.

24 DR. HOWE: -- every time I give it.

25 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Every time you give it?

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 DR. HOWE: Yes, and when I give it you want  
2 to see five years. And then I go into the rest of it.

3 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: That's correct.

4 DR. HOWE: Sophie was entertaining that it  
5 was like a one-time thing that she would provide  
6 information.

7 MS. HOLIDAY: I didn't define it as a one  
8 time. I just said that they would have the data before  
9 the next meeting.

10 It wasn't conclusive to say that they would  
11 only be getting it at the next meeting and that would  
12 stop.

13 DR. HOWE: So --

14 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: It's assumption based  
15 on the discussion we had when Mr. Fuller pointed out.  
16 The word for something like this, well it might be a  
17 little hard the first year, but once we get the data put  
18 together it'll be really easy to do it year after year.

19 MS. HOLIDAY: Exactly.

20 DR. HOWE: So the expectation is every time  
21 I give the medical data, I include that, not that I have  
22 to do something separate for the fall meeting?

23 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: That's right.

24 MS. HOLIDAY: That's correct.

25 DR. HOWE: That's fine.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: That's good.

2 Yes.

3 MEMBER ENNIS: Mike Fuller had offered much  
4 more than that slide gave us.

5 DR. HOWE: He had indeed.

6 MEMBER ENNIS: So I just want to be clear,  
7 what we --

8 (Laughter.)

9 MEMBER ENNIS: Are you talking about giving  
10 five years, or are getting you know decades worth of data  
11 going forward.

12 MR. FULLER: The way I took the action, that  
13 I read up there, is that we'll provide a minimum of five  
14 years. How's that?

15 MEMBER ENNIS: Sounds good.

16 MR. FULLER: I want to give, what we want  
17 to do is take a look at what we have frankly, and let's  
18 provide you with the most meaningful and beneficial  
19 information and data that we have. And present it in  
20 a way that's most helpful. So at a minimum it will be  
21 five years. And then we'll see what else we can do.

22 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Yes, I think as you  
23 lengthen out the years, you sort of magnify the  
24 denominator problem. And maybe five or six years  
25 doesn't make a difference. But something that hardly

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 anyone ever talks about when they talk about all these  
2 issues going on in medicine, is we have about 30 or 35  
3 percent more people in the United States like now, than  
4 we had just 30 years ago. And it makes a big impact in  
5 a lot of different ways.

6 So as you go out too long, then the  
7 denominator problem becomes really complex. So I think  
8 a minimum of five years is a very nice way to start. If  
9 that's all right with you, Dr. Ennis?

10 Thank you.

11 MS. HOLIDAY: Thank you. Then that  
12 concludes my administrative closing portion. This is  
13 also our time and labor week. And generally I would have  
14 sent you an email to tell you to give me your hours. But  
15 since you're here, you may write your hours down on a  
16 piece of paper. And we'll let you officially adjourn  
17 the open session before our session this afternoon.

18 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: All right. Are there  
19 any other items, new business to come before the meeting  
20 before we officially adjourn the open meeting?

21 (No audible response.)

22 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Hearing none, a motion  
23 to adjourn. All in favor?

24 (Chorus of aye.)

25 CHAIRMAN ALDERSON: Thank you. We are

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1 adjourned.

2 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went  
3 off the record at 11:37 a.m.)

4

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701