

**PUBLIC SUBMISSION**

20

As of: 3/31/16 10:32 AM  
 Received: March 27, 2016  
 Status: Pending Post  
 Tracking No. 1k0-8oqq-gpz2  
 Comments Due: March 28, 2016  
 Submission Type: Web

**Docket:** NRC-2015-0234

A Compendium of Spent Fuel Transportation Package Response Analyses to Severe Fire Accident Scenarios

**Comment On:** NRC-2015-0234-0001A Compendium of Spent Fuel Transportation Package Response Analyses to Severe Fire Accident Scenarios;  
Draft NUREG/CR-7209; Request for Comment**Document:** NRC-2015-0234-DRAFT-0021

Comment on FR Doc # 2016-01654

1/27/2016  
81FR 4680**Submitter Information****Name:** Anonymous Anonymous

RECEIVED

2016 MAR 31 AM 10:41

RULES AND DIRECTIVES  
BRANCH  
USP 10**General Comment**

NRC has once again failed to address the core problem involving transportation of highly radioactive used fuel rods. In order to transport, the fuel rods will have to be transferred to a transport cask; however, the fragile, "thick canisters that are being used at most nuclear facilities may likely be leaking radiation at the time of transfer, making transfer itself a potentially lethal undertaking.

The potential for terrorism with regard to transportation of highly radioactive nuclear fuel is terrifying. With the rise in international terrorism and the targeting of nuclear power plants by ISIL, the oversized trucks and trains transporting nuclear fuel rods might as well have bull's eyes painted on them. These trucks and trains will be slow-moving, vulnerable, and lethal targets for terrorist groups who wish to do damage or to secure nuclear materials.

Highly radioactive spent fuel rods should not be moved more than once. There is no permanent repository for the waste now; Congress has yet to even designate a proper, safe location for disposition of radioactive materials. To move the lethal waste not once, but TWICE, in order to continue to produce nuclear power, is unconscionable.

**SUNSI Review Complete**

Template = ADM - 013

E-RIDS= ADM -03

Add= J. Chang (FUCH)