

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: 3/31/16 10:31 AM
 Received: March 27, 2016
 Status: Pending Post
 Tracking No. 1k0-8oql-r65c
 Comments Due: March 28, 2016
 Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2015-0234

A Compendium of Spent Fuel Transportation Package Response Analyses to Severe Fire Accident Scenarios

Comment On: NRC-2015-0234-0001

A Compendium of Spent Fuel Transportation Package Response Analyses to Severe Fire Accident Scenarios;
 Draft NUREG/CR-7209; Request for Comment

Document: NRC-2015-0234-DRAFT-0017

Comment on FR Doc # 2016-01654

16

Submitter Information

Name: Simone Malboeuf

Address:

309 Henrietta Ave
 Los Osos, CA, 93402

Email: simonemalboeuf@gmail.com

1/27/2016
 81 FR 4680

RECEIVED

2016 MAR 31 AM 10:40

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
 SEARCH
 INFO

General Comment

The only NRC approved high burnup transport cask is the NUHOMS MP197HB. NUREG/CR-7209 postulates that highly radioactive spent fuel rods will be transported using the HOLTEC HI STAR 100, which is not approved for high burnup fuel transport. Most of the irradiated spent fuel stored onsite at nuclear facilities can be classified as high burnup. High burnup fuel may need as long as 45 years in storage before it is deemed safe enough for transport.

NRC has once again failed to address the core problem involving transportation of highly radioactive used fuel rods. In order to transport, the fuel rods will have to be transferred to a transport cask; however, the fragile, "thick canisters that are being used at most nuclear facilities may likely be leaking radiation at the time of transfer, making transfer itself a potentially lethal undertaking.

The potential for terrorism with regard to transportation of highly radioactive nuclear fuel is terrifying. With the rise in international terrorism and the targeting of nuclear power plants by ISIL, the oversized trucks and trains transporting nuclear fuel rods might as well have bull's eyes painted on them. These trucks and trains will be slow-moving, vulnerable, and lethal targets for terrorist groups who wish to do damage or to secure nuclear materials.

Highly radioactive spent fuel rods should not be moved more than once. There is no permanent repository for the waste now; Congress has yet to even designate a proper, safe location for disposition of radioactive

SONSI Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

F-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Chung (fxc)

materials. To move the lethal waste not once, but TWICE, in order to continue to produce nuclear power, is unconscionable.

There really is no real logical and sane answer to the terrible issue of what to do with the highly radioactive waste that keeps multiplying over and over in hundreds of sites not only in the US, but all over the earth. No responsible adult would vote to continue to make this poison for future generations to be burdened with. WE MUST STOP MAKING IT NOW.

Moving this toxic radioactive waste around makes no sense. It is immoral to dump it into the backyards of indigenous or other poor people who cannot defend their home lands. The burden of caring for it and the expense belongs to those who made it - the nuclear industry should be made to fund and monitor the product that they made so much money on. It's just the cost of their doing business. They made the profits - they pay the costs.