

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: 3/31/16 10:00 AM
Received: March 26, 2016
Status: Pending Post
Tracking No. 1k0-8opy-3z5a
Comments Due: March 28, 2016
Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2015-0234

A Compendium of Spent Fuel Transportation Package Response Analyses to Severe Fire Accident Scenarios

Comment On: NRC-2015-0234-0001

A Compendium of Spent Fuel Transportation Package Response Analyses to Severe Fire Accident Scenarios;
Draft NUREG/CR-7209; Request for Comment

Document: NRC-2015-0234-DRAFT-0005

Comment on FR Doc # 2016-01654

1/27/2016 (H)
81FR 4680

Submitter Information

Name: Carl Wurtz

General Comment

My comment is regarding Conclusions and Recommendations in NRC's draft report (8-1). I am in agreement with NRC's conclusion that

"These analyses confirmed that failure of shielding is not an issue in fire accident scenarios for SNF packages. Packages are designed to meet regulatory requirements in any credible loss-of-shielding scenario, including fire accidents.

Packages are shown to be extremely robust in their response to severe, real-world accident scenarios.

Analyses of conservative, bounding representations of severe fire accident scenarios are predicted to have less than an A2 quantity release."

Though it's impossible to predict all potential accident situations with certainty, NRC has undertaken an extraordinarily robust and comprehensive effort to ensure public safety. In my opinion, the methods which are used to ship SNF represent an infinitesimal risk to the public, far outweighed by nuclear energy's overall value to society and protecting the earth's climate.

SUNSI Review Complete

Template = ADM - 013

E-RIDS= ADM -03

Add= J. Chang (FXCH)