

PETITIONS CLOSED DURING THIS PERIOD		
	PETITIONER/EDO No.	Page
All operating reactor licensees	Thomas Saporito, Saprohani Associates OEDO-15-00654	3
Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant	Claire Malley, for Bullard High School students LTR-15-0337-1	4
Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station and Kewaunee Nuclear Power Plant	Michael Mulligan OEDO-15-00251	5
CURRENT STATUS OF OPEN PETITIONS		
St. Lucie Plant, Unit 2	Southern Alliance for Clean Energy OEDO-15-00026	6
James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant	Beyond Nuclear, et al. G20120172	7
Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station	David Lochbaum, Union of Concerned Scientists OEDO-15-00479	8
All operating reactor licensees	Roy Mathew, et al. OEDO-16-00104	9

Enclosure

CURRENT STATUS OF OPEN PETITIONS UNDER CONSIDERATION		
	PETITIONER/EDO No.	Page
Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station	Mary Lampert LTR-15-0319	10
Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant	Friends of the Earth CLI-15-14	11
Seabrook Station	Sandra Gavutis, C-10 Foundation OEDO-16-00007	12
Turkey Point Nuclear Generating Units Nos. 3 and 4	Thomas Saporito LTR-16-0160	13
Turkey Point Nuclear Generation Unit Nos. 3 and 4 and St. Lucie Plant, Units 1 and 2	Thomas Saporito LTR-16-0123	14
Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station	Thomas Gurdziel OEDO-16-00204	15

For each petition listed above, the individual status page summarizes the issues raised by the petitioner, the current status, and the next steps. When a petition is received, it is reviewed against criteria to determine if it should be accepted for evaluation or rejected; a petition undergoing this review is referred to as a petition under consideration. Rejection of a petition is communicated in a closure letter. If a petition has been accepted for further evaluation, it is considered an open petition until the staff formally grants or denies the requested action in a Director's Decision (DD).

Timeliness goals are established for each open petition as follows:

- Issue an acknowledgment letter within 35 days of the date of the incoming petition.
- Issue a proposed DD within 120 days of the acknowledgment letter.
- Issue a final DD within 45 days of the end of the comment period.

**CLOSED PETITION**

***OEDO-15-00654 (Petition Age: 8 months)***

---

Facility:	All Operating Reactor Licensees
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Thomas Saporito
Date of Petition:	July 30, 2015
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	Not Applicable
Final DD Issuance:	Not Applicable
Last Contact with Petitioner:	February 26, 2016
Petition Manager:	Merrilee Banic
Case Attorney:	Robert Carpenter

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take enforcement action to require licensees to determine how they could prevent drone attacks. The petition also applies to facilities that may not be currently operating, but continue to store nuclear fuel at the facilities.

Background:

- On July 30, 2015, during a transcribed teleconference concerning another petition, the petitioner requested enforcement action be taken regarding drones.
- On September 8, 2015, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition.
- On September 25, 2015, the petition manager offered the petitioner an opportunity to address the petition review board (PRB).
- On September 28, 2015, the petitioner accepted an opportunity to address the PRB.
- On October 20, 2015, the petitioner addressed the PRB via a teleconference and supplemented his petition. He also requested that the NRC require that licensees shutdown until they perform certain actions.
- On November 3, 2015, the PRB met to make a recommendation on the request for immediate action, and to make an initial recommendation on whether to accept the petition.

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On January 5, 2016, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the PRB recommended rejecting the petition based on the Federal Aviation Administration's (FAA's) authority to regulate aircraft operations, including those of unmanned aircraft systems. The petition manager also offered the petitioner a second opportunity to address the PRB.
- On January 14, 2016, the petitioner addressed the PRB a second time via teleconference.
- On February 16, the Office of the Executive Director for Operations (OEDO) granted an extension until February 29, 2016, to issue a letter to the petitioner documenting the PRB's decision.
- On February 26, 2016, the NRC issued a letter to the petitioner (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML16019A202) documenting the PRB's decision to reject the petition based on the FAA's authority to regulate aircraft operations, including those of unmanned aircraft systems. All actions on this petition are closed.

**CLOSED PETITION**

***LTR-15-0337-1 (Petition Age: 11 months)***

---

Facility:	Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Claire Malley, for Bullard High School students, Fresno, California
Date of Petition:	May 19, 2015
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	Not Applicable
Final DD Issuance:	Not Applicable
Last Contact with Petitioner:	November 23, 2015 (attempted contact)
Petition Manager:	Siva Lingam
Case Attorney:	Shelbie Lewman

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested closure of the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant citing seismic and health concerns.

Background:

- On May 19, 2015, the petitioner submitted a letter to the Chairman requesting closure of the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant because of seismic and health (cancer) concerns.
- On June 19, 2015, the OEDO ticketed the letter for appropriate action.
- The PRB made efforts to find contact information for Ms. Malley since she is no longer a student at Bullard High School in order to ask her if she wanted her request to be processed as a 2.206 petition.
- On August 10, 2015, PRB members contacted the petitioner's high school teacher, who had been listed as a point of contact. The teacher did not respond.
- The PRB members made other efforts, which turned out to be unsuccessful, to contact the petitioner through the high school teacher and the school principal after the school year started.
- On October 23, 2015, the principal provided Ms. Malley's contact information, and requested that the PRB contact her directly.
- On November 23, 2015, PRB members called the petitioner's cell phone number and left a message to call the PRB chairman. The petitioner did not respond.
- The PRB decided to close the petition by an inter-office memorandum to file.

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On March 16, 2016, an inter-office memorandum to file documenting the closure of issues raised in the petition was issued (ADAMS Accession No. ML16029A232). The petitioner's concerns have been the subject of NRC review and evaluation for which resolution has been achieved. All actions on this petition are closed.

**CLOSED PETITION**

**EDO # OEDO-15-00251 (Petition Age: 12 months)**

---

Facility:	Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station Kewaunee Nuclear Power Plant
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Michael Mulligan
Date of Petition:	March 26, 2015
DD to be Issued by:	Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	January 10, 2016
Final DD Issuance:	March 29, 2016
Last Contact with Petitioner:	March 29, 2016
Petition Manager:	Stephen Koenick
Case Attorney:	Patricia Jehle

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested emergency inspections of reactor vessels of the Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station and Kewaunee Nuclear Power Plant because of cracks that were found in the Belgium Doel 3 and Tihange 2 Nuclear Power Stations in Belgium. Furthermore, the petitioner requested that if serious flaws were discovered, that vessels in all U.S. plants be inspected within six months.

Background:

- On March 26, 2015, the petitioner filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- On April 28, 2015, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition, and offered the petitioner an opportunity to address the PRB.
- On May 8, 2015, the OEDO approved an extension until July 25, 2015, to issue a letter to the petitioner.
- On May 19, 2015, the PRB held a teleconference with the petitioner.
- On June 24, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioner that his petition was being accepted, and established that the petitioner did not wish to address the PRB a second time.
- On August 20, 2015, the NRC issued an acknowledgement letter to the petitioner that accepted his petition for review (ADAMS Accession No. ML15181A099).

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On January 20, 2016, the NRC issued a proposed DD to the petitioner (ADAMS Accession No. ML15286A235) stating that the NRC would not be instituting the proceeding requested by the petitioner because the issues have been subject to NRC review and resolved.
- On March 29, 2016, the NRC issued a final DD (ADAMS Accession No. ML16054A731). All actions on this petition are closed.

**OPEN PETITION**

**EDO # OEDO-15-00026 (Petition Age: 16 months)**

---

Facility:	St. Lucie Plant, Unit 2
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Southern Alliance for Clean Energy
Date of Petition:	December 19, 2014
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Final DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Last Contact with Petitioner:	February 18, 2016
Petition Manager:	Dennis Galvin
Case Attorney:	Emily Monteith

Issues/Actions Requested:

The Southern Alliance for Clean Energy (SACE) originally requested a hearing regarding St. Lucie's Unit 2 steam generators. The organization did not ask for any enforcement actions against the licensee. Rather, SACE argued that the staff's inspection and oversight of the replacement steam generators constituted a de facto license amendment.

Background:

- On December 19, 2014, the Commission denied SACE's request and referred it to the OEDO for dispositioning under the 10 CFR 2.206 process (Memorandum and Order CLI-14-11).
- For a complete summary of NRC actions through June 2015, see the April-June 2015 10 CFR 2.206 status report (ADAMS Accession No. ML15181A271).
- On August 4, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the petition was still under review.
- On September 28, 2015, the NRC issued an acknowledgement letter to the petitioner that partially accepted the petition for review (ADAMS Accession No. ML15205A313). Specifically, the PRB determined the concern regarding the licensee's application of the 10 CFR 50.59 process to the steam generator replacement, with respect to a change in a methodology as described in the updated final safety analysis report, met the criteria for accepting the petition.
- On December 7, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the petition was still under review.

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On January 6, 2016, the OEDO approved an extension until June 10, 2016, to issue a proposed DD.
- On February 3, 2016, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the petition was still under review.
- On February 18, 2016, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the inspection report related to the petition was issued and would be considered in the proposed DD.
- The next step is to develop and issue a proposed DD to the petitioner.

**OPEN PETITION**

**EDO # G20120172 (Petition Age: 49 months)**

---

Facility:	James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant (FitzPatrick)
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Beyond Nuclear, et al. (Joint Petitioners)
Date of Petition:	March 9, 2012
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Final DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Last Contact with Petitioner:	November 12, 2015
Petition Manager:	Booma Venkataraman
Case Attorney:	Patricia Jehle

Issues/Actions Requested:

The joint petitioners requested that the FitzPatrick operating license be immediately suspended because they believe the operator relies on non-conservative and wrong assumptions for the analysis of the capability of FitzPatrick's pre-existing ductwork containment vent system. The joint petitioners requested that the suspension of the operating license be in effect pending final resolution of a public challenge to the adequacy of the pre-existing vent line in light of the Fukushima Dai-ichi nuclear accident. The joint petitioners did not seek or request that FitzPatrick operators now install the Direct Torus Vent System since it was demonstrated to have experienced multiple failures to mitigate the severe nuclear accidents at Fukushima Dai-ichi.

Background:

- On March 9, 2012, the petitioners filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- For a complete summary of NRC actions through March 2015, see the January-March 2015 10 CFR 2.206 status report (ADAMS Accession No. ML15097A477).
- On June 29, 2015, a second public meeting was held with the petitioners.
- On July 15, 2015, the PRB met to make a final decision on the petition, which remained unchanged from the initial decision to partially accept the petition. The initial recommendation, which the petition manager conveyed to the petitioner on October 4, 2012, was to accept and hold in abeyance three issues addressing containment venting under severe accident conditions, and the design of vent systems being able to accommodate hydrogen gas.
- On November 12, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioners that the petition was still under review.

Current Status/Next Steps:

- The next step is to issue an acknowledgement letter to the petitioners, partially accepting the petition. The acknowledgement letter was on hold awaiting issuance of the Commission Staff Requirements Memoranda (SRM) regarding Tier 2 and 3 activities of the Japan Lessons-Learned Division is issued. The SRM was issued on February 8, 2016, therefore the acknowledgement letter will soon be issued.

**OPEN PETITION**

***OEDO-15-00479 (Petition Age: 9 months)***

---

Facility:	Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	David Lochbaum, Union of Concerned Scientists
Date of Petition:	June 24, 2015
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Final DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Last Contact with Petitioner:	February 11, 2016
Petition Manager:	Booma Venkataraman
Case Attorney:	Robert Carpenter

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioners requested that NRC take enforcement action regarding the inclusion of heavy rainfall events within the licensing basis for the Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station. The petitioners cited a letter dated March 12, 2015 (ADAMS Accession No. ML15075A082), from Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (the licensee), to NRC, which contained a flood re-evaluation report in response to NRC's 50.54(f) letter, dated March 12, 2012 (ADAMS Accession No.

ML12073A348), to satisfy one of NRC's post-Fukushima mandates. The petitioners noted that doors exist at Pilgrim to protect equipment from submergence during heavy rainfall events, but such events are not included in the current licensing basis.

Background:

- On June 24, 2015, the petitioner filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- For a complete summary of NRC actions through September 2015, see the July-September 2015 10 CFR 2.206 status report (ADAMS Accession No. ML15279A566).
- On October 9, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioner that his petition was still under review, to which the petitioner replied on the same day that he was discussing with co-petitioners whether to withdraw the petition considering the licensee's recent announcement of plans to permanently close Pilgrim by June 2019.
- On November 16, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the NRC was accepting the petition, and offered him a second opportunity to address the PRB.
- On December 14, 2015, the petitioner informed the petition manager he did not wish to address the PRB a second time.

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On February 11, 2016, the NRC issued an acknowledgement letter (ADAMS Accession No. ML15356A735) accepting the petition for review.
- The next step is to prepare a proposed DD.



## OPEN PETITION

***OEDO-16-00104 (Petition Age: 1 month)***

---

Facility:	All operating reactor licensees
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Roy Mathew, et al.
Date of Petition:	February 19, 2016
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	July 25, 2016
Final DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Last Contact with Petitioner:	March 21, 2016
Petition Manager:	Tanya Mensah
Case Attorney:	David Cylkowski

### Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioners requested that either (1) the NRC issue orders that require immediate corrective actions, including compensatory measures to address the operability of electric power systems in accordance with their plant technical specification, and to implement plant modifications in accordance with current NRC regulatory requirements and staff guidance, or (2) issue orders to immediately shutdown the nuclear power plants that are operating without addressing the significant design deficiency identified in NRC Bulletin 2012-01, "Design Vulnerability in Electric Power System," contending that licensees are not in compliance with their Technical Specifications 3.8.1 (typical) requirements related to onsite and offsite power systems.

### Background:

- On February 19, 2016, petitioners filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.

### Current Status/Next Steps:

- On February 24, 2016, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition, and offered the petitioners an opportunity to address the PRB.
- On February 24, 2016, the petitioners informed the petition manager that the petitioners did not wish to address the PRB on the basis that their petition contained all of the relevant facts.
- On March 14, 2016, the PRB met to determine whether there was a need to take immediate actions and to make an initial recommendation on the petition.
- On March 15, 2016, the petition manager informed the petitioners of the PRB's decision to deny the request for immediate action and the PRB's initial recommendation to accept the petition for review. The petition manager also offered the petitioners a second opportunity to address the PRB, which was declined on the basis that the petition contained all of the relevant facts.
- On March 21, 2016, the NRC issued a letter to the petitioners (ADAMS Accession No. ML16069A214) denying the request for immediate action, and accepting the petition for review.
- The next step is to prepare a proposed DD.

**OPEN PETITION UNDER CONSIDERATION**  
**EDO # LTR-15-0319 (Petition Age: 10 months)**

---

Facility:	Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Mary Lampert
Date of Petition:	June 11, 2015
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Final DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Last Contact with Petitioner:	March 31, 2016
Petition Manager:	Richard Guzman
Case Attorney:	Emily Monteith

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that the NRC evaluate the adequacy of Pilgrim's Radiological Emergency Plan and Procedures due to alleged deficiencies in Federal Emergency Management Agency and Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency reasonable assurance assessments. The petitioner is also concerned with Pilgrim switchyard vulnerability to flashovers, requesting that the NRC require Pilgrim to shut down as a precautionary measure when severe weather conditions are forecasted.

Background:

- On June 11, 2015, the petitioner filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- On June 11, 2015, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition, and offered the petitioner an opportunity to address the PRB.
- On July 9, 2015, a teleconference was held between the petitioner and the PRB.
- On July 13, 2015, the petitioner submitted Supplement 1 to her petition.
- On September 10, 2015, the PRB met to make an initial recommendation on the petition.
- On October 13, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioner that her petition is still under review.
- On December 9, 2015, the PRB met to further discuss an initial recommendation on the petition.

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On March 31, 2016, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the initial recommendation was to reject the petition because the petitioner raises issues that are either non-enforcement-related actions and outside the scope of the 2.206 process, or have already been the subject of NRC staff review for which resolution has been achieved. The petition manager also offered the petitioner a second opportunity to address the PRB.
- Although out of the timeframe of this quarterly status report, the petitioner addressed the PRB for a second time on April 20, 2016. The next step will be for the PRB to determine if the initial recommendation should be revised.

**OPEN PETITION UNDER CONSIDERATION**  
**CLI-15-14 (Petition Age: 10 months)**

---

Facility:	Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant (Diablo Canyon)
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Friends of the Earth
Date of Petition:	May 21, 2015
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Final DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Last Contact with Petitioner:	February 8, 2016
Petition Manager:	Lisa Regner
Case Attorney:	Shelbie Lewman

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petition was referred to the 10 CFR 2.206 process by the Commission. The petitioner claimed that the NRC was allowing the licensee to operate Diablo Canyon outside of the plant's licensing basis with respect to the analysis of new seismic data following discovery of the Shoreline Fault in 2008.

Background:

- On May 21, 2015, the Commission referred, in part, by way of SECY-15-0028, "Pacific Gas and Electric Company (Diablo Canyon Power Plant Units 1 and 2), a Petition to Intervene and Request for Hearing by Friends of the Earth," to the OEDO for consideration under the 10 CFR 2.206 process.
- On July 27, 2015, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition.
- On August 10, 2015, the petition manager offered the petitioner an opportunity to address the PRB.
- On September 16, 2015, the petition manager acknowledged the petitioner's request to address the PRB by written communication instead of in person.
- On September 30, 2015, the petitioner submitted a supplement to the petition.
- On November 3, 2015, the PRB met to make a recommendation on whether to accept the petition for review. The preliminary determination was to accept the petition.

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On January 7, 2016, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the petition was being accepted.
- On February 8, 2016, the petitioner submitted a written supplement to the petition in lieu of addressing the PRB.
- On March 15, 2016, the OEDO approved an extension until April 8, 2016.
- On March 16, 2016, the PRB met to discuss the supplement and determine a final recommendation on whether to accept or reject the petition.
- On April 12, 2016, the NRC issued an acknowledgement letter to the petitioner that accepted the petition for review (ADAMS Accession No. ML16084A717).
- On April 20, 2016 the OEDO approved an extension until August 12, 2016.
- The next step is to develop and issue a proposed DD to the petitioner.

**OPEN PETITION UNDER CONSIDERATION**  
***OEDO-16-00007 (Petition Age: 4 months)***

---

Facility:	Seabrook Station
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Sandra Gavutis, C-10 Foundation
Date of Petition:	December 22, 2015
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Final DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Last Contact with Petitioner:	March 23, 2016
Petition Manager:	John Lamb
Case Attorney:	Lorraine Baer

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested the NRC to issue an order requiring immediate implementation and enforcement of ACI 349.3R and ASTM C 856-11 code standards requiring core sampling, and petrographic testing for the mechanical properties of tensile strength, Poisson's ratio, modulus of elasticity, and compressive strength, specifically for walls of the containment building and spent fuel pool at Seabrook Station.

Background:

- On December 22, 2015, petitioner filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- On January 8, 2016, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition, and offered the petitioner an opportunity to address the PRB.

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On January 14, 2016, the petitioner emailed the petition manager requesting a teleconference with the PRB.
- On February 12, 2016, David Lochbaum, of the Union of Concerned Scientists, stated that he would participate in the teleconference and submitted comments to support the petition.
- On February 15, 2016, the petitioner submitted additional comments on their petition.
- On February 16, 2016, the petitioner addressed the PRB by teleconference.
- On February 24, 2016, and March 17, 2016, the PRB met to make an initial recommendation on whether to accept the petition.
- On March 23, 2016, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the initial recommendation was to reject the petition because it addresses deficiencies within existing rules, and should be handled through a petition for rulemaking, and offered a second opportunity to address the PRB.
- The petitioner accepted the second opportunity to address the PRB, which is scheduled for June 6, 2016.

**OPEN PETITION UNDER CONSIDERATION**

***LTR-16-0160 (Petition Age: 1 month)***

---

Facility:	Turkey Point Nuclear Generating Units Nos. 3 and 4
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Thomas Saporito
Date of Petition:	March 23, 2016
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Final DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Last Contact with Petitioner:	March 29, 2016
Petition Manager:	Audrey Klett
Case Attorney:	Shelbie Lewman

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that the NRC take escalated enforcement action and issue a confirmatory order to take Turkey Point to a cold-shutdown mode of operation until the licensee meets the conditions outlined in the petition concerning the discharge of radioactive isotopes and other contaminants into the surrounding environment.

Background:

- On March 23, 2016, the petitioner filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On March 29, 2016, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition and offered the petitioner an opportunity to address the PRB, which he accepted.

**OPEN PETITION UNDER CONSIDERATION**

***LTR-16-0123 (Petition Age: 1 month)***

---

Facility:	Turkey Point Nuclear Generating Units Nos. 3 and 4 St. Lucie Plant, Units 1 and 2
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Thomas Saporito
Date of Petition:	March 6, 2016
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Final DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Last Contact with Petitioner:	March 30, 2016
Petition Manager:	Perry Buckberg
Case Attorney:	Shelbie Lewman

**Issues/Actions Requested:**

The petitioner requested that the NRC take escalated enforcement action and issue a confirmatory order to take Turkey Point and St. Lucie to a cold-shutdown mode of operation until the licensee meets conditions outlined in the petition concerning the operation of intake cooling water structures, piping, and facilities that provide an unauthorized means of ingress to the protected area of the facilities.

**Background:**

- On March 6, 2016, petitioner filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.

**Current Status/Next Steps:**

- On March 30, 2016, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition, and offered the petitioner an opportunity to address the PRB, which he accepted.

**OPEN PETITION UNDER CONSIDERATION**  
***OEDO-16-00204 (Petition Age: 1 month)***

---

Facility:	Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Thomas Gurdziel
Date of Petition:	February 19, 2016
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Final DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Last Contact with Petitioner:	March 29, 2016
Petition Manager:	Blake Purnell
Case Attorney:	Daniel Straus

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that the NRC take escalated enforcement action against personnel during a shift in which they were accepting a one half scram, and continuing to do nuclear instrument calibration. The enforcement action would be prohibition from any plant duties for at least 30 days, a refresher course on nuclear instrumentation with a test and a required passing mark, and a one hour minimum discussion on conservative decisionmaking in plant operations.

Background:

- On February 19, 2016, the petitioner filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On March 29, 2016, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition and offered the petitioner an opportunity to address the PRB.