

DISTRIBUTION AFTER ISSUANCE OF OPERATING LICENSE

NRC FORM 195

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

DOCKET NUMBER

50-269/270/287

FILE NUMBER

NRC DISTRIBUTION FOR PART 50 DOCKET MATERIAL

TO:

E G Case

FROM: Duke Power Company
Charlotte, NC
W O ParkerDATE OF DOCUMENT
9-21-77

DATE RECEIVED 9-27-77

☒ LETTER☐ NOTORIZED

PROP

INPUT FORM

NUMBER OF COPIES RECEIVED

☒ ORIGINAL☒ UNCLASSIFIED☐ COPY

1 Signed

DESCRIPTION

lp

p

ENCLOSURE

Inservice Inspection & testing program

.....

3/8 inch

PLANT NAME: Ozonee 1-3

9-27-77

ehf

1 CY ENCL Rec'd *

SAFETY

FOR ACTION/INFORMATION

BRANCH CHIEF: (7)

Schwenger

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

☒ REG FILE

NRC PDR

I & E (2)

OELD

HANAUER

CHECK

STELLO

EISENHUT

SHAO

BAER

BUTLER

GRIMES

J. COLLINS

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

LPDR: WALHALLA, S.C.

TIC

NSIC

16 CYS ACRS SENT CATEGORY B

CONTROL NUMBER

77270062

DUKE POWER COMPANY

POWER BUILDING

422 SOUTH CHURCH STREET, CHARLOTTE, N. C. 28242


REGULATORY DOCKET FILE COPY

WILLIAM O. PARKER, JR.
VICE PRESIDENT
STEAM PRODUCTION

September 21, 1977

TELEPHONE: AREA 704
373-4083

Mr. Edson G. Case, Acting Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555




RE: Oconee Nuclear Station
Docket No. 50-269, 50-270, 50-287

Dear Sir:

My letter of July 8, 1977 requested revisions to the Oconee Nuclear Station Technical Specifications which would incorporate the inservice inspection programs required by 10CFR50.55a. The beginning of the next 40-month inservice inspection interval for Oconee units 2 and 3 is considered to be January 9, 1978. The present inservice inspection interval for Oconee 1 began on November 15, 1976. The purpose of this letter is to provide a detailed description of the inservice inspection program for Oconee 2 and 3 as was requested by Mr. A. Schwencer's letter of November 30, 1976. Specific relief is requested pursuant to 10CFR50.55a §(g) (5) (iv) to certain examination and testing requirements which are considered impractical for the Oconee units.

It is our interpretation of the regulations that the implementation of the inservice inspection program required by 10CFR50.55a and simultaneously the continuation of the program required by the Oconee Nuclear Station Technical Specifications is required. Prompt revision of the Oconee Technical Specifications is requested in order to minimize the quantity of testing which is performed, and to reduce the confusion which exist when two different programs must be simultaneously performed. It should be noted that the Oconee 1 program was submitted on October 1, 1976 and has still not been approved by the Commission.

Very truly yours,


William O. Parker, Jr.

MST/mlr

772700062