

## **Rulemaking1CEm Resource**

---

**From:** RulemakingComments Resource  
**Sent:** Monday, September 14, 2015 4:49 PM  
**To:** Rulemaking1CEm Resource  
**Subject:** Comment on PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30  
**Attachments:** NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0259.pdf

### **DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

**SECY-067**

**PR#:** PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PR-20-30

**FRN#:** 80FR35870

**NRC DOCKET#:** NRC-2015-0057

**SECY DOCKET DATE:** 9/4/15

**TITLE:** Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

**COMMENT#:** 258

**Hearing Identifier:** Secy\_RuleMaking\_comments\_Public  
**Email Number:** 1046

**Mail Envelope Properties** (61859497ec244304b08965dce321ec7e)

**Subject:** Comment on PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30  
**Sent Date:** 9/14/2015 4:48:53 PM  
**Received Date:** 9/14/2015 4:48:54 PM  
**From:** RulemakingComments Resource

**Created By:** RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

**Recipients:**  
"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** HQPWMSMRS05.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	295	9/14/2015 4:48:54 PM
NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0259.pdf		68283

**Options**  
**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> 9/5/15 10:12 AM <b>Received:</b> September 04, 2015 <b>Status:</b> Pending_Post <b>Tracking No.</b> 1jz-8ky2-ihf4 <b>Comments Due:</b> September 08, 2015 <b>Submission Type:</b> Web
--

**Docket:** NRC-2015-0057

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

**Comment On:** NRC-2015-0057-0010

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation; Notice of Docketing and Request for Comment

**Document:** NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0259

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-15441

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Marilyn Boosinger

## General Comment

I am appalled at the cavalier attitude of so-called "scientists" who are willing to expose human beings to higher levels of radiation based on some flaky voodoo theory of hormesis! Where is the science justifying this? In spite of what the three doctors state, there is a preponderance of evidence showing that when a person is frequently exposed to radiation, even supposedly "low" levels, that individual is at increased risk for a variety of cancers, as well as the teratogenic effect on human embryos. Their logic is pathetically flawed when, instead of calling for greater safety measures for nuclear industry workers and medical patients, they propose arbitrarily raising the ceiling on allowable exposure.

Dr. Mohan Doss claims he only wants to "help prevent unnecessary, radiation-phobia-related deaths, morbidity, and injuries associated with distrust of radio-medical diagnostics/therapies and from nuclear/radiological emergencies through countering phobia-promoting misinformation [sic] spread by alarmists [sic] via the news and other media including journal publications." Well, I promise you that those of us who have the sense to question the necessity of certain medical procedures in an attempt to limit our exposure to radiation are certainly not going to consent to higher levels of exposure! In fact, many who are comfortable with current imaging and treatment procedures will balk at the idea of being subjected to greatly increased multiple exposures. So much for assuring the public that "doctor knows best!"

Government agencies have a mandate to act in behalf of the American constituency, NOT corporate lobbyists. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission is duty bound to enact regulations that err on the side of caution. Never has the Precautionary Principle been so applicable as in the regulation of all aspects of nuclear generation.

I only recently discovered this proposed rule. I have alerted a number of acquaintances, but most people

remain unaware that the actions of three petitioners may possibly have a lasting deleterious effect on the health of them and their children. Please do not allow the credentials of these misguided professionals to sway NRC officials and override the health and safety of millions! Thank you.