

ATTACHMENT 1

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION

Remove Page

4.0-1  
4.1-3  
4.1-4  
4.1-5  
4.1-6  
4.1-7  
4.1-8  
4.1-8a  
-----  
4.1-9  
4.2-1  
4.2-2  
4.4-17  
4.4-20  
4.5-1  
4.5-2  
4.5-4  
4.5-5  
4.5-6  
4.5-7  
4.5-8  
4.5-9  
4.6-1  
4.7-1  
4.8-1  
4.9-1  
4.12-1  
4.14-1  
4.14-2  
4.18-1  
4.18-2  
4.18-4  
4.20-5

Insert Page

4.0-1  
4.1-3  
4.1-4  
4.1-5  
4.1-6  
4.1-7  
4.1-8  
4.1-8a  
4.1-8b  
4.1-9  
4.2-1  
4.2-2  
4.4-17  
4.4-20  
4.5-1  
4.5-2  
4.5-4  
4.5-5  
4.5-6  
4.5-7  
4.5-8  
4.5-9  
4.6-1  
4.7-1  
4.8-1  
4.9-1  
4.12-1  
4.14-1  
4.14-2  
4.18-1  
4.18-2  
4.18-4  
4.20-5

9802110017 980202  
PDR ADDCK 05000269  
P PDR

## 4 SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

### 4.0 SURVEILLANCE STANDARDS

#### Applicability

Applies to surveillance requirements which relate to tests, calibrations and inspections necessary to assure that the quality of structures, systems and components is maintained and that operation is within the safety limits and limiting conditions for operation.

#### Objective

To specify minimum acceptable surveillance requirements.

#### Specification

4.0.1 Surveillance of structures, systems, components and parameters shall be as specified in the various subsections to this Technical Specification section, Section 4.0, except as permitted by Technical Specifications 4.0.2 and 4.0.3 below.

4.0.2 Minimum surveillance frequencies, unless specified otherwise, may be adjusted as follows to facilitate test scheduling:

<u>Specified Frequency</u>	<u>Maximum Allowable Interval Between Surveillances</u>
Five times per week	2 days
Two times per week	5 days
Weekly	10 days
Bi-Weekly	20 days
Monthly	45 days
Bi-Monthly	90 days
Quarterly	135 days
Semiannually	270 days
Annually	18 months
18 months	22 months, 15 days
Refueling Outage	22 months, 15 days
Clarifying words in individual specifications such as "every," "at least," or "at least once every" are not intended to alter the frequencies defined by this specification.	

4.0.3 If conditions exist such that surveillance of an item is not necessary to assure that operation is within the safety limits and limiting conditions for operation, surveillance need not be performed if such conditions continue for a length of time greater than the specified surveillance interval. Surveillance waived as a result of this specification shall be performed prior to returning to conditions for which the surveillance is necessary to assure that operation is within safety limits and limiting conditions for operation.

4.0.4 Inservice testing of ASME Code Class 1, 2 and 3 pumps and valves shall be performed in accordance with Section XI of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code and applicable addenda as required by 10 CFR 50 Section 50.55a(g)(4) to the extent practicable within the limitations of design, geometry and materials of construction of the components.

Table 4.1-1

INSTRUMENT SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

<u>Channel Description</u>	<u>Check</u>	<u>Test</u>	<u>Calibrate</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
1. Protective Channel Coincidence Logic in the Reactor Trip Modules	NA	MO	NA	
2. Control Rod Drive Trip Breaker, SCR Control Relays E and F	NA	MO(1)	NA	(1) This test shall independently confirm the operability of the shunt trip device and the undervoltage device.
3. Power Range Amplifier	ES(1)	NA	(1)	(1) Heat balance check each shift. Heat balance calibration whenever indicated core thermal power exceeds neutron power by more than 2 percent.
4. Power Range	ES	45 Days STB	MO(1)(2)	(1) Using incore instrumentation. (2) Axial offset upper and lower chambers after each startup if not done previous week.
5. Wide Range	ES(1)	PS	NA	(1) When in service.
6. Source Range	ES(1)	PS	NA	(1) When in service.
7. Reactor Coolant Temperature	ES	45 Days STB	18 months	1
8. High Reactor Coolant Pressure	ES	45 Days STB	18 months	1
9. Low Reactor Coolant Pressure	ES	45 Days STB	18 months	1

Table 4.1-1 (CONTINUED)

<u>Channel Description</u>	<u>Check</u>	<u>Test</u>	<u>Calibrate</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
10. Flux-Reactor Coolant Flow Comparator	ES	45 Days STB	18 months	
11. Reactor Coolant Pressure Temperature Comparator	ES	45 Days STB	18 months	
12. Pump-Flux Comparator	ES	45 Days STB	18 months	
13. High Reactor Building Pressure	DA	45 Days STB	18 months	
14. High Pressure Injection & Reactor Building Isolation Logic (Non-essential systems)	NA	MO	NA	Includes Reactor Building Isolation of non-essential systems
15. High Pressure Injection Analog Channels:				
a. Reactor Coolant Pressure	ES	MO	18 months	
b. Reactor Building Pressure (4 psig)	ES	MO	18 months	
16. Low Pressure Injection Logic	NA	MO	NA	

TABLE 4.1-1 (CONTINUED)

<u>Channel Description</u>	<u>Check</u>	<u>Test</u>	<u>Calibrate</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
17. Low Pressure Injection Analog Channels:				
a. Reactor Coolant Pressure	ES	MO	18 months	
b. Reactor Building Pressure (4 psig)	ES	MO	18 months	
18. Reactor Building Emergency Cooling and Isolation System Logic (Essential Systems)	NA	MO	NA	Reactor Building isolation includes essential systems
19. Reactor Building Emergency Cooling and Isolation System Analog Channel Reactor Building Pressure (4 psig)	ES	MO	18 months	
20. Reactor Building Spray System Logic	NA	MO	NA	
21. Reactor Building Spray System Analog Channel - Reactor Building High Pressure	NA	MO	18 months	
22. Pressurizer Temperature	ES	NA	18 months	
23. Control Rod Absolute Position	ES(1)	NA	18 months (2)	(1) Check with Relative Position Indicator. (2) Calibrate rod misalignment channel.

Table 4.1-1 (CONTINUED)

<u>Channel Description</u>	<u>Check</u>	<u>Test</u>	<u>Calibrate</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
24. Control Rod Relative Position	ES(1)	NA	18 months (2)	(1) Check with Absolute Position Indicator. (2) Calibrate rod misalignment channel.
25. Core Flood Tanks				
a. Pressure	ES	NA	18 months	
b. Level	ES	NA	18 months	
26. Pressurizer Level	ES	NA	18 months	
27. Letdown Storage Tank Level	DA	NA	18 months	
28. Delete				
29. High and Low Pressure Injection Systems Flow Channels	NA	NA	18 months	
30. Borated Water Storage Tank Level Indicator	WE	NA	18 months	
31. Boric Acid Mix Tank:				
a. Level	NA	NA	AN	
b. Temperature	MO	NA	AN	
32. Concentrated Boric Acid Storage Tank:				
a. Level	NA	NA	AN	
b. Temperature	MO	NA	AN	

Table 4.1-1 (CONTINUED)

<u>Channel Description</u>	<u>Check</u>	<u>Test</u>	<u>Calibrate</u>	<u>Remarks</u>	
33. Containment Temperature	NA	NA	18 months		
34. Incore Neutron Detectors	MO(1)	NA	NA	(1) Check functioning; including functioning of computer readout or recorder readout.	
35. Emergency Plant Radiation Instruments	MO(1)	NA	18 months	(1) Battery check.	
36. Environmental Monitors	MO(1)	NA	18 months	(1) Check functioning.	
37. Reactor Manual Trip	NA	PS	NA		
38. Reactor Building Emergency Sump Level	NA	NA	18 months		
39. Steam Generator Water Level	WE	NA	18 months		
40. Turbine Overspeed Trip	NA	NA	18 months		
41. Engineered Safeguards Channel 1 HP Injection & Reactor Building Isolation Manual Trip	NA	18 months	NA	Includes Reactor Building isolation of non-essential systems only	
42. Engineered Safeguards Channel 2 HP Injection & Reactor Building Isolation Manual Trip	NA	18 months	NA	Includes Reactor Building isolation of non-essential systems only	
43. Engineered Safeguards Channel 3 LP Injection Manual Trip	NA	18 months	NA		

Table 4.1-1 (CONTINUED)

<u>Channel Description</u>	<u>Check</u>	<u>Test</u>	<u>Calibrate</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
44. Engineered Safeguards Channel 4 LP Injection Manual Trip	NA	18 months	NA	
45. Engineered Safeguards Channel 5 RB Isolation & Cooling Manual Trip	NA	18 months	NA	Includes Reactor Building isolation of essential systems only
46. Engineered Safeguards Channel 6 RB Isolation & Cooling Manual Trip	NA	18 months	NA	Includes Reactor Building isolation of essential systems only
47. Engineered Safeguards Channel 7 Spray Manual Trip	NA	18 months	NA	
48. Engineered Safeguards Channel 8 Spray Manual Trip	NA	18 months	NA	
49. Emergency Feedwater Flow Indicators	MO	NA	18 months	
50. PORV and Safety Valve Position Indicators	MO	NA	18 months	
51. RPS Anticipatory Reactor Trip System Loss of Turbine Emergency Trip System Pressure Switches	NA	45 Days STB	18 months	



Table 4.1-1 (CONTINUED)

<u>Channel Description</u>	<u>Check</u>	<u>Test</u>	<u>Calibrate</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
52. RPS Anticipatory Reactor Trip System Loss of Main Feedwater				
a) Control Oil Pressure Switches	NA	45 Days STB	18 months	
53. Emergency Feedwater Initiation Circuits				
a) Control Oil Pressure Switches	NA	MO	18 months	
54. Containment High Range Radiation Monitor (RIA-57, 58)	NA	MO	18 months	TMI Item II.F.1.3 
55. Containment Pressure Monitor (PT-230, 231)	MO	NA	AN	TMI Item II.F.1.4
56. Containment Water Level Monitor-Wide Range (LT-90, -91)	MO	NA	18 months	TMI Item II.F.1.5 
57. Containment Hydrogen Monitor (MT-80,-81)	NA	MO	AN	TMI Item II.F.1.6
58. Wide Range Hot Leg Level	NA	18 months	18 months	
59. Reactor Vessel Head Level	NA	18 months	18 months	

Table 4.1-1 (CONTINUED)

<u>Channel Description</u>	<u>Check</u>	<u>Test</u>	<u>Calibrate</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
60. Core Exit Thermocouples	MO	NA	18 months	
61. Subcooling Monitors	MO	18 months	18 months	

---

ES - Each Shift	QU - Quarterly
DA - Daily	AN - Annually
WE - Weekly	PS - Prior to startup, if not performed previous week
MO - Monthly	NA - Not Applicable
	STB - STAGGERED TEST BASIS

Table 4.1-2  
MINIMUM EQUIPMENT TEST FREQUENCY

	<u>Item</u>	<u>Test</u>	<u>Frequency</u>
1.	Control Rod Movement <sup>(1)</sup>	Movement of Each Rod	Monthly
2.	Pressurizer Safety Valves	Setpoint	18 months <sup>(4)</sup>
3.	Main Steam Safety Valves	Setpoint	18 months <sup>(4)</sup>
4.	Refueling System Interlocks <sup>(5)</sup>	Functional	Prior to Refueling
5.	Main Steam Stop Valves <sup>(1)</sup>	Movement of Each Stop Valve	Monthly
6.	Reactor Coolant System <sup>(2)</sup> Leakage	Evaluate	Daily
7.	Condenser Circulating Water <sup>(6)</sup> Flow Test	Functional	18 months
8.	High Pressure Service Water Pumps and Power Supplies	Functional	Monthly
9.	Spent Fuel Cooling System	Functional	Prior to Refueling
10.	High Pressure and Low <sup>(3)</sup> Pressure Injection System	Vent Pump Casings	Monthly and Prior to Testing
11.	Emergency Feedwater Pump Automatic Start and Automatic Valve Actuation Feature	Functional	18 months
(1)	Applicable only when the reactor is critical.		
(2)	Applicable only when the reactor coolant is above 200°F and at a steady-state temperature and pressure.		
(3)	Operating pumps excluded.		
(4)	Number of safety valves to be tested every 18 months shall be in accordance with ASME Codes Section XI, Article IWB-3511, such that each valve is tested at least once every 5 years.		
(5)	Applicable only to the interlocks associated with the Reactor Building Purge System.		
(6)	Verification of the Emergency Condenser Circulating Water (ECCW) System function to supply siphon suction to the Low Pressure Service Water System shall be performed to ensure operability of the LPSW System.		

## 4.2 STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY OF ASME CODE CLASS 1, 2 AND 3 COMPONENTS

### Applicability

Applies to the surveillance of the ASME Code Class 1, 2 and 3 components.

### Objective

To assure the continued structural integrity of the ASME Code Class 1, 2 and 3 components.

### Specification

- 4.2.1 Inservice examination of ASME Code Class 1, 2 and 3 components shall be performed in accordance with Section XI of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code and applicable addenda as required by 10 CFR 50, Section 50.55a(g)(4), to the extent practicable within the limitations of design, geometry and materials of construction of the components, except where specific written relief has been granted by the Commission.
- 4.2.2 To assure the structural integrity of the reactor internals throughout the life of the unit, the two sets of main internals bolts (connecting the core barrel to the core support shield and to the lower grid cylinder) shall remain in place and under tension. This will be verified by visual inspection to determine that the welded bolt locking caps remain in place. All locking caps will be inspected after hot functional testing and whenever the internals are removed from the vessel during a refueling or maintenance shutdown. The core barrel to core support shield caps will be inspected every 18 months.
- 4.2.3 At approximately three-year intervals, the bore and keyway of each reactor coolant pump flywheel shall be subjected to an in-place, volumetric examination. Whenever maintenance or repair activities necessitate flywheel removal, a surface examination of exposed surfaces and a complete volumetric examination shall be performed if the interval measured from the previous such inspection is greater than 6 2/3 years.

- 4.2.6 The power operated relief valve (PORV) is used for low temperature overpressure protection of the RCS and shall be demonstrated operable by:
- a. Performing an operability test prior to each startup from cold shutdown.
  - b. Performing a calibration of the actuation circuit every 18 months.
  - c. Performing an inspection of the PORV at least once every two refueling cycles.
- 4.2.7 Each shift, the RCS vent(s) (as defined in Specification 3.1.2.9) shall be verified to be open, if the vent(s) is(are) being used for overpressure protection. If the vent pathway is provided with a valve which is locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in the open position, then these valves will open at least once per 31 days.

#### Bases

The surveillance program has been developed to comply with the applicable edition of Section XI and addenda of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Reactor Coolant Systems, as required by 10 CFR 50.55(a) to the extent practicable within limitations of design, geometry and materials of construction. The program places major emphasis on the area of highest stress concentrations and on areas where fast neutron irradiation might be sufficient to change material properties.

#### 4.4.3 Containment Hydrogen Control Systems

##### Applicability

Applies to the Containment Hydrogen Control Systems.

##### Objective

To verify that the Containment Hydrogen Control Systems are operable.

##### Specifications

##### 4.4.3.1 Containment Hydrogen Control System Piping

Every 18 months, the permanent piping for the Containment Hydrogen Control System shall be tested as follows:

- a. The post-LOCA flow paths shall be verified by connecting and operating either the Hydrogen Purge Unit or the Hydrogen Recombiner through each flow path as follows:
  1. The hydrogen Recombiner flow path circulates Reactor Building atmosphere at a flow greater than 50 SCFM.
  2. The Hydrogen Purge flow path removes Reactor Building atmosphere and discharges to the Unit vent stack at a flow greater than or equal to 45 SCFM.
- b. The blind isolation flanges on the Containment Hydrogen Control System permanent piping shall be leak tested after each installation to ensure adequate isolation.

##### 4.4.3.2 Containment Hydrogen Recombiner System Operational Performance Testing

- a. The testing requirement of this section may be performed without connecting the system to either of the Reactor Buildings.
- b. Every 18 months:
  1. Visual inspection of the unit.
  2. Calibrate all recombiner instrumentation and control circuits.
  3. Operate a recombiner unit at design flow rate 10% and allow unit to reach recombination temperature.

##### 4.4.3.3 Reactor Building Hydrogen Purge System, Pre-Operational Testing

- a. Prior to declaring this system operable, a Pre-operational system test shall be performed.

#### 4.4.4 Reactor Building Purge System

##### Applicability

Applies to the Reactor Building Purge System.

##### Objective

To verify that the Reactor Building Purge System is operable.

##### Specification

- 4.4.4.1 Each shutdown, when the purge valves have been operated, leakage integrity tests shall be performed on the containment purge isolation valves after final closing and prior to going above hot shutdown. If the purge valves have not been operated, leakage integrity tests shall be performed prior to going above hot shutdown unless such tests have been conducted within the proceeding six months. If the acceptance criteria of Specification 4.4.1.2.3 are not met, Specification 3.6.6 shall apply. Unit shutdown to conduct the test and/or effect repairs is specifically not required.
- 4.4.4.2 Monthly, when the unit is above 250°F and 350 psig, the containment purge isolation valves shall be verified closed.
- 4.4.4.3 Every 18 months, the valve seals of the containment purge isolation valves shall be visually inspected and adjusted or replaced as appropriate.
- 4.4.4.4 Prior to use of the purge system at conditions between cold shutdown and 250°F and 350 psig, the isolation valves shall be exercise tested in accordance with the requirements (except test frequency) of the applicable edition of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section XI.
- 4.4.4.5 The pneumatically operated purge isolation valves shall be verified to close in response to a control signal from RIA-45 when the system is tested prior to refueling operations per Specification 3.8.10.

##### Bases

Leakage integrity tests of the purge supply and isolation valves are conducted in order to identify excessive degradation of the resilient seals. Excessive leakage past resilient seals is typically caused by severe environmental conditions and/or wear due to frequent use.

The pneumatically operated purge isolation valves are tested prior to refueling operations because the only automatic isolation system in service at refueling is through RIA-45, which only closes the pneumatic isolation valves.

## 4.5 EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS AND REACTOR BUILDING COOLING SYSTEM PERIODIC TESTING

### 4.5.1 Emergency Core Cooling Systems

#### Applicability

Applies to periodic testing requirements for the Emergency Core Cooling Systems.

#### Objective

To verify that the Emergency Core Cooling Systems are operable.

#### Specification

##### 4.5.1.1 System Tests

##### 4.5.1.1.1 High Pressure Injection System

- a. Every 18 months, a system test shall be conducted to demonstrate that the system is operable. A test signal will be applied to demonstrate actuation of the High Pressure Injection System for emergency core cooling operation.
- b. The test will be considered satisfactory if control board indication verifies that all components have responded to the actuation signal properly; all appropriate pump breakers shall have opened or closed and all valves shall have completed their travel.

##### 4.5.1.1.2 Low Pressure Injection System

- a. Every 18 months, a system test shall be conducted to demonstrate that the system is operable. The test shall be performed in accordance with the procedure summarized below:
  - (1) A test signal will be applied to demonstrate actuation of the Low Pressure Injection System for emergency core cooling operation.
  - (2) Verification of the engineered safety features function of the Low Pressure Service Water pumps and manual alignment from the control room of valves LPSW-4 and LPSW-5 shall be made to demonstrate operability of the Low Pressure Injection coolers.<sup>1</sup>
- b. The test will be considered satisfactory if control board indication verifies that all components have responded to the ES actuation signal properly; all appropriate ES actuated pump breakers shall have opened or closed, and all ES actuated valves shall have completed their travel. In addition, valves LPSW-4 and LPSW-5 shall have completed their travel.

---

<sup>1</sup> The ES function of valves LPSW-4 and LPSW-5 shall be verified every 18 months. This surveillance requirement may be discontinued and replaced by the valve surveillance in 4.5.1.1.2.a.(2) when the ES signals are removed from LPSW-4 and LPSW-5. Removal of the ES signal from valves LPSW-4 and LPSW-5 is scheduled in the U3EOC16, U1EOC17, and U2EOC16 refueling outages successively.



#### 4.5.1.1.3 Core Flooding System

- a. Every 18 months, a system test shall be conducted to demonstrate proper operation of the system. During pressurization of the Reactor Coolant System, verification shall be made that the check and isolation valves in the core flooding tank discharge lines operate properly.
- b. The test will be considered satisfactory if control board indication of core flood tank level verifies that all valves have opened.

#### 4.5.1.2 Component Tests

##### 4.5.1.2.1 Valves - Power Operated

- a. Valves LP-17, -18, shall only be tested every cold shutdown unless previously tested during the current quarter.
- b. Every 18 months, the following LPI system valves shall be cycled manually to verify the manual operability of these power operated valves:
  - (1) LPI pump discharge (ES) LP-17,-18
  - (2) LPI discharge throttling LP-12,-14
  - (3) LPI discharge header crossover LP-9,-10
  - (4) LPI discharge to HPI/RBS LP-15,-16

##### 4.5.1.2.2 Check Valves

Periodic individual leakage testing<sup>a</sup> of valves CF-12, CF-14, LP-47 and LP-48 shall be accomplished prior to power operation after every time the plant is placed in the cold shutdown condition for refueling, after each time the plant is placed in a cold shutdown condition for 72 hours if testing has not been accomplished in the preceding 9 months, and prior to returning the valve to service after maintenance, repair or replacement work is performed. Whenever integrity of these valves cannot be demonstrated, the integrity of the remaining valve in each high pressure line having a leaking valve shall be determined and recorded daily. In addition, the position of the other closed valve located in the high pressure piping shall be recorded daily. For the allowable leakage rates and limiting conditions for operation, see Technical Specification 3.1.6.10.

#### Bases

The Emergency Core Cooling Systems are the principle reactor safety features in the event of loss of coolant accident. The removal of heat from the core provided by these systems is designed to limit core damage.

The High Pressure Injection System under normal operating conditions has one pump operating. The HPI system test required by Specification 4.5.1.1.1 verifies that the HPI system responds as required to actuation of ES channels 1 and 2.

(a)

To satisfy ALARA requirements, leakage may be measured indirectly (as from the performance of pressure indicators) if accomplished in accordance with approved procedures and supported by computations showing that the method is capable of demonstrating valve compliance with the leakage criteria.

## 4.5.2 Reactor Building Cooling Systems

### Applicability

Applies to testing of the Reactor Building Cooling Systems.

### Objective

To verify that the Reactor Building Cooling Systems are operable.

### Specification

#### 4.5.2.1 System Tests

##### 4.5.2.1.1 Reactor Building Spray System

- a.
  - (1) Every 18 months, a system test shall be conducted to demonstrate proper operation of the system. A test signal will be applied to demonstrate actuation of the Reactor Building Spray System.
  - (2) The test will be considered satisfactory if visual observation and control board indication verifies that all components have responded to the actuation signal properly; the appropriate pump breakers shall have closed, and all valves shall have completed their travel.
- b. Station compressed air will be introduced into the spray headers to verify the availability of the headers and spray nozzles at least every ten years.

##### 4.5.2.1.2 Reactor Building Cooling System

- a. Every 18 months, a system test shall be conducted to demonstrate proper operation of the system. The test shall be performed in accordance with the procedure summarized below:
  - (1) A test signal will be applied to actuate the Reactor Building Cooling System for reactor building cooling operation.
  - (2) Verification of the engineered safety features function of the Low Pressure Service Water System which supplies coolant to the reactor building coolers shall be made to demonstrate operability of the coolers.
- b. The test will be considered satisfactory if control board indication verifies that all components have responded to the actuation signal properly, the appropriate valves have completed their travel, and fans are running at half speed.

### Bases

The Reactor Building Coolant System and Reactor Building Spray System are designed to remove heat in the containment atmosphere to control the rate of depressurization in the containment. The peak transient pressure in the containment is not affected by the two heat removal systems.

The delivery capability of one reactor building spray pump at a time can be tested by opening the valve in

the line from the borated water storage tank, opening the corresponding valve in the test line, and starting the corresponding pump. Pump discharge pressure and flow indication demonstrate performance.

With the pumps shut down and the borated water storage tank outlet closed, the reactor building spray injection valves can each be opened and closed by operator action. With the reactor building spray inlet valves closed, low pressure air or fog can be blown through the test connections of the reactor building spray nozzles to demonstrate that the flow paths are open.

The RB Spray system test required by Specification 4.5.2.1.1 verifies that the RB Spray pumps and valves respond as required to actuation of ES channels 7 and 8. In addition, this test verifies that LP-21, and LP-22 (BWST supply to the RB Spray pumps) respond as required to actuation of ES channels 7 and 8. The test required by Specification 4.5.3 verifies the containment heat removal capability of the RB Spray system (in conjunction with the LPI coolers and RBCUs).

The equipment, piping, valves, and instrumentation of the Reactor Building Cooling System are arranged so that they can be visually inspected. The cooling units and associated piping are located outside the secondary concrete shield. Personnel can enter the Reactor Building during power operations to inspect and maintain this equipment. The service water piping and valves out-side the Reactor Building are inspectable at all times. The reactor building fans are normally operated periodically, constituting the test that these fans are operable.

The RBCU system test required by Specification 4.5.2.1.2 verifies that the RBCU fans respond as required to actuation of ES channels 5 and 6. In addition, this test verifies that LPSW-18 (LPSW for "A" RBCU), LPSW-21, LPSW-565, and LPSW-566 (LPSW for "B" RBCU), and LPSW-24 (LPSW for "C" RBCU) respond as required to actuation of ES channels 5 and 6. The LPI system test required by Specification 4.5.1.1.2 verifies that the LPSW pumps respond as required to actuation of ES channels 3 and 4. The test required by Specification 4.5.3 verifies the containment heat removal capability of the RBCUs (in conjunction with the LPI coolers and RB Spray system).

#### REFERENCE

- (1) FSAR, Section 6

#### 4.5.3 Containment Heat Removal Capability

##### Applicability

Applies to verification of adequate containment heat removal capability.

##### Objective

To verify that containment heat removal capability is sufficient to maintain post accident conditions within design limits.

##### Specification

#### 4.5.3.1 Containment Heat Removal Capability

- a. Every 18 months, containment heat removal capability shall be verified to be sufficient to maintain post accident conditions within design limits.
- b. In addition to the requirements of 4.5.3.1.a, on a frequency consistent with the LPI cooler and RBCU fouling rate, containment heat removal capability shall be verified to be sufficient to maintain post accident conditions within design limits.

##### Bases

The safety functions of the LPI system, RB Spray system, and RBCUs include maintaining containment pressure and temperature below design limits following an accident. This surveillance assures that containment heat removal capability is adequate assuming a worst case single failure. Specification 4.5.3.1.a requires that at a minimum the surveillance be performed every 18 months. In addition, since service induced fouling can reduce containment heat removal capability, Specification 4.5.3.1.b requires that a fouling rate be determined in order to establish a more frequent test interval if required.

##### REFERENCES:

FSAR Section 6.2  
FSAR Section 15.14

#### 4.5.4 Penetration Room Ventilation System

##### Applicability

Applies to testing of the Penetration Room Ventilation System

##### Objective

To verify that the Penetration Room Ventilation System is operable.

##### Specification

##### 4.5.4.1 Operational and Performance Testing

- a. Monthly, each train of the Penetration Room Ventilation System shall be operated for at least 15 minutes at design flow  $\pm 10\%$ .
- b. Every 18 months, it shall be demonstrated that:
  1. The Penetration Room Ventilation System fans operate at design flow ( $\pm 10\%$ ) when tested in accordance with ANSI N510-1975.
  2. The pressure drop across the combined HEPA filters and charcoal adsorber banks is less than six inches of water at the system design flow rate ( $\pm 10\%$ ).
  3. Each branch of the Penetration Room Ventilation System is capable of automatic initiation.
  4. The bypass valve for filter cooling is manually operable.
- c. Leak tests using DOP or halogenated hydrocarbon, as appropriate shall be performed on the Penetration Room purge filters:
  1. Every 18 months;
  2. After each complete or partial replacement of a HEPA filter bank or charcoal adsorber bank;
  3. After any structural maintenance on the system housing;
  4. After painting, fire, or chemical release in any ventilation zone communicating with the system.
- d. The results of the DOP and halogenated hydrocarbon tests on HEPA filters and charcoal adsorber banks shall show  $\geq 99\%$  DOP removal and  $\geq 99\%$  halogenated hydrocarbon removal, respectively, when tested in accordance with ANSI N510-1975.

- e. Every 18 months, or following 720 hours of system operation, or after painting, fire, or chemical release in any ventilation zone communicating with the system, a carbon sample shall be removed from the Penetration Room Ventilation system filters for laboratory analysis. Within 31 days of removal, this sample shall be verified to show  $\geq 90\%$  radioactive methyl iodide removal when tested in accordance with ASTM D3803-1989 (30°C, 95% R.H.). Otherwise, the filter system shall be declared inoperable.

#### Bases

Pressure drop across the combined high efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filters and charcoal adsorbers of less than six inches of water at the system design flow rate will indicate that the filters and adsorbers are not clogged by excessive amounts of foreign matter. A test frequency of once per year operating cycle establishes performance capability.

(HEPA) filters are installed before the charcoal adsorbers to prevent clogging of the iodine adsorbers. The charcoal adsorbers are installed to reduce the potential release of radioiodine. Bypass leakage for the charcoal adsorbers and particulate removal efficiency for HEPA filters are determined by halogenated hydrocarbon and DOP respectively. The laboratory carbon sample test results indicate a radioactive methyl iodide removal efficiency for expected accident conditions. Operation of the fans significantly different from the design flow will change the removal efficiency of the HEPA filters and charcoal adsorbers. If the performances are as specified, the calculated doses would be less than the guidelines stated in 10 CFR 100 for the accidents analyzed.

The frequency of tests and sample analysis are necessary to show that the HEPA filters and charcoal adsorbers can perform as evaluated. Replacement adsorbent should be qualified according to the guidelines of Regulatory Guide 1.52. The charcoal adsorber efficiency test procedures should allow for the removal of one adsorber tray, emptying of one bed from the tray, mixing the adsorbent thoroughly and obtaining at least two samples. Each sample should be replaced. Any HEPA filters found defective should be replaced with filters qualified pursuant to Regulatory Position C.3.d of Regulatory Guide 1.52.

Operation of the system every month will demonstrate operability of the filters and adsorber system. Operation for 15 minutes demonstrates operability and minimizes the moisture build up during testing.

If painting, fire or chemical release occurs during system operation such that the HEPA filter or charcoal adsorber could become contaminated from the fumes, chemicals or foreign materials, the same tests and sample analysis should be performed as required for operational use.

Demonstration of the automatic initiation capability is necessary to assure system performance capability.

#### 4.5.5 Low Pressure Injection System Leakage

##### Applicability

Applies to Low Pressure Injection System leakage.

##### Objective

To maintain a preventive leakage rate for the Low Pressure Injection System which will prevent significant off-site exposures.

##### Specification

###### 4.5.5.1 Acceptance Limit

The maximum allowable leakage from the Low Pressure Injection System components (which includes valve stems, flanges and pump seals) shall not exceed two gallons per hour.

###### 4.5.5.2 Test

Every 18 months, the following tests of the Low Pressure Injection System shall be conducted to determine leakage:

- a. The portion of the Low Pressure Injection System, except as specified in (b), that is outside the containment shall be tested either by use in normal operation or by hydrostatically testing at 350 psig.
- b. Piping from the containment emergency sump to the low pressure injection pump suction isolation valve shall be pressure tested at no less than 59 psig.
- c. Visual inspection shall be made for excessive leakage from components of the system. Any excessive leakage shall be measured by collection and weighing or by another equivalent method.

##### Bases

The leakage rate limit for the Low Pressure Injection System is a judgement value based on assuring that the components can be expected to operate with-out mechanical failure for a period on the order of 200 days after a loss of coolant accident. The test pressure (350 psig) achieved either by normal system operation or by hydrostatically testing, gives an adequate margin over the highest pressure within the system after a design basis accident. Similarly, the pressure test for the return lines from the containment to the Low Pressure Injection System (59 psig) is equivalent to the design pressure of the containment. The dose to the thyroid calculated as a result of this leakage is 0.76 rem for a two-hour exposure at the site boundary.

##### REFERENCE

FSAR, Section 15.15.4, and 6.3.3.2.2

## 4.6 EMERGENCY POWER PERIODIC TESTING

### Applicability

Applies to the periodic testing surveillance of the emergency power sources.

### Objective

To verify that the emergency power sources and equipment will respond promptly and properly when required.

### Specification

- 4.6.1 Monthly, a test of the Keowee Hydro units shall be performed to verify proper operation of these emergency power sources and associated equipment. This test shall assure that:
- a. Each hydro unit can be automatically started from the Unit 1 and 2 control room.
  - b. Each hydro unit can be synchronized through the 230 Kv overhead circuit to the startup transformers.
  - c. Each hydro unit can energize the 13.8 Kv underground feeder.
  - d. The 4160 volt startup transformer main feeder bus breakers and standby bus breaker shall be exercised.
- 4.6.2
- a. Annually, the Keowee Hydro units will be started using the emergency start circuits in each control room to verify that each hydro unit and associated equipment is available to carry load within 25 seconds of a simulated requirement for engineered safety features.
  - b. Promptly following the above annual test, each hydro unit will be loaded to at least the combined load of the auxiliaries actuated by ESG signal in one unit and the auxiliaries of the other two units in hot shutdown by synchronizing the hydro unit to the offsite power system and assuming the load at the maximum practical rate.
  - c. Also, the ability of the Keowee Unit ACBs to close automatically to the underground path will be tested on an annual frequency.
- 4.6.3 Monthly, the Keowee Underground Feeder Breaker Interlock shall be verified to be operable.
- 4.6.4 Every 18 months, a simulated emergency transfer of the 4160 volt main feeder buses to the startup



## 4.7 REACTOR CONTROL ROD SYSTEM TESTS

### 4.7.1 Control Rod Trip Insertion Time Test

#### Applicability

Applies to the surveillance of the control rod trip insertion time.

#### Objective

To assure the control rod trip insertion time is within that used in the safety analyses.

#### Specification

The control rod insertion time shall be measured at either full flow or no flow conditions as follows:

- a. For all rods following each removal of the reactor vessel head,
- b. For specifically affected individual rods following any maintenance on or modification to the control rod drive system which could affect the drop time of those specific rods, and
- c. For all rods at least once every 18 months.

The maximum control rod trip insertion time for an operable control rod drive mechanism, except for the Axial Power Shaping Rods (APSRs), from the fully withdrawn position to 3/4 insertion (104 inches travel) shall not exceed 1.66\* seconds at reactor coolant full flow conditions or 1.40 seconds for no flow conditions. For the APSRs it shall be demonstrated that loss of power will not cause rod movement.

If the trip insertion time above is not met, the rod shall be declared inoperable.

- \* - For Unit 1 Cycle 15, Group 1, Rod 8 and Group 2, Rod 5 may be considered operable with an insertion time  $\leq 3.00$  sec provided:

- 1) the average insertion time for the remaining rods in Groups 1 and 2 is  $\leq 1.50$  sec, and
- 2) the core average negative reactivity insertion rate is within the assumptions of the safety analysis.

#### Bases

The control rod trip insertion time is the total elapsed time from power interruption at the control rod drive breakers until the control rod has completed 104 inches of travel from the fully withdrawn position. The specified trip time is based upon the safety analysis in FSAR Chapter 15.

A rod is considered inoperable if the trip insertion time is greater than the specified allowable time or the core average negative reactivity insertion rate is less than the assumptions of the safety analysis.

#### REFERENCES

- (1) FSAR, Section 15
- (2) Technical Specification 3.5.2

Oconee 1, 2, and 3

4.7-1

Amendment No.	(Unit 1)
Amendment No.	(Unit 2)
Amendment No.	(Unit 3)

#### 4.8 MAIN STEAM STOP VALVES

##### Applicability

Applies to the main steam stop valves.

##### Objective

To verify the ability of the main steam stop valves to close upon signal.

##### Specification

- 4.8 Using Channels A and B, the operation of each of the main steam stop valves shall be tested every 18 months to demonstrate a closure time of one second or less in Channel A and a closure time of 15 seconds or less for Channel B.

##### Bases

The main steam stop valves limit the Reactor Coolant System cooldown rate and resultant reactivity insertion following a main steam line break accident. Their ability to promptly close upon redundant signals will be verified every 18 months. Channel A solenoid valves are designed to close all four turbine stop valves in 240 milliseconds. The backup Channel B solenoid valves are designed to close the turbine stop valves in approximately 12 seconds.

Using the maximum 15 second stop valve closing time, the fouled steam generator inventories and the minimum tripped rod worth with the maximum stuck rod worth, an analysis similar to that presented in FSAR Section 15.13, (but considering a blowdown of both steam generators) shows that the reactor will remain sub-critical after reactor trip following a double-ended steam line break.

##### REFERENCES

- (1) FSAR, Section 10.3.4, and 15.13

## 4.9 EMERGENCY FEEDWATER PUMP AND VALVE PERIODIC TESTING

### Applicability

Applies to the periodic testing of the turbine-driven and motor-driven emergency feedwater pumps and associated valves.

### Objective

To verify that the emergency feedwater pumps and associated valves are operable.

### Specification

#### 4.9.1 Pump Test

The turbine-driven and motor-driven feedwater pumps shall be operated on recirculation to the upper surge tank for a minimum of one hour in accordance with the requirements of Specification 4.0.4.

#### 4.9.2 Valve Test

Automatic valves in the emergency feedwater flow path will be determined to be operable in accordance with the requirements of Specification 4.0.4.

#### 4.9.3 System Flow Test

Prior to Unit operation above 25% Full Power following any modifications or repairs to the emergency feedwater system which could degrade the flow path and at least once every 18 months, the emergency feedwater system shall be given either a manual or an automatic initiation signal.

#### 4.9.4 Acceptance Criteria

These tests shall be considered satisfactory if control board indication and visual observation of the equipment demonstrates that all components have operated properly. In addition, during operation of the System Flow Test (Item 4.9.3 above), flow to the steam generators shall be verified by control room indication.

### Bases

The monthly testing frequency is sufficient to verify that the emergency feed-water pumps are operable. Verification of correct operation is made both from the control room instrumentation and direct visual observation of the pumps. The parameters which are observed are detailed in the applicable edition of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section XI. The System Flow Test verifies correct total system operation following modifications or repairs.

### REFERENCES

- (1) FSAR, Section 10.4.7.4

## 4.12 CONTROL ROOM PRESSURIZATION AND FILTERING SYSTEM

### Applicability

Applies to control room pressurization and filtering system components

### Objective

To verify that these systems and components will be able to perform their design functions.

### Specification

#### 4.12.1 Operating Tests

- a. Control room outside air booster fan system tests shall be performed quarterly. These tests shall consist of an external visual inspection, a flow measurement for each unit and pressure drop measurements across each filter bank. Pressure drop across pre-filter shall not exceed 1 inch H<sub>2</sub>O and pressure drop across HEPA shall not exceed 2 inches H<sub>2</sub>O. Fan motors shall be operated continuously for at least one hour, and all louvers shall be proven operable.
- b. Every 18 months, verify the system maintains the control room at a positive pressure with both outside air booster fans on during system operation.

#### 4.12.2 Filter Tests

Every 18 months, for the Unit 1 and 2 and the Unit 3 control room an in-place leakage test using DOP on HEPA units and Freon-112 (or equivalent) on carbon units shall be performed at design flow on each filter train. Removal of 99.5 percent DOP by each entire HEPA filter unit and removal of 99.0 percent Freon-112 (or equivalent) by each entire carbon adsorber unit shall constitute acceptance performance. These tests must also be performed after any maintenance which may affect the structural integrity of either the filtration system units or of the housing.

### Bases

The purpose of the control room pressurization filtering system is to protect the control room operators from the effects of accidental release of radioactive effluents or toxic gases in the Turbine Building or Auxiliary Building only. The system is designed with two 50 percent capacity filter trains each of which consists of a prefilter, high efficiency particulate filters, carbon filters, booster fans, air handling unit fans, and associated ductwork to pressurize the control room with outside air.

Since these systems are not normally operated, a periodic test is required to insure their operability when needed. Quarterly testing of this system will show that the system is available.

Testing of the installed carbon adsorber stage and absolute filters every 18 months will verify the leak integrity of the cleanup system. Testing every 18 months will also verify the ability of the system to maintain the control room at a positive pressure to minimize infiltration of hazardous effluents.

#### 4.14 REACTOR BUILDING PURGE FILTERS AND SPENT FUEL POOL VENTILATION SYSTEM

##### Applicability

Applies to testing of the Reactor Building purge filters for Units 2 and 3 and the spent fuel pool ventilation systems.

##### Objective

To verify that the Unit 2 and Unit 3 Reactor Building purge filters will perform their design function and that when used with the spent fuel pool ventilation system, will reduce the off-site dose due to a fuel handling accident.

##### Specification

##### 4.14.1 Operational and Performance Testing

- a. Monthly, each train of the spent fuel pool ventilation system shall be operated through the respective Reactor Building purge filters for at least 15 minutes at design flow  $\pm 10\%$ .
- b. Every 18 months, the spent fuel pool ventilation fans shall be shown to operate at design flow  $\pm 10\%$  when tested in accordance with ANSI N510-1975.
- c. Leak tests using DOP or halogenated hydrocarbon, as appropriate shall be performed on the Reactor Building purge filters:
  1. Every 18 months;
  2. After each complete or partial replacement of HEPA filter bank or charcoal adsorber bank;
  3. After any structural maintenance on the system housing;
  4. After painting, fire, or chemical release in any ventilation zone communicating with the system.
- d. The results of the DOP and halogenated hydrocarbon tests on HEPA filters and charcoal adsorber banks shall show  $\geq 99\%$  DOP removal and  $\geq 99\%$  halogenated hydrocarbon removal, respectively, when tested in accordance with ANSI N510-1975.

- e. Every 18 months, or following 720 hours of system operation, or after painting, fire, or chemical release in any ventilation zone communicating with the system, a carbon sample shall be removed from the Reactor Building purge filters for laboratory analysis. Within 31 days of removal, this sample shall be verified to show  $\geq 90\%$  radioactive methyl iodide removal when tested in accordance with ASTM D3803-1989 (30°C, 95% R.H.). Otherwise, the filter system shall be declared inoperable.

#### Bases

The Unit 2 Reactor Building purge filter is used in the ventilation system for the common spent fuel pool for Units 1 and 2. The Unit 3 Reactor Building purge filter is used in the Unit 3 spent fuel pool ventilation system. Each filter is constructed with a prefilter, an absolute filter and a charcoal filter in series. The high efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filters are installed before the charcoal adsorbers to prevent clogging of the iodine adsorbers. The charcoal adsorbers are installed to reduce the potential release of radioiodine.

Bypass leakage for the charcoal adsorbers and particulate removal efficiency for HEPA filters are determined by halogenated hydrocarbon and DOP respectively. The laboratory carbon sample test results indicate a radioactive methyl iodide removal efficiency for expected accident conditions. Operation of the fans significantly different from the design flow will change the removal efficiency of the HEPA filters and charcoal adsorbers. If the performances are as specified, the doses for a fuel handling accident would be minimized.

The frequency of tests and sample analysis are necessary to show that the HEPA filters and charcoal adsorbers can perform as evaluated. Replacement adsorbent should be qualified according to the guidelines of Regulatory Guide 1.52. The charcoal adsorber efficiency test procedures should allow for the removal of one adsorber tray, emptying of one bed from the tray, mixing the adsorbent thoroughly and obtaining at least two samples. Each sample should be replaced. Any HEPA filters found defective should be replaced with filters qualified pursuant to Regulatory Position C.3.d of Regulatory Guide 1.52.

Operation of the spent fuel pool ventilation system every month will demonstrate operability of the fans, filters and adsorber system.

If painting, fire or chemical release occurs during system operation such that the HEPA filter or charcoal adsorber could become contaminated from the fumes, chemicals or foreign materials, the same tests and sample analysis should be performed as required for operational use.

## 4.18 SNUBBERS

### Applicability

Applies to hydraulic and mechanical snubbers used to protect the Reactor Coolant System and other safety-related systems.

### Objective

To verify that the required hydraulic and mechanical snubbers are operable.

### Specification

4.18.1 Each snubber associated with the Reactor Coolant System and other safety-related systems, as specified in the appropriate Station Procedure shall be visually inspected. Visual inspections shall verify:

- (1) that there are no visible indications of damage or impaired OPERABILITY,
- (2) attachments to the foundation or supporting structure are secure, and
- (3) in those locations where mechanical snubber movement can be manually induced, the snubbers shall be inspected as follows:
  - (a) Every 18 months, the inaccessible snubbers shall be inspected near the beginning and the end of an outage.
  - (b) In the event of a severe dynamic event, snubbers in that system which experienced the event shall be inspected during the refueling outage to assure that the snubbers have freedom of movement and are not frozen up. The inspection shall consist of verifying freedom of motion using one of the following: (i) Manually induced snubber movement, (ii) evaluation of in place snubber piston setting; (iii) stroking the mechanical snubber through its full range of travel. If one or more mechanical snubbers are found to be frozen up during this inspection, those snubbers shall be replaced (or overhauled) before returning to power. Re-inspection shall subsequently be performed according to the schedule listed below.

Snubbers which appear inoperable as a result of visual inspections may be determined OPERABLE for the purpose of establishing the next visual inspection interval, providing that (1) the cause of the rejection is clearly established and remedied for that particular snubber and for other snubbers that may be generically susceptible; and (2) the affected snubber is functionally tested in the as found condition and determined OPERABLE per Specification 4.18.4. However, when the fluid port of a hydraulic snubber is found to be uncovered, the snubber shall be tested by starting with the piston at the as found setting

and extending the piston rod in the tension mode direction. All snubbers connected to an inoperable common hydraulic fluid reservoir shall be counted as inoperable snubbers. Snubber operability will be verified in accordance with the following schedule:

<u>No. Inoperable Snubbers per Inspection Period</u>	<u>Subsequent Visual Inspection Period</u>
0	18 months $\pm$ 25%
1	12 months $\pm$ 25%
2	6 months $\pm$ 25%
3,4	4 months $\pm$ 25%
5,6,7	2 months $\pm$ 25%
$\geq 8$	1 month $\pm$ 25%

- Note: (1) The required inspection interval shall not be lengthened more than two steps per inspection.
- (2) Snubbers may be categorized in two groups, "accessible" or "inaccessible," based on their accessibility during reactor operation. These two groups may be inspected independently according to be above schedule.
- (3) Hydraulic and mechanical snubber inspection schedules are independent.

4.18.2 The seal service life of hydraulic snubbers shall be monitored to ensure that the seals do not exceed their expected service life by more than 10% between surveillance inspections. The maximum expected service life for the various seals, seal materials, and applications shall be estimated based on engineering information, and the seals shall be replaced so that the maximum expected service life is not exceeded by more than 10% during a period when the snubber is required to be OPERABLE. The seal replacements shall be documented and the documentation shall be retained in accordance with Specification 6.5.1.m.

4.18.3 At least once every 18 months, a representative sample, a minimum of 10% of the total of hydraulic snubbers in use in the plant, shall be functionally tested either in place or in a bench test. For each hydraulic snubber that does not meet the functional test acceptance criteria of Specification 4.18.4, an additional minimum of 10% of the hydraulic snubbers shall be functionally tested until none are found inoperative or all have been functionally tested.

The representative sample selected for functional testing shall include the various configurations, operating environments and the range of size and capacity of hydraulic snubbers. The representative sample shall be selected randomly from the total population of safety-related hydraulic snubbers.



- 4.18.6 Permanent or other exemptions from the surveillance program for individual snubbers may be granted by the Commission if a justifiable basis for exemption is presented and, if applicable, snubber life destructive testing was performed to qualify the snubber for the applicable design conditions. Snubbers so exempted shall be listed in a permanent record which references the exemption letter date.

#### Bases

All snubbers are required OPERABLE to ensure that the structural integrity of the reactor coolant system and all other safety-related systems is maintained during and following a seismic or other event initiating dynamic loads. Snubbers excluded from this inspection program are those installed on nonsafety-related systems and then only if their failure or failure of the system on which they are installed would have no adverse effect on any safety-related system.

The visual inspection frequency is based upon maintaining a constant level of snubber protection to systems. Therefore, the required inspection interval varies inversely with the observed snubber failures and is determined by the number of inoperable snubbers found during an inspection. Inspections performed before that interval has elapsed may be used as a new reference point to determine the next inspection. However, the results of such early inspections performed before the original required time interval has elapsed (nominal time less 25%) may not be used to lengthen the required inspection interval unless so determined, by the engineer, from a previous window of a schedule. Any inspection whose results require a shorter inspection interval will override the previous schedule.

When the cause of the rejection of a snubber is clearly established and remedied for that snubber and for any other snubbers that may be generically susceptible, and verified by inservice functional testing, that snubber may be exempted from being counted as inoperable. Generically susceptible snubbers are those which are of a specific make or model and have the same design features directly related to rejection of the snubber by visual inspection, or are similarly located or exposed to the same environmental conditions such as temperature, radiation, and vibration.

When a snubber is found inoperable, an engineering evaluation is performed, in addition to the determination of the snubber mode of failure, in order to determine if any safety-related component or system has been adversely affected by the inoperability of the snubber.

To provide assurance of snubber functional reliability, a representative sample of the installed hydraulic snubbers will be functionally tested every 18 months. Observed failures of these sample snubbers shall require functional testing of additional units.

Hydraulic snubbers and mechanical snubbers may each be treated as a different entity for the above surveillance programs.

TABLE 4.20-1  
SSF INSTRUMENTATION  
SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

		<u>Check</u>	<u>Calibrate</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
1.	RCS Pressure (3)	WE	18 months	Loop A, B
2.	SSF RC Makeup Pump (3)			
	Suction Pressure	QU(1)	18 months	
	Discharge Pressure	QU(1)	18 months	
	Suction Temperature	QU(1)	18 months	
	Discharge Flow	QU(1)	18 months	
3.	RC System Temperature (3)	NA(2)	18 months	Loop A, B Hot, Cold
4.	Pressurizer Water Level (3)	WE	18 months	
5.	SSF Auxiliary Service Water Pump			
	Suction Pressure	QU(1)	AN	
	Discharge Pressure	QU(1)	AN	
	Unit 1 Discharge Pressure	NA	AN	
	Unit 2 Discharge Pressure	NA	AN	
	Unit 3 Discharge Pressure	NA	AN	
	Discharge Test Flow	QU(1)	AN	
	Suction Temperature	QU(1)	AN	
6.	Steam Generator Levels (3)	WE	18 months	A,B
7.	Underground Fuel Oil Storage Tank Inventory	NA	AN	
8.	D/G Service Water Pump			
	Discharge Flow	QU(1)	AN	
	Discharge Pressure	QU(1)	AN	
9.	D/G Air Start System Pressure	WE	AN	
(1)	Check when pump operated/tested per IST.			
(2)	This instrumentation is normally aligned through a transfer/isolation device to each Unit Control Room and is thus checked in accordance with Specification 4.1, Table 4.1-1, Item 7. Every 18 months, the instrument string to the SSF Control Room will be checked and calibrated.			
(3)	Units 1, 2, 3.			

ATTACHMENT 2

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION MARKUP

#### 4 SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

##### 4.0 SURVEILLANCE STANDARDS

###### Applicability

Applies to surveillance requirements which relate to tests, calibrations and inspections necessary to assure that the quality of structures, systems and components is maintained and that operation is within the safety limits and limiting conditions for operation.

###### Objective

To specify minimum acceptable surveillance requirements.

###### Specification

4.0.1 Surveillance of structures, systems, components and parameters shall be as specified in the various subsections to this Technical Specification section, Section 4.0, except as permitted by Technical Specifications 4.0.2 and 4.0.3 below.

4.0.2 Minimum surveillance frequencies, unless specified otherwise, may be adjusted as follows to facilitate test scheduling:

<u>Specified Frequency</u>	<u>Maximum Allowable Interval Between Surveillances</u>
Five times per week	2 days
Two times per week	5 days
Weekly	10 days
Bi-Weekly	20 days
Monthly	45 days
Bi-Monthly	90 days
Quarterly	135 days
Semiannually	270 days
Annually	18 months
Refueling Outage	22 months, 15 days
18 Months	22 months, 15 days

4.0.3 If conditions exist such that surveillance of an item is not necessary to assure that operation is within the safety limits and limiting conditions for operation, surveillance need not be performed if such conditions continue for a length of time greater than the specified surveillance interval. Surveillance waived as a result of this specification shall be performed prior to returning to conditions for which the surveillance is necessary to assure that operation is within safety limits and limiting conditions for operation.

4.0.4 Inservice testing of ASME Code Class 1, 2 and 3 pumps and valves shall be performed in accordance with Section XI of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code and applicable addenda as required by 10 CFR 50 Section 50.55a(g)(4) to the extent practicable within the limitations of design, geometry and materials of construction of the components.

Clarifying words in individual specifications, such as "every", "at least", or "at least once every" are not intended to alter the frequencies defined by this specification.

Table 4.1-1  
INSTRUMENT SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

Channel Description	Check	Test	Calibrate	Remarks
1. Protective Channel Coincidence Logic in the Reactor Trip Modules	NA	MO	NA	
2. Control Rod Drive Trip Breaker, SCR Control Relays E and F	NA	MO(1)	NA	(1) This test shall independently confirm the operability of the shunt trip device and the undervoltage device.
3. Power Range Amplifier	ES(1)	NA	(1)	(1) Heat balance check each shift. Heat balance calibration whenever indicated core thermal power exceeds neutron power by more than 2 percent.
4. Power Range	ES	45 Days STB	MO(1)(2)	(1) Using incore instrumentation. (2) Axial offset upper and lower chambers after each startup if not done previous week.
5. Wide Range	ES(1)	PS	NA	(1) When in service.
6. Source Range	ES(1)	PS	NA	(1) When in service.
7. Reactor Coolant Temperature	ES	45 Days STB	<del>RP</del> 18 months	
8. High Reactor Coolant Pressure	ES	45 Days STB	<del>RP</del> 18 months	
9. Low Reactor Coolant Pressure	ES	45 Days STB	<del>RP</del> 18 months	
10. Flux-Reactor Coolant Flow Comparator	ES	45 Days STB	<del>RP</del> 18 months	
11. Reactor Coolant Pressure Temperature Comparator	ES	45 Days STB	<del>RP</del> 18 months	

Table 4.1-1 (CONTINUED)

Channel Description	Check	Test	Calibrate	Remarks
12. Pump-Flux Comparator	ES	45 Days STB	RF 18 months	
13. High Reactor Building Pressure	DA	45 Days STB	RF 18 months	
14. High Pressure Injection & Reactor Building Isolation Logic (Non-essential systems)	NA	MO	NA	Includes Reactor Building Isolation of non-essential systems
15. High Pressure Injection Analog Channels:				
a. Reactor Coolant Pressure	ES	MO	RF 18 months	
b. Reactor Building Pressure (4 psig)	ES	MO	RF 18 months	
16. Low Pressure Injection Logic	NA	MO	NA	
17. Low Pressure Injection Analog Channels:				
a. Reactor Coolant Pressure	ES	MO	RF 18 months	
b. Reactor Building Pressure (4 psig)	ES	MO	RF 18 months	
18. Reactor Building Emergency Cooling and Isolation System Logic (Essential Systems)	NA	MO	NA	Reactor Building isolation includes essential systems
19. Reactor Building Emergency Cooling and Isolation System Analog Channel Reactor Building Pressure (4 psig)	ES	MO	RF 18 months	

Ocone Units 1, 2, and 3

4.1-4

Amendment No. 199 (Unit 1)  
Amendment No. 199 (Unit 2)  
Amendment No. 196 (Unit 3)

TABLE 4.1-1 (Continued)

<u>Channel Description</u>	<u>Check</u>	<u>Test</u>	<u>Calibrate</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
20. Reactor Building Spray System Logic	NA	NO	NA	
21. Reactor Building Spray System Analog Channel - Reactor Building High Pressure	NA	NO	RF 18 months	
22. Pressurizer Temperature	ES	NA	RF 18 months	
23. Control Rod Absolute Position	ES(1)	NA	RF(2) 18 months	(1) Check with Relative Position Indicator. (2) Calibrate rod misalignment channel.
24. Control Rod Relative Position	ES(1)	NA	RF(2) 18 months	(1) Check with Absolute Position Indicator. (2) Calibrate rod misalignment channel.
25. Core Flood Tanks				
a. Pressure	ES	NA	RF 18 months	
b. Level	ES	NA	RF 18 months	
26. Pressurizer Level	ES	NA	RF 18 months	
27. Letdown Storage Tank Level	DA	NA	RF 18 months	
28. Delete				
29. High and Low Pressure Injection Systems Flow Channels	NA	NA	RF 18 months	

Table 4.1-1 (CONTINUED)

Channel Description	Check	Test	Calibrate	Remarks
30. Borated Water Storage Tank Level Indicator	WE	NA	<del>RF</del> 18 months	
31. Boric Acid Mix Tank:				
a. Level	NA	NA	AN	
b. Temperature	MO	NA	AN	
32. Concentrated Boric Acid Storage Tank:				
a. Level	NA	NA	AN	
b. Temperature	MO	NA	AN	
33. Containment Temperature	NA	NA	<del>RF</del> 18 months	
34. Incore Neutron Detectors	MO(1)	NA	NA	(1) Check functioning; including functioning of computer readout or recorder readout.
35. Emergency Plant Radiation Instruments	MO(1)	NA	<del>RF</del> 18 months	(1) Battery check.
36. Environmental Monitors	MO(1)	NA	<del>RF</del> 18 months	(1) Check functioning.
37. Reactor Manual Trip	NA	PS	NA	
38. Reactor Building Emergency Sump Level	NA	NA	<del>RF</del> 18 months	
39. Steam Generator Water Level	WE	NA	<del>RF</del> 18 months	
40. Turbine Overspeed Trip	NA	NA	<del>RF</del> 18 months	

4.1-6

12/11/87

Amendment No. 165 (Unit 1)  
Amendment No. 165 (Unit 2)  
Amendment No. 167 (Unit 2)



Table 4.1-1 (CONTINUED)

<u>Channel Description</u>	<u>Check</u>	<u>Test</u>	<u>Calibrate</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
41. Engineered Safeguards Channel 1 HP Injection & Reactor Building Isolation Manual Trip	NA	RF 18 months	NA	Includes Reactor Building isolation of non-essential systems only
42. Engineered Safeguards Channel 2 HP Injection & Reactor Building Isolation Manual Trip	NA	RF 18 months	NA	Includes Reactor Building isolation of non-essential systems only
43. Engineered Safeguards Channel 3 LP Injection Manual Trip	NA	RF 18 months	NA	
44. Engineered Safeguards Channel 4 LP Injection Manual Trip	NA	RF 18 months	NA	
45. Engineered Safeguards Channel 5 RB Isolation & Cooling Manual Trip	NA	RF 18 months	NA	Includes Reactor Building isolation of essential systems only
46. Engineered Safeguards Channel 6 RB Isolation & Cooling Manual Trip	NA	RF 18 months	NA	Includes Reactor Building isolation of essential systems only
47. Engineered Safeguards Channel 7 Spray Manual Trip	NA	RF 18 months	NA	
48. Engineered Safeguards Channel 8 Spray Manual Trip	NA	RF 18 months	NA	

Table 1 (CONTINUED)

Channel Description	Check	Test	Calibrate	Remarks
49. Emergency Feedwater Flow Indicators	MO	NA	RF 18 months	
50. PORV and Safety Valve Position Indicators	MO	NA	RF 18 months	
51. RPS Anticipatory Reactor Trip System Loss of Turbine Emergency Trip System Pressure Switches	NA	45 Days STB	RF 18 months	
52. RPS Anticipatory Reactor Trip System Loss of Main Feedwater				
a) Control Oil Pressure Switches	NA	45 Days STB	RF 18 months	
53. Emergency Feedwater Initiation Circuits				
a) Control Oil Pressure Switches	NA	MO	RF 18 months	
54. Containment High Range Radiation Monitor (RIA-57, 58)	NA	MO	RF 18 months	TMI Item II.F.1.3

Oconee Units 1, 2, and 3

4.1-8a

Amendment No. 199 (Unit 1)  
 Amendment No. 199 (Unit 2)  
 Amendment No. 196 (Unit 3)

Table 4.1-1 (CONTINUED)

<u>Channel Description</u>	<u>Check</u>	<u>Test</u>	<u>Calibrate</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
55. Containment Pressure Monitor (PT-230, 231)	MO	NA	AN	TMI Item II.F.1.4
56. Containment Water Level Monitor-Wide Range (LT-90, -91)	MO	NA	<del>RF</del> 18 months	TMI Item II.F.1.5
57. Containment Hydrogen Monitor (MT-80, -81)	NA	MO	AN	TMI Item II.F.1.6
58. Wide Range Hot Leg Level	NA	<del>RF</del> 18 months	<del>RF</del> 18 months	
59. Reactor Vessel Head Level	NA	<del>RF</del> 18 months	<del>RF</del> 18 months	
60. Core Exit Thermocouples	MO	NA	<del>RF</del> 18 months	
61. Subcooling Monitors	MO	<del>RF</del> 18 months	<del>RF</del> 18 months	

ES - Each Shift  
 DA - Daily  
 WE - Weekly  
 MO - Monthly

QU - Quarterly  
 AN - Annually  
 PS - Prior to startup, if not performed previous week  
 NA - Not applicable  
~~RF - Refueling Outage~~  
 STB - STAGGERED TEST BASIS

Table 4.1-2\*  
MINIMUM EQUIPMENT TEST FREQUENCY

<u>Item</u>	<u>Test</u>	<u>Frequency</u>
1. Control Rod Movement <sup>(1)</sup>	Movement of Each Rod	Monthly
2. Pressurizer Safety Valves	Setpoint	<del>Each Refueling<sup>(4)</sup></del> 18 months
3. Main Steam Safety Valves	Setpoint	<del>Each Refueling<sup>(4)</sup></del> 18 months
4. Refueling System Interlocks <sup>(5)</sup>	Functional	Prior to Refueling
5. Main Steam Stop Valves <sup>(1)</sup>	Movement of Each Stop Valve.	Monthly
6. Reactor Coolant System <sup>(2)</sup> Leakage	Evaluate	Daily
7. Condenser Circulating Water <sup>(6)</sup> Flow Test	Functional	<del>Each Refueling</del> 18 months
8. High Pressure Service Water Pumps and Power Supplies	Functional	Monthly
9. Spent Fuel Cooling System	Functional	Prior to Refueling
10. High Pressure and Low <sup>(3)</sup> Pressure Injection System	Vent Pump Casings	Monthly and Prior to Testing
11. Emergency Feedwater Pump Automatic Start and Automatic Valve Actuation Feature	Functional	<del>Each Refueling</del> 18 months

<sup>(1)</sup> Applicable only when the reactor is critical.

<sup>(2)</sup> Applicable only when the reactor coolant is above 200°F and at a steady-state temperature and pressure.

<sup>(3)</sup> Operating pumps excluded.

<sup>(4)</sup> Number of safety valves to be tested ~~each refueling shall~~ *every 18 months* be in accordance with ASME Codes Section XI, Article IWB-3511, such that each valve is tested at least once every 5 years.

<sup>(5)</sup> Applicable only to the interlocks associated with the Reactor Building Purge System.

<sup>(6)</sup> Verification of the Emergency Condenser Circulating Water (ECCW) System function to supply siphon suction to the Low Pressure Service Water System shall be performed to ensure operability of the LPSW system.

## 4.2 STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY OF ASME CODE CLASS 1, 2 AND 3 COMPONENTS

### Applicability

Applies to the surveillance of the ASME Code Class 1, 2 and 3 components.

### Objective

To assure the continued structural integrity of the ASME Code Class 1, 2 and 3 components.

### Specification

- 4.2.1 Inservice examination of ASME Code Class 1, 2 and 3 components shall be performed in accordance with Section XI of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code and applicable addenda as required by 10 CFR 50, Section 50.55a(g)(4), to the extent practicable within the limitations of design, geometry and materials of construction of the components, except where specific written relief has been granted by the Commission.
- 4.2.2 To assure the structural integrity of the reactor internals throughout the life of the unit, the two sets of main internals bolts (connecting the core barrel to the core support shield and to the lower grid cylinder) shall remain in place and under tension. This will be verified by visual inspection to determine that the welded bolt locking caps remain in place. All locking caps will be inspected after hot functional testing and whenever the internals are removed from the vessel during a refueling or maintenance shutdown. The core barrel to core support shield caps will be inspected ~~each refueling shutdown.~~ *every 18 months.*
- 4.2.3 At approximately three-year intervals, the bore and keyway of each reactor coolant pump flywheel shall be subjected to an in-place, volumetric examination. Whenever maintenance or repair activities necessitate flywheel removal, a surface examination of exposed surfaces and a complete volumetric examination shall be performed if the interval measured from the previous such inspection is greater than 6 2/3 years.

4.2.6 The power operated relief valve (PORV) is used for low temperature overpressure protection of the RCS and shall be demonstrated operable by:

- a. Performing an operability test prior to each startup from cold shutdown.
- b. Performing a calibration of the actuation circuit ~~each refueling~~ *every 18 months.*  
~~outage.~~
- c. Performing an inspection of the PORV at least once every two refueling cycles.

4.2.7 Each shift, the RCS vent(s) (as defined in Specification 3.1.2.9) shall be verified to be open, if the vent(s) is(are) being used for overpressure protection. If the vent pathway is provided with a valve which is locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in the open position, then these valves will open at least once per 31 days.

#### Bases

The surveillance program has been developed to comply with the applicable edition of Section XI and addenda of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Reactor Coolant Systems, as required by 10 CFR 50.55(a) to the extent practicable within limitations of design, geometry and materials of construction. The program places major emphasis on the area of highest stress concentrations and on areas where fast neutron irradiation might be sufficient to change material properties.

#### 4.4.3 CONTAINMENT HYDROGEN CONTROL SYSTEMS

##### Applicability

Applies to the Containment Hydrogen Control Systems.

##### Objective

To verify that the Containment Hydrogen Control Systems are operable.

##### Specifications

##### 4.4.3.1 Containment Hydrogen Control System Piping

*Every 18 months*  
~~During each refueling,~~ the permanent piping for the Containment Hydrogen Control System shall be tested as follows:

- a. The post-LOCA flow paths shall be verified by connecting and operating either the Hydrogen Purge Unit or the Hydrogen Recombiner through each flow path as follows:
  1. The hydrogen Recombiner flow path circulates Reactor Building atmosphere at a flow greater than 50 SCFM.
  2. The Hydrogen Purge flow path removes Reactor Building atmosphere and discharges to the Unit vent stack at a flow greater than or equal to 45 SCFM.
- b. The blind isolation flanges on the Containment Hydrogen Control System permanent piping shall be leak tested after each installation to ensure adequate isolation.

##### 4.4.3.2 Containment Hydrogen Recombiner System Operational Performance Testing

- a. The testing requirement of this section may be performed without connecting the system to either of the Reactor Buildings.
- b. *Every 18 months*  
~~At a refueling frequency:~~
  1. Visual inspection of the unit.
  2. Calibrate all recombiner instrumentation and control circuits.
  3. Operate a recombiner unit at design flow rate 10% and allow unit to reach recombination temperature.

##### 4.4.3.3 Reactor Building Hydrogen Purge System, Pre-Operational Testing

- a. Prior to declaring this system operable, a Pre-operational system test shall be performed.

#### 4.4.4 Reactor Building Purge System

##### Applicability

Applies to the Reactor Building Purge System.

##### Objective

To verify that the Reactor Building Purge System is operable.

##### Specification

- 4.4.4.1 Each shutdown, when the purge valves have been operated, leakage integrity tests shall be performed on the containment purge isolation valves after final closing and prior to going above hot shutdown. If the purge valves have not been operated, leakage integrity tests shall be performed prior to going above hot shutdown unless such tests have been conducted within the proceeding six months. If the acceptance criteria of Specification 4.4.1.2.3 are not met, Specification 3.6.6 shall apply. Unit shutdown to conduct the test and/or effect repairs is specifically not required.
- 4.4.4.2 Monthly, when the unit is above 250°F and 350 psig, the containment purge isolation valves shall be verified closed.
- 4.4.4.3 Every 18 months Each ~~refueling~~ the valve seals of the containment purge isolation valves shall be visually inspected and adjusted or replaced as appropriate.
- 4.4.4.4 Prior to use of the purge system at conditions between cold shutdown and 250°F and 350 psig, the isolation valves shall be exercise tested in accordance with the requirements (except test frequency) of the applicable edition of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section XI.
- 4.4.4.5 The pneumatically operated purge isolation valves shall be verified to close in response to a control signal from RIA-45 when the system is tested prior to refueling operations per Specification 3.8.10.

##### Bases

Leakage integrity tests of the purge supply and isolation valves are conducted in order to identify excessive degradation of the resilient seals. Excessive leakage past resilient seals is typically caused by severe environmental conditions and/or wear due to frequent use.

The pneumatically operated purge isolation valves are tested prior to refueling operations because the only automatic isolation system in service at refueling is through RIA-45, which only closes the pneumatic isolation valves.



#### 4.5 EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS AND REACTOR BUILDING COOLING SYSTEM PERIODIC TESTING

##### 4.5.1 Emergency Core Cooling Systems

###### Applicability

Applies to periodic testing requirements for the Emergency Core Cooling Systems.

###### Objective

To verify that the Emergency Core Cooling Systems are operable.

###### Specification

##### 4.5.1.1 System Tests

##### 4.5.1.1.1 High Pressure Injection System

- a. *Every 18 months*  
~~During each refueling outage,~~ a system test shall be conducted to demonstrate that the system is operable. A test signal will be applied to demonstrate actuation of the High Pressure Injection System for emergency core cooling operation.
- b. The test will be considered satisfactory if control board indication verifies that all components have responded to the actuation signal properly; all appropriate pump breakers shall have opened or closed and all valves shall have completed their travel.

##### 4.5.1.1.2 Low Pressure Injection System

- a. *Every 18 months*  
~~During each refueling outage,~~ a system test shall be conducted to demonstrate that the system is operable. The test shall be performed in accordance with the procedure summarized below:
- (1) A test signal will be applied to demonstrate actuation of the Low Pressure Injection System for emergency core cooling operation.
  - (2) Verification of the engineered safety features function of the Low Pressure Service Water pumps and manual alignment from the control room of valves LPSW-4 and LPSW-5 shall be made to demonstrate operability of the Low Pressure Injection coolers.<sup>1</sup>
- b. The test will be considered satisfactory if control board indication verifies that all components have responded to the ES actuation signal properly; all appropriate ES actuated pump breakers shall have opened or closed, and all ES actuated valves shall have completed their travel. In addition, valves LPSW-4 and LPSW-5 shall have completed their travel.

*every 18 months*  
The ES function of valves LPSW-4 and LPSW-5 shall be verified ~~during each refueling outage,~~ This surveillance requirement may be discontinued and replaced by the valve surveillance in 4.5.1.1.2.a.(2) when the ES signals are removed from LPSW-4 and LPSW-5. Removal of the ES signal from valves LPSW-4 and LPSW-5 is scheduled in the U3EOC16, U1EOC17, and U2EOC16 refueling outages successively.

#### 4.5.1.1.3 Core Flooding System

- a. ~~During each refueling outage~~ *Every 18 months* a system test shall be conducted to demonstrate proper operation of the system. During pressurization of the Reactor Coolant System, verification shall be made that the check and isolation valves in the core flooding tank discharge lines operate properly.
- b. The test will be considered satisfactory if control board indication of core flood tank level verifies that all valves have opened.

#### 4.5.1.2 Component Tests

##### 4.5.1.2.1 Valves - Power Operated

- a. Valves LP-17, -18, shall only be tested every cold shutdown unless previously tested during the current quarter.
- b. ~~During each refueling outage~~ *Every 18 months* the following LPI system valves shall be cycled manually to verify the manual operability of these power operated valves:
- (1) LPI pump discharge (ES) LP-17,-18
  - (2) LPI discharge throttling LP-12,-14
  - (3) LPI discharge header crossover LP-9,-10
  - (4) LPI discharge to HPI/RBS LP-15,-16

##### 4.5.1.2.2 Check Valves

Periodic individual leakage testing<sup>a</sup> of valves CF-12, CF-14, LP-47 and LP-48 shall be accomplished prior to power operation after every time the plant is placed in the cold shutdown condition for refueling, after each time the plant is placed in a cold shutdown condition for 72 hours if testing has not been accomplished in the preceding 9 months, and prior to returning the valve to service after maintenance, repair or replacement work is performed. Whenever integrity of these valves cannot be demonstrated, the integrity of the remaining valve in each high pressure line having a leaking valve shall be determined and recorded daily. In addition, the position of the other closed valve located in the high pressure piping shall be recorded daily. For the allowable leakage rates and limiting conditions for operation, see Technical Specification 3.1.6.10.

#### Bases

The Emergency Core Cooling Systems are the principle reactor safety features in the event of loss of coolant accident. The removal of heat from the core provided by these systems is designed to limit core damage.

The High Pressure Injection System under normal operating conditions has one pump operating. The HPI system test required by Specification 4.5.1.1.1 verifies that the HPI system responds as required to actuation of ES channels 1 and 2.

#### (a)

To satisfy ALARA requirements, leakage may be measured indirectly (as from the performance of pressure indicators) if accomplished in accordance with approved procedures and supported by computations showing that the method is capable of demonstrating valve compliance with the leakage criteria.

#### 4.5.2 Reactor Building Cooling Systems

##### Applicability

Applies to testing of the Reactor Building Cooling Systems.

##### Objective

To verify that the Reactor Building Cooling Systems are operable.

##### Specification

#### 4.5.2.1 System Tests

##### 4.5.2.1.1 Reactor Building Spray System

- a. (1) Every 18 months ~~During each refueling outage,~~ a system test shall be conducted to demonstrate proper operation of the system. A test signal will be applied to demonstrate actuation of the Reactor Building Spray System.
- (2) The test will be considered satisfactory if visual observation and control board indication verifies that all components have responded to the actuation signal properly; the appropriate pump breakers shall have closed, and all valves shall have completed their travel.
- b. Station compressed air will be introduced into the spray headers to verify the availability of the headers and spray nozzles at least every ten years.

##### 4.5.2.1.2 Reactor Building Cooling System

- a. Every 18 months ~~During each refueling outage,~~ a system test shall be conducted to demonstrate proper operation of the system. The test shall be performed in accordance with the procedure summarized below:
- (1) A test signal will be applied to actuate the Reactor Building Cooling System for reactor building cooling operation.
- (2) Verification of the engineered safety features function of the Low Pressure Service Water System which supplies coolant to the reactor building coolers shall be made to demonstrate operability of the coolers.
- b. The test will be considered satisfactory if control board indication verifies that all components have responded to the actuation signal properly, the appropriate valves have completed their travel, and fans are running at half speed.

##### Bases

The Reactor Building Cooling System and Reactor Building Spray System are designed to remove heat in the containment atmosphere to control the rate of depressurization in the containment. The peak transient pressure in the containment is not affected by the two heat removal systems.

The delivery capability of one reactor building spray pump at a time can be tested

by opening the valve in the line from the borated water storage tank, opening the corresponding valve in the test line, and starting the corresponding pump. Pump discharge pressure and flow indication demonstrate performance.

With the pumps shut down and the borated water storage tank outlet closed, the reactor building spray injection valves can each be opened and closed by operator action. With the reactor building spray inlet valves closed, low pressure air or fog can be blown through the test connections of the reactor building spray nozzles to demonstrate that the flow paths are open.

The RB Spray system test required by Specification 4.5.2.1.1 verifies that the RB Spray pumps and valves respond as required to actuation of ES channels 7 and 8. In addition, this test verifies that LP-21, and LP-22 (BWST supply to the RB Spray pumps) respond as required to actuation of ES channels 7 and 8. The test required by Specification 4.5.3 verifies the containment heat removal capability of the RB Spray system (in conjunction with the LPI coolers and RBCUs).

The equipment, piping, valves, and instrumentation of the Reactor Building Cooling System are arranged so that they can be visually inspected. The cooling units and associated piping are located outside the secondary concrete shield. Personnel can enter the Reactor Building during power operations to inspect and maintain this equipment. The service water piping and valves out-side the Reactor Building are inspectable at all times. The reactor building fans are normally operated periodically, constituting the test that these fans are operable.

The RBCU system test required by Specification 4.5.2.1.2 verifies that the RBCU fans respond as required to actuation of ES channels 5 and 6. In addition, this test verifies that LPSW-18 (LPSW for "A" RBCU), LPSW-21, LPSW-565, and LPSW-566 (LPSW for "B" RBCU), and LPSW-24 (LPSW for "C" RBCU) respond as required to actuation of ES channels 5 and 6. The LPI system test required by Specification 4.5.1.1.2 verifies that the LPSW pumps respond as required to actuation of ES channels 3 and 4. The test required by Specification 4.5.3 verifies the containment heat removal capability of the RBCUs (in conjunction with the LPI coolers and RB Spray system).

#### REFERENCE

- (1) FSAR, Section 6

*No changes. For information only.*

### 4.5.3 Containment Heat Removal Capability

#### Applicability

Applies to verification of adequate containment heat removal capability.

#### Objective

To verify that containment heat removal capability is sufficient to maintain post accident conditions within design limits.

#### Specification

##### 4.5.3.1 Containment Heat Removal Capability

- Every 18 months*
- a. ~~On a refueling frequency,~~ containment heat removal capability shall be verified to be sufficient to maintain post accident conditions within design limits.
- b. In addition to the requirements of 4.5.3.1.a, on a frequency consistent with the LPI cooler and RBCU fouling rate, containment heat removal capability shall be verified to be sufficient to maintain post accident conditions within design limits.

#### Bases

The safety functions of the LPI system, RB Spray system, and RBCUs include maintaining containment pressure and temperature below design limits following an accident. This surveillance assures that containment heat removal capability is adequate assuming a worst case single failure. ~~Specification 4.5.3.1.a requires that at a minimum the surveillance be performed on a refueling frequency.~~ In addition, since service induced fouling can reduce containment heat removal capability, Specification 4.5.3.1.b requires that a fouling rate be determined in order to establish a more frequent test interval if required.

#### REFERENCES:

FSAR Section 6.2  
FSAR Section 15.14

*every 18 months*

#### 4.5.4 Penetration Room Ventilation System

##### Applicability

Applies to testing of the Penetration Room Ventilation System

##### Objective

To verify that the Penetration Room Ventilation System is operable.

##### Specification

#### 4.5.4.1 Operational and Performance Testing

- a. Monthly, each train of the Penetration Room Ventilation System shall be operated ~~for at least 15 minutes~~ at design flow  $\pm 10\%$ .
- b. Every 18 months  
~~During each refueling outage,~~ it shall be demonstrated that:
  1. The Penetration Room Ventilation System fans operate at design flow ( $\pm 10\%$ ) when tested in accordance with ANSI N510-1975.
  2. The pressure drop across the combined HEPA filters and charcoal adsorber banks is less than six inches of water at the system design flow rate ( $\pm 10\%$ ).
  3. Each branch of the Penetration Room Ventilation System is capable of automatic initiation.
  4. The bypass valve for filter cooling is manually operable.
- c. Leak tests using DOP or halogenated hydrocarbon, as appropriate shall be performed on the Penetration Room purge filters:
  1. Every 18 months  
~~During each refueling outage;~~
  2. After each complete or partial replacement of a HEPA filter bank or charcoal adsorber bank;
  3. After any structural maintenance on the system housing;
  4. After painting, fire, or chemical release in any ventilation zone communicating with the system.
- d. The results of the DOP and halogenated hydrocarbon tests on HEPA filters and charcoal adsorber banks shall show  $\geq 99\%$  DOP removal and  $\geq 99\%$  halogenated hydrocarbon removal, respectively, when tested in accordance with ANSI N510-1975.

- c. *Every 18 months, or*  
~~During each refueling outage,~~ following 720 hours of system operation, or after painting, fire, or chemical release in any ventilation zone communicating with the system, a carbon sample shall be removed from the Penetration Room Ventilation system filters for laboratory analysis. Within 31 days of removal, this sample shall be verified to show  $\geq 90\%$  radioactive methyl iodide removal when tested in accordance with ASTM D3803-1989 (30°C, 95% R.H.). Otherwise, the filter system shall be declared inoperable.

#### Basics

Pressure drop across the combined high efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filters and charcoal adsorbers of less than six inches of water at the system design flow rate will indicate that the filters and adsorbers are not clogged by excessive amounts of foreign matter. A test frequency of once per year operating cycle establishes performance capability.

(HEPA) filters are installed before the charcoal adsorbers to prevent clogging of the iodine adsorbers. The charcoal adsorbers are installed to reduce the potential release of radiolodine. Bypass leakage for the charcoal adsorbers and particulate removal efficiency for HEPA filters are determined by halogenated hydrocarbon and DOP respectively. The laboratory carbon sample test results indicate a radioactive methyl iodide removal efficiency for expected accident conditions. Operation of the fans significantly different from the design flow will change the removal efficiency of the HEPA filters and charcoal adsorbers. If the performances are as specified, the calculated doses would be less than the guidelines stated in 10 CFR 100 for the accidents analyzed.

The frequency of tests and sample analysis are necessary to show that the HEPA filters and charcoal adsorbers can perform as evaluated. Replacement adsorbent should be qualified according to the guidelines of Regulatory Guide 1.52. The charcoal adsorber efficiency test procedures should allow for the removal of one adsorber tray, emptying of one bed from the tray, mixing the adsorbent thoroughly and obtaining at least two samples. Each sample should be replaced. Any HEPA filters found defective should be replaced with filters qualified pursuant to Regulatory Position C.3.d of Regulatory Guide 1.52.

Operation of the system every month will demonstrate operability of the filters and adsorber system. Operation for 15 minutes demonstrates operability and minimizes the moisture build up during testing.

If painting, fire or chemical release occurs during system operation such that the HEPA filter or charcoal adsorber could become contaminated from the fumes, chemicals or foreign materials, the same tests and sample analysis should be performed as required for operational use.

Demonstration of the automatic initiation capability is necessary to assure system performance capability.

#### 4.5.5 Low Pressure Injection System Leakage

##### Applicability

Applies to Low Pressure Injection System leakage.

##### Objective

To maintain a preventive leakage rate for the Low Pressure Injection System which will prevent significant off-site exposures.

##### Specification

###### 4.5.5.1 Acceptance Limit

The maximum allowable leakage from the Low Pressure Injection System components (which includes valve stems, flanges and pump seals) shall not exceed two gallons per hour.

###### 4.5.5.2 Test

*Every 18 months*  
~~During each refueling outage,~~ the following tests of the Low Pressure Injection System shall be conducted to determine leakage:

- a. The portion of the Low Pressure Injection System, except as specified in (b), that is outside the containment shall be tested either by use in normal operation or by hydrostatically testing at 350 psig.
- b. Piping from the containment emergency sump to the low pressure injection pump suction isolation valve shall be pressure tested at no less than 59 psig.
- c. Visual inspection shall be made for excessive leakage from components of the system. Any excessive leakage shall be measured by collection and weighing or by another equivalent method.

##### Bases

The leakage rate limit for the Low Pressure Injection System is a judgement value based on assuring that the components can be expected to operate without mechanical failure for a period on the order of 200 days after a loss of coolant accident. The test pressure (350 psig) achieved either by normal system operation or by hydrostatically testing, gives an adequate margin over the highest pressure within the system after a design basis accident. Similarly, the pressure test for the return lines from the containment to the Low Pressure Injection System (59 psig) is equivalent to the design pressure of the containment. The dose to the thyroid calculated as a result of this leakage is 0.76 rem for a two-hour exposure at the site boundary.

##### REFERENCE

FSAR, Section 15.15.4, and 6.3.3.2.2



#### 4.6 EMERGENCY POWER PERIODIC TESTING

##### Applicability

Applies to the periodic testing surveillance of the emergency power sources.

##### Objective

To verify that the emergency power sources and equipment will respond promptly and properly when required.

##### Specification

- 4.6.1 Monthly, a test of the Keowee Hydro units shall be performed to verify proper operation of these emergency power sources and associated equipment. This test shall assure that:
- a. Each hydro unit can be automatically started from the Unit 1 and 2 control room.
  - b. Each hydro unit can be synchronized through the 230 Kv overhead circuit to the startup transformers.
  - c. Each hydro unit can energize the 13.8 Kv underground feeder.
  - d. The 4160 volt startup transformer main feeder bus breakers and standby bus breaker shall be exercised.
- 4.6.2
- a. Annually, the Keowee Hydro units will be started using the emergency start circuits in each control room to verify that each hydro unit and associated equipment is available to carry load within 25 seconds of a simulated requirement for engineered safety features.
  - b. Promptly following the above annual test, each hydro unit will be loaded to at least the combined load of the auxiliaries actuated by ESG signal in one unit and the auxiliaries of the other two units in hot shutdown by synchronizing the hydro unit to the offsite power system and assuming the load at the maximum practical rate.
  - c. Also, the ability of the Keowee Unit ACBs to close automatically to the underground path will be tested on an annual frequency.
- 4.6.3 Monthly, the Keowee Underground Feeder Breaker Interlock shall be verified to be operable.
- 4.6.4 *Every 18 months*  
~~During each refueling outage,~~ a simulated emergency transfer of the 4160 volt main feeder buses to the startup

## REACTOR CONTROL ROD SYSTEM TESTS

### Control Rod Trip Insertion Time Test

#### Applicability

Applies to the surveillance of the control rod trip insertion time.

#### Objective

To assure the control rod trip insertion time is within that used in the safety analyses.

#### Specification

The control rod insertion time shall be measured at either full flow or no flow conditions as follows:

- a. For all rods following each removal of the reactor vessel head,
- b. For specifically affected individual rods following any maintenance on or modification to the control rod drive system which could affect the drop time of those specific rods, and
- c. For all rods at least once *every 18 months* ~~following each refueling outage.~~

The maximum control rod trip insertion time for an operable control rod drive mechanism, except for the Axial Power Shaping Rods (APSRs), from the fully withdrawn position to 3/4 insertion (104 inches travel) shall not exceed 1.66\* seconds at reactor coolant full flow conditions or 1.40 seconds for no flow conditions. For the APSRs it shall be demonstrated that loss of power will not rod movement.

1. The trip insertion time above is not met, the rod shall be declared inoperable.

\* - For Unit 1 Cycle 15, Group 1, Rod 8 and Group 2, Rod 5 may be considered operable with an insertion time  $\leq 3.00$  sec provided:

- 1) the average insertion time for the remaining rods in Groups 1 and 2 is  $\leq 1.50$  sec, and
- 2) the core average negative reactivity insertion rate is within the assumptions of the safety analysis.

#### Bases

The control rod trip insertion time is the total elapsed time from power interruption at the control rod drive breakers until the control rod has completed 104 inches of travel from the fully withdrawn position. The specified trip time is based upon the safety analysis in FSAR Chapter 15.

A rod is considered inoperable if the trip insertion time is greater than the specified allowable time or the core average negative reactivity insertion rate is less than the assumptions of the safety analysis.

#### REFERENCES

- (1) FSAR, Section 15  
Technical Specification 3.5.2

#### 4.8 MAIN STEAM STOP VALVES

##### Applicability

Applies to the main steam stop valves.

##### Objective

To verify the ability of the main steam stop valves to close upon signal.

##### Specification

- 4.8 Using Channels A and B, the operation of each of the main steam stop valves shall be tested ~~during each refueling outage~~ to demonstrate a closure time of one second or less in Channel A and a closure time of 15 seconds or less for Channel B.
- every 18 months*

##### Bases

The main steam stop valves limit the Reactor Coolant System cooldown rate and resultant reactivity insertion following a main steam line break accident. ~~Their ability to promptly close upon redundant signals will be verified during each refueling outage.~~ Channel A solenoid valves are designed to close all ~~four turbine stop valves~~ in 240 milliseconds. The backup Channel B solenoid valves are designed to close the turbine stop valves in approximately 12 seconds.

*every 18 months*

Using the maximum 15 second stop valve closing time, the fouled steam generator inventories and the minimum tripped rod worth with the maximum stuck rod worth, an analysis similar to that presented in FSAR Section 15.13, (but considering a blowdown of both steam generators) shows that the reactor will remain sub-critical after reactor trip following a double-ended steam line break.

##### REFERENCES

- (1) FSAR, Section 10.3.4, and 15.13

#### 4.9 EMERGENCY FEEDWATER PUMP AND VALVE PERIODIC TESTING

##### Applicability

Applies to the periodic testing of the turbine-driven and motor-driven emergency feedwater pumps and associated valves.

##### Objective

To verify that the emergency feedwater pumps and associated valves are operable.

##### Specification

###### 4.9.1 Pump Test

The turbine-driven and motor-driven feedwater pumps shall be operated on recirculation to the upper surge tank for a minimum of one hour in accordance with the requirements of Specification 4.0.4.

###### 4.9.2 Valve Test

Automatic valves in the emergency feedwater flow path will be determined to be operable in accordance with the requirements of Specification 4.0.4.

###### 4.9.3 System Flow Test

Prior to Unit operation above 25% Full Power following any modifications or repairs to the emergency feedwater system which could degrade the flow path and at least once ~~per refueling cycle~~, the emergency feedwater system shall be given either a manual or an automatic initiation signal.

###### 4.9.4 Acceptance Criteria

These tests shall be considered satisfactory if control board indication and visual observation of the equipment demonstrates that all components have operated properly. In addition, during operation of the System Flow Test (Item 4.9.3 above), flow to the steam generators shall be verified by control room indication.

##### Bases.

The monthly testing frequency is sufficient to verify that the emergency feedwater pumps are operable. Verification of correct operation is made both from the control room instrumentation and direct visual observation of the pumps. The parameters which are observed are detailed in the applicable edition of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section XI. The System Flow Test verifies correct total system operation following modifications or repairs.

##### REFERENCE

(1) FSAR, Section 10.4.7.4

#### 4.12 CONTROL ROOM PRESSURIZATION AND FILTERING SYSTEM

##### Applicability

Applies to control room pressurization and filtering system components

##### Objective

To verify that these systems and components will be able to perform their design functions.

##### Specification

##### 4.12.1 Operating Tests

- a. Control room outside air booster fan system tests shall be performed quarterly. These tests shall consist of an external visual inspection, a flow measurement for each unit and pressure drop measurements across each filter bank. Pressure drop across pre-filter shall not exceed 1 inch H<sub>2</sub>O and pressure drop across HEPA shall not exceed 2 inches H<sub>2</sub>O. Fan motors shall be operated continuously for at least one hour, and all louvers shall be proven operable.
- b. Every 18 months ~~On a refueling frequency~~ verify the system maintains the control room at a positive pressure with both outside air booster fans on during system operation.

##### 4.12.2 Filter Tests

Every 18 months ~~On a refueling frequency~~, for the Unit 1 and 2 and the Unit 3 control room an in-place leakage test using DOP on HEPA units and Freon-112 (or equivalent) on carbon units shall be performed at design flow on each filter train. Removal of 99.5 percent DOP by each entire HEPA filter unit and removal of 99.0 percent Freon-112 (or equivalent) by each entire carbon adsorber unit shall constitute acceptance performance. These tests must also be performed after any maintenance which may affect the structural integrity of either the filtration system units or of the housing.

##### Bases

The purpose of the control room pressurization filtering system is to protect the control room operators from the effects of accidental release of radioactive effluents or toxic gases in the Turbine Building or Auxiliary Building only. The system is designed with two 50 percent capacity filter trains each of which consists of a prefilter, high efficiency particulate filters, carbon filters, booster fans, air handling unit fans, and associated ductwork to pressurize the control room with outside air.

Since these systems are not normally operated, a periodic test is required to insure their operability when needed. Quarterly testing of this system will show that the system is available.

every 18 months ~~Refueling frequency~~ Testing of the installed carbon adsorber stage and absolute filters will verify the leak integrity of the cleanup system. ~~Refueling frequency~~ Testing will also verify the ability of the system to maintain the control room at a positive pressure to minimize infiltration of hazardous effluents. every 18 months

OCONEE - UNITS 1, 2, & 3

4.12-1

6/6/89  
Amendment No. 174 (Unit 1)  
Amendment No. 174 (Unit 2)  
Amendment No. 171 (Unit 3)

#### 4.14 REACTOR BUILDING PURGE FILTERS AND SPENT FUEL POOL VENTILATION SYSTEM

##### Applicability

Applies to testing of the Reactor Building purge filters for Units 2 and 3 and the spent fuel pool ventilation systems.

##### Objective

To verify that the Unit 2 and Unit 3 Reactor Building purge filters will perform their design function and that when used with the spent fuel pool ventilation system, will reduce the off-site dose due to a fuel handling accident.

##### Specification

##### 4.14.1 Operational and Performance Testing

- a. Monthly, each train of the spent fuel pool ventilation system shall be operated through the respective Reactor Building purge filters for at least 15 minutes at design flow  $\pm 10\%$ .
- b. *Every 18 months*  
~~During each refueling outage, the spent fuel pool ventilation fans shall be shown to operate at design flow  $\pm 10\%$  when tested in accordance with ANSI N510-1975.~~
- c. Leak tests using DOP or halogenated hydrocarbon, as appropriate shall be performed ~~on the Reactor Building purge filters:~~
  1. *Every 18 months*  
~~During each refueling outage;~~
  2. After each complete or partial replacement of HEPA filter bank or charcoal adsorber bank;
  3. After any structural maintenance on the system housing;
  4. After painting, fire, or chemical release in any ventilation zone communicating with the system.
- d. The results of the DOP and halogenated hydrocarbon tests on HEPA filters and charcoal adsorber banks shall show  $\geq 99\%$  DOP removal and  $\geq 99\%$  halogenated hydrocarbon removal, respectively, when tested in accordance with ANSI N510-1975.

- c. Every 18 months, or  
~~During each refueling outage,~~ following 720 hours of system operation, or  
~~after painting, fire, or chemical release in any ventilation zone~~  
communicating with the system, a carbon sample shall be removed from the  
Reactor Building purge filters for laboratory analysis. Within 31 days of  
removal, this sample shall be verified to show  $\geq 90\%$  radioactive methyl  
iodide removal when tested in accordance with ASTM D3803-1989 (30°C,  
95% R.H.). Otherwise, the filter system shall be declared inoperable.

#### Bases

The Unit 2 Reactor Building purge filter is used in the ventilation system for the common spent fuel pool for Units 1 and 2. The Unit 3 Reactor Building purge filter is used in the Unit 3 spent fuel pool ventilation system. Each filter is constructed with a prefilter, an absolute filter and a charcoal filter in series. The high efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filters are installed before the charcoal adsorbers to prevent clogging of the iodine adsorbers. The charcoal adsorbers are installed to reduce the potential release of radioiodine.

Bypass leakage for the charcoal adsorbers and particulate removal efficiency for HEPA filters are determined by halogenated hydrocarbon and DOP respectively. The laboratory carbon sample test results indicate a radioactive methyl iodide removal efficiency for expected accident conditions. Operation of the fans significantly different from the design flow will change the removal efficiency of the HEPA filters and charcoal adsorbers. If the performances are as specified, the doses for a fuel handling accident would be minimized.

The frequency of tests and sample analysis are necessary to show that the HEPA filters and charcoal adsorbers can perform as evaluated. Replacement adsorbent should be qualified according to the guidelines of Regulatory Guide 1.52. The charcoal adsorber efficiency test procedures should allow for the removal of one adsorber tray, emptying of one bed from the tray, mixing the adsorbent thoroughly and obtaining at least two samples. Each sample should be replaced. Any HEPA filters found defective should be replaced with filters qualified pursuant to Regulatory Position C.3.d of Regulatory Guide 1.52.

Operation of the spent fuel pool ventilation system every month will demonstrate operability of the fans, filters and adsorber system.

If painting, fire or chemical release occurs during system operation such that the HEPA filter or charcoal adsorber could become contaminated from the fumes, chemicals or foreign materials, the same tests and sample analysis should be performed as required for operational use.

## 4.18 SNUBBERS

### Applicability

Applies to hydraulic and mechanical snubbers used to protect the Reactor Coolant System and other safety-related systems.

### Objective

To verify that the required hydraulic and mechanical snubbers are operable.

### Specification

4.18.1 Each snubber associated with the Reactor Coolant System and other safety-related systems, as specified in the appropriate Station Procedure shall be visually inspected. Visual inspections shall verify:

- (1) that there are no visible indications of damage or impaired OPERABILITY,
- (2) attachments to the foundation or supporting structure are secure, and
- (3) in those locations where mechanical snubber movement can be manually induced, the snubbers shall be inspected as follows:
  - (a) *Every 18 months* ~~At each refueling,~~ the inaccessible snubbers shall be inspected near the beginning and the end of ~~the~~ *an* outage.
  - (b) In the event of a severe dynamic event, snubbers in that system which experienced the event shall be inspected during the refueling outage to assure that the snubbers have freedom of movement and are not frozen up. The inspection shall consist of verifying freedom of motion using one of the following: (i) Manually induced snubber movement, (ii) evaluation of in place snubber piston setting; (iii) stroking the mechanical snubber through its full range of travel. If one or more mechanical snubbers are found to be frozen up during this inspection, those snubbers shall be replaced (or overhauled) before returning to power. Re-inspection shall subsequently be performed according to the schedule listed below.

Snubbers which appear inoperable as a result of visual inspections may be determined OPERABLE for the purpose of establishing the next visual inspection interval, providing that (1) the cause of the rejection is clearly established and remedied for that particular snubber and for other snubbers that may be generically susceptible; and (2) the affected snubber is functionally tested in the as found condition and determined OPERABLE per Specification 4.18.4. However, when the fluid port of a hydraulic snubber is found to be uncovered, the snubber shall be tested by starting with the piston at the as



found setting and extending the piston rod in the tension mode direction. All snubbers connected to an inoperable common hydraulic fluid reservoir shall be counted as inoperable snubbers. Snubber operability will be verified in accordance with the following schedule:

<u>No. Inoperable Snubbers per Inspection Period</u>	<u>Subsequent Visual Inspection Period</u>
0	18 months $\pm$ 25%
1	12 months $\pm$ 25%
2	6 months $\pm$ 25%
3,4	4 months $\pm$ 25%
5,6,7	2 months $\pm$ 25%
$\geq 8$	1 month $\pm$ 25%

- Note: (1) The required inspection interval shall not be lengthened more than two steps per inspection.
- (2) Snubbers may be categorized in two groups, "accessible" or "inaccessible," based on their accessibility during reactor operation. These two groups may be inspected independently according to the above schedule.
- (3) Hydraulic and mechanical snubber inspection schedules are independent.

4.18.2 The seal service life of hydraulic snubbers shall be monitored to ensure that the seals do not exceed their expected service life by more than 10% between surveillance inspections. The maximum expected service life for the various seals, seal materials, and applications shall be estimated based on engineering information, and the seals shall be replaced so that the maximum expected service life is not exceeded by more than 10% during a period when the snubber is required to be OPERABLE. The seal replacements shall be documented and the documentation shall be retained in accordance with Specification 6.5.1.m.

4.18.3 At least once *every 18 months* ~~per refueling outage~~, a representative sample, a minimum of 10% of the total of hydraulic snubbers in use in the plant, shall be functionally tested either in place or in a bench test. For each hydraulic snubber that does not meet the functional test acceptance criteria of Specification 4.18.4, an additional minimum of 10% of the hydraulic snubbers shall be functionally tested until none are found inoperative or all have been functionally tested.

The representative sample selected for functional testing shall include the various configurations, operating environments and the range of size and capacity of hydraulic snubbers. The representative sample shall be selected randomly from the total population of safety-related hydraulic snubbers.

- 4.18.6 Permanent or other exemptions from the surveillance program for individual snubbers may be granted by the Commission if a justifiable basis for exemption is presented and, if applicable, snubber life destructive testing was performed to qualify the snubber for the applicable design conditions. Snubbers so exempted shall be listed in a permanent record which references the exemption letter date.

#### Bases

All snubbers are required OPERABLE to ensure that the structural integrity of the reactor coolant system and all other safety related systems is maintained during and following a seismic or other event initiating dynamic loads. Snubbers excluded from this inspection program are those installed on nonsafety-related systems and then only if their failure or failure of the system on which they are installed would have no adverse effect on any safety-related system.

The visual inspection frequency is based upon maintaining a constant level of snubber protection to systems. Therefore, the required inspection interval varies inversely with the observed snubber failures and is determined by the number of inoperable snubbers found during an inspection. Inspections performed before that interval has elapsed may be used as a new reference point to determine the next inspection. However, the results of such early inspections performed before the original required time interval has elapsed (nominal time less 25%) may not be used to lengthen the required inspection interval unless so determined, by the engineer, from a previous window of a schedule. Any inspection whose results require a shorter inspection interval will override the previous schedule.

When the cause of the rejection of a snubber is clearly established and remedied for that snubber and for any other snubbers that may be generically susceptible, and verified by inservice functional testing, that snubber may be exempted from being counted as inoperable. Generically susceptible snubbers are those which are of a specific make or model and have the same design features directly related to rejection of the snubber by visual inspection, or are similarly located or exposed to the same environmental conditions such as temperature, radiation, and vibration.

When a snubber is found inoperable, an engineering evaluation is performed, in addition to the determination of the snubber mode of failure, in order to determine if any safety-related component or system has been adversely affected by the inoperability of the snubber.

To provide assurance of snubber functional reliability, a representative sample of the installed hydraulic snubbers will be functionally tested during refueling outages. Observed failures of these sample snubbers shall require functional testing of additional units.

Hydraulic snubbers and mechanical snubbers may each be treated as a different entity for the above surveillance programs.

*Every 18 months*

TABLE 4.20-1  
SSF INSTRUMENTATION  
SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	Check	Calibrate	Remarks
1. RCS Pressure (3)	WE	<del>RF</del> 18 months	Loop A, B
2. SSF RC Makeup Pump (3)			
Suction Pressure	QU(1)	<del>RF</del> 18 months	
Discharge Pressure	QU(1)	<del>RF</del> 18 months	
Suction Temperature	QU(1)	<del>RF</del> 18 months	
Discharge Flow	QU(1)	<del>RF</del> 18 months	
3. RC System Temperature(3)	NA(2)	<del>RF</del> 18 months	Loop A, B Hot, Cold
4. Pressurizer Water Level(3)	WE	<del>RF</del> 18 months	
5. SSF Auxiliary Service Water Pump			
Suction Pressure	QU(1)	AN	
Discharge Pressure	QU(1)	AN	
Unit 1 Discharge Pressure	NA	AN	
Unit 2 Discharge Pressure	NA	AN	
Unit 3 Discharge Pressure	NA	AN	
Discharge Test Flow	QU(1)	AN	
Suction Temperature	QU(1)	AN	
6. Steam Generator Levels (3)	WE	<del>RF</del> 18 months	A, B
7. Underground Fuel Oil Storage Tank Inventory	NA	AN	
8. D/G Service Water Pump			
Discharge Flow	QU(1)	AN	
Discharge Pressure	QU(1)	AN	
9. D/G Air Start System Pressure	WE	AN	

- (1) Check when pump operated/tested per IST.
- (2) This instrumentation is normally aligned through a transfer/isolation device to each Unit Control Room and is thus checked in accordance with Specification 4.1, Table 4.1-1, Item 7. ~~Each refueling outage, the instrument string to the SSF Control Room will be checked and calibrated.~~
- (3) Units 1, 2, 3.

Every 18 months

OCONEE 1, 2, and 3

4.20-5

Amendment No. 195 (Unit 1)  
Amendment No. 195 (Unit 2)  
Amendment No. 192. (Unit 3)

## ATTACHMENT 3

### TECHNICAL JUSTIFICATION

#### **Background**

The original Technical Specifications for Oconee required certain surveillances to be performed annually. Thus, the original Technical Specifications did not constrain performance of the annual surveillances to refueling outage conditions. When the site transitioned from annual to 18 month refueling cycles, the Technical Specifications were changed to replace the word "annual" with "refueling outage". The intent of the change was to reflect the increased surveillance period and it was not intended to constrain refueling outage surveillances to refueling outages or refueling shutdown conditions. The Technical Specifications define the Refueling Shutdown as "a shutdown to replace or rearrange all or a portion of the fuel assemblies and/or control rods." The Technical Specifications do not define "refueling outage" other than to stipulate in Specification 4.0.2 that the maximum duration for this surveillance frequency is 22 months, 15 days. Some specifications do contain wording, such as "during each refueling outage", which could imply that refueling conditions should exist. These Technical Specifications have been reviewed and, although some may require cold shutdown conditions, none actually require refueling activities in order to perform the surveillance.

As a result of forced outages during 1997, certain surveillances for Oconee Unit 2 that can only be performed during an outage will exceed the maximum frequency of 22 months 15 days prior to the start of the Unit 2 refueling outage. On January 15, 1997, Duke submitted a Technical Specification change to justify an extension of these surveillances to support the scheduled refueling outage date of March 13, 1998. The site also has been evaluating the performance of other surveillances at power to assure that the required refueling outage frequency is not exceeded. In discussions with NRR on January 29, 1998, the licensee was informed that the staff's interpretation of Oconee's Technical Specifications is that any surveillance with a refueling outage frequency must be performed only during a literal refueling outage. Thus, any surveillances performed at power, in forced outages, or planned shutdowns would not satisfy the requirements of Technical Specifications. Oconee immediately began to evaluate the impact of the staff's literal interpretation of the Technical

Specifications with respect to past surveillances done at times other than during a refueling outage.

On January 30, 1998, Oconee confirmed that certain surveillances have been performed at times other than during a refueling outage. These surveillances include, but may not be limited to, the EFW flow instruments on Unit 2, LPI flow instruments on Units 2 and 3, BWST level instruments on Units 2 and 3, and high range radiation monitors on Unit 2. Oconee discussed these findings with NRR on January 30, 1998. The staff agreed that developing a comprehensive list of all surveillances that may have been performed at conditions other than during a refueling outage was not warranted and that the examples already identified by Oconee were sufficient to establish the need for enforcement discretion. It should be recognized that all surveillances for all three units have been performed within the 22 month, 15 day time constraint established by Technical Specifications. The only compliance issue is the fact that some surveillances with a refueling outage frequency were performed at conditions other than during a refueling outage.

Prior to January 29, 1998, Oconee did not recognize the literal interpretation that the refueling outage frequency established in the surveillance specifications also defined a condition at which the surveillances must be performed (i.e., during a refueling outage). This literal interpretation is inconsistent with all the other surveillance intervals defined in Section 4.0.2 of Technical Specifications in that the other intervals are clearly tied only to time. Once notified of the staff's literal interpretation, Oconee took prompt actions to assure compliance with this position. These actions included a review of past surveillances for the three units, entry into Technical Specification 3.0, development of a request for enforcement discretion, and development of this license amendment to clearly define the intent of refueling outage frequencies in the Technical Specifications.

Oconee docketed its request for enforcement discretion in a letter to the staff dated January 30, 1998. Oconee held a conference call with Region II and NRR at 1500 hours on January 30, 1998 to discuss its request for enforcement discretion. At 1530 hours on January 30, 1998, the NRC granted enforcement discretion on this issue for all three Oconee Units until the proposed license amendment is approved by the staff.

At the time the NOED was requested, Oconee submitted a draft Technical Specification change which proposed to add a definition to Section 1 of the Technical Specifications to clearly define the intent of the wording used to describe refueling outage frequencies in individual specifications in Section 4. After additional evaluation, the decision was made that the actual proposed Technical Specification change would amend the wording of the affected individual specifications.

### **Description of Technical Specification Change**

This Technical Specification amendment request involves changes to how surveillance frequencies are expressed in Section 4 of the Technical Specifications. This change is to revise wording which describes the existing interval in terms of "refueling" (e.g. "refueling outage", "refueling shutdown", or "refueling frequency") to wording which clearly states a frequency of "18 months" not to exceed 22 months, 15 days. This maximum interval is the same maximum interval currently defined in Section 4.0.2. As stated previously, the intent is to provide wording which would allow credit to be taken for surveillances performed at conditions other than literally during a refueling outage.

A sentence is added to Specification 4.0.2 to indicate that clarifying words such as "each" and "every" are not intended to alter the frequencies prescribed in the specifications. In other words, if a specification states every 18 months, it is acceptable to perform the surveillance at any time as long as the duration between the last two surveillances does not exceed 22 months 15 days. This sentence clarifies that literal interpretation of "every 18 months" is not appropriate and the specifications are not intended to require surveillances at exactly 18 month intervals.

### **Technical Justification**

The 18 month frequency is a standard frequency used in Standardized Technical Specifications for surveillances similar to those affected by this request.

The individual Technical Specifications to be changed have been reviewed against the Oconee Improved Technical Specifications (ITS), which have already been submitted to the NRC for review and approval. ITS does not use the "refueling outage" frequency defined in the existing Technical Specifications. Instead, it uses the 18 month frequency which this change request will impose. ITS

surveillances which specifically refer to refueling conditions were identified. The corresponding surveillances in the current Technical Specifications were not altered.

Several other Technical Specifications use wording referring to refueling conditions or refueling activities. These specifications have been reviewed and it was concluded that they are clearly worded and that they do not require revision at this time. Some are "event driven" (e.g. "prior to refueling") rather than frequency driven. Others clearly state an intention that testing may be performed other than during refueling outages. For example, Technical Specification 4.4.1.2.2 requires local leak rate testing "during each reactor shutdown for refueling or other convenient interval...not greater than 24 months."

The ability to perform surveillances at times other than refueling outages has several advantages. Historically, units have experienced maintenance outages or extended power reductions which have affected fuel burnup such that refuelings have been rescheduled. Typically, the licensees operating these units have evaluated the remaining fuel life and have performed surveillances early in order to avoid exceeding the maximum allowed surveillance interval. This approach typically results in some tests being performed more frequently than required, which provides a small safety enhancement.

Duke concludes that the proposed Technical Specification changes are administrative in nature. The proposed wording is consistent with the requirements in ITS. Therefore, Duke concludes that the proposed changes are justified and will have no impact on public health and safety.

#### ATTACHMENT 4

### NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION EVALUATION

This proposed change has been evaluated against the standards in 10 CFR 50.92 and has been determined to involve no significant hazards, in that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not:

1. Involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated?

No. The proposed change will revise the surveillance requirements for selected surveillances which have a refueling outage surveillance frequency with a maximum interval of 22 months and 15 days. The proposed change will replace the refueling outage requirement with a comparable requirement to perform the surveillance every 18 months which has a maximum interval of 22 months and 15 days. The proposed change does not increase the maximum interval between surveillances and does not change any surveillance acceptance criteria. Thus, the probability and consequences of an accident previously evaluated will not be significant increased.

2. Create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from the accidents previously evaluated?

No. Since the proposed change does not increase the maximum interval between surveillances and does not change any surveillance acceptance criteria, a new or different kind of accident from the accidents which were previously evaluated will not occur.

3. Involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety?

No. The margin of safety will not be significantly reduced by this amendment request because the maximum interval between the surveillances and the surveillance acceptance criteria are not changed. Thus, the operability of the plant equipment and systems will be verified within the same surveillance interval and to the same acceptance criteria.

Duke has concluded based on the above information that there are no significant hazards involved in this amendment request.



## ATTACHMENT 5

### ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22 (b), an evaluation of the proposed amendment has been performed to determine whether or not it meets the criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22 (c) 9 of the regulations. The proposed amendment does not involve:

- 1) A significant hazards consideration.

This conclusion is supported by the determination of no significant hazards.

- 2) A significant change in the types or significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite.

This amendment will not change the types or amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite.

- 3) A significant increase in the individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure.

This amendment will not increase the individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure.

In summary, this amendment request meets the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 51.22 (c) 9 of the regulations for categorical exclusion from an environmental impact statement.