

Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From: RulemakingComments Resource
Sent: Monday, September 14, 2015 2:25 PM
To: Rulemaking1CEm Resource
Subject: Comment on PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30
Attachments: NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0220.pdf

DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

SECY-067

PR#: PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PR-20-30

FRN#: 80FR35870

NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2015-0057

SECY DOCKET DATE: 8/27/15

TITLE: Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

COMMENT#: 228

Hearing Identifier: Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public
Email Number: 1023

Mail Envelope Properties (fda03a4f35d148c9865b75fdf3850f1d)

Subject: Comment on PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30
Sent Date: 9/14/2015 2:25:16 PM
Received Date: 9/14/2015 2:25:17 PM
From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"Rulemaking1CEm Resource" <Rulemaking1CEm.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQPWMSMRS05.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	296	9/14/2015 2:25:17 PM
NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0220.pdf		69041

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: 9/5/15 9:27 AM Received: August 27, 2015 Status: Pending_Post Tracking No. 1jz-8ksq-o4n6 Comments Due: September 08, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: NRC-2015-0057

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

Comment On: NRC-2015-0057-0010

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation; Notice of Docketing and Request for Comment

Document: NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0220

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-15441

Submitter Information

Name: Dave Archer

General Comment

At a time when public trust of nuclear is low enough to affect new builds
 One would expect nuclear professionals acknowledge the danger they control,
 not deny it, further degrading public trust in them to protect us. The very regulators
 charged with this protection are now trying to green-wash radiation as healthy. Meanwhile the public
 grows more informed about atomic atmospheric testing over US soil, a string of US nuclear accidents
 'super fund sites' and Nat Labs that are never cleaned up, incoming radiation from foreign accidents,
 and past sea dumping

I suggest that LNT itself is part of this white washing by combining the 3 forms of radiation
 Alpha Gamma and Beta which have separate health effects. Lost in today's nuclear culture, is the idea of
 'the promise of containment'. Atomic was initially advertised as controllable - it is not, The lessons learned
 after Fukushima by the NRC amounted to venting containment in fuel accident, hardly containment.

The only people concerned with radiation are plant workers

The public is worried about fission products escaping containment, that would include the
 host of chemicals that make up spent fuel bundles, these all have totally different effects,
 are passed by the body differently and are retained by tissues differently - They affect different sexes
 and ages differently

LNT is simplistic, but not worse than guessing it is good for you. Hot particles hit tissue and cause damage,
 the more damage the more chances of injured genes and damaged DNA

Should we then, stop using sunscreen on our kids? -

on twitter as @thatarcher - The Price-Anderson Act