

Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From: RulemakingComments Resource
Sent: Monday, September 14, 2015 2:18 PM
To: Rulemaking1CEm Resource
Subject: Comment on PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30
Attachments: NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0214.pdf

DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

SECY-067

PR#: PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PR-20-30

FRN#: 80FR35870

NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2015-0057

SECY DOCKET DATE: 8/27/15

TITLE: Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

COMMENT#: 225

Hearing Identifier: Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public
Email Number: 1020

Mail Envelope Properties (46be576829e743bf88d9c725d22ad19d)

Subject: Comment on PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30
Sent Date: 9/14/2015 2:18:07 PM
Received Date: 9/14/2015 2:18:08 PM
From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQPWMSMRS05.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	291	9/14/2015 2:18:08 PM
NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0214.pdf		68006

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: 9/5/15 9:24 AM Received: August 27, 2015 Status: Pending_Post Tracking No. 1jz-8ksj-sjux Comments Due: September 08, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: NRC-2015-0057

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

Comment On: NRC-2015-0057-0010

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation; Notice of Docketing and Request for Comment

Document: NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0214

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-15441

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

Calabrese is funded by the US military, US DOE and various corporations who have an interest in proving that radiation and toxic chemicals are good for you. He did his undergrad and Ph.D. at U. Mass Amherst where he has stayed excepting a couple of years in Illinois. This is academic incest and suggests something fishy.

Calabrese starts with the premise that he wants to prove that it is good for you which is NOT science and by definition is methodologically flawed. This is all the more true as the dangers of ionizing radiation have been well known for about 100 years and suspected for longer.

The BEIR report, also taxpayer funded, and chaired by Harvard epidemiologist, Dr. Monson, has clearly stated that there is no safe dose. It's basic biology, as they carefully explain in their report. The BEIR report specifically addresses what's wrong with Hormesis and why they support the LNT model.

Furthermore, there is nothing low dose about 100 mSv. It is at the beginning of medium dose and 100 mSv per year will lead to at least 100 excess cancers per 100 people, of which approximately half will die. Some British and German researchers put it at 100 cancer deaths per 100. It will particularly impact the unborn. 100 mSv per year is mass homicide. There will be no-one to care for the sick. This is cancers above what people already get. It excludes medical exposures. It supposes that there is no radiation already in the environment, even though there is. It supposes that it doesn't accumulate, but it does. Thus, each person can expect to have multiple cancers in their lifetime, and probable death at retirement or younger, due to life-shortening effects of 14 to 15 years or more.

Don't believe me, though the intelligence of my words speak for themselves. The BEIR report by the National Academy of Sciences can be found for free online.

Calabrese is an example of the disease which currently afflicts academia. President Eisenhower warned us of his type of military and corporate control of academia.