

INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT		1. IAA NO. NRC-HQ-20-15-T-0030		PAGE OF 1 3	
2. ORDER NO.		3. REQUISITION NO. NRR-15-0268		4. SOLICITATION NO.	
5. EFFECTIVE DATE 09/03/2015		6. AWARD DATE 09/03/2015		7. PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE 09/14/2015 TO 04/01/2016	
8. SERVICING AGENCY PACIFIC NORTHWEST NAT LAB ALC: DUNS: +4: US DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY PACIFIC NORTHWEST SITE OFFICE PO BOX 350 MS K9-42 RICHLAND WA 99352 POC Genice Madera TELEPHONE NO. 509-372-4010		9. DELIVER TO BERNARD I. GRENIER US NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION 11555 ROCKVILLE PIKE MAILSTOP OWFN 10C15 ROCKVILLE MD 20852			
10. REQUESTING AGENCY ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT DIVISION ALC: 31000001 DUNS: 040535809 +4: US NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION ONE WHITE FLINT NORTH 11555 ROCKVILLE PIKE ROCKVILLE MD 20852-2738 POC Shashi Malhotra TELEPHONE NO. 301-415-7803		11. INVOICE OFFICE US NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION ONE WHITE FLINT NORTH 11555 ROCKVILLE PIKE MAILSTOP O3-E17A ROCKVILLE MD 20852-2738			
12. ISSUING OFFICE US NRC - HQ ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT DIVISION MAIL STOP TWFN-5E03 WASHINGTON DC 20555-0001		13. LEGISLATIVE AUTHORITY Energy Reorganization Act of 1974			
		14. PROJECT ID			
		15. PROJECT TITLE SEE BLOCK 18			
16. ACCOUNTING DATA 2015-X0200-FEEBASED-20-20D007-11-4-149-1128-253D					
17. ITEM NO.	18. SUPPLIES/SERVICES	19. QUANTITY	20. UNIT	21. UNIT PRICE	22. AMOUNT
	TASK ORDERING AGREEMENT: NRC-HQ-25-14-D-0001 TASK ORDER NUMBER: NRC-HQ-20-15-T-0030 The NRC and the DOE Lab (PNNL) hereby enter into this Agreement, NRCHQ2514D0001 - NRCHQ2015T0030, for the project entitled, "Review of the Palo Verde Nuclear Power Plant License Amendment Request Pertaining to RITSTF Initiative 4b, "Provide Risk-Informed Extended Completion Times, TSTF-505" PNNL ID: 66419 Continued ...				
23. PAYMENT PROVISIONS		24. TOTAL AMOUNT \$53,000.00			
25a. SIGNATURE OF GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVE (SERVICING)		26a. SIGNATURE OF GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVE (REQUESTING)			
25b. NAME AND TITLE		25c. DATE		26b. CONTRACTING OFFICER JEFFREY R. MITCHELL	
				26c. DATE 9/3/2015	

TEMPLATE - ADMIN

SUNSI REVIEW COMPLETE

SEP 11 2015

ADMIN02

The performance period for this agreement shall commence on September 14, 2015 and will expire on April 01, 2016.

Consideration and Obligations:

(a) Authorized Cost Ceiling \$160,639.00.

(b) The amount presently obligated with respect to this DOE Agreement is \$53,000.00. When and if the amount(s) paid and payable to the DOE Laboratory hereunder shall equal the obligated amount, the DOE Laboratory shall not be obligated to continue performance of the work unless and until the NRC Contracting Officer shall increase the amount obligated with respect to this DOE Agreement. Any work undertaken by the DOE Laboratory in excess of the obligated amount specified above is done so at the DOE Laboratory's sole risk.

The following documents are hereby made part of this Agreement:

Attachment 1: Statement of Work

NRC CONTRACTING OFFICERS REPRESENTATIVE (COR):

Bernard Grenier

PNNL'S PROJECT MANAGER: Steve Short

Master IAA: NRCHQ2514D0001

00001

Authorized Cost Ceiling

160,639.00

Line Item Ceiling \$160,639.00

Incrementally Funded Amount: \$53,000.00

This agreement is entered into pursuant to the authority of the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, as amended (42 U.S.C 5801 et seq.). This work will be performed in accordance with the NRC/DOE Memorandum of Understanding dated November 24, 1998. To the best of our knowledge, the work requested will not place the DOE and its contractor in direct competition with the domestic private sector.

[x] Fee Recoverable Work

TAC MF6366

[x] Non-fee Recoverable Work

TAC MF4227, Non - Fee Recoverable Work for

Continued ...

Task 1

Notwithstanding the agreement effective dates and period of performance start dates stated elsewhere in the agreement, the effective date of the agreement and start date of the period of performance are the last date of signature by the parties.

The total amount of award: \$160,639.00. The obligation for this award is shown in box 24.

STATEMENT OF WORK

ATTACHMENT 1

NRC Agreement Number NRC-HQ-25-14-D-0001	NRC Agreement Modification Number 	NRC Task Order Number (If Applicable) NRC-HQ-20-15-T-0030	NRC Task Order Modification Number (If Applicable) N/A
Project Title Review of the Palo Verde Nuclear Power Plant License Amendment Request Pertaining to Risk-Informed Technical Specifications Task Force Initiative 4b, "Provide Risk-Informed Extended Completion Times," TSTF-505			
Job Code Number Cost Center 1128	B&R Number 11-4-149	DOE Laboratory PNNL	
NRC Requisitioning Office Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR), Division of Risk Assessment (DRA)			
NRC Form 187, Contract Security and Classification Requirements <input type="checkbox"/> Applicable <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Note Applicable		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Involves Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Involves Sensitive Unclassified	
<input type="checkbox"/> Non Fee-Recoverable		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fee-Recoverable (If checked, complete all applicable sections below)	
Docket Number (If Fee-Recoverable/Applicable) Unit 1 – 05000528 Unit 2 – 05000529 Unit 3 – 05000530		Inspection Report Number (If Fee Recoverable/Applicable) N/A	
Technical Assignment Control Number (If Fee-Recoverable/Applicable) MF6576 = Unit 1; MF6577 = Unit 2, MF6578 = Unit 3		Technical Assignment Control Number Description (If Fee-Recoverable/Applicable) N/A	

1.0 BACKGROUND

In 1992, the NRC issued the improved Standard Technical Specifications (STS) to clarify the content and form of requirements necessary to ensure safe operation of nuclear power plants in accordance with Section 50.36 of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR 50.36). As the STS mature, necessary improvements are identified. One process used to initiate changes to the STS involves the industry-sponsored Technical Specifications Task Force (TSTF) submitting a proposed change or Traveler to the NRC for review, approval, and subsequent incorporation into the next revision of the STS. The NRC reviews the proposed change, with the end product being a model application, a model safety evaluation, and a

BACKGROUND (CONTINUED)

review plan which licensees may use in subsequent LARs. Licensees applying to incorporate these proposed changes into their Technical Specifications must provide a plant specific justification acceptable to the staff in their LAR.

In March 2012, the NRC announced the availability of the model safety evaluation (SE) for plant-specific adoption of TSTF Traveler TSTF-505, Revision 1, "Provide Risk-Informed Extended Completion Times – RITSTF Initiative 4B." TSTF-505, Revision 1, is applicable to all nuclear power reactors. TSTF-505 revises the TS to (1) add a new risk-informed completion time (RICT) program to the Administrative Controls of TS, (2) modify selected Required Actions to permit extending the completion times (CTs), provided risk is assessed and managed within an acceptable configuration risk management program (CRMP), (3) add new Conditions, Required Actions, and CTs to address conditions not currently addressed in TS, and (4) add a new example in TS Section 1.3, to describe application of the RICT Program. The initiative is intended to maintain and improve safety through incorporation of risk assessment and management techniques in the TSs, while reducing unnecessary burden.

The PRA Licensing Branch (APLA) is responsible for reviewing the Probabilistic Risk Assessment (PRA) portion of the LARs to make an independent assessment regarding PRA technical adequacy and the acceptability of the proposed amendment. Due to heavy workload in APLA, contractor assistance is required to support APLA so as to complete the technical review and develop input for the safety evaluation report (SER) in a timely manner.

2.0 OBJECTIVE

The objective of this task order is to obtain technical expertise from Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL) to assist the NRC staff in determining the PRA quality and technical adequacy for the PRA portion of LARs.

3.0 SCOPE OF WORK

PNNL must provide all resources necessary to accomplish the tasks and deliverables described in this statement of work (SOW).

PNNL must review the Palo Verde RITSTF Initiative 4b, TSTF 505 LAR. PNNL must assess PRA quality and technical adequacy, identify the need for additional information as necessary, and prepare a TER, as described in Section 4.0 "Specific Tasks" below.

4.0 SPECIFIC TASKS

PNNL must perform the following tasks:

Task 1 – Acceptance Review

Based on Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.174 criteria, RG 1.177 criteria, Review the layout, scope and content of the LAR and identify the NRC approved revision of TSTF-505 referenced in the LAR. Compare the LAR with the model Safety Evaluation and scoping items in TSTF-505,

Revision 1. Confirm that the new program in TS Administrative Controls is identical to the program in TSTF-505, except the plant-specific numbering of the TS Program and referenced surveillance requirements and identify any deviations. Verify that all information requested by the NRC safety evaluation of NEI 06-09 and in TSTF 505 are provided in the LAR and contain sufficient information to start the review. Identify the need for RAIs, as necessary and prepare a technical letter report (TLR).

Task 2 – Technical Review and Evaluation

Based on Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.174 criteria, RG 1.177 criteria applicable NRC Standard Review Plans, the NRC safety evaluation for NEI 06-09, NEI 06-09 guidance and the Vogtle pilot plant NRC safety evaluation, review LAR, the LAR enclosures and LAR supplements. Specifically:

- Evaluate the technical adequacy of all applicable PRA models for each LCO to include PRA scope in modeling the success criteria and PRA technical adequacy for performing TSTF 505 evaluations.
- Confirm that the licensee has completed a peer review and/or gap assessment of their models in accordance with the latest implemented revision of RG 1.200.
- Review all peer review facts and observations (F&Os). Evaluate the disposition of the F&O for the TSTF-505 program¹. Document evaluation and proposed recommendations to the F&O disposition.
- Identify the method by which the licensee is addressing hazard groups other than internal events PRA. Confirm that the method 1) requires a peer-reviewed PRA model or that qualitative or bounding analyses will be considered, 2) considers the current as-built, as-operated plant, 3) uses NRC-accepted methods and 4) is consistent with the NRC approved revision of TSTF-505 and the SE for NEI 06-09 and NEI 06-09 guidance referenced in the LAR.
- Identify the technical specification changes that are not or may not be within the scope of TSTF 505 technical specifications, and evaluate them in the context of applying TSTF 505 guidance.
- Evaluate the transition of the PRA model into the Configuration Risk Management Program (CRMP) including quality assurance and determine its adequacy.

¹ See item 4 under "Assumptions and Understanding" in Section 16, "Other Considerations."

- Review the proposed implementation of TSTF 505 including implementation of NEI 06-09 implementation guidance consistent with the NRC staff safety evaluation of NEI 06-09, and with the Vogtle 4b SER, RAIs and pilot plant lessons learned.
- Review the fire protection and fire modeling aspects of the Fire PRA F&Os and determine their PRA Technical adequacy in meeting program requirements.

Identify the need for additional information (RAIs).

- Submit a record of review for the F&Os and a TLR to include draft RAIs, as necessary.
- Prepare a TER.

Task 3 – Review of Applicant’s RAI Responses

Review the RAI response(s) and supplement(s) to the LAR, as applicable, and determine if the response adequately addresses the RAI². If an RAI response does not adequately address the RAI, Prepare a TLR to include draft follow up RAIs.

Task 4 – Review of Applicant’s RAI Responses and Finalization of TER

Review the RAI response(s) and supplement(s) to the LAR, as applicable, and determine if the response adequately addresses the RAI.

- Incorporate the RAI response(s), if acceptable, in the TER or identify as an open item [for a response that does not adequately address the RAI].
- Incorporate the NRC comments and submit the final TER.

Task 5 – Audit³

- Prepare for the audit by reviewing the draft TER and RAIs, and prepare a technical letter report consisting of input to the audit plan.
- Travel to the Palos Verde site to and participate in the audit of the RI-TSTF 505 LAR in accordance with LIC-111, “Regulatory Audits” to review the same areas reviewed Task 1; identify the need for more information (RAIs), if necessary. Prepare technical letter reports as follows:
 - Prepare on-site RAIs.
 - Prepare a trip report.

² See item 5 under “Assumptions and Understanding” in Section 16, “Other Considerations.”

³ See item 6 under “Assumptions and Understanding” in Section 16, “Other Considerations.”

5.0 DELIVERABLES AND/OR MILESTONES SCHEDULE

Task Number	Deliverable/Milestone Description	Due Date
1	PNNL must submit a TLR following the completion of the acceptance review. The TLR shall include identification of any deviations from the approved TSTF-505 scope, along with a summary of the reason for the deviation (as identified by the licensee). The TLR shall also include a summary of deviations for the new program in TS Administrative Controls from the approved revision of TSTF-425 referenced by the licensee in the LAR.	Two weeks after authorization of the task order.
2a	PNNL must submit a record of review that contains a discussion on PRA technical adequacy for the resolution of each F&O and for the PRA model, as a whole, upon completing the evaluation of each F&O. Note that RAIs identified should not necessarily be limited to F&Os, but address the PRA technical adequacy of the applicable PRA models (e.g., Internal Events PRA, Fire PRA, Seismic PRA, etc.). Include the methods used to address external events and shutdown events and whether the method considers the current as-built, as-operated plant, and any deviations from TSTF-505. PNNL must also submit a TLR that contains the draft RAIs, including the regulatory basis, that are developed in accordance with the format, outline, and content provided by the NRC COR.	Six weeks after completion of Task 1.
2b	PNNL must submit the draft TER, which shall be developed in accordance with the format, outline, and content provided by the NRC COR.	Eight weeks after completion of Task 1.
3	PNNL must submit a TLR to include draft follow up RAIs, if initial RAI responses did not adequately address the RAI.	Two weeks from receipt of RAI responses.
4a	PNNL must submit an updated draft TER, to include the bases for acceptance of all RAI responses and/or the identification of any remaining open items, for NRC review and comments.	Two weeks from receipt of RAI responses.
4b	PNNL must address NRC comments, if any, and submit the final TER.	No later than two weeks from receipt of NRC comments.

Task Number	Deliverable/Milestone Description	Due Date
5a	Prepare audit input.	One week prior to the scheduled audit.
5b(1)	Prepare on-site RAIs.	One day prior to exit meeting.
5b(2)	PNNL must provide an audit report summarizing audit activities and identifying potential shortfalls, based on the licensee's presentation.	One week after the audit.

6.0 TECHNICAL AND OTHER SPECIAL QUALIFICATIONS REQUIRED

Specific qualifications for this effort include one Principal Investigator (PI)/ Senior Risk Analyst who is knowledgeable in U.S. nuclear power plant systems and operations, and who possesses in-depth knowledge and experience in nuclear power plant probabilistic risk assessment.

Two staff-level Nuclear Engineers or Risk Analysts who possess in-depth knowledge of U.S. nuclear power plant systems and operations.

One senior-level Fire Protection Engineer on an intermittent, part-time basis who is knowledgeable of nuclear power plant design and operation to include procedures related to operations at full-power conditions and shutdown modes and who has in-depth knowledge and experience in the design and operation of fire protection systems and programs implemented at nuclear power plants, and experience in the application of Appendix R regulations.

One senior-level Fire Protection Engineer on an intermittent, part-time basis who is knowledgeable of nuclear power plant fire protection programs and has in-depth experience in fire modeling and fire hazard analysis; has in-depth knowledge and experience in the design and operation of nuclear power plant fire protection systems; and, experience in the application of Appendix R compliance.

7.0 ESTIMATED LABOR CATEGORIES AND LEVELS OF EFFORT

Intentionally Left Blank

8.0 MEETINGS AND TRAVEL

One, three-day, one-person trip attended by the PI/Senior Risk Analyst.

9.0 REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

PNNL is responsible for structuring the deliverable to follow agency standards. The current agency standard is Microsoft Office Suite 2010. The current agency Portable Document Format

(PDF) standard is Adobe Acrobat 9 Professional. Deliverables must be submitted free of spelling and grammatical errors and conform to requirements stated in this section.

Monthly Letter Status Reports

The MLSR must include the following: agreement number; task order number, if applicable; job code number; title of the project; project period of performance; task order period of performance, if applicable; COR's name, telephone number, and e-mail address; full name and address of the performing organization; principal investigator's name, telephone number, and e-mail address; and reporting period. The format and content of the MLSR is as agreed to for the Enterprise Wide Agency (EWA) contract. Supplemental tables will be provided directly to the COR reporting costs by TAC #.

10.0 PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE

Refer to block 7 of the IAA Award Document.

11.0 CONTRACTING OFFICER'S REPRESENTATIVE

The COR monitors all technical aspects of the agreement/task order and assists in its administration. The COR is authorized to perform the following functions: assure that the PNNL performs the technical requirements of the agreement/task order; perform inspections necessary in connection with agreement/task order performance; maintain written and oral communications with PNNL concerning technical aspects of the agreement/task order; issue written interpretations of technical requirements, including Government drawings, designs, specifications; monitor PNNL's performance and notify PNNL of any deficiencies; coordinate availability of NRC-furnished material and/or GFP; and provide site entry of PNNL personnel.

Contracting Officer's Representative

Name: Bernard Grenier
Agency: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office: Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Mail Stop: O-10C15
Washington, DC 20555-0001
E-Mail: Bernard.Grenier@nrc.gov
Phone: (301) 415-2726

12.0 MATERIALS REQUIRED

N/A

13.0 NRC-FURNISHED PROPERTY/MATERIALS

The ADAMS Accession No. for the Palo Verde RITSTF Initiative 4b, TSTF-505 LAR is ML15218A300.

NOTE: Some of these documents contain proprietary information and must be safeguarded against unauthorized disclosure. After completion of work, the documents should either be

destroyed or returned to NRC. If they are destroyed, please confirm this in an E-mail to the COR and include the date and manner in which the documents were destroyed.

The NRC COR will provide those NRC documents related to licensing activities (for example, any Non-Publicly available SERs, audit reports, and related documents) that are readily available. The NRC COR will provide access to training material pertinent to the LAR reviews or other NRC documents and docketed correspondence on related issues. PNNL shall identify any additional NRC documentation that is needed and the COR will determine whether these will be provided by the NRC or obtained directly by PNNL from ADAMS, NRC public document room or the NRC website at www.nrc.gov.

14.0 RESEARCH QUALITY

N/A

15.0 STANDARDS FOR CONTRACTORS WHO PREPARE NUREG-SERIES MANUSCRIPTS

N/A

16.0 OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Assumptions and Understanding

1. It is understood that the level of effort for each Task was determined based on previous NRC experience from reviewing LARs of similar scope. However, it is expected that the actual number of hours will vary depending on the quality and scope of the specific TSTF-505 LAR to be reviewed.
2. It is understood that the level of effort for each Task contains sufficient effort to conduct telephone conference calls with the NRC staff. Such phone calls, for example, might be arranged by the NRC COR with the Licensing Project Manager and other NRC staff to discuss the RAIs and to reach an understanding with the licensee. Comments may be provided to the contractor such that the RAIs may have to be resubmitted by the contractor; if that is the case, a mutually acceptable date for the deliverable will be agreed upon.
3. It is understood that Task 2 requires a minimal level of effort because the scope of this review is primarily focused on the documentation of PRA technical adequacy. The information provided by the licensee in terms of deviations does not need to be independently verified. (That verification is within the scope of the Technical Specifications Branch (STSB) review.)
4. It is understood that the format/content of the RORs to be provided in Task 2 will essentially be the same as that developed for the St. Lucie TSTF-505 review expanded to address each of the hazard types modeled in the PRA.

5. It is understood that for Task 2 that the review will include F&Os for internal events, internal flooding, fire and seismic, and other external events.
6. It is also understood for Task 2 and 3 that assistance may be required to review proposed new or unclear PRA methods found in the LAR; an additional 40 hours has been added to Task 2 for this purpose.
7. It is understood that for NPPs that have an approved NFPA-805 LAR, Fire PRA peer review F&Os will not require a detailed review. Only F&Os which could significantly impact RICT calculations in a manner not addressed as part of an NFPA 805 review (e.g., Internal Events F&Os) will need to be considered further. The contractor should request a call with the NRC staff if further direction is required to address plant-specific concerns.
8. It is understood that the Vogtle 4b pilot plant lessons learned will be considered and these will be provided to PNNL throughout the course of the review; no additional level of effort is needed to take these lessons learned into account.
9. It is understood that the format and content of the TER will follow the Vogtle SER as a template.
10. It is assumed that there will be two rounds of RAIs; hence, the structuring of the tasks. If it is determined that the responses to the first round of RAIs are acceptable, then it is understood that Task 4 is subsumed by Task 5.
11. It is assumed that a site audit will not be necessary unless it is determined otherwise during the course of the review. Accordingly, funds will not be provided for Task 6. Should an audit be necessary, additional funds will be obligated.
12. The level of effort assumption for the audit under Task 6 is based on 6 hours for audit preparation and 24 hours of participation in the audit, which includes travel and audit report documentation.

Guidance for Preparing Requests for Additional Information (RAIs)

Additional information necessary to resolve open or unresolved items identified during the review of the information associated with the LAR needs to be requested in a manner that is unambiguous, has an adequate basis, and is necessary for the safety review. RAIs should be developed using the following guidance:

1. An RAI should include the appropriate basis for requesting the information. The basis should explain why the information is needed, including how it will be used to help make a reasonable assurance finding.

2. Judgmental language should be avoided.
 - a. Questions should not make adequacy determinations.
 - b. Words like "unacceptable" or "deficient" and "deviation" should be avoided. Likewise, avoid using phrases like "*the staff will require*" since it is premature to require anything when asking questions.
3. Questions should be focused, not open-ended.
 - a. The RAI should be in the form of a question or an imperative to provide what is needed to complete the review. When the reviewer needs specific information or the underlying issue may not be apparent, the RAI should clearly identify the information requested and/or the underlying issue.
 - b. "If ... then" questions (questions that could lead to follow-on questions) should provide both parts of the question.
4. For follow-up RAIs, reference the original RAI, the date of the letter in which the licensee responded to the RAI, and the ADAMS Accession Number of the letter.

To ensure that the response appropriately addresses the RAI, the licensee may submit a draft response (which the NRC docket in ADAMS) and may request a follow-up teleconference and/or meeting.

References

- TSTF-505, Revision 1, "Provide Risk-Informed Extended Completion Times - RITSTF Initiative 4b," June 14, 2011, and Model Application dated January 31, 2012 (ADAMS Package Accession No. ML120330410).
- Nuclear Energy Institute, NEI 06-09, "Risk-Informed Technical Specification Initiative 4b: Risk-Managed Technical Specification (RMTS)," Revision 0-A, October 2012 (ADAMS Package Accession No. ML122860402).
- U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Regulatory Guide 1.174, Revision 2, "An Approach for Using Probabilistic Risk Assessment in Risk-Informed Decisions on Plant-Specific Changes to the Licensing Basis," May 2011 (ADAMS Accession No. ML100910006).
- U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Regulatory Guide 1.177, Revision 1, "An Approach for Plant-Specific, Risk-Informed Decisionmaking: Technical Specifications," May 2011 (ADAMS Accession No. ML 100910008).
- U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Regulatory Guide 1.200, Revision 2, "An Approach for Determining the Technical Adequacy of Probabilistic Risk Assessment Results for Risk-Informed Activities," March 2009 (ADAMS Accession No. ML090410014 and ML090410018).

- U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, NUREG-0800, Standard Review Plan, Section 16.1, Revision 1, "Risk-Informed Decision Making: Technical Specifications," March 2007 (ADAMS Accession No. ML070380228).
- NUREG-0800, Standard Review Plan Section 19.2, "Review of Risk Information Used to Support Permanent Plant-Specific Changes to the Licensing Basis: General Guidance," June 2007 (ADAMS Accession No. ML071700658).
- U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, NUREG-0800, Standard Review Plan, Section 19.1, Revision 3, "Determining the Technical Adequacy of Probabilistic Risk Assessment Results for Risk-Informed Activities," September 2012 (ADAMS Accession No. ML12193A107).

Access to Non-NRC Facilities/Equipment

N/A

Applicable Publications

N/A

Controls over document handling and non-disclosure of materials

N/A