

NRR-PMDAPEm Resource

From: Pickett, Douglas
Sent: Wednesday, September 09, 2015 1:22 PM
To: 'pmb Blanch@comcast.net'; 'magdalene.suter@ferc.gov'; Prussman, Stephen G (SPrusm@entergy.com)
Cc: svandolsen@gmail.com; Amy Rosmarin (amyrosmarin@aol.com); Beasley, Benjamin; Miller, Chris; Dudek, Michael; Burritt, Arthur; Setzer, Thomas; Tift, Doug; Sheehan, Neil; Banic, Merrilee; Stuchell, Sheldon; Mensah, Tanya; Carpenter, Robert; Thompson, William; Wray, John; Cylkowski, David; Beaulieu, David; Walpole, Robert W (rwalpol@entergy.com); Wilson, George; McCoppin, Michael; Tammara, Seshagiri
Subject: NRC Rejects Paul Blanch Indian Point Gas Pipeline Petition from Further Review
Attachments: Blanch Closeout Ltr ML15251A023.pdf

Paul Blanch –
Steve Prussman, Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. –
Maggie Sutter, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission –

As indicated in the attached letter, the Petition Review Board within the Nuclear Regulatory Commission charged with reviewing the Paul Blanch petition regarding the proposed Spectra Energy 42-inch diameter natural gas pipeline adjacent to the Indian Point power plant, has rejected the petition from further review on the basis that all issues identified in the petition, as supplemented, have previously been reviewed and resolved by the NRC staff.

Douglas V. Pickett, Senior Project Manager
Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit Nos. 2 & 3
James A FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant
Douglas.Pickett@nrc.gov
301-415-1364

Hearing Identifier: NRR_PMDA
Email Number: 2376

Mail Envelope Properties (Douglas.Pickett@nrc.gov20150909132200)

Subject: NRC Rejects Paul Blanch Indian Point Gas Pipeline Petition from Further Review
Sent Date: 9/9/2015 1:22:23 PM
Received Date: 9/9/2015 1:22:00 PM
From: Pickett, Douglas

Created By: Douglas.Pickett@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"svandolsen@gmail.com" <svandolsen@gmail.com>
Tracking Status: None
"Amy Rosmarin (amyrosmarin@aol.com)" <amyrosmarin@aol.com>
Tracking Status: None
"Beasley, Benjamin" <Benjamin.Beasley@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Miller, Chris" <Chris.Miller@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Dudek, Michael" <Michael.Dudek@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Burritt, Arthur" <Arthur.Burritt@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Setzer, Thomas" <Thomas.Setzer@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Tift, Doug" <Doug.Tift@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Sheehan, Neil" <Neil.Sheehan@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Banic, Merrilee" <Merrilee.Banic@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Stuchell, Sheldon" <Sheldon.Stuchell@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Mensah, Tanya" <Tanya.Mensah@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Carpenter, Robert" <Robert.Carpenter@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Thompson, William" <William.Thompson@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Wray, John" <John.Wray@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Cylkowski, David" <David.Cylkowski@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Beaulieu, David" <David.Beaulieu@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Walpole, Robert W (rwalpol@entergy.com)" <rwalpol@entergy.com>
Tracking Status: None
"Wilson, George" <George.Wilson@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"McCoppin, Michael" <Michael.McCoppin@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Tammara, Seshagiri" <Seshagiri.Tammara@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None
"pmb Blanch@comcast.net" <pmb Blanch@comcast.net>
Tracking Status: None
"magdalene.suter@ferc.gov" <magdalene.suter@ferc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Prussman, Stephen G (SPrussm@entergy.com)" <SPrussm@entergy.com>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office:

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	797	9/9/2015 1:22:00 PM
Blanch Closeout Ltr ML15251A023.pdf		747462

Options

Priority:	Standard
Return Notification:	No
Reply Requested:	No
Sensitivity:	Normal
Expiration Date:	
Recipients Received:	



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

September 9, 2015

Mr. Paul Blanch
135 Hyde Road
West Hartford, CT 06117

Dear Mr. Blanch:

I am writing to inform you of the results of the Petition Review Board's (PRB's) decision regarding your petition of October 15, 2014, pursuant to Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* Section 2.206, concerning the proposed Spectra Energy 42-inch diameter natural gas pipeline that will intersect a portion of the owner-controlled property at the Indian Point power plant.

You made your first presentation before the PRB on January 28, 2015. The purpose of that meeting was to provide you the opportunity to provide clarifying or supplemental information in support of your petition prior to the PRB making its initial recommendation. Subsequent to that presentation, you were informed that the initial recommendation of the PRB was to reject your petition from further review on the basis that the staff had previously reviewed and resolved the items identified in your petition. On July 15, 2015, you made your second presentation before the PRB. The purpose of that meeting was to allow you to comment on the initial recommendation of the PRB and/or to provide supplementary information to your petition.

Following your second presentation and in accordance with NRC Management Directive 8.11, the PRB met to determine whether sufficient new information was presented to change or modify its initial recommendation. It was the conclusion of the PRB that the information presented, including all issues identified in your original petition, supplemental letters, and reports, have been reviewed and resolved by the NRC staff. As a result, the initial recommendation to reject your petition is final. This recommendation has been reviewed and approved by senior management of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. Therefore, your petition has been rejected for further review on the basis that all identified issues have been previously reviewed and resolved. Since the recommendation of the PRB is final, there will be no further consideration of your petition.


As agreed during your second presentation before the PRB, we will provide responses to the 39 questions that were included in your letter of July 27, 2015. The answers to these questions will be provided under separate correspondence.

P. Blanch

- 2 -

I would like to close by saying that we share your interest in ensuring that the Spectra Energy pipeline, if built, will not adversely affect the safe operation of the Indian Point power plant.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, consisting of several loops and a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Christopher G. Miller, Director
Division of License Renewal
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-247, 50-286

cc: Distribution via Listserv

P. Blanch

- 2 -

I would like to close by saying that we share your interest in ensuring that the Spectra Energy pipeline, if built, will not adversely affect the safe operation of the Indian Point power plant.

Sincerely,

Christopher G. Miller, Director
Division of License Renewal
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-247, 50-286

cc: Distribution via Listserv

DISTRIBUTION: OEDO-14-00737

PUBLIC

RidsNrrDorLpl1-1

RidsNrrLAKGoldstein

RidsNrrDir

ABurritt, RI

MBanic, DPR

LPL1-1 R/F

RidsNrrDorIDpr

RidsRgn1MailCenter

RidsNrr

WThompson, OI

SStuchell, DPR

RidsNrrDorI

RidsNrrPMIndianPoint

RidsAcrcAcnw_MailCTR

MMcCoppin, NRO

DCylkowski, OGC

ADAMS Accession No.: ML15251A023

OFFICE	NRR/DORL/LPL1-1/PM	NRR/DORL/LPL1-1/LA	RI/DRP/BC
NAME	DPickett	KGoldstein	TSetzer for ABurritt
DATE	9/ 08 /2015	9/ 08 /2015	9/ 08 /2015
OFFICE	NRR/DORL/LPL1-1/BC	NRR/DORL/D	NRR/DLR/D
NAME	BBeasley <i>B&B</i>	ABoland <i>AB</i>	CMiller <i>cm</i>
DATE	9/ 8 /2015	9/ 08 /2015	9/ 9 /2015

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY