

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> 8/20/15 2:34 PM <b>Received:</b> August 10, 2015 <b>Status:</b> Pending_Post <b>Tracking No.</b> 1jz-8kh6-4lj7 <b>Comments Due:</b> September 08, 2015 <b>Submission Type:</b> Web
---

**Docket:** NRC-2015-0057

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

**Comment On:** NRC-2015-0057-0010

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation; Notice of Docketing and Request for Comment

**Document:** NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0113

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-15441

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Alan Fellman, Ph.D., C.H.P.

---

## General Comment

I wholeheartedly endorse the petitions submitted by Dr. Marcus, Dr. Doss, and Mr. Miller. It is long past time for NRC to base regulations on evidence generated through good science, and that means doing away with LNT and ALARA. At best, these approaches to radiation safety waste money. At worst, they cost lives, such as the more than 1600 Fukushima evacuees who are dead because they were evacuated. Thousands of studies of all kinds (cellular, animal, worker, general public, bomb survivors, etc.) provide convincing evidence that low level radiation doses delivered at low dose rates DO NOT negatively impact public health. The evidence is overwhelming and it is inexcusable for regulatory agencies to ignore the evidence. Multiplying a LNT-based risk coefficient and a population dose to derive a hypothetical number of cancer deaths in no way shows, proves, or demonstrates that anyone is getting cancer.