Summary of Changes to the Proposed Technical Specifications

The following format has been adopted:

1. A numerical outline format with no period after the las number has been adopted. Each level of indentation is two ruler marks in. The spacing is:

    1.0 Four ruler marks between the start tab and the stop tab

        1.1 Four ruler marks between the start tab and the stop tab
        1.2 Four ruler marks between the start tab and the stop tab
        1.3 Four ruler marks between the start tab and the stop tab
        etc. through
        1.10 Four ruler marks between the start tab and the stop tab

            1.10.1 Five ruler marks between the start tab and the stop tab
            1.10.2 Five ruler marks between the start tab and the stop tab
            1.10.3 Five ruler marks between the start tab and the stop tab
            etc. thorough
            1.10.10 Five ruler marks between the start tab and the stop tab

                1.10.10.1 Six ruler marks between the start tab and the stop tab
                1.10.10.2 Six ruler marks between the start tab and the stop tab
                1.10.10.3 Six ruler marks between the start tab and the stop tab
                etc. through
                1.10.10.10 Six ruler marks between the start tab and the stop tab

                    1.10.10.10.1 Six ruler marks between the start tab and stop tab

                        1.10.10.10.1.1 Seven ruler marks between the start tab and stop tab

    2.0 Four ruler marks between the start tab and the stop tab
    3.0 Four ruler marks between the start tab and the stop tab

2. The degree symbol is used throughout the document. This symbol has been inserted by using the insert / symbol / special characters function. Unfortunately, while the symbol appears in the digital version of the document, it does not show up in the printed version. Eventually, this will have to be rectified, but for now, the focus is on the content of this document.

3. We are considering revising the limiting safety system setting values that are in Specifications 2.2.1.1, 2.2.1.2, and 2.2.1.3.

4. We are considering revising the limiting safety system setting values that are in Specifications 2.2.2.1, 2.2.2.2, 2.2.2.3, and 2.2.2.4.

5. We are still trying to finalize a method for determining the reactivity worths of unknowns. This will have an impact on Specifications 3.1.1.3.1 and 3.1.1.3.2.

6. We are considering revising some of the limiting safety system settings which will have an impact on the Over Power set point listed in Table 3.1, and the discussion in the Bases section regarding Specification 3.2.1.3.

7. Section 3.5.1 included specifications for a Confinement Exhaust Filter System. This filter system has never existed. At the time that this was written, this was being confused with the Emergency Exhaust Filter System, on which the safety analysis relies. Consequently, references to a Confinement Exhaust Filter System have been removed.

8. Section 3.5.1 also had a specification for the Confinement Exhaust Stack. As mentioned in the Bases of section 5.1, the stack was not used as part of the safety analysis. As a result, the requirement for the stack has been removed.

9. We are still trying to finalize a method for determining the reactivity worths of unknowns. The Bases for section 3.8.2 will be impacted by this.

10. Singular vs. Plural when it comes to specification (s) and Basis (es).

11. Specification 5.2.1 said that the reactor would be seated in the high power end of the pool when it was operated at power levels greater than or equal to 100 kW. In reality, the safety analysis has shown that the reactor can be operated at 100 kW with natural convection cooling. Consequently, the “equal to” part of this specification has been removed.

12. Wording clarifications have been made throughout the document.