

RulemakingComments Resource

From: Candice Jierree <jierree21@coloradio.com>
Sent: Friday, August 07, 2015 5:40 PM
To: RulemakingComments Resource
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2015-0057 Comment

Docket ID NRC-2015-0057 Comment:

Examination and discussion of the science confirming radiation hormesis should be carefully reviewed for its technical support of proposed changes to 10 CFR 20.

Implications for dosimetry should also be included in the discussions since the proposed changes raise such concerns as:

1. Should confirmation of "zero" doses be continued?
2. How does higher or lower natural background radiation affect the occupational exposure standard? Should there be a sliding standard?
3. Is there a need to standardize the method for measuring background radiation (which is subtracted from the measured total dose)?
4. ALARA may still need to be addressed for planned special exposures.
5. The limits for emergency exposures should be reconsidered based on radiation hormesis science and experience from Fukushima and Chernobyl.

--

Candice Jierree
1420 N. Via Imuris
Green Valley, AZ 85614
520-207-3974



This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software.
www.avast.com