

## Allen, William

---

**From:** Ahrendts, Steve R <ahrendsr@id.doe.gov>  
**Sent:** Monday, August 03, 2015 10:46 AM  
**To:** Allen, William  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] RE: FW: Followup to NRC Question on ISFF Tech Spec language - Amendment 2 to SNM-2512

Correct.

---

**From:** Allen, William [mailto:William.Allen@nrc.gov]  
**Sent:** Monday, August 03, 2015 8:32 AM  
**To:** Ahrendts, Steve R  
**Subject:** RE: FW: Followup to NRC Question on ISFF Tech Spec language - Amendment 2 to SNM-2512

This wording was originally drafted for the ISFF Technical Specifications, I assume that it is also good for the FSV Technical Specifications. Correct?

---

**From:** Ahrendts, Steve R [mailto:ahrendsr@id.doe.gov]  
**Sent:** Monday, August 03, 2015 9:32 AM  
**To:** Allen, William  
**Cc:** Whitham, Kenneth R; Contreras, Paul H; Hall, Gregory G  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] FW: Followup to NRC Question on ISFF Tech Spec language - Amendment 2 to SNM-2512

Chris,  
Please see the below e-mail sent to Jose Cuadrado on June 08, 2015. If there is any additional information needed, please inform.

Regards,

*Steven R. Ahrendts*

NRC Licensed Facility Director  
Navy Fuel Returns Project Manager  
Office: 208-526-8888  
Cell: 208-881-4228  
[ahrendsr@id.doe.gov](mailto:ahrendsr@id.doe.gov)

---

**From:** Ahrendts, Steve R  
**Sent:** Monday, June 08, 2015 7:50 AM  
**To:** 'Cuadrado, Jose'  
**Cc:** Hall, Gregory G  
**Subject:** RE: Followup to NRC Question on ISFF Tech Spec language - Amendment 2 to SNM-2512

Jose,  
I apologize for the delay in getting back to you on this issue, our Fort Saint Vrain Facility in Colorado has been consuming an inordinate amount of my time. The wording for technical specification section 5.5.6 should read:

*"Changes to the essential programs implemented without prior NRC approval shall be provided to the NRC on a frequency consistent with 10 CFR 72.70(c)(6)."* In lieu of ""Changes to essential program implemented without prior

NRC approval shall be provided to the NRC on a frequency consistent with the Bases implemented without prior NRC approval shall be provided to the NRC on a frequency consistent with 10 CFR 72.70(b)."

If you have any additional questions or clarifications, please let me know.

Thank you,

*Steven R. Ahrendts*

NRC Licensed Facility Director  
Navy Fuel Returns Project Manager  
Office: 208-526-8888  
Cell: 208-881-4228  
[ahrendsr@id.doe.gov](mailto:ahrendsr@id.doe.gov)

---

**From:** Cuadrado, Jose [<mailto:Jose.Cuadrado@nrc.gov>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 23, 2015 1:23 PM  
**To:** Ahrendts, Steve R  
**Cc:** Whitham, Kenneth R  
**Subject:** RE: Followup to NRC Question on ISFF Tech Spec language - Amendment 2 to SNM-2512

Steve,

You can email it, and I can make sure it gets entered into ADAMS along with the email I sent requesting it.

Let me know if you have any problems with the submission.

Thanks,

Jose

Jose R. Cuadrado  
Project Manager  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Phone: +1 (301) 415-0606

---

**From:** Ahrendts, Steve R [<mailto:ahrendsr@id.doe.gov>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 22, 2015 6:29 PM  
**To:** Cuadrado, Jose  
**Cc:** Whitham, Kenneth R  
**Subject:** RE: Followup to NRC Question on ISFF Tech Spec language - Amendment 2 to SNM-2512

Jose,

Do you need a formal transmittal, or can I e-mail you the document with the wording changed?

Thanks,

*Steven R. Ahrendts*

NRC Licensed Facility Director  
Navy Fuel Returns Project Manager  
Office: 208-526-8888  
Cell: 208-881-4228  
[ahrendsr@id.doe.gov](mailto:ahrendsr@id.doe.gov)

---

**From:** Cuadrado, Jose [<mailto:Jose.Cuadrado@nrc.gov>]

**Sent:** Wednesday, April 22, 2015 11:14 AM

**To:** Ahrendts, Steve R

**Cc:** Whitham, Kenneth R

**Subject:** Followup to NRC Question on ISFF Tech Spec language - Amendment 2 to SNM-2512

Steve,

I wanted to followup on the conversation Chris Allen had two weeks ago regarding the proposed language for the Tech Spec additions requested in the amendment application.

After reviewing the proposed language and the application, it seems that the proposed language submitted in your application has a typo and that the language should be corrected to address this. The current language proposed in your application for Tech Spec Section 5.5.6 reads:

"Changes to essential program implemented without prior NRC approval shall be provided to the NRC on a frequency consistent with the Bases implemented without prior NRC approval shall be provided to the NRC on a frequency consistent with 10 CFR 72.70(b)."

This language needs revising to correct the typo and to specify the correct Part 72 requirement to reference.

This is the only outstanding issue left to resolve before we proceed to issue the amended license. The sooner you can clarify this discrepancy, the sooner we can proceed to issue the revised license.

Let me know if you have any questions about this matter.

Regards,

Jose R. Cuadrado  
Project Manager  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Phone: +1 (301) 415-0606