

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8001220357 DOC. DATE: 80/01/18 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACIL: 50-270 Oconee Nuclear Station, Unit 2, Duke Power Co. 05000270
 50-287 Oconee Nuclear Station, Unit 3, Duke Power Co. 05000287
 AUTH. NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 KOGER, R. K. North Carolina, State of
 RECIP. NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
 DENTON, H. R. Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: Forwards NC Utils Commission comments re NRC 800102 order to show cause why licensee should not implement TMI Lessons Learned Task Force short-term requirements by 800215.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A042S COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 4
 TITLE: Resp to Lesson Learn Task Force - Comb Eng & 50-267

NOTES: ~~M. CHANNINGHAM - ALL AMENDMENTS TO PSAR & CHANGES TO TECH SPECS~~

ACTION:	RECIPIENT ID CODE NAME	COPIES		RECIPIENT ID CODE NAME	COPIES	
		LTR	ENCL		LTR	ENCL
8 BC	ORD # 4	7	7			
INTERNAL:	1 REG FILE	1	1	15 I & E	2	2
	17 TAYEDU	1	1	18 CORE PERF BR	1	1
	19 ENG BR	1	1	2 NRC PDR	1	1
	20 REAC SFTY BR	1	1	21 PLANT SYS BR	1	1
	22 EEB	1	1	23 EFLT TRT SYS	1	1
	3 LPDR	1	1	4 NSIC	1	1
	5 C NELSON	2	2	6 C ANDERSON	1	1
	7 G CWALINA	1	1	6 EMBRO	1	1
	6 IMBRO	1	1	J.T. TELFORD	2	2
	OELD	1	0			

EXTERNAL: 24 ACRS 16 16

ADD: H. Denton

LTR ENCL
 1 1

JAN 23 1980

ST

46

45

W

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTR 45 ENCL 4



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

MEMORANDUM FOR: TERA CORPORATION
FROM: US NRC/TIDC/DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT BRANCH
SUBJECT: Special Document Handling Requirements

☐ 1. Please use the following special distribution list for the attached document.

☒ 2. The attached document requires the following special considerations:

- ☐ Do not send oversize enclosures to the NRC PDR.
- ☐ Only one oversize enclosure was received - please return for Regulatory File storage.
- ☐ Proprietary information - send only non-proprietary portions to the NRC PDR.
- ☐ Other: (specify)

RETURN TO DMB BY

1/22/80 PM

cc: DMB Files


TIDC/DMB Authorized Signature

EXPEDITE

8001220357



State of North Carolina
Utilities Commission
Raleigh 27602

COMMISSIONERS

ROBERT K. KOGER, CHAIRMAN
DR. LEIGH H. HAMMOND
SARAH LINDSAY TATE
JOHN W. WINTERS
EDWARD B. HIPPI
A. HARTWELL CAMPBELL
DOUGLAS P. LEARY

January 18, 1980

Mr. Harold R. Denton, Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Re: Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 2 & 3
Docket Nos. 50-270 & 50-287
Order to Show Cause

Dear Mr. Denton:

Enclosed is a copy of the North Carolina Utilities Commission's comments with respect to the Order to Show Cause. The original and 20 copies have been sent to Mr. Chase Stephens, Chief, Docketing and Service Section.

Sincerely,

Robert K. Koger
Robert K. Koger
Chairman

RKK/ALC/dpe

Enclosure

A042
3
1/1

ADD: LTR Enc
H. DENTON 1

8001220 357

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of
Duke Power Company) DOCKET NOS. 50-270
(Oconee Nuclear Station,) & 50-287
Unit Nos. 2 & 3))

COMMENTS OF
NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION
WITH RESPECT TO
ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

On January 2, 1980, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission issued an Order to Show Cause why Duke Power Company (Licensee) should not by February 15, 1980, either implement certain remaining "Category A" requirements or "place and maintain its facilities in a cold shutdown or refueling mode of operation unless shutdown would severely impact the power reliability in the Virginia - Carolina subregion of the Southeastern Electric Reliability Council, as shown by appropriate and timely documentation to the Director, Office of NRR." (emphasis added)

Pursuant to the Order and CFR 2.202(a)(2) and (b), Duke provided written answer on January 15, 1980, in which specific admittances and denials were made. In general, the statements referred to two areas of extreme concern to the North Carolina Utilities Commission:

- (1) the likelihood of insufficient generating capacity to meet system demands
- (2) the cost of replacement energy.

The North Carolina Utilities Commission annually performs an independent forecast of electric utility load growth and develops a capacity addition plan. As a part of this activity, the Commission has held extensive hearings with


respect to requirements for reserve capacity. The minimum reserve capacity required for assuring reasonable winter service in this region is 20% above expected peak loads.

Even under the schedule for shutdowns proposed by Duke (Table IV of Duke Response), neither Duke nor VACAR can meet the minimum required reserves. Backup capacity is not available from other sources. North Carolina Utilities must, therefore, expect to experience difficulties in meeting system demands during the winter months. Any action which would further exasperate this situation by reducing Duke's reserves to the one percent level and reducing the VACAR reserves to the nine percent level, as would an Order requiring cold shutdown of Oconee Units No. 2 and 3 during the winter heating season, would jeopardize the physical and economic safety of the citizens of North Carolina, South Carolina, and Virginia. Even in the unlikely event that our citizens would not experience blackouts, they would still be faced with the double burden of immediately paying the extremely high costs of purchasing energy at peak and of subsequently experiencing the economic consequences resulting from the increased requirement for importation of additional oil.

As we understand it, the problem at issue is not a question of immediate hazard but one of preventive maintenance. Consequently, were it not for the fact that previous shutdown problems have caused the overextension of the fuel Cycle on Oconee Unit 2, the North Carolina Utilities Commission would propose that all Oconee units be

allowed to remain in service during February and early March before being shut down on a sequential basis. However, recognizing that such operation is an impracticability due to the rapidly depleting fuel components within Unit 2, the North Carolina Utilities Commission respectfully submits that the only practical opportunity for safeguarding the total interests of VACAR region citizens is to adopt the schedule for sequential maintenance proposed by Duke in its Table IV - Preferred Schedule, assuming that equipment availability does not require further slippage of that schedule.

State of North Carolina
Utilities Commission
P. O. Box 991
Raleigh
27602



Mr. Harold R. Denton, Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555