

RulemakingComments Resource

From: Gail Payne <paynestudios@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 23, 2015 10:23 AM
To: RulemakingComments Resource
Subject: [External_Sender] No to the changing the the LNT regulations!!!!

I do not support the 10CFR20 rule change suggested by Mohan Doss, et al, Dr. Carol Marcus and Mark Miller in their petitions.

The similarity in their petitions makes me wonder who really is behind their pursuing this agenda:

All three petitioners cite the research of B.L. Cohen to support the statement "Comparison of residential radon levels and lung cancer rates in the counties of the USA has shown an inverse correlation between radon levels and lung cancer rates" (quote from Mr. Doss' petition). That is their only substantiation of this "hormetic" principal for ionizing radiation.

However, the World Health Organization said this about Cohen's research: "Cohen's geographical correlation study has intrinsic methodological difficulties which hamper any interpretation as to causality or lack of causality" (IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans 78. World Health Organization, International Agency for Research on Cancer. 2001, p. 160)

I am confident that the current "Linear, no-Threshold" standard is correct, and that there is no substantial science upon which to base any change in the 10CFR20 limits.

Please extend the comment deadline as requested by numerous other commenters. There must be time for a reasoned, timely and informed discussion by the public, including those from a wide variety of backgrounds and interests. I studied Biology at Cornell University.

Thanks,
- Founder of RadiationTruth.org

631-385-9122
631-335-7756 (c)
paynestudios@gmail.com
<http://www.PayneStudiosGraphicDesign.com>

Those who contemplate the beauty of the earth find reserves of strength that will endure as long as life lasts –
Rachel Carson