

**BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD**

July 20, 2015

In accordance with 10 C.F.R. §§ 2.323(a) and 2.327(d), the participants in this proceeding, Entergy Nuclear Vermont Yankee, LLC and Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc., the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff, and the State of Vermont, hereby jointly file their proposed corrections to the transcript of the oral argument on the admissibility of proposed Contentions I, II, III, and IV that was held in the above-captioned docket on July 7, 2015 by teleconference. These corrections are necessary to either fix material errors in the transcript or to ensure that the transcript accurately reflects statements made during the oral argument. The participants respectfully request that the transcript be revised to incorporate the corrections identified in Appendix A hereto.

Respectfully submitted,

Susan H. Raimo  
Entergy Services, Inc.  
101 Constitution Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20001  
Phone: (202) 530-7330  
Fax: (202) 530-7350  
E-mail: sraimo@entergy.com

Executed in Accord with 10 C.F.R. § 2.304(d)

Paul M. Bessette  
Stephen J. Burdick  
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP  
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20004  
Phone: (202) 739-5796  
Fax: (202) 739-3001  
E-mail: pbessette@morganlewis.com  
E-mail: sburdick@morganlewis.com

*Counsel for Entergy Nuclear Vermont Yankee, LLC  
and Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.*

Aaron Kisicki  
Vermont Department of Public Service  
112 State Street – Drawer 20  
Montpelier, VT 05620  
(802) 828-3785  
E-mail: aaron.kisicki@state.vt.us

Executed in Accord with 10 C.F.R. § 2.304(d)

Kyle H. Landis-Marinello  
Assistant Attorney General  
Vermont Attorney General's Office  
109 State Street  
Montpelier, VT 05609  
(802) 828-1361  
E-mail: kyle.landis-marinello@state.vt.us

*Counsel for the State of Vermont*

Executed in Accord with 10 C.F.R. § 2.304(d)

Beth Mizuno  
Anita Ghosh  
Mitzi A. Young  
Jeremy L. Wachutka  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Office of the General Counsel  
Mail Stop O-15-D21  
Washington, DC 20555  
(301) 415-3122  
E-mail: Beth.Mizuno@nrc.gov  
E-mail: Anita.Ghosh@nrc.gov  
E-mail: Mitzi.Young@nrc.gov  
E-mail: Jeremy.Wachutka@nrc.gov

*Counsel for NRC Staff*

Dated in Washington, D.C.  
this 20th day of July 2015

## APPENDIX A

### **PROPOSED CORRECTIONS TO THE TRANSCRIPT OF THE ORAL ARGUMENT HELD ON JULY 7, 2015 (DOCKET NO. 50-271-LA-3)**

<b>Page</b>	<b>Line(s)</b>	<b>Delete</b>	<b>Insert</b>
5	4	“the Licensee”	“the Licensing”
7	19-20	“to file to file”	“to file”
9	4	“complete of”	“completeness of”
9	20	“slot of”	“swap of”
10	2	“hasty evaluation”	“safety evaluation”
11	10	“Toe the”	“To the”
11	15	“ad the”	“and the”
12	9	“not been”	“now been”
12	21	“doe snot”	“does not”
13	19	“request or LAR.”	“request, or LAR,”
13	20	“They would”	“that would”
14	5	“contention of admissibility”	“contention admissibility”
14	8	“why four”	“why all four”
14	9	“are admissible”	“are inadmissible”
15	15	“to relive”	“to delete”
15	19	“speaks to only”	“seeks to do only”
15	21	“in these”	“and these”
15	24	“infamous full attack”	“impermissible attack”
16	6	“on the basis of”	“on the basis that”
16	22	“are not moot.”	“are now moot.”
17	2	“form the”	“from the”
17	6	“June 17 so the”	“June 17. Those”
18	1	“to talk to you and to”	“this opportunity to”
19	10	“exclusively contemplated”	“explicitly contemplated”
19	16	“inadmissibly challenges”	“impermissibly challenges”
19	17	“without taking”	“without seeking”
20	4	“on PSF”	“in PFS”
20	5	“require element”	“required element”
20	13	“form the”	“from the”
20	17	“the December”	“the decommissioning”
20	18	“December expenses are”	“decommissioning expenses after”
20	20	“Thus, is the”	“Thus, if the”
20	21	“would not longer”	“would no longer”
21	15-16	“decommission admissibility.”	“decommission the facility.”
22	5	“explain in”	“explained in”
22	18	“6 of”	“6”
24	17	“50.75(h)(i)”	“50.75(h)(1)”
25	1	“December trust”	“decommissioning trust”
25	7	“crosses a narrow line”	“is a narrow one”

Page	Line(s)	Delete	Insert
25	14	“protected of”	“protective of”
26	1	“And I”	“ADMIN. JUDGE FROEHLICH: And I”
27	19	“is at the”	“is that the”
28	6	“Please”	“ADMIN. JUDGE FROEHLICH: Please”
31	9	“50.82(a)(8)(1)”	“50.82(a)(8)(i)”
31	13	“75(h)”	“50.75(h)”
31	23	“are two”	“as two”
32	16	“if had”	“if”
33	5	“are licensing”	“our licensing”
33	11	“licensing amendment.”	“license amendment.”
34	6	“years whether”	“years on other”
35	20	“essential management”	“spent fuel management”
35	22	“they sough”	“they sought”
37	13-14	“just noticing comment”	“just notice and comment”
39	11	“license ability.”	“licensability.”
39	12	“license ability.”	“licensability.”
40	5	“to it.”	“to it. So, Your Honor.”
40	6-7	“ADMIN. JUDGE WARDWELL: So Your Honor, this is”	“ADMIN. JUDGE WARDWELL: This is”
41	5	“request it does”	“request does”
44	5	“doe snot”	“does not”
46	11	“Comanche Peake”	“Comanche Peak”
46	13	“conditions to”	“condition to”
46	14	“Out exemption”	“Our exemption”
46	24	“Comanche Peake”	“Comanche Peak”
47	15	“than regulation”	“that regulation”
47	25	“Comanche Peake”	“Comanche Peak”
48	8	“Comanche Peake”	“Comanche Peak”
48	10	“And do the”	“And did the”
48	11	“state complication”	“state consultation”
48	12	“or a state that”	“or state that it”
48	18	“Comanche Peake”	“Comanche Peak”
48	22	“Comanche Peake”	“Comanche Peak”
48	25	“my request”	“my”
49	12	“generating tube”	“generator tube”
49	24	“were discussed”	“here discussed”
49	25	“Comanche Peake”	“Comanche Peak”
50	2	“Comanche Peake”	“Comanche Peak”
50	12-13	“Comanche Peake”	“Comanche Peak”
53	14	“is at”	“is that”
53	20	“which is exemption”	“with an exemption”
54	2	“prude investment”	“prudent investor”

Page	Line(s)	Delete	Insert
55	4	“(8) apply”	“(8) and would apply”
56	7	“doe snot”	“does not”
56	10	“FPS”	“PFS”
56	22	“thee matters”	“these matters”
59	14	“a redemption process”	“an exemption process”
60	1	“your compliance with the regulation.”	“you’re complying with the regulations.”
61	13	“just be”	“just to be”
61	14	“completed unrelated”	“completely unrelated”
61	17	“forms as”	“forums as”
67	25	“this annual”	“an annual”
68	25	“Is there”	“Is this”
69	6	“find if”	“fine if”
70	5	“deficiency of”	“sufficiency of”
70	22-23	“theses issues”	“these issues”
71	6	“Section 75(h)”	“Section 50.75(h)”
71	8	“challenge to”	“challenges to”
71	10	“think is”	“think that is”
71	14	“inadmissible contentions”	“admissible contentions”
71	22	“infamously”	“impermissible”
72	1	“found to e”	“found to be”
73	9	“amendment summary request”	“amendment request”
73	12-13	“are an infamous challenge to”	“are impermissible challenges to”
73	22-23	“decommissioned disbursements”	“decommissioning disbursements”
74	6	“hearing process”	“decommissioning process”
74	10	“option for a hearing”	“opportunity for a hearing”
75	12	“argument in the”	“argument and the”
76	13	“PSF”	“PFS”
76	18	“environmental is”	“environment is”
76	19	“approve this”	“approves this”
77	15	“fro Vermont o August”	“from Vermont on August”

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD**

---

In the Matter of:

ENTERGY NUCLEAR VERMONT YANKEE, LLC  
AND ENTERGY NUCLEAR OPERATIONS, INC.

(Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station)

---

)  
)  
) Docket No. 50-271-LA-3

)  
) July 20, 2015  
)  
)

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that, on this date, a copy of the “Joint Proposed Corrections to the Transcript of the Oral Argument Held on July 7, 2015” was filed through the Nuclear Regulatory Commission’s E-Filing system.

*Signed (electronically) by Stephen J. Burdick*

Stephen J. Burdick  
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP  
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20004  
Phone: 202-739-5059  
E-mail: sburdick@morganlewis.com

*Counsel for Entergy Nuclear Vermont Yankee, LLC  
and Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.*