

IPRenewal NPEmails

From: Louie, Richard [rlouie@entergy.com]
Sent: Monday, July 20, 2015 8:32 AM
To: Wentzel, Michael
Subject: [External_Sender] RE: RE: FW: Draft Phone Call Summary - IPEC LRA

Mike,

Thanks, I'll forward this to Andy and let you know if there are any other questions.

Rich

From: Wentzel, Michael [mailto:Michael.Wentzel@nrc.gov]
Sent: Monday, July 20, 2015 7:50 AM
To: Louie, Richard
Subject: RE: RE: FW: Draft Phone Call Summary - IPEC LRA

Rich,

Sorry for the delay in responding, but I wanted to confirm with tech staff before I did so. Relative to Andy's question below, there is no explicit statement in the ISG that states that high-temperature environments are not evaluated to be bounding. However, the scope of AMP XI.M42 states:

Scope of Program: The scope of the program is internal coatings/linings for in-scope piping, piping components, heat exchangers, and tanks exposed to closed-cycle cooling water, raw water, treated water, treated borated water, waste water, fuel oil, and lubricating oil where loss of coating or lining integrity could prevent satisfactory accomplishment of any of the component's or downstream component's CLB intended functions identified under 10 CFR 54.4(a)(1), (a)(2), or (a)(3).

If high-temperature environments were included, it would have listed environments such as Treated water >60°C (>140°F) or Closed-cycle cooling water >60°C (>140°F) from GALL Report Section IX.D and the GALL Report AMT line item tables.

I have been holding issuance of the phone call summary. I will add the above discussion to the phone call summary for that particular RAI. If you have any other questions, please let me know.

Thanks,
Mike

From: Louie, Richard [mailto:rlouie@entergy.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2015 7:01 AM
To: Wentzel, Michael
Subject: [External_Sender] RE: FW: Draft Phone Call Summary - IPEC LRA

Ok, thanks.

From: Wentzel, Michael [mailto:Michael.Wentzel@nrc.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2015 3:22 PM
To: Louie, Richard
Subject: RE: FW: Draft Phone Call Summary - IPEC LRA

Rich,

I'll try to get some clarification on this and get back to you.

Thanks,
Mike

From: Louie, Richard [<mailto:rlouie@entergy.com>]
Sent: Monday, July 13, 2015 6:57 AM
To: Wentzel, Michael
Subject: [External_Sender] FW: Draft Phone Call Summary - IPEC LRA
Importance: High

Mike,

Please note below, a comment regarding the attached phone call summary.

Rich

From: TAYLOR, ANDREW C
Sent: Monday, July 13, 2015 1:28 AM
To: Louie, Richard
Cc: Lach, David J
Subject: FW: Draft Phone Call Summary - IPEC LRA
Importance: High

Rich –

After further review, we have determined that we do have comments on this phone call summary.

We need NRC to specify which statement in LR-ISG-2013-01 indicates that *“Environments with high temperatures are not evaluated to be bounding within the assumptions of GALL AMP XI.M42”* as claimed in DRAFT- RAI 3.0.3–16

Andy

Andrew Taylor, P.E.
Acting Manager
Entergy Nuclear License Renewal Services
479-858-3531

From: Louie, Richard
Sent: Tuesday, July 07, 2015 7:58 AM
To: TAYLOR, ANDREW C
Subject: FW: Draft Phone Call Summary

Andy,

Let me know if you have any comments.

Rich

From: Wentzel, Michael [<mailto:Michael.Wentzel@nrc.gov>]

Sent: Tuesday, July 07, 2015 8:42 AM

To: Louie, Richard

Subject: Draft Phone Call Summary

Rich,

Attached is a draft of the phone call summary from our phone call last week. Please let me know if you have any comments.

Thanks,

Mike

Michael Wentzel

Project Manager

NRR/DLR/RPB2

(301) 415-6459

michael.wentzel@nrc.gov

Hearing Identifier: IndianPointUnits2and3NonPublic_EX
Email Number: 5239

Mail Envelope Properties (CB159DC717B797428E92782CE3B5F40B0F173112)

Subject: [External_Sender] RE: RE: FW: Draft Phone Call Summary - IPEC LRA
Sent Date: 7/20/2015 8:32:02 AM
Received Date: 7/20/2015 8:32:05 AM
From: Louie, Richard

Created By: rlouie@entergy.com

Recipients:
"Wentzel, Michael" <Michael.Wentzel@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: JDCXMETSP003.etrsouth.corp.entergy.com

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	3546	7/20/2015 8:32:05 AM

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: