

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

2015 MAY 27 AM 11: 47

As of: 5/27/15 11:34 AM
Received: May 21, 2015
Status: Pending Post
Tracking No. 1jz-8iz6-003p
Comments Due: May 22, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2009-0337

Notice of Receipt and Availability of Application for a Combined License

RECEIVED

Comment On: NRC-2009-0337-0020

Combined License Application for Turkey Point Nuclear Plant, Unit Nos. 6 and 7; Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Document: NRC-2009-0337-DRAFT-0133

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-05099

Submitter Information

Name: Ellen SIEGEL

Address:

5140 SW 82 Avenue

Miami, FL, 33155

Email: boodog301@bellsouth.net

3/5/2015

80 FR 12043

135

General Comment

I oppose new reactors for Turkey Point. Here is why:

1. Two of South Florida's most important public lands and wildlife habitats - Biscayne and Everglades National Parks - will be put at risk and be forever changed by a project of this scale.
2. The powerful new reactors (1,117 MW each) are to be cooled primarily by 90 million gallons per day of recycled Miami-Dade County sewage and wastewater. This water will not be pure H₂O - and some will be released over Biscayne Bay and surrounding wetlands along with steam in the planned cooling towers. Aerosol droplets known as "drift" can travel far and contain pharmaceuticals, cleaners, detergents and other household chemicals, as well as viruses and bacteria (which can grow inside the cooling towers themselves as bacterial slime). Impacts on the human environment as well as on dozens of endangered and threatened species in the vicinity are largely unknown.
3. In 1992, the two existing nuclear reactors at Turkey Point took a direct hit from Hurricane Andrew. According to the NRC's own report: "The onsite damage included loss of all offsite power for more than 5 days, complete loss of communication systems, closing of the access road, and damage to the fire protection and security systems and warehouse facilities...the high water tank collapsed onto the fire water system, rendering the fire protection system inoperable. In addition, the storm threatened safety-related equipment (e.g., potential

SUNSI Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-REDS = ADM-03

Cell = A. Williams (ARW1)

collapse of the damaged Unit 1 chimney onto the diesel generator building)." In other words - South Florida dodged a very big bullet in 1992. There is no need to build more risk in this hurricane-prone location.

4. The low-lying wetlands which surround Turkey Point contain some of the lowest elevations in South Florida. Even a half foot of sea level rise will be enough to inundate the 5,000 acres of canals used to cool the two reactors currently operating at this location. They are filled with hot and extremely salty water - as well as chemicals used to kill a recent algae outbreak in the canals. With scientists measuring ever-increasing sea level rise from the melting of our planet's remaining ice in addition to thermal expansion due to increased temperatures, those 6 inches of sea level rise are a virtual certainty. New nuclear reactors in this location will be sitting on islands in Biscayne Bay - quite possibly in the not so distant future.

5. In addition to the highly dangerous nuclear fuel in the reactor cores - thousands of pounds of spent fuel rods (nuclear waste) have already piled up on the shores of Biscayne Bay. There is no long term safe storage on the horizon. With the two new reactors having a much larger power capacity than the existing ones, increasing amounts of spent nuclear fuel containing uranium-235, plutonium, and other dangerous radioactive materials will be accumulating in a flood and hurricane prone location for many years to come. The tragedy of Fukushima should have been the last word on building nuclear plants in vulnerable coastal locations like this one.

6. Included in the project application are three new sets of powerlines (two of them will be 15 stories tall) to be run across and through the eastern section of what is currently Everglades National Park. Expected impacts include: increased electrocutions and collisions for birds (three federally threatened wood stork colonies are known to roost in the vicinity of the proposed lines); the spread of invasive plant species along a new, drivable access corridor; changes to the hydrology of the Shark River Slough (the "crown jewel" of Everglades restoration) due to tower pads and road construction; and a new, unsightly, industrial landscape - visible for miles - for visitors to one of our country's most unique and popular wilderness areas.

When the first two nuclear reactors and fossil fuel plants were completed at Turkey Point, regulators failed to consider the impacts of dumping hot water (used for cooling the generators) directly into Biscayne Bay. When the 5,000 acres of cooling canals - likely the largest radiator on the planet (and clearly visible from space) - were carved out of natural mangrove habitat to correct the problem, regulators again failed to consider that the extremely hot salty water would drop through the surrounding limestone and degrade the underlying Biscayne Aquifer. The known risks from this project are bad enough - very hard to plan for the unknown and unconsidered risks as well as inevitable human error.

On a final note - this investment of more than 20 billion dollars of the rate-payers money makes no logical sense. Solar power was not considered a viable alternative by the NRC reviewers - even though no state in the eastern half of the U.S. has the solar potential of Florida - also known as the Sunshine State. FPL should drop this project and instead embrace a solar alternative that the company knows its customers want.