

Koenick, Stephen

From: Michael Mulligan <steamshovel2002@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 07, 2015 10:45 AM
To: Koenick, Stephen
Subject: [External_Sender] Re: 2.206 petition; May 19 transcript

Dear Steve,

I framed it as "I never have any anonymity or confidentiality needs with the NRC. Anyways, I welcome all criticisms and positive comments surrounding my activities, behaviors and any inaccuracies. For the agency as a whole, this is always my default stance on confidentiality and anonymity needs. I want always everyone to see it all, warts and all. So release it all!

Thanks for the transcript's and putting them on Adams.

It is interesting fixating of the documentation of my privacy. I submitted many documents to the NRC with my name, address and telephone number on them. I am just saying, I have a long term pattern with the NRC with releasing my telephone number and address and I am a transparency freak. My name, phone and email is all over Adams in prior 2.206. I never once complained about issues of my privacy and confidentiality. I complain to the NRC all the time about not releasing all information about me and the issues I am working on.

By the way, your stance yesterday (July 1) on the phone about the delayed start-up with the Belgium reactor till Nov 1 2015... "you saying it has nothing to with the unsafe vessels and the quasi-laminar indication in the reactor vessels forging" is patently false and inaccurate. The only thing preventing the startups is a required report and testing by the licensee to the FANC proving the plant is safe with the not expected vessel flaws.

"So the material characteristics, the strength and ductility of the metal flakes though laboratory testing as we understand it, is being questioned..."

Doel3/Tihange 2: next steps of the review process

"Safety Case

Before being allowed to restart both Doel 3 and Tihange 2 reactors, Electrabel shall first submit a Safety Case to the FANC in which it convincingly demonstrates that the presence of hydrogen flakes in the walls of the reactor pressure vessel (RPV) does not compromise its structural integrity. This Safety Case must be structured around three major topics, corresponding each to a chapter of the action plan that Electrabel is due to implement:

1. The ultrasonic inspection technique of the RPVs: detection, measurement and location of hydrogen-induced flaw indications.
2. Material properties of specimens containing hydrogen flakes: radiation effects and transferability of the test results to the Doel 3 and Tihange 2 RPVs.
3. Structural integrity of a RPV containing hydrogen flakes.

The results of the actions related to theme 1 and theme 2 will provide the input for theme 3."

Next steps of the review process

After completing every action related to themes 1, 2 and 3 and interpreting the results, the licensee Electrabel will submit its Safety Case to the FANC. The FANC and its technical subsidiary Bel V will thoroughly review this Safety Case using the specific expertise of the recognized inspection organisation AIB Vinçotte (for theme 1), the International Review Board (for theme 2) and an external research team (for theme 3). The FANC will collect opinions from all these parties and take them into account to decide whether Electrabel is allowed to restart the Doel 3 and Tihange 2 units. This process will take another few months."

I am sorry, I don't believe the agency when they said they had a confidentiality agreement with the Belgium NARC or other European authorities not to release safety information surrounding the unsafe Belgium reactors in the past. I think the withholding of so called secret Belgium information was intended to favor the US nuclear industry. Honestly, is this how the USA does democracy and constitutional transparency? I think it is the agency's instinct to withhold detrimental information about the industry whenever they can get away from it.

1. Please provide the documentation that the Belgium authorities requested NRC secrecy and confidentiality surrounding their unsafe Belgium reactor vessels.
2. Please release all emails and communications to date between the NRC and the European authorities surrounding the unsafe Belgium reactors.

This is my write up about current events and questions on my blog. Please call me any time if you have any concerns or questions about my blog and any of my inaccuracies.

<http://steamshovel2002.blogspot.com/2015/04/emergency-ultrasonic-inspection-testing.html>

Please place all my e-mails and communications on the Belgium reactor flaws 2.206 on the official record.

Also we both have an interest with getting our interest on the official record.

I see directive 8.11 speaks about setting up a schedule of activities in the acceptance letter leading to the Director's Decision. Does that not apply to me?

Remember a technical meeting about how we maintain the vessel would be a great opportunity to educate the public and lend credibility to the NRC or something.

Sincerely,

Mike Mulligan

Hinsdale, NH

1-603-336-8320

steamshovel2002@yahoo.com

On Thursday, July 2, 2015 11:06 AM, "Koenick, Stephen" <Stephen.Koenick@nrc.gov> wrote:

Dear Mike,

Attached is the May 19, 2015 transcript that is being added to ADAMS. The ADAMS number is ML15181A127 and should be publicly available in the next couple of business days.

I did have a quick follow up question for you. I recall discussing with you the email dated March 26, 2015 you used as forwarding the petition to us. In this email there is your phone number and email address. I recall asking if you wanted me to withhold this information and your response was that you did not mind if this was released. I would like for you to confirm this by way of responding to this email. If you would like for this information not to be disclosed, I can amend your public record to only include the attached letter.

Please let me know and I can modify if necessary before we issue the acknowledgement letter.

The ADAMS accession number for the petition is ML15090A487

Thank you

Steve

Stephen S. Koenick
Senior Project Manager
Plant Licensing Branch IV-2 (LPL4-2)
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing (DORL)
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR)
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
(301) 415-6631
Stephen.Koenick@nrc.gov