

West, Ebonie

From: Venkataraman, Booma
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2015 4:40 PM
To: Dave Lochbaum
Cc: Mensah, Tanya; Dudek, Michael; Guzman, Richard; Banic, Merrilee
Subject: 2.206 Petition Request on Current Licensing Basis for Flooding at Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station -TELECONFERENCE

Expires: Monday, September 14, 2015 12:00 AM

Mr. Lochbaum,

Thank you for getting back to me quickly on your preferred dates to address the PRB. I will be arranging the teleconference soon and contact you.

I confirm that the teleconference will be recorded, transcribed, and made publicly available in ADAMS.

I understand, you don't have any information to supplement the content of this petition, but would like to take the opportunity to summarize the reasons you believe the actions you are seeking in the petition are warranted as well as answer any clarifying questions the NRC staff has about the petition.

These teleconferences are usually scheduled for an hour and typically the petitioner gets 35-40 minutes time to present. The rest of the time is allotted for the PRB Chair's remarks primarily.

Please advise if this works for you and your co-petitioners. If you need more time to present, please let me know.

Thanks, Booma

Booma Venkataraman, P.E.

Project Manager, NRR/DORL/LPL1-1

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Booma.Venkataraman@nrc.gov

301.415.2934

From: Dave Lochbaum [mailto:DLochbaum@ucsusa.org]

Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2015 3:34 PM

To: Venkataraman, Booma

Cc: Mensah, Tanya; Dudek, Michael; Guzman, Richard

Subject: [External_Sender] RE: 2.206 Petition Request on Current Licensing Basis for Flooding at Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station

Dear Ms. Venkataraman:

On behalf of my co-petitioners, we would like the opportunity to address the NRC staff via teleconference before the Petition Review Board meets. We don't have any information to supplement the content of our petition, but we would welcome the opportunity to summarize the reasons we believe the actions we are seeking in the petition are warranted as well as answering any clarifying questions the NRC staff has about the petition.

The following dates and times work best for us:

Tuesday, August 4, 8:30am to 4pm eastern
Wednesday, August 5, 8:30am to 4pm eastern
Friday, August 14, 8:30am to noon eastern
Monday August 17, 8:30am to 1pm eastern
Tuesday, August 18, 8:30am to 4pm eastern

Our understanding is that the teleconference will be recorded and its transcript made publicly available in ADAMS within a reasonable time afterwards.

Thanks,
Dave Lochbaum
UCS

From: Venkataraman, Booma [Booma.Venkataraman@nrc.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2015 3:07 PM
To: Dave Lochbaum
Cc: Mensah, Tanya; Dudek, Michael; Guzman, Richard
Subject: 2.206 Petition Request on Current Licensing Basis for Flooding at Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station

Mr. Lochbaum,

I have been assigned as the Petition Manager for the 10 CFR 2.206 petition you and others submitted to the NRC on June 24, 2015, regarding your concerns on current licensing basis for flooding at the Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station (PNPS).

Section 2.206 of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* describes the petition process – the primary mechanism for the public to request enforcement action by the NRC in a public process. This process permits anyone to petition NRC to take enforcement-type action related to NRC licensees or licensed activities. Depending on the results of its evaluation, NRC could modify, suspend or revoke an NRC-issued license or take any other appropriate enforcement action to resolve a problem. The NRC staff's guidance for the disposition of 2.206 petition requests is in Management Directive 8.11, which is publicly available.

The 2.206 process provides a mechanism for any member of the public to request enforcement action against NRC licensees. Therefore, because you specifically requested in your letter that the NRC take enforcement action to require that the current licensing basis for PNPS explicitly includes flooding caused by local intense precipitation/ probable maximum precipitation events, it was referred to the 2.206 process. The 2.206 process is separate from the allegations process which affords individuals who raise safety concerns a degree of protection of their identity. In the 2.206 process, all of the information in your letter will be made public, including your identity.

In addition, in your letter you have requested to address the NRC staff before the Petition Review Board (PRB) meets to consider your request. If you would like to meet in person, I will need to schedule a formal public meeting at the NRC Headquarters. If you would prefer to address the PRB via phone, I will also work with you to coordinate a date/time during the upcoming weeks. Within 3-4 business days, please advise me of three preferred dates (anytime after August 3, 2015), that will work for you and the co-petitioners so that I can arrange this meeting or teleconference. You will be my point of contact for this petition.

Thank you,
Booma

Booma Venkataraman, P.E.

Project Manager, NRR/DORL/LPL1-1

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Booma.Venkataraman@nrc.gov

301.415.2934