

Official Transcript of Proceedings

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title: Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards
 Future Plant Designs: Open Session

Docket Number: (n/a)

Location: Rockville, Maryland

Date: Thursday, June 25, 2015

Work Order No.: NRC-1684

Pages 1-182

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC.
Court Reporters and Transcribers
1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 234-4433

DISCLAIMER

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION'S
ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON REACTOR SAFEGUARDS

The contents of this transcript of the proceeding of the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, as reported herein, is a record of the discussions recorded at the meeting.

This transcript has not been reviewed, corrected, and edited, and it may contain inaccuracies.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
 NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

+ + + + +

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON REACTOR SAFEGUARDS

(ACRS)

+ + + + +

FUTURE PLANT DESIGNS SUBCOMMITTEE

+ + + + +

THURSDAY

JUNE 25, 2015

+ + + + +

ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND

+ + + + +

The Subcommittee met at the Nuclear
 Regulatory Commission, Two White Flint North, Room
 T2B1, 11545 Rockville Pike, at 8:30 a.m., Michael
 Corradini, Subcommittee Chairman, presiding.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

MICHAEL CORRADINI, Subcommittee Chairman

JOHN W. STETKAR, ACRS Chairman

RONALD G. BALLINGER, Member

DENNIS C. BLEY, Member

CHARLES H. BROWN, JR., Member

DANA A. POWERS, Member

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

JOY REMPE, Member

MICHAEL T. RYAN, Member

STEPHEN P. SCHULTZ, Member

GORDON R. SKILLMAN, Member*

DESIGNATED FEDERAL OFFICIAL:

MAITRI BANERJEE

ALSO PRESENT:

DOUG BOWMAN, NuScale

GREG CRANSTON, NRO

BILL GALYEAN, NuScale

STEVE MIRSKY, NuScale

JOSE REYES, NuScale

TIM TOVAR, NuScale

KENT WELTER, NuScale

*Present via telephone

A-G-E-N-D-A

Opening Remarks	4
Mike Corradini, ACRS	
Introduction	
Greg Cranston, NRO	6
Steve Mirsky, NuScale	8
Overview of Design: Integral System Test Facility	
Jose Reyes, NuScale	11
Refueling and Module Installation	
Doug Bowman, NuScale	70
Opportunity for Public Comment	130
Adjourn to Closed Session	135

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

(8:33 a.m.)

CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: The meeting will come to order. This is a meeting of the Future Plant Designs Subcommittee of the ACRS. My name is Mike Corradini. I'm acting chairman of the Future Plant Designs Subcommittee.

ACRS members in attendance today are John Stetkar, Dana Powers, Ron Ballinger, Joy Rempe, Steve Schultz, and Mike Ryan. And we expect Dennis Bley to join us.

Ms. Maitri Banerjee is the Designated Federal Official for this meeting.

Today we have members of NuScale power team to brief the subcommittee on the technology for their integrated PWR, pressurized water reactor.

The design topics of today's agenda include an overview of the design, of the integral system test facility, refueling and module installation, PRA updates, and design basis events.

This is to be an information briefing as we are preparing to review the NuScale-specific NRC review standards, or the DSRS.

I'm expecting this briefing will inform and educate us about the eventual NuScale design that

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

1 will be part of the design certification.

2 The rules for participation in today's
3 meeting were announced in the Federal Register on June
4 10, 2015.

5 The meeting was announced as an open to
6 public meeting. However, as shown in the agenda on the
7 NRC website, we may need to close portions of the
8 meeting at the end to the public to protect information
9 proprietary to NuScale and its vendors.

10 And I will just intercede and say we will
11 have public comment before we go into closed session.

12 The agenda of the meeting indicates that
13 we will close the meeting for a couple of items.

14 We have two bridge lines established, one
15 for the public to hear the deliberations, and that will
16 be put on mute. And then an unpublished line to allow
17 certain of the NuScale Power engineers and NRC staff
18 to participate remotely.

19 The bridge number and password for the
20 lines were published in the agenda. To minimize
21 disturbance the line will be put in a listen-only mode.

22 Before we go into closed session I'll also
23 ask the NRO staff and NuScale to confirm that only
24 people with due clearance and need-to-know are in the
25 room.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

1 I'll note that Dr. Rempe has a conflict of
2 interest in the area of NuScale severe accident
3 considerations because of prior work she completed for
4 NuScale in this area. And Dr. Rempe will recuse
5 herself from discussions in this area.

6 I invite Greg Cranston, the NRO project
7 manager, to introduce the presenters and start us off
8 today. Greg?

9 MR. CRANSTON: Good morning, I'm Greg
10 Cranston. I'm the senior project manager for the
11 NuScale project. And with me is Mark Tonacci who is
12 the branch chief for the Small Modular Reactor
13 Licensing Branch.

14 I'm going to just give a brief overview as
15 to where we are, and then I will turn over the meeting
16 to NuScale, and then can introduce the people that are
17 participating from their group.

18 We are in the process of pre-application
19 phase for the NuScale project. One of the key items
20 that we've been working on are preparation of the
21 design-specific review standards.

22 As far as NUREG-0800, where the standard
23 review plans are, there's about 134 of those standard
24 review plans that are used as-is for NuScale. And we
25 have developed an additional 116 design-specific

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 review standards, the majority of which are relatively
2 minor changes, but maybe 10-15 percent have significant
3 changes based on the unique aspects of the NuScale
4 design.

5 Those final drafts are going out this week
6 for public comment for a 60-day comment period after
7 which we will take the comments, review them,
8 incorporate them as appropriate. And we expect to
9 issue the final DSRS in the middle of next year.

10 We also have started interactions with
11 ACRS with respect to DSRSs to determine which
12 design-specific review standards they may want to
13 review in more depth prior to us issuing the final
14 package. And those interactions will be ongoing.

15 The other activities that are coming up in
16 the near term is the testing has started at the
17 integrated test facility in Corvallis, where the mockup
18 is, at Oregon State University.

19 And we will have staff out there next month
20 to start observing some of the tests as well as doing
21 a QA inspection to see how they're implementing their
22 testing operations.

23 That's all I wanted to cover as far as the
24 NRC introduction is concerned. Unless there are any
25 questions, at this time I'd like to turn it over to

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

1 NuScale to proceed.

2 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Is Mr. Mirsky,
3 Steve, are you going to be the one that takes this?

4 MR. MIRSKY: Yes. Good morning. My name
5 is Steven Mirsky. I am the NuScale licensing manager.
6 I operate an office two blocks from here in Rockville,
7 Maryland, where we maintain a library of NuScale
8 documents and a state-of-the-art video conference
9 facility to allow the NRC staff to engage in meetings
10 with our staff back in the home office in Corvallis,
11 Oregon.

12 NuScale appreciates the opportunity to
13 make this presentation to the ACRS subcommittee. And
14 I want to emphasize that we are very interested in as
15 much interaction, questions, and discussion during the
16 presentation as possible.

17 Dr. Corradini already introduced the order
18 of presentations. The first presentation will be made
19 by Dr. Jose Reyes who's on my right. Dr. Reyes is the
20 chief technical officer of NuScale Power. He's the
21 co-inventor of the NuScale power module design.

22 Prior to that he was the chairman of the
23 nuclear engineering department at Oregon State
24 University, where he has distinguished himself in,
25 among other areas, as a world leader in nuclear power

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 plant thermohydraulics and scaling.

2 He was the active leader and manager of the
3 Westinghouse AP600 and AP1000 test facility that was
4 constructed and operated also at Oregon State
5 University.

6 Prior to that Dr. Reyes was
7 thermohydraulic staff at the Nuclear Regulatory
8 Commission. He holds a Ph.D. and master's degrees in
9 nuclear engineering.

10 And the other thing I'd like to mention is
11 that we request respectfully if, at the end of this
12 meeting, that this Subcommittee provide feedback to the
13 Commission on your review of what we presented.

14 And we are also very interested in feedback
15 you can provide us in terms of topics you'd like to
16 further discuss when you come out to visit us in Oregon
17 on July 21 and 22.

18 For the purposes of introducing the other
19 gentlemen here who will be presenting after Dr. Reyes,
20 to Dr. Reyes's left is Doug Bowman. There was a mistake
21 on his name tag. Doug will be presenting the slides
22 on refueling and module installation.

23 And after our break and public discussion
24 for the closed meeting Dr. Kent Welter, who is the
25 gentleman sitting at the end of this row here, will be

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 presenting on design-basis events.

2 And Bill Galyean, the gentleman next to Dr.
3 Welter, will be presenting a PRA update.

4 So, if there are no other questions?

5 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Let me just clarify
6 one thing for the NuScale team.

7 So, because this is a subcommittee, we're
8 going to have, as we had said, an information briefing.
9 It is not the practice of ACRS to issue any sort of
10 response, or any sort of report, letter report to the
11 Commission unless we have a full Committee meeting.
12 So, there's no intent to communicate with anybody other
13 than each other, and informally with the rest of the
14 members.

15 Only if we have a full Committee meeting
16 where staff is requesting a letter, or we feel the need
17 to issue a letter to report to the Commission, would
18 you see something from us. So, today we're listening,
19 asking a bunch of questions, having fun, but no letter
20 report.

21 MEMBER STETKAR: And anything you hear
22 from members here are solely individual members'
23 opinions. They are not questions from the ACRS, nor
24 any opinions that might be authored are not ACRS
25 opinions. They're simply individual members. We

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 need to be very clear about that.

2 MR. MIRSKY: Thank you for that
3 clarification. So, with that, Dr. Reyes.

4 MR. REYES: So, I'll be giving an overview
5 of our designs.

6 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: If I might,
7 actually, you just reminded me of something.

8 So, we've got these great mics which beep
9 and bop a lot. So, unless you're speaking keep the
10 green light off. The way you get the green light on
11 and off is at the bottom where it says essentially push.
12 I mean, it's obvious, but we're engineers so we want
13 to --

14 (Laughter.)

15 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: So, empirically you
16 can try it a few times and make a mistake. But when
17 it's off it's better because it actually, for people
18 on the line it makes it quiet enough they actually can
19 hear what you're saying.

20 MR. REYES: So you'd like mine on?

21 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: I would prefer it.

22 MR. REYES: Okay, it's on.

23 MEMBER STETKAR: It's also -- the
24 transcript of the meeting is picked up through the
25 microphones. So, it's important for our transcript.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. REYES: Okay. So we'll go to the
2 first slide. So I'll be giving a bit of a
3 presentation, an overview of our design. And this is
4 the standard acknowledgment, a disclaimer, since we are
5 receiving funds from the Department of Energy.

6 So, I'll give you a talk about the NuScale
7 12 module plant design. And then that will be followed
8 by just a brief introduction to our overall testing
9 programs, and then focus in on the NuScale integral
10 system test facility.

11 So, it's a different concept in terms of
12 how you would deploy a NuScale power plant. The idea
13 is that we would manufacture in a factory both the
14 reactor pressure vessel as well as the containment.
15 And so that would be assembled in the factory. Now,
16 it would be shipped in three parts -- shipped without
17 fuel -- by truck, rail, or barge. You can see it in
18 the central picture there.

19 So, it would be shipped by truck, rail, or
20 barge depending on what part of the country you're in,
21 in three separate pieces. The upper two-thirds of the
22 reactor vessel and the containment vessel, the lower
23 one-third of the containment vessel, and then the lower
24 one-third of the reactor vessel. So, it's three pieces
25 to create the module.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 When it arrives it's assembled onsite.
2 It's fueled onsite. That module when assembled sits
3 underwater during normal operation.

4 So, it sits below-ground underwater, the
5 containment as well as the reactor vessel.

6 MEMBER POWERS: When you say it's
7 assembled, is it just mechanical joints?

8 MR. REYES: Yes, it's a flange system.

9 MEMBER POWERS: There are no welds?

10 MR. REYES: No welding onsite for assembly
11 of the module. So, it's assembled onsite, and that's
12 also how -- when we talk about the refueling you'll see
13 also how that helps us with the refueling process.

14 Each module is connected then to a
15 skid-mounted turbine generator set. That produces
16 about 50 megawatts electric of gross power. So, very
17 small, relatively straightforward in terms of the
18 design of our steam turbines. I'll talk a little bit
19 more about that later. That creates one power unit,
20 the module and the skid-mounted turbine generator set.

21 The design that we are looking to -- and
22 we've discussed in pre-application with the NRC is a
23 12-module plant. So, you'll see additional pictures
24 of that. Basically, we have six modules on one side
25 of a reactor building, six modules on the other side.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Again, all in one building underwater and below ground.

2 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: So, Jose, except for
3 what I assume are economic reasons, there's nothing
4 that makes the twelve versus six versus one.

5 MR. REYES: Right. So, we've looked at
6 different combinations or arrangements of modules.

7 The basis for the twelve comes from our
8 customer advisory board. So, we've been meeting
9 approximately every six months since 2008 with, I think
10 now we're around 23 utilities who participate in the
11 customer advisory board.

12 And so this size range, six modules,
13 somewhere around 300 megawatts electric total was good
14 for one size of coal-fired plant replacement, 600, you
15 know, 570 or so would be for another size.

16 So, basically, it was kind of geared
17 towards what the customers had requested at the time.
18 But you're right, there could be other configurations.
19 But currently for design certification we're pursuing
20 a 12-module plant.

21 We'll go to the next slide. This is
22 showing us --

23 MEMBER SKILLMAN: Hello? Jose, can you
24 hear me?

25 MR. REYES: Yes.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MEMBER SKILLMAN: A question, please.
2 Back on your slide 5, top center, the image of your what
3 appears to be Schnabel car and I guess two-thirds of
4 the finished assembly. What is the approximate weight
5 of that assembly, please?

6 MR. REYES: That's -- this is an open
7 meeting?

8 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: So if you want to
9 wait till closed session we can wait.

10 MR. REYES: Let's wait on that if you don't
11 mind.

12 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: We'll postpone that
13 one, Dick, until closed.

14 MEMBER SKILLMAN: Okay, I'll wait until
15 closed. I'm going to sign off here and go back on mute.
16 Thank you.

17 MR. REYES: Thank you.

18 MEMBER REMPE: Since we've interrupted
19 you, I was curious. In your interactions with the
20 staff have they suggested that there be any additional
21 emphasis on licensing requirements at the factory other
22 than the normal QA required for components that are
23 nuclear-grade?

24 MR. REYES: Right. At this point my
25 understanding is that it's just the normal QA for

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 assembly of components, reactor-quality components.

2 MR. MIRSKY: We are, under ITAAC, looking
3 at factory ITAAC where we would close out some ITAAC
4 that would normally be closed out at the site, at the
5 factory.

6 MEMBER SKILLMAN: Let me build on Dr.
7 Rempe's question, please. I'm presuming that the
8 manufacturing is in accordance with the codes required
9 by 10 CFR 50, 50(a) or 50(a)(2) or whatever it might
10 be. This is all Section 3, Class 1, Section 3, Class
11 2?

12 MR. REYES: That's correct.

13 MEMBER SKILLMAN: Okay, thank you.

14 MR. REYES: Next slide. On slide 6 here
15 it shows the layout of a 12-module plant. So, in the
16 center you have the reactor building. So, it's a
17 seismic category 1 building which houses all 12
18 modules. And then the wings on the side are the turbine
19 buildings. So, you have six turbine generator sets in
20 one building and six on the other side.

21 In terms of acreage, the protected area
22 inside the fence is about 32 acres to give you a sense
23 of size. And then the entire site in terms of what's
24 shown there is about 70 acres. So that gives you a
25 sense of dimensions.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 So, here's -- slide 7 is a bit of a close-up
2 which shows us a cross-section of the reactor building.

3 So, you can see that on the right-hand side
4 of that picture all six modules -- there are six modules
5 underwater that sit below these biological shields.

6 Those modules, that includes the
7 containment and the reactor pressure vessels. That's
8 important to recognize the distinction.

9 One of the novel features of the design is
10 that we actually fabricate the containment offsite.
11 So that makes it a lot easier to install, as a single
12 unit. So, this is one cross-section.

13 On the opposite end of that building would
14 be six other modules that would each have their own
15 turbine generator sets.

16 You'll learn more about how we do the
17 refueling a little bit later, but this image does show
18 the flange tools that we would use to assemble and
19 disassemble the modules underwater.

20 Just things to notice. Again, the water
21 level is just below the top of the containment module.
22 So, the tops -- the penetration locations are all dry
23 and basically insulated.

24 Then you have a bit of level below. And
25 then all of that sits below ground.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: So, just to repeat
2 what you said to make sure I'm clear. So, all
3 containment penetrations are sitting above the water
4 line.

5 MR. REYES: Correct.

6 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: And so it's just an
7 integrated -- some particular steel alloy, which is the
8 containment, all essentially below water.

9 MR. REYES: That's correct, yes. This is
10 -- it's a stainless steel liner on both sides,
11 basically, of the containment shield.

12 So, this is a borated pool. So the
13 materials that we use are standard materials that have
14 been used in industry for many, many years.

15 MEMBER REMPE: So, I believe the
16 information we were given said that your burnup
17 requirements is greater than 30 gigawatt days per
18 metric ton uranium. Do you have a particular number
19 that you believe is reasonable at this time?

20 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Let's be careful
21 what we're talking about in open session, please.

22 MEMBER REMPE: Well, okay. I'm sorry, is
23 that?

24 MR. REYES: Well, currently, I can give
25 you some general things. Certainly we're working with

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 AREVA on our fuel. And so we'll have some better
2 numbers on their particular fuel. So now that we're
3 using an advanced fuel I would expect that the burns
4 would be a little bit greater than that.

5 MEMBER REMPE: With that, again, I know
6 we're in open session, but are you thinking of going
7 to high-burnup fuel like we talk about with the regular
8 commercial fleet?

9 MR. REYES: No, we're not at this point.

10 MEMBER REMPE: Okay, thanks.

11 MEMBER SCHULTZ: Jose, is the sides of the
12 picture, the diagram here, is that ground level on the
13 right and left side?

14 MR. REYES: Yes, those brown -- exactly
15 right. That's ground level there. And that depth is
16 not proprietary. It's about 70 feet to the bottom of
17 the pool.

18 MEMBER SCHULTZ: I'm interested in
19 above-ground level and across.

20 MR. REYES: From there to the top, up on
21 top there is about 80 feet.

22 MEMBER SCHULTZ: Thank you.

23 MEMBER SKILLMAN: Jose, could you just
24 generally explain the routing of the steam line on image
25 7, please?

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. REYES: Sure. And you really can't
2 see it from this image. But on the previous image if
3 you want to go back there what we have then are the --
4 we have the six turbine generator sets aligned with
5 steam lines coming from one side of the reactor building
6 to that turbine building.

7 And then on the other side we have steam
8 lines going to the other turbine building with the same
9 symmetrical arrangement of turbine generator sets.
10 Does that help without giving --

11 MEMBER SKILLMAN: Yes.

12 MR. REYES: And certainly when the staff
13 comes to visit in closed session we can provide drawings
14 and things like that.

15 MEMBER SKILLMAN: Yes, what I'm really
16 interested in is an airplane crash and the protection
17 of steam and feed. And the gaps between the buildings
18 that are shown on image 6, which is why I asked the
19 question.

20 MR. REYES: Sure, okay.

21 MEMBER SKILLMAN: Thank you, thank you.

22 MR. REYES: And then we'll talk just very
23 briefly here in terms of the concept here.

24 The idea -- this is a novel aspect of the
25 design so I wanted to point that out. The idea here

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 is that we would refuel each of these modules once every
2 two years. And it's about a 10-day refueling outage.

3 So, the way we would do that refueling, and
4 you'll see this later on in the closed session, I guess,
5 is we actually can move the modules and disassemble
6 those. They go into this dedicated refueling area.
7 And while we're doing that the rest of the plant
8 continues to produce power.

9 So, that's a different concept than what
10 you've seen before. But we'll talk more about that in
11 the refueling session. The advantage to this, of
12 course, is that if you're refueling -- and this is not
13 proprietary, but 37 assemblies in our core. So it's
14 a relatively simple refueling in terms of moving
15 bundles.

16 What we do is we have an in-house dedicated
17 crew that does the refueling and all of the maintenance
18 for each module. So, what that does for us is instead
19 of requiring a large contractor workforce to come in
20 during a refueling outage, 800 to 1,200 contract
21 workers, we have a permanent in-house crew that is
22 becoming more and more proficient with each refueling.

23 So it's relatively a simpler approach.
24 That was one of the considerations we had early on. So,
25 it certainly helps us with operations and maintenance,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 and having a dedicated crew. Instead of having to put
2 folks in security clearance, health physics training,
3 assembling and disassembling refueling equipment.
4 Okay, next slide.

5 This is the top view. We have that central
6 reactor building crane which is how we move our modules.
7 We have the refueling pool. We have this import
8 trolley which is how we deliver the module sections.

9 And then we have the reactor vessel and
10 containment vessel flange tools which allows us to
11 assemble those.

12 So, you can see it's a relatively large
13 common pool. Between the spent fuel pool and the
14 refueling pool is a weir wall which allows us to
15 transport fuel between those two sections. Our fuel
16 assemblies are only two meters in length so they're
17 reduced height fuel assemblies. Okay, next slide.

18 Early on, one of the things that we looked
19 at were areas where we could simplify in the plant. So,
20 significant design simplification.

21 I'll point out that there are two new
22 systems that we did add. One is the containment
23 evacuation system, and the other is the containment
24 flooding and drain system. So those are two that you
25 have not seen before.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 But, we don't have containment sprays or
2 fan coolers. We don't have auxiliary feedwater. We
3 don't have an ECCS injection system per se in terms of
4 a pump system.

5 No steam generator blowdown systems. We
6 don't -- because our turbine generator sets are fairly
7 small we can air-cool or water-cool our generators. So
8 we don't have a hydrogen supply for the generators.

9 No reactor coolant pumps and no ECCS pumps
10 or tanks, associated tanks, or RPV injection lines.
11 So, we've really reduced the amount of equipment per
12 module in order to greatly simplify the design.

13 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: If I might. So,
14 with all this good comes some other challenges. I
15 mean, I remember some of the public papers you've
16 presented on this. So, maybe we'll wait till closed
17 session, but I'm curious about level of evacuation, or
18 low-pressure containment I assume was what you mean by
19 that, and some other details. But we should maybe wait
20 till closed session.

21 MR. REYES: Yes, there's some aspects.
22 And I can talk about some things generally.

23 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Okay, that's fine.

24 MR. REYES: So, now we'll look at a single
25 module here and talk a little bit about some of the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 details. So, what that shows on slide number 10 is the
2 reactor pressure vessel residing inside the
3 containment vessel.

4 In terms of sizes, rough sizes, the entire
5 module from the floor to the cap of the containment
6 vessel, somewhere around 72 feet or so. So, that's an
7 open number. We've published that.

8 And diameter-wise it's about 15 feet in
9 diameter. So, that's the entire containment vessel.

10 The reactor vessel is about 9 feet in
11 diameter and somewhere around 60 feet in terms of
12 height. At the base of the reactor pressure vessel you
13 have the reactor core. So those are the 2-meter fuel
14 assemblies that we've had built now at AREVA.

15 Standard control rod drives. Wherever we
16 could use existing equipment we tried to do so. So,
17 these use magnetic jack control rod drives.

18 And right above the control rod assemblies
19 we have a riser pipe. So, we heat up the water in the
20 core. The hot water rises because of density and
21 elevation differences. It comes out the top. We have
22 an integrated baffle plate for the pressurizer. And
23 then that makes the turn and flows down over two
24 independent helical coil steam generators.

25 This is another difference I wanted to

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 point out. In this design --

2 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: It's inside out.

3 MR. REYES: We view it otherwise.

4 (Laughter.)

5 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Of course you would.

6 But from common experience.

7 MR. REYES: Exactly. Exactly right.

8 MEMBER BALLINGER: If you count the fossil
9 industry this is the normal way.

10 MR. REYES: Exactly right. That's what
11 you see in the fossil industry.

12 So, this helical coil steam generator, the
13 reason I went with that was because you get a lot of
14 surface area in a fairly compact volume.

15 And so what that does for us, especially
16 for our natural circulation system then, because you
17 have relatively low flows so your convective heat
18 transfer coefficients on the outside of the tubes are
19 relatively low.

20 So, you have Q equals $hA\text{-}\Delta T$. We have
21 a good ΔT , but we have a small h . So, to
22 compensate for that you need a lot of surface area. And
23 so that's how we get the higher efficiencies with this
24 system, because we have --

25 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: And I'm not sure when

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 you're going to say it, but I think you can say it now.
2 Where were these tested? I forgot.

3 MR. REYES: So, these have been tested in
4 Italy, at Piacenza. And I'll talk about two test
5 programs that have been run. And we've just completed
6 both of those.

7 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Is that the same test
8 facility that AP600 did some of their testing if I
9 remember? I'm remembering where some testing was done
10 for the AP600 certification.

11 MR. REYES: Right, they had what was
12 called a SPES facility. It was used for testing AP600.
13 It's the same organization doing that testing. I have
14 a couple of quick pictures I can show you.

15 MEMBER SKILLMAN: Jose, this is Dick
16 Skillman. Let me ask one or two questions.

17 MR. REYES: Sure.

18 MEMBER SKILLMAN: This technology is very
19 similar to what B&W attempted back in the seventies with
20 CNSG.

21 And one of the areas that became a
22 challenge was the in-service inspection of these
23 helical heat exchangers.

24 And it is the complexity of finding one's
25 way into the tubes, or maybe more pertinent, having a

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 weeper or a leaker, how you determine which tube needs
2 attention.

3 Could you speak at least for a minute on
4 the attention NuScale has given to that issue? And
5 also what attention has been given to the codes that
6 we generally use for in-service inspection.

7 MR. REYES: Sure. So, we've done our
8 initial feasibility studies. So, basically we've
9 actually built full-length coils. The largest radius
10 and the smallest radius coils at full length.

11 We've demonstrated that we can get a probe
12 in from the top and retract the probe. This is eddy
13 current probe. So, we're using standard technology
14 there.

15 The thing that we've done that's different
16 is that this is not a tightly wound coil. And so I think
17 what's been done in the past is something that's a lot
18 more tightly wound.

19 This is actually a fairly -- if you look
20 at each individual tube there's only about three turns
21 over the entire length of the bundle. So, it wouldn't
22 appear that way. So, it's a relatively gentle slope
23 is what we've done on our tubes.

24 And that then allows us to get the eddy
25 current probes down the tubes and perform inspection.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 So we're doing inspections from the inside of the tubes.

2 But I've got a picture later that I can show
3 you that includes that. So, those results were very
4 good and it resulted in one patent.

5 There was one modification we made to the
6 standard tube probe driver that allowed that to be a
7 bit easier to do. So, we have done that initial
8 feasibility study.

9 MEMBER SKILLMAN: Thank you.

10 MR. REYES: Sure. This system will
11 produce highly super-heated steam. And that's what
12 we've demonstrated in our testing in Italy, that we can
13 get a high degree of super heat.

14 That allows us then to provide our expected
15 steam conditions for the turbine manufacturers.

16 It's a natural circulation system. It's
17 an integrated design, so you have the core, the steam
18 generator, and the pressurizer all in that single
19 reactor vessel.

20 That eliminates then the standard --
21 there's no large-break loss of coolant accident
22 phenomena in this system. Everything is relatively
23 small piping, and everything is integrated within a
24 single package there in the reactor pressure vessel.

25 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: So, again, you may

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 want to defer this, but are there any pipes below the
2 top of core? And if so, what are they used for?

3 MR. REYES: There are none.

4 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: So all
5 instrumentation, all penetrations are above the --

6 MR. REYES: They come in from the top.
7 The two penetrations -- again, these are above the top
8 of the core -- are the CVCS lines and the recirculation
9 valves. Those would be the two lowest. But they're
10 well above top of core.

11 MEMBER SCHULTZ: Jose, is the steam
12 generator inspection that you described part of what
13 you anticipate for your maintenance program? Or are
14 you thinking of that just to identify problems if they
15 are observed?

16 MR. REYES: No, that would be part of our
17 normal maintenance program.

18 MEMBER SCHULTZ: And part of the outage
19 period that you described earlier?

20 MR. REYES: Correct.

21 MEMBER SCHULTZ: Thank you.

22 MR. REYES: Okay, we'll jump to the next
23 slide. This shows one of our power trains. And this,
24 as you can see on the right-hand side we've gone through
25 a fairly simple turbine system. Again, this is a

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 skid-mounted turbine generator set.

2 We do produce the super-heated steam. So
3 what that does for us is that there's no separators or
4 dryers on the reactor vessel.

5 And on the turbine side we don't require
6 any moisture separator reheaters for this design. So,
7 it's a really very simple system. Highly reliable.

8 You find these types of 50-megawatt
9 turbine sets in the fossil fuel industry.

10 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: So, this is a
11 standard order that's already available?

12 MR. REYES: In terms of the casing it's a
13 standard size. They will optimize the blades for our
14 application once we give them the final requirements.

15 MEMBER BLEY: I missed why you don't need
16 a moisture separator.

17 MR. REYES: So, we've opted not to use one
18 because the turbine that we've selected is sufficient.
19 So, the last stage turbine bucket, the moisture content
20 is adequate.

21 MEMBER BLEY: It can tolerate it.

22 MR. REYES: Yes.

23 MEMBER BLEY: Okay.

24 MR. REYES: Let's see. We've got two
25 designs for this. We have a water-cooled condenser.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 We also have the air-cooled condenser. You do pay a
2 penalty for going to air cooling, but it's actually
3 fairly tolerable.

4 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Are you allowed to
5 say the difference in the heat rate?

6 MR. REYES: Yes.

7 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: If not, we can wait.

8 MR. REYES: No, that's actually -- so, our
9 net electrical power production from our plant is about
10 570 megawatts electric with the water-cooled system.
11 I think we drop to about 540 megawatts electric with
12 an air-cooled system.

13 Okay, so that's kind of a standard ranking
14 cycle system. Okay, next slide.

15 So, I'll talk about the containment
16 design. So, let me just talk a little high level, first
17 of all, how the containment was sized.

18 So, the volume was important. In addition
19 to just physically being able to transport it we looked
20 at the volume of the containment to do two things.

21 One, we sized the volume such that any
22 leaks from piping or valves on the reactor pressure
23 vessel would never exceed the design pressure of the
24 containment.

25 So we can open up our safety valves, we can

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 open up our vent valves on top, the recirculation valves
2 simultaneously. And we would never exceed the design
3 pressure of that containment. So, that was really one
4 of the design features of the containment. It's a
5 bottle inside of a bottle.

6 MEMBER BLEY: On your air-cooled model,
7 you must have big air blowers or something, something
8 like a big radiator.

9 MR. REYES: I don't have those images
10 here, but it's basically -- there's a lot of good
11 technology available now for air --

12 MEMBER BLEY: Air-cooled?

13 MR. REYES: Typically requires a lot of
14 surface area and the blowers to do that. So, you're
15 paying that penalty because you're using your power to
16 basically drive the blowers.

17 So, in terms of our containment, the first
18 thing is pressure. So we won't exceed design pressure.

19 The second thing is volume in terms of
20 water volume. So, when the levels equilibrate between
21 the containment and the reactor pressure vessel it's
22 designed so that the water level will always be well
23 above top of the core.

24 So, there's enough inventory in the
25 reactor pressure vessel to make sure that whatever you

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 lose, when you look at the flood levels they're well
2 above top of the core.

3 We do operate the containment in a vacuum.
4 And I'll just give you a rough number. It's typical
5 of a containment vacuum, somewhere around 1 psi or so,
6 maybe a little bit higher. Just as a general range.

7 So, what that does for us is it interrupts
8 any convective heat transfer from the air. So we don't
9 require insulation on a reactor vessel. So, this is
10 one of the areas that we were looking at in terms of
11 reducing concerns about sump screen blockage and things
12 like that. So we don't have any insulation on the
13 reactor vessel.

14 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Eventually I want to
15 get back to this one. So, radiative losses are not a
16 big penalty for you, so you've taken that penalty just
17 to eliminate all insulation on the vessel? Or also the
18 -- well, I guess it's only the vessel.

19 MR. REYES: Yes, pretty much anything
20 that's inside the containment.

21 Now, we say minimize because we're looking
22 at other sources of potential -- we do our wiring and
23 things like that which we're looking at very carefully
24 to make sure there's no problems there. But that's
25 exactly right. It's not a very big loss.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MEMBER SKILLMAN: Jose, what is the
2 mechanism by which you maintain 1 psi?

3 MR. REYES: So, this is one of the systems
4 I mentioned earlier that we've added which is a
5 containment evacuation system.

6 So it's actually a line which goes into
7 containment and will do a continuous draw back and
8 forth. Because it's not a very deep vacuum it's fairly
9 standard equipment. We're not looking at anything
10 exotic to get the --

11 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: But I assume it's a
12 safety system.

13 MR. REYES: It's a vacuum pump. I don't
14 know if we really --

15 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Hit your magical
16 green button.

17 MR. WELTER: I'm sorry. This is Kent.
18 No, it is not a safety system.

19 MEMBER STETKAR: And why is that?

20 MR. REYES: So, in the event that we lose
21 a vacuum it's an operational problem because now you've
22 lost your insulation, and then you have a significant
23 heat loss. So, at that point you would just shut down.

24 So, it doesn't perform a safety function.
25 It's only there as insulation.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: So, if I ask John's
2 question a little bit differently, from an accident
3 analysis standpoint you don't require the vacuum.

4 MR. REYES: Correct. Correct, yes.

5 MEMBER SKILLMAN: This is Skillman. Let
6 me pursue that a little bit more. You've got three
7 requirements interlocking here for your primary and
8 secondary and now I think for this containment
9 evacuation system there. General design criteria 55,
10 56, and 57.

11 It seems if you get a vacuum pump connected
12 to your containment you actually have in that vacuum
13 pump the containment boundary.

14 So, why isn't that a safety boundary? At
15 least at the same level as your containment, and
16 whatever exhaust protected.

17 MR. TOVAR: Jose, can I speak? My name is
18 Tim Tovar. I'm the manager of plant operations. The
19 containment evacuation system is not a safety system.
20 However, it does have safety-related isolation valves
21 in the system.

22 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: I was guessing that
23 was going to be the answer.

24 MR. TOVAR: And the other piece as far as
25 the safety analysis, the vacuum will likely have a tech

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 spec that specifies the vacuum level in containment.

2 So, if we do lose vacuum, if the
3 containment evacuation system isn't able to keep up for
4 some reason, then we would perform a unit shutdown.

5 MEMBER SKILLMAN: Thank you for the
6 answer. I think we'll pursue this a little further.
7 Plumbing connected to containment, even though it might
8 have a few isolation valves, if it can exhaust
9 radioisotopes becomes a challenge in itself. So,
10 maybe we can talk about that a little later.

11 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Dick, I think to a
12 first approximation this would almost be like some
13 plants I know that are subpoena-atmospheric. Same
14 sort of approach with their essentially maintaining
15 something at 10 or 12 psi.

16 MEMBER STETKAR: Or large dry
17 containments that have a normally operating
18 containment ventilation system.

19 MR. REYES: Yes. That line, of course, is
20 relatively small. Okay, well, let's go to the next
21 slide.

22 So, in terms of our cooling systems we have
23 two means of removing our decay heat. One is our decay
24 heat removal system, and the other is our emergency core
25 cooling system. So we'll just jump right to the next

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 slide here.

2 So, I'll give you the details. This is our
3 decay heat removal system. And the idea here is that
4 we're going to use the steam generator to remove our
5 decay heat. So that's basically what we're doing
6 there.

7 We isolate our main steam isolation
8 valves, our feedwater isolation valves. And now we've
9 isolated that module basically from the rest of the
10 plant in terms of those connections.

11 We then open up our decay heat removal
12 actuation valves and that allows us to direct steam flow
13 from the steam generators to two passive condensers.

14 So, we're taking the steam from the steam
15 generator, directing it to those heat exchangers shown
16 inside the pool. And they're physically attached to
17 the containment so you can see the schematic on the
18 right and the actual image on the left.

19 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: They look like towel
20 racks.

21 (Laughter.)

22 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Sorry, but that's
23 what they look like. In a European hotel.

24 MR. REYES: They really do look like the
25 -- we'll make sure there's no towels hanging on it.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 So, we're condensing steam. The
2 condensate then, just through gravity draining, you
3 have basically a boiling condensing system and you're
4 removing all the heat from that.

5 So either one of those trains is sufficient
6 to remove all the decay heat. So they are each 100
7 percent removal systems.

8 If for some reason the steam generators --
9 and they are independent steam generators -- if they're
10 not available to remove the decay heat we would go then
11 to our next system which is an emergency core cooling
12 system.

13 Again, we isolate our main steam and our
14 feedwater isolation valves. And we now open up two
15 valves. The reactor vent valves on top of the reactor
16 vessel.

17 And now you're venting steam off the
18 pressurizer head directly into the containment. So,
19 you're pressurizing your containment.

20 That steam will condense on the inside
21 surface of containment because you're rejecting the
22 heat to the pool. And it will flood up. So these are
23 tests that we've done in the NIST facility. But it will
24 flood up. And once you get it somewhere above the
25 recirculation valves it will actuate on a safety signal

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 that then will open up the recirculation valves and the
2 remaining ECCS valves, and allow you to get water back
3 into the reactor pressure vessel.

4 MEMBER BLEY: I was just wondering what
5 the makeup requirements on the pool are in case you have
6 a situation there.

7 MR. REYES: So, let me make sure I
8 understand the question. Are we talking about the pool
9 inside the containment? Or you mean the large pool?

10 MEMBER BLEY: The large pool.

11 MR. REYES: Oh, the large. Since there's
12 no physical connection -- I mean, there's no pool water
13 actually being used inside containment, there's no
14 connection there, that basically is being maintained
15 on a constant level.

16 MEMBER BLEY: You might be boiling it off,
17 right?

18 MR. REYES: Over a long, long period of
19 time. Yes, over a long period of time.

20 MEMBER BLEY: That's what I don't have a
21 feel for.

22 MR. REYES: Okay. So, this pool size is
23 around 8 million gallons. Excuse me, 7 million, give
24 or take.

25 MEMBER BLEY: I'm not real quick at the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 calculation, so what's that turned into hours? Days?

2 MR. REYES: So, if you imagine -- it would
3 take about three days before you would start to get some
4 boiling in terms of --

5 MEMBER STETKAR: That's for a single unit
6 delivering?

7 MR. REYES: That's all twelve.

8 MEMBER STETKAR: All twelve?

9 MR. REYES: If all twelve were in that
10 condition it would take about three days before you
11 really would see some bulk boiling. And that's the
12 rough estimate.

13 So, for a single module you wouldn't really
14 see much of a temperature change in the pool.

15 MEMBER STETKAR: Okay, thank you.

16 MR. REYES: Sure.

17 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: So I know you're not
18 talking about the initiator, but I think I understand
19 how this works.

20 You basically connect the vessel by vent
21 valves to the containment, and then look at the
22 containment as your heat rejection.

23 MR. REYES: Correct.

24 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: But that would imply
25 some sort of loss of integrity in the vessel that leads

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 you to do this whole process, right?

2 MR. REYES: Exactly.

3 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Unidentified at this
4 point.

5 MR. REYES: Unidentified. And then I
6 think when we talk about design-basis events we'll
7 cover that.

8 Okay, so those are our two mechanisms.
9 So, our emergency core cooling system essentially
10 consists of four valves. Next slide.

11 Okay, now we jump into test programs since
12 that was really kind of the topic.

13 We do have our NuScale reactor
14 qualification test plan. So that outlines all of our
15 testing programs, both for design certification and for
16 our first of a kind engineering.

17 So, we have the integral effects test. We
18 also have full-scale component testing as well as a
19 range of separate effects tests that we're performing
20 currently.

21 In this image you can see a couple of
22 different tests we've been doing. So, to the upper
23 right corner there we have our CHF testing, critical
24 heat flux testing, that we complete at Stern Labs in
25 Canada.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 So, these were full-length bundles at
2 prototypic flow rates and powers to determine what the
3 CHF boundary looks like. So we've used that
4 information to help develop some of our correlations.

5 It's a little hard to see in the picture.
6 Right below the bundle. That was our -- that went
7 upright, and that was part of our steam generator tube
8 inspection test.

9 So, those were full-length tubes. We
10 actually mocked up the entrance and the area that would
11 be available for the inspection team to go in and using
12 standard equipment, standard drivers, demonstrate that
13 we can actually get the probes in and back out.

14 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: So, Jose?

15 MR. REYES: Sure.

16 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Chairman Stetkar is
17 one for details. So, the thing on the lower left, is
18 that your spirals generator?

19 MR. REYES: Yes, that was -- so we had two
20 helical coil test programs that we ran at
21 SIET in Piacenza.

22 One was a three-tube system which is what
23 you see there. And basically, what we have -- and I
24 don't know if that's an earlier version or not.

25 We actually have a three-tube system which

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 is highly instrumented and heat-traced on the outside.
2 So, we're applying the heat flux from the outside to
3 the inside.

4 And what we're citing there was the
5 phenomena inside the tubes. So, we had radial
6 thermocouples at numerous axial locations.

7 We're identifying what's going on in terms
8 of heat transfer inside tubes. So, going from
9 subcooled, to boiling, to super-heated steam. We've
10 got very detailed measurements on that.

11 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: So, the question
12 that I think we're mumbling about is that's more than
13 three turns. It looks like.

14 MR. REYES: Yes. Oh, that's a good point.
15 That might be another --

16 MEMBER STETKAR: That's not a prototype.

17 MR. REYES: That may not be the prototype.
18 We do have a prototypical one that we've used. Maybe
19 that's not the right -- that might be an earlier
20 picture.

21 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: You have to be
22 careful with him. He's dangerous.

23 MR. REYES: Yes, that's a very good point.
24 So we'll get that picture replaced to the current
25 configuration.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 I was looking at that myself and said well,
2 it seems like there's one tube there. But we do have
3 three tubes. And so we have an inner tube, a central
4 tube, and then an outer radius tube at full scale, at
5 prototypic diameters, prototypic lengths and radii.
6 So, that was --

7 MEMBER SCHULTZ: The Stern Lab CHF
8 testing, is that -- I thought I heard you say it was
9 complete, but I saw somewhere else that it was in
10 progress.

11 MR. REYES: So, yes, Stern Lab tests are
12 complete.

13 MEMBER SCHULTZ: They are complete.

14 MR. REYES: We are doing additional
15 testing with AREVA. I think those will be in Erlangen.

16 These will be critical heat flux tests.
17 Same flow rate conditions, but now using AREVA bundles.
18 So it will be prototypic to the AREVA fuel bundles.

19 MEMBER SCHULTZ: All right. Is there a
20 schedule for that at this point?

21 MR. REYES: You know, that's a good
22 question. I'll have to look that up for you.

23 MEMBER SCHULTZ: Thank you.

24 MR. REYES: But it is starting this year.

25 MEMBER BALLINGER: What's the tubing

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 material and what's the peak temperature?

2 MR. REYES: So, we're using an Inconel 690
3 tubing. And I'm sorry, what was your second?

4 MEMBER BALLINGER: Maximum temperature.
5 Not the cladding, the tubing temperature.

6 MR. REYES: Oh.

7 MEMBER BALLINGER: The super-heated
8 steam.

9 MR. REYES: Oh.

10 MR. BOWMAN: I can answer to mine. The
11 operating number would be about 586 degrees, I believe.
12 And then I think the analytical limit is about 600 or
13 so.

14 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Do you have your
15 green light on?

16 MR. BOWMAN: I'm sorry. Yes, the number
17 at normal operating conditions that we're looking at
18 right now, so it's preliminary, it's about 586.

19 And then my analytical limit is 600
20 degrees, what we'd go to.

21 MR. REYES: So it means our steam pressure
22 is lower.

23 MEMBER BALLINGER: I'm doing the mental
24 conversion to Centigrade. And then the next question
25 is where is the hottest weld on the steam generator?

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 And what is the temperature of the hottest weld on the
2 steam generator?

3 MR. REYES: Yes, so that would be at the
4 exit of the --

5 MEMBER BALLINGER: That would be at the
6 exit, and it would be at 600 Fahrenheit. Okay, thanks.

7 MR. REYES: So, this also shows a picture
8 of a full-scale.

9 So, the first helical coil tests that we
10 did at SIET we call our TF1. That was the three-tube
11 with external heat. The large-scale -- this is a full
12 height, full-scale bundle in terms of sizes -- was
13 performed with fluid to fluid. So, now we have hot
14 water flowing through a central riser pipe, coming out
15 over the top and over the tube, just like you'd see in
16 the actual plant at full temperature conditions.

17 So, that was -- so, what that provides us
18 for a given set of feedwater inlet conditions which are
19 prototypical of the plant, we can come up with measured
20 values for our super heat under prototypic flow and
21 temperature conditions. Next slide.

22 This upper left-hand just shows them
23 assembling our helical coil steam generator at the
24 prototypic length and tube diameters.

25 The individual coils, again, it's a little

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 deceiving in this picture, but this has 252 tubes. And
2 so it looks like they're packed together, but they're
3 actually a fairly simple slope.

4 MEMBER SKILLMAN: Jose, what is the length
5 of the tube when you begin to bend it?

6 MR. REYES: We can give you that number at
7 the closed session.

8 MEMBER SKILLMAN: Fair enough. Thank
9 you.

10 MR. REYES: So, that gives you kind of a
11 sense of the bundle. Down below is the casing for it.
12 To the right, we have built -- excuse me, to the far
13 right. What we have there is a mockup. This is the
14 upper two-thirds of the actual containment and reactor
15 vessel.

16 So, this has been assembled. And when you
17 come to visit you'll get to see that.

18 What that does for us is allows us to start
19 looking at in-service inspection and maintenance. So,
20 it is a physical mockup of the containment with the
21 platform and all the valves on top of it.

22 And then internal to that we have a reactor
23 vessel with control rod drives, and all the associated
24 valving and equipment there.

25 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Full-length down to

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 where the core would be?

2 MR. REYES: No, not all the way down to the
3 core. But you can see, because this is about the upper,
4 I want to say it's --

5 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: So the internal
6 mockup stops at what we're looking at.

7 MR. REYES: Right, exactly.

8 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Well, we'll see it.

9 MR. REYES: You'll see it there, yes.

10 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: We'll get to ask all
11 the same questions.

12 MR. REYES: So that gives us a good sense
13 of accessibility to different components. And it
14 allows us to change things if we need to in a physical
15 model.

16 So, down below you can see -- for scale you
17 see some people on the lower left-hand side. That
18 would be the actual outside diameter of one of our
19 NuScale containments.

20 So that gives you a sense of size.
21 Physically that's what it would look like.

22 MR. REYES: In the center we have our fuel
23 assembly testing. And that's mechanical testing of a
24 fuel assembly.

25 So, what we have is a range of tests.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 There's eight test programs that we've launched here
2 or are being launched in this year.

3 So, we're doing a steam generator
4 flow-induced vibration test, control rod assembly, and
5 driveshaft drop alignment test, fuel, mechanical, and
6 hydraulic testing which is what's being done in
7 Richland, Washington, at AREVA facilities there.

8 The phase 2 of the critical heat flux
9 testing for AREVA -- and I'll be specific to the AREVA
10 fuel assembly -- will have a core inlet flow
11 distribution test, pressurizer baffle plate CCFL
12 testing, a control rod guide tube flow-induced
13 vibration and flow tests, and then a steam generator
14 orifice hydraulic test for looking at the stability of
15 our steam generator inlets and feedwater inlets.

16 So, there's a lot of testing going on. And
17 we'll cover it -- when you visit we can cover in detail
18 each of these programs if you'd like to learn more.

19 Okay, now we'll jump into the NIST
20 facility. You will be seeing the NIST facility when
21 you come to visit.

22 On the left, of course, is what the module
23 would look like. On the right then is the NIST
24 facility, the NuScale Integral System Test facility.
25 Not to be confused with the other NIST.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 What I did was a broke up the system into
2 three parts so that I could measure the interactions
3 between the components.

4 What you would expect to see would be to
5 walk up to a pool and see a top of a containment. In
6 order to understand and to measure the flows between
7 the reactor vessel and the containment we have two
8 separate pipes up on top. The large-diameter pipes on
9 top and large-diameter pipes on the bottom.

10 The top piping represents our reactor vent
11 valves, and down below our recirculation valves.

12 So, on the far right we have the reactor
13 pressure vessel which contains an electrically heated
14 fuel bundle simulator with a riser, helical coil steam
15 generator, and a pressurizer.

16 The dark blue is our high-pressure
17 containment. And so that's connected directly to the
18 pool. So, it's like a two-sided tank.

19 The high-pressure containment, what that
20 does, it functions like the containment. It looks
21 different in terms of the geometries because when you
22 take a cylinder and you try to scale it down you can't
23 preserve both the surface area and the volume.

24 So, what I did with that is we trace-heated
25 the curved portions of those tanks. So, we pre-heat

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 before we run a test. So, you don't get condensation
2 on those curved surfaces.

3 There's a flat plate which is a prototypic
4 thickness and materials in terms of the connectivity
5 and thermal diffusivity properties which acts as our
6 heat transfer plate.

7 So, I size the heat transfer plate to be
8 the scale heat transfer area for the containment, and
9 the volume of scale to be the properly scaled volumes.

10 And so what we see is a very good pressure
11 transfer. And we can show you our pre-test
12 calculations when you come to visit.

13 We get a very good pressure history in that
14 containment which replicates the full-scale plant.

15 The green is the pool. And that's not
16 pressurized, but it is filled with water up to the top,
17 basically. The top is open to atmosphere.

18 We've done a couple of things. We learned
19 a lot from a previous facility. So, something that's
20 new to this facility is we did include thermal breaks
21 on our heat transfer plate so that we actually have
22 insulated that plate from the pool to reduce any heat
23 losses from the plate to the pool, through the sides
24 of the pool, basically. So we have a thermal break
25 there.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 We've also added these windows so that we
2 can do laser PIV velocity measurements of the boundary
3 layer for the fluid in direct contact with the outside
4 of the plate.

5 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: So, which side are
6 you doing the PIV?

7 MR. REYES: On the low-pressure side in
8 the pool.

9 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: So the green tank.

10 MR. REYES: Correct, on the green tank.

11 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: I'm just trying to
12 see what's happening in terms of the --

13 MR. REYES: Yes, when you start doing the
14 analysis what you find is that the condensation heat
15 rates are relatively high no matter what you do in terms
16 of -- when you have relatively high pressure in your
17 containment you have a large mass fraction of steam.

18 So what you see is that the condensation
19 rates are very high. And they offer fairly little
20 resistance to your heat transfer.

21 So what dominates then is your conduction
22 through the wall and the free convective heat transfer
23 on the outside.

24 So, conduction measurements we can do very
25 well. Those plates have multiple thermocouples so we

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 can do the conduction heat transfer measurements.

2 And now with the lasers we can actually do
3 very good measurements of what we think is happening
4 on the outside surface. So we're able to quantify our
5 heat transfer through the plate, and back out kind of
6 what's going on in terms of the condensation.

7 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: And then you can
8 back-calculate through the plate thermocouples?

9 MR. REYES: Correct. So, we can do an
10 inverse conduction calculation. Yes, exactly.

11 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: The only other thing
12 though, since -- thanks to Professor Wu, I have asked
13 about this. You do get a thermal inertia effect if you
14 start off heated. Then once you pulse in the steam the
15 whole thing then heats up to a new equilibrium.

16 MR. REYES: Correct, yes. So that
17 describes a little bit of the facility. If you go to
18 the next slide I think it's just -- we'll talk about
19 capabilities.

20 We really want to understand the coupling
21 between the reactor vessel and the containment since
22 it is tightly coupled. It's different than the
23 phenomena that you may have seen in the past.

24 So, in terms of a blowdown you pressurize
25 the containment. And it doesn't take very long to

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 equalize the pressure between the containment and the
2 pressure vessel. And basically your flows out of the
3 breaks become very, very low, very small.

4 We also use the facility to characterize
5 our DHRS. We have both a reduced scaled DHRS as well
6 as a full-length DHRS. The actual DHR is not very long
7 so we can test both in that same facility.

8 We're using the data to validate what we
9 call our NRELAP5 code. So, it's a RELAP5-3D code which
10 has been -- which we've added models to account for.
11 The steam generator heat transfer and specific features
12 of our design.

13 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: I forgot to ask you
14 this earlier. Is this the -- there's so many versions
15 of RELAP. So, which version is that that you started
16 with?

17 MR. REYES: So, this is the RELAP5-3D
18 version that we got from the Idaho National Laboratory.

19 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: So, this is the one
20 that Idaho watches over that is NRC blessed?

21 MR. REYES: NRC blessed?

22 MEMBER REMPE: It's beyond the NRC
23 version. They went to 5-3D.

24 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: I'm asking this from
25 a QA standpoint. Because a lot of the universities

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 have these. And there are multiple versions. So, I'm
2 just curious which one you're using relative to a
3 topical report.

4 MR. WELTER: The NRC version is, I
5 believe, RELAP3-3 currently. And that's different
6 from the INL version which is 3D.

7 We performed a commercial-grade
8 dedication of the source code from Idaho National Lab
9 and put it in our development environment. And then
10 it's under our NQA 1 program for verification,
11 validation, and implementation of the new models.

12 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: So, it's an
13 extension of what some people call the NRC version of
14 RELAP5.

15 MR. WELTER: DOE. Yes, there's two right
16 now. There's the NRC version which is 3-3, and the DOE
17 INL version which is 3D. And we took the DOE INL
18 version and dedicated that. And that's what we're
19 using as our platform.

20 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Okay. And then,
21 again, this is detail but eventually we'll come back
22 to it.

23 The only difference between them as I
24 understand it is essentially how you do the heat
25 structure coupling.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Do you use that to your advantage in your
2 modeling? Or is it just this was the most convenient
3 one to use?

4 MR. WELTER: In terms of maybe the 3D
5 vessel components you're speaking of?

6 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Right.

7 MR. WELTER: No, we use primarily a 1D
8 approximation.

9 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Okay.

10 MR. WELTER: And there are some other
11 minor details between the codes.

12 MEMBER REMPE: Since we're on this topic,
13 over the years there's always corrections, right?

14 And when I've queried the NRC about how
15 they keep up, they have said that there's some sort of
16 connection where they all look at the corrections and
17 they implement it in the -- the ones that are the NRC
18 version, the 3.3, they share lessons learned.

19 Are you part of that connection group where
20 when the corrections come out you also incorporate it
21 into your version?

22 MR. WELTER: Yes. We actually have both
23 3-3 and 3D at NuScale, and we periodically run both.
24 We have input decks for both of the codes.

25 And we're part of the U.S. NRC CAMP

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 program. And so we get the updates from 3.3. And
2 we're also part of the INL 3D user group. So we do go
3 back and forth.

4 MEMBER REMPE: Thank you.

5 MR. REYES: So let's just give you a range
6 of testing that we can perform to validate our code.

7 So, the loss of coolant accidents, which
8 include a CVCS line break. We can go to long-term
9 cooling. Actually, we can go very long-term.

10 High condensation, we'll be doing separate
11 effects tests there. The cooling pool convection heat
12 transfer, and a whole range of non-LOCA type
13 transients.

14 And Kent is, of course, managing all of our
15 safety methods development.

16 Our simulator, I will point out the
17 simulator that you will see in our control room in
18 Corvallis is using the same -- the safety analysis codes
19 are being also used in the simulator. So we try to
20 maintain that fidelity between the two.

21 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: So, the analysis
22 tool is your simulator tool.

23 MR. REYES: Correct. We're using NRELAP5
24 as our simulator tools.

25 MEMBER SCHULTZ: Kent, let me ask this

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 question. I'm sorry.

2 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Before we do that
3 there was a look.

4 MR. WELTER: I think there might be just
5 a slight correction. We are using RELAP but it's
6 RELAP-HD. It's a slightly different version of RELAP,
7 but it's fundamentally --

8 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: For the simulator.

9 MR. WELTER: For the simulator. So it
10 runs faster in realtime and it's a different model, but
11 it's essentially the same code.

12 MEMBER SCHULTZ: Kent, back to your
13 experience or activity with the users group. I presume
14 that you may have found some issues associated with code
15 application that others have not because you're doing
16 something different with it.

17 Are you also interacting with the users
18 group to report issues that you have found based upon
19 your specific application?

20 MR. WELTER: Yes. Yes, we have. We are
21 exercising 3D in a slightly different way. It's still
22 a pressurized light water reactor so fundamentally the
23 phenomena is very similar.

24 But we have found some minor errors and
25 corrections. We provided that feedback and INL may

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 have corrected a number of those bugs if you will.

2 MEMBER SCHULTZ: Thank you.

3 MR. REYES: Okay, next slide. So, this is
4 just the NIST facility being assembled. So you see the
5 cooling pool and the containment vessel. Next slide.

6 Here on slide 22, here it's assembled.
7 And there you have the full length DHR. It's an
8 eight-tube version of the system so we're able to do
9 essentially testing at full power per tube conditions.
10 So it will give us quite a bit of good data there.

11 So, actually it will reside inside that
12 large cooling pool. Next slide. This is looking at
13 the internals. The reactor vessel didn't change very
14 much. We added some additional volume in terms of
15 length. But we put in a new core bundle. But the
16 helical coil steam generator basically stayed the same.
17 Then that shows the baffle plate and the reflector.

18 Next slide. This is just, again, showing
19 a little bit more detail. From the previous version
20 of the NIST facility to this version we've gone from
21 about 130 instruments to 700 instruments. So it's a
22 lot more detail. So we can characterize all the
23 different aspects and perform our mass and energy
24 balances. We have laser PIV and the full height DHRS.

25 Next slide. We do have a new data

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 acquisition system. And so this is our interface with
2 700 instruments. It also includes all of our safety
3 logic for the facility. And we also are able to do
4 sequence of events monitoring. So, for every action
5 that occurs in the plant we're able to get a timestamp
6 and the action. So we can track the evolution of a test
7 fairly carefully.

8 And of course we have the big red button
9 which is for the emergency stop. Next slide.

10 Okay, this is just showing you the first
11 round of tests here. We call these critical path tests
12 for code validation. So, this is what the code folks
13 said they needed up front early on.

14 So, the order of these tests will change,
15 most likely. So, the elevation characterization, some
16 of that is being done right now in our cold shakedown
17 test. We will do high-pressure condensation tests.
18 These will be specific separate effects testing where
19 we're controlling the steam flow rates at fixed
20 pressure and getting that data in the new system, all
21 the way up to the very high pressures.

22 Previously we could only do about just
23 under 300 pounds per square inch. Now we can go the
24 full 900 pounds for condensation.

25 We'll do the full-length DHRS

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 characterization, cooling pool characterization,
2 natural circulation tests, the CVCS discharge line pipe
3 break which would be one of our LOCA studies,
4 pressurized spray line break, and then the ECCS reactor
5 vent valve spurious opening.

6 Like I said, the order of these will
7 change. Now, subsequent to this, we'll do quite a few
8 more tests. So this is the first round of tests that
9 we'll do which we're calling the critical path for what
10 the code folks need right away.

11 So, when you come out, I'm not sure which
12 of these tests you may see. Most likely it will be --
13 we don't usually let folks in when we're hot and
14 pressurized. So it probably won't be hot and
15 pressurized when you come to visit.

16 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Is this right next to
17 the APEX facility?

18 MR. REYES: It is, yes.

19 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: So it's in the same
20 building set.

21 MR. REYES: The same complex, yes. So,
22 we're in the building next to it.

23 Okay, I think that was the last slide.
24 Yes, and then that just summarizes what I just said.
25 How did we do, 20 minutes?

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Questions by the
2 committee before they switch over to the next topic.
3 Hearing no questions let's just switch.

4 MEMBER BROWN: I do have one.

5 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Go ahead.

6 MEMBER BROWN: Since I'm not a -- I was
7 trying to connect -- you'll have to explain this to me.
8 I'm not a thermal hydraulic-type guy.

9 So, I look at the overall design that you
10 showed with the containment reactor inside, steam
11 generators, pressurizer up in the dome, and all that.

12 Now, I go look at the -- so it's a very
13 tightly connected system. Then I look at your test
14 facility and I see three different pieces which doesn't
15 appear to have the connection.

16 And I'm going back to my Naval experience
17 where when we did this we made them bigger so that we
18 could actually see the same configuration when we ran
19 the test to ensure that our data was representative of
20 the actual operation.

21 So, I'm totally disconnected. I
22 understood what you were talking about, but I have no
23 idea how you validate that that configuration is
24 representative of the actual integral set.

25 MR. REYES: Right. So, in order to do

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 that I had to develop a scaling analysis report which
2 -- and that was available at the Rockville office.

3 So, what that does is it goes through all
4 the important phenomena and identifies -- and for us
5 some of the key phenomena was the peak containment
6 pressure, collapsed a level above the core were two --
7 are figures of merit.

8 And it demonstrates how you design your
9 test facility to simulate the full-scale behaviors.
10 So, the scaling also then is kind of that pivot point
11 that says, okay, this is how you design the facility.

12 Now, we're running pre-test calculations
13 for the test facility as well as pre-test calculations
14 for the full-scale plant.

15 And the first stage to see how well you've
16 done in your scaling is do they agree. Do the pressure
17 trends, the water levels and things like that, do they
18 match?

19 So, there's a solid logic to it, but the
20 connection is the scaling analysis report, which is
21 where the thermal hydraulic guys are with that.

22 MEMBER BROWN: Okay, let me -- I'm trying
23 to integrate your information here.

24 MR. REYES: Sure.

25 MEMBER BROWN: You're modeling the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 full-scale behavior with your analytical tools,
2 whatever it is. So you make your predictions through
3 some known conditions to see what the various
4 parameters results are, or some transients, or whatever
5 you're doing.

6 And so your validation -- this is what I
7 heard. I'm not saying this is right -- that you then
8 take your test results under what you think are the same
9 physical parameters and see if those results replicate
10 what you've got in your model, your analytical model.

11 MR. REYES: So, basically what we're
12 doing, the scaling report --

13 MEMBER BROWN: I was wrong, in other
14 words, right?

15 (Laughter.)

16 MR. REYES: I won't say that.

17 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Charlie? You were
18 wrong.

19 (Laughter.)

20 MEMBER BROWN: I know how to admit it. I
21 have a history of knowing when to say I'm wrong. It's
22 not often. It doesn't happen often.

23 MR. REYES: So, basically, with the
24 scaling analysis, there you're looking at the
25 fundamental physics of a problem.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 So, for example, I look at a break flow and
2 I say, well, this flow will be choked. And I use
3 choke-flow models and I say, okay, how can I size the
4 valve at this reduced volume to give me the right
5 pressure transient behavior, the pressure behavior and
6 the mass flow rates out the break.

7 And I say I should size my valve or my flow
8 area to a certain scaled size in order to get the
9 prototypic mass flow rates out of there at the scale
10 basis.

11 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Charlie, I think if
12 Sanjoy was here he'd give you an esoteric explanation.

13 But I think what Jose is saying is he's
14 basically scaling off of time scaling. He wants to
15 make the time scaling such that he doesn't get some
16 distortion in what's going on.

17 And so I think when you're close though
18 when you're saying that you do a test. You have this
19 scaling logic to build the test. You run the test.
20 You have to compare. And then you extrapolate the
21 calculation based on the scaling logic.

22 MR. REYES: And the scaling logic for this
23 facility is fairly easy because I went to 1:1 time scale
24 and full pressure.

25 MEMBER BROWN: My fundamental problem is

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 the actual physical interface interactions don't seem
2 to be accounted for in the test, in your scale test.
3 That's all.

4 MR. REYES: And so part of the scaling is
5 to identify where there would be distortions. And so
6 we identify things that we say, okay, this is different
7 in our physical model, and this is the distortion. And
8 this is the effect of that distortion, whether it's
9 large or not.

10 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Kent, did you want to
11 say something?

12 MR. WELTER: I was just going to add that
13 we're using Reg Guide 1.203 as a guide for evaluation
14 model development for -- this is primarily a facility
15 scaled for loss of coolant accident analysis. And so
16 we're using Reg Guide 1.203 which provides a framework
17 and a structure and guidance on how to do the scaling,
18 how to identify the figures of merit, how to identify
19 your developmental assessment base, how to do
20 uncertainty analysis and quantification.

21 So, all of that, the integral test facility
22 is one piece of a large evaluation model for loss of
23 coolant accident analysis.

24 And that's where we address things like the
25 scaling distortion and the uncertainties associated

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 with the full plant model versus the prototypic
2 facilities.

3 MEMBER BROWN: I'll quit. This just
4 seems to be more unique than some of the other things.

5 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: I think, I mean
6 historically if memory serves me this is kind of how
7 they've done a lot of loss of coolant analysis
8 historically in terms of -- I can't remember the name.
9 CSAU. I was losing it there for a minute there. Code
10 scaling analysis and uncertainty approach.

11 MEMBER BROWN: Okay, I'll quit.

12 MS. BANERJEE: Is the report available in
13 the docket?

14 MR. REYES: It's available at the office
15 currently.

16 MS. BANERJEE: If the members want I can
17 try to get a hold.

18 MEMBER BROWN: I wouldn't understand it if
19 I read it, so I will admit that.

20 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: But I think what he
21 said at the end is very important. It's that if you
22 can get full-size 1:1 time scale and pressure it makes
23 the scaling a whole lot simpler than if I start mucking
24 with geometrical or time scaling. So, I think that's
25 the key point that he ended off with.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MEMBER BROWN: I heard that. Thank you.
2 Thank you for your patience.

3 MEMBER SCHULTZ: Jose, from what Kent said
4 then you've got -- in your scaling approach you've
5 established acceptance criteria which have been
6 predetermined. And you've got predictions which
7 you're trying to match up. And all of that is
8 integrated into the overall process from the outset.

9 MR. REYES: Correct.

10 MEMBER SCHULTZ: Is that what you're
11 working to do?

12 MR. REYES: Right.

13 MEMBER SCHULTZ: According to the tests.

14 MR. REYES: Right. And where we are now
15 in terms of the scaling analysis. And Kent mentioned
16 the MDAC process and what we're following there.

17 Right now that scaling is based on the
18 facility in terms of its design basis, and then the
19 NuScale's design.

20 And as time progresses there will be
21 additional scaling work that happens which will use the
22 as-built drawings and the best and final design for the
23 plant. So, it's a process that emerges a bit over time.

24 MEMBER SCHULTZ: Thank you.

25 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Other questions by

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 the subcommittee?

2 Okay. So we have a decision point.
3 Either we're going to take an hour in the wonderful
4 world of modular insulation, or we're going to take a
5 10-minute break.

6 I'm looking at the subcommittee. We're a
7 democracy. Okay, 10-minute break.

8 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
9 went off the record at 9:46 a.m. and resumed at 9:56
10 a.m.)

11 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Okay. Doug, you're
12 going to lead us through the module installation
13 discussion and refueling?

14 MR. BOWMAN: Yes, that's correct.

15 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Okay. It's all
16 yours.

17 MR. BOWMAN: All right. Good morning.
18 Doug Bowman. I'm one of the operations engineers at
19 NuScale Power.

20 And this is our standard acknowledgment
21 and disclaimer for the work we're doing under the DOE
22 award. Go ahead and hit next slide.

23 All right. An overview of refueling.
24 So, currently, as Jose stated, we're working with a
25 two-year fuel cycle. So, we will essentially as we go

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 on be doing refueling about every two months.

2 So our intention is to use a dedicated
3 refueling crew that will be entirely separate from the
4 operations crew that's in the control room operating
5 the remaining 11 modules.

6 That will include an SRO to allow for
7 direction of activities and basically control the
8 module and the rest of the refueling process.

9 And a big piece of this is once a module
10 is disconnected, and I'll talk about the details of that
11 a little later, the refueling crew assumes primary
12 responsibility for that module.

13 It's no longer under the purview of the
14 control room crew. We still have a shift manager
15 onsite that has a broad overview of the whole site. But
16 that SRO becomes responsible for that module.

17 MEMBER BLEY: That SRO stays with the
18 refueling crew as they move from module to module.
19 He's full-time.

20 MR. BOWMAN: That's correct. He'll be
21 part of that integrated crew. We talked about the
22 concepts. We're going to minimize the use of staff
23 augmentation and contractors, have a dedicated crew
24 that becomes very familiar with all the processes.
25 They're doing it every two months.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 So, basically our entire refueling is
2 about 10 days, but they'll either be into preparation,
3 cleanup activities from the previous one, or getting
4 ready for the next one all the time.

5 So they should become very highly
6 proficient at those activities and we should become
7 very efficient at getting them accomplished.

8 MEMBER STETKAR: Doug, I know it's really
9 preliminary, but he's dedicated -- he or she.

10 MR. BOWMAN: He or she.

11 MEMBER STETKAR: The person, the SRO
12 person, is dedicated to the refueling team group. They
13 need to keep their SRO license up to date.

14 MR. BOWMAN: That's correct.

15 MEMBER STETKAR: Are you conceiving of
16 rotating individuals through this position?

17 I'm trying to think of what proficiency
18 other than an SRO license that's signed and stamped this
19 person might have to help out with other things.

20 MR. BOWMAN: I guess I have a hard time
21 conceiving. It would be up to a customer, because I've
22 seen both manners used. I've seen limited SRO licenses
23 for fuel handling only.

24 MEMBER STETKAR: Okay, okay.

25 MR. BOWMAN: I was licensed at Byron and

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 that's the way we handled it.

2 On the other side of the coin I was licensed
3 at Cook and we used people that rotated off shift, did
4 the refueling, and came back on and maintained
5 proficiency.

6 MEMBER STETKAR: Okay. Just curious,
7 thanks.

8 MR. BOWMAN: Sure, no problem.

9 MEMBER BLEY: I'd just toss in, the one
10 thing I like about the sound of this is I know regular
11 plants, they get ready for refueling -- regular, yes
12 -- they get ready for refueling, but they haven't seen
13 the procedures in a long time. They walk through them
14 and then all of a sudden the guy who did the prep can't
15 show up on shift. And somebody comes in who isn't up
16 to speed on that. So I think this is a really good idea
17 if you can make it work right.

18 MR. BOWMAN: Right, it's very telling.
19 From my experience many years, many refueling outages,
20 the guy who did the all the development work, spent 18
21 months working on it and getting ready for it, and all
22 of a sudden you bring in a whole new group of people
23 that have been operating the plant for a time period.
24 And it becomes tough to transition between those two
25 roles.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MEMBER SCHULTZ: Doug, here you've got a
2 10-day window.

3 MR. BOWMAN: Correct.

4 MEMBER SCHULTZ: And I presume that's not
5 an eight-hour day. You're on shift for the 10 days?

6 MR. BOWMAN: That's our concept right now.
7 I would say we haven't really got a real strong detail
8 of exactly what the shift makeup would be, how many
9 shifts we would have, that kind of thing yet.

10 But we have a conceptual schedule. We've
11 done some time estimates on it. We'll talk about that.

12 MEMBER SCHULTZ: And so even with a
13 dedicated crew for this part of the operation they've
14 got plenty of other time to be on operational shift.

15 MR. BOWMAN: That's correct. Right,
16 training.

17 MEMBER SCHULTZ: And training. Well,
18 refueling is one-sixth of the time, right? For a
19 12-unit. Or for any module.

20 So, there's, again, plenty of time for
21 training, and operational work, and other work onsite.
22 Thank you.

23 MEMBER BLEY: As long as all the schedules
24 hold true.

25 MR. BOWMAN: All right, the next slide we

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 have here is a mode table comparison.

2 On the left-hand side you'll see the
3 NuScale draft mode table. On the right-hand side a
4 current PWR mode table for comparison.

5 So, a couple of things to highlight. We
6 have eliminated the startup mode. When we did our
7 evaluation of this -- this mode table is preliminary
8 so it may change. I will highlight that.

9 We've eliminated the startup mode. We
10 didn't really come up with any unique items that
11 required a separate startup mode during our evaluation.

12 We've combined the hot shutdown and cold
13 shutdown into a single mode called safe shutdown. And
14 that's in line with some of the SECY papers that have
15 been done on passive plants.

16 We've created a new mode called
17 transition. And that covers the actual moving of the
18 module to perform the refueling -- the disassembly, and
19 then perform the refueling. Not the refueling, but to
20 prep for refueling.

21 Then beyond that it's pretty much the same
22 as what you've seen in the past.

23 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: I'm curious.
24 You're going to get to this so perhaps I'm ahead of the
25 game.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 The reactor coolant average temperature
2 less than 200, that's pretty much an estimate. Because
3 I understand in past times you guys have shown us some
4 time, some conceptual videos.

5 I thought core was open, or vessel was open
6 as you were moving it. So it would be much less than
7 200. Or am I misremembering?

8 MR. BOWMAN: I have a detailed slide on
9 what the model configuration is when we move it. I can
10 show you that in a few minutes.

11 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Okay.

12 MR. BOWMAN: Any other questions? Steve,
13 next slide, please.

14 All right. So, in order to help
15 conceptualize what we're going to do in refueling I've
16 done what a lot of the commercial plants have done.
17 We've created 12 windows.

18 MEMBER SKILLMAN: Hello?

19 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Go ahead.

20 MEMBER SKILLMAN: Doug, this is Dick
21 Skillman. I was trying to catch up to your request for
22 questions.

23 MR. BOWMAN: Sure.

24 MEMBER SKILLMAN: On your slide 4 in the
25 NuScale draft mode column you're very clear on 200

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 degrees Fahrenheit and 300 degrees Fahrenheit.

2 My question is why do you choose those
3 numbers? And in specific is the over 300 degree
4 Fahrenheit number at mode 2 related to retention of the
5 1 psi pressure in your containment?

6 MR. BOWMAN: No, no, no. The 300 degrees
7 is actually related to primarily when we're allowed to
8 flood containment which is part of the process for
9 performing refueling.

10 And it's less than the safe shutdown number
11 required by I think it's 420 degrees for the passive
12 plants in SECY.

13 So, that's why we selected 300 degrees.
14 It's really related to allowing containment to flood
15 up from a thermal stress analysis standpoint.

16 MEMBER SKILLMAN: Okay. And the 200 is
17 simply that of boiling? That's a margin?

18 MR. BOWMAN: Correct. Absolutely.

19 MEMBER SKILLMAN: Yes, sir. Thank you.

20 MR. BOWMAN: Okay, next slide. So again,
21 we've broken this down into 12 windows. We will go
22 through each one of the windows in some detail.
23 There's a few we don't have a whole lot of information
24 on because there's not a whole lot of work to be done
25 to be quite honest.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 But we have a shutdown and cooldown window,
2 transition, preparation, and disconnection.

3 We actually move the module to the
4 containment flange tool. We disassemble it. We
5 perform -- at this point, once we get past disassembly
6 there's three windows that move in parallel essentially
7 - the upper module work window, the refueling window,
8 and the lower containment vessel work window.

9 The critical path is through the upper
10 module work window, actually, not through refueling.
11 Refueling is relatively quick given the way we perform
12 our refueling. And most of the work is on the upper
13 module.

14 And then once we've completed those three
15 - 5, 6, and 7 - we reassemble the module, we move it
16 back to the operating bed, reconnect it, do a heatup,
17 and then startup and ramp to full power.

18 You can see we have the same overview slide
19 picture above that gives you a general layout.

20 Things to highlight on that picture, I know
21 it's a little small, but the containment flange tool
22 and the reactor flange tool. And then the location of
23 refueling machine. And then up at the top you see the
24 module import trolley. And that's also a place where
25 we call the drydock which can be partially pumped down

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 as part of the activities that go on. All right, Steve,
2 go on to the next slide, please.

3 All right. So, here's a visual of our
4 10-day refueling schedule as we have conceived it at
5 this point.

6 You can see there's approximately 30 hours
7 for shutdown and cooldown, about 12 hours for
8 transition preparation and disconnection, 2 hours to
9 move the module to the containment flange tool. And
10 then we have 20 total hours for disassembly.

11 About 8 hours into that we release the
12 lower containment to do work. And that lower
13 containment work window is very small.

14 And upon completion of disassembly release
15 the work on the upper module work window which, again,
16 is our critical path, and refueling. About 72 hours
17 for the upper module work and 32 hours for refueling.

18 Once we've completed all three of those
19 windows we can then reassemble the module which is about
20 40 hours. We transition to the operating bay which is
21 two hours movement. Reconnect, perform module heatup,
22 and then again, reactor startup and ramp to full power
23 to complete out the 240-hour schedule.

24 One other item to highlight here. So,
25 these times and estimates are all based on task analysis

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 and work done by previous SROs from existing commercial
2 plants who have been doing most of our task analysis
3 work.

4 So, we have current industry OE. We are
5 pretty knowledgeable on general processes and how they
6 work. Obviously there's some details here that we work
7 with the engineers to work out. But that's how we've
8 come to our estimates at this point. Next slide,
9 please.

10 MEMBER BALLINGER: I have a question.
11 What happens if you have a bad hair day in that one of
12 those tools becomes inoperable? What happens then?

13 MR. BOWMAN: We would have to work through
14 and repair them at that point. I mean, obviously that
15 schedule would be held up based on -- especially like
16 a containment flange tool would stop the whole outage
17 until you had completed that.

18 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: If I might ask, how
19 long can you go before something -- well, asking Ron's
20 question a different way is do you have -- in terms of
21 the work plan do you have duplicates of crucial tools
22 so that if something goes down you've got a duplicate
23 onsite? Or do you have to go back to some sort of
24 manufacturing?

25 MEMBER BALLINGER: I see in the schematic

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 one of this and one of this.

2 MR. BOWMAN: Okay.

3 MEMBER BALLINGER: I don't see two of
4 this.

5 MR. BOWMAN: The flange tools are
6 currently being designed. So I don't have a lot of
7 detail on them. I've seen some conceptual drawings.

8 My understanding is that there will be
9 actually an installed spare for those tensioners that
10 we'll be using to dismantle.

11 For example, that's one thing. We'd have
12 a spare down on the tool already existing. So if one
13 of them broke we could easily drop it out.

14 But just talking with our engineer for that
15 work, he expects that we will be able to do everything
16 remotely. Even repairs work will be able to be done
17 remotely. We don't intend on having to have a human
18 go down and do any of that work.

19 Does that answer your question? I'm not
20 sure I got to it.

21 MEMBER BALLINGER: I'll ask those
22 questions when we get out there too.

23 MR. BOWMAN: Sure. No problem. All
24 right.

25 MEMBER SCHULTZ: Doug, the 32 and a half

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 hours of shutdown and cooldown, is that thermohydraulic
2 -- a thermal calculation? Temperature.

3 MR. BOWMAN: Yes, essentially based on
4 cooldown rates. And what we expect -- we'll talk a
5 little bit more about shutdown and cooldown in a minute.

6 But, yes. There's a portion of that
7 that's done actively with feedwater and condensate.
8 Another portion from passively once you flood
9 containment.

10 So you really don't have a -- you're
11 relying on those passive conditions to cool you down
12 the rest of the way.

13 MEMBER BLEY: I'm a little entranced by
14 having this worked out to the quarter hour. It's
15 something we've never done before.

16 (Laughter.)

17 MR. BOWMAN: It's the best we can come up
18 with at this time.

19 (Simultaneous speaking.)

20 MEMBER BLEY: I don't know where you go.
21 A lot of this is new. But maybe you go to the chemical
22 industry somewhere where they have closed-up systems
23 that they work on.

24 Do you have any anticipation of where
25 failures are going to show up? And where you're going

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 to have expert repairs going on that are going to
2 stretch this thing out?

3 And I don't know where you get that, like
4 I said. But if I were you I'd be looking around
5 chemical process industry and other places too, to get
6 a good handle on that.

7 MR. REYES: As part of our first-of-a-kind
8 engineering testing programs we include fabricating an
9 actual module assembly stand. Those tools will be
10 fabricated and we'll actually test them.

11 So, we'll have actual working versions of
12 this thing prior to bringing the plant.

13 MEMBER BLEY: I didn't just mean the
14 tools, I mean --

15 MR. REYES: The process.

16 MEMBER BLEY: I mean when something breaks
17 inside your pot, you know. And I don't know what it's
18 going to be, but something is going to.

19 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: I guess this is out
20 of my league, but if 240 hours turned into 480 hours
21 does that upset that apple cart? Or is that still --
22 is there enough flex in the two-month schedule that you
23 can handle that?

24 MR. BOWMAN: Right now there would be
25 enough flex in the schedule to handle that. Obviously

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 with two months to accomplish 10 days it gives us some
2 room to maneuver.

3 But at some point in time you're going to
4 be -- you would be stuck. There's only one set of tools
5 to dismantle and perform the refueling. So you can
6 only have one module out at a time.

7 MEMBER BALLINGER: And does that end up --
8 along Dennis's point, does that end up cascading into
9 a really difficult situation where let's say you go two
10 months. Now there's a refueling outage that's
11 supposed to happen, but it can't happen. And then do
12 things cascade?

13 MR. BOWMAN: I don't know that we've done
14 enough detailed analysis there to really understand the
15 pieces.

16 But yes, we have a fairly large, to me. We
17 have 60 days to perform a 10-day window. I would expect
18 we can accomplish it, especially given the simplicity
19 of the module too.

20 There's just not as much as -- in a typical
21 PWR where you've got so many things that can go wrong
22 with it. A fewer number.

23 There's still things that can go wrong,
24 absolutely. I mean, we try to anticipate those.

25 MEMBER BLEY: I just suspect it's so

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 simple-looking that one gets overly optimistic.

2 And even if you stretch this out -- and
3 physically you could do this stuff. Pretty soon you
4 might bump into -- if you were an owner rather than a
5 designer, you're going to bump into, well, I've got to
6 get my operators back to training because they can't
7 stay in there if they're not keeping their training up
8 and that sort of thing.

9 So there's a lot of details somebody is
10 going to be worrying about.

11 MEMBER BALLINGER: We were at Palo Verde
12 and they have three units. And they have refuelings
13 going constantly. And so we were talking with them
14 about issues related to training.

15 MEMBER STETKAR: They have refuel
16 planning going constantly.

17 MEMBER BALLINGER: They have refuel
18 planning going on. These guys have refueling going on
19 all the time.

20 MEMBER SCHULTZ: I think it's very much
21 similar, very much similar in terms of planning and
22 execution. Execution is 10 days. You're done.

23 MEMBER BALLINGER: Logic would say yes.

24 MR. TOVAR: I'll mention something. My
25 name is Tim Tovar, again.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 I was a fleet outage manager at First
2 Energy for -- the corporate fleet outage manager for
3 three years there working with Davis-Besse, Perry, and
4 Beaver Valley which is a two-unit site.

5 This is a baseline refueling schedule.
6 So, this is a 10-day baseline. But we're always going
7 to have, just like any outage, like Beaver Valley has
8 a Westinghouse baseline schedule of 20 days. And
9 almost never do they have a 20-day outage.

10 This is not assuming any major repairs like
11 steam generator tube plugging and so forth.

12 If we get into extensive modifications or
13 repairs certainly this refueling schedule will be
14 greater than the scheduled 10 days.

15 And certainly we have that time built in
16 so that we can handle that without impacting the next
17 refueling outage.

18 Some of the benefits with the NuScale
19 plant, having 12 and 6 outages every year, and the
20 ownership of the plant itself, doing those refueling
21 outages, we're going to get so much OE so quickly, and
22 there's so much ownership with that that we anticipate
23 that it will become a much more efficient process.

24 If you look at refueling outages some of
25 the problems are it only happens once every 18 months,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 or once every two years.

2 And people get into this survival mode.
3 You know, I just want to get through this outage. And
4 they stop. You know, they go into the outage with great
5 thoughts of improving the process.

6 But then you get into a survival mode where
7 you want to get through it. And maybe you stop paying
8 attention to, okay, what am I going to improve for the
9 next outage.

10 Here we have the same people doing it over
11 and over and over again. There's self-interest in
12 making the outages more efficient and better.

13 So, we anticipate that outages will be
14 routine, very efficient, and much smoother than current
15 commercial nuclear power plants.

16 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: I think, Dick, you
17 had a question?

18 MEMBER SKILLMAN: I did, both to Tim and
19 to Doug. I'm back on slide 5.

20 Just from an optics perspective here are
21 12 modular reactors and a single reactor building
22 crane. All the experience I have is that crane usage
23 becomes critical path.

24 I'm wondering if there has been
25 consideration to having a second, virtually identical

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 reactor building crane so that if you are locked onto
2 one module and having difficulty you have a second crane
3 for whatever might need attention.

4 MR. TOVAR: This is Tim. As far as the
5 reactor building crane, we won't have a second crane.

6 We only do have one refueling station so
7 we can only refuel one module at a time. It's not a
8 consideration to be able to refuel a second module.

9 The module itself, the heavy pieces are the
10 lower containment vessel, lower reactor vessel, and the
11 upper portion. Certainly we need the refueling crane
12 for that.

13 But the pieces and parts are so much
14 smaller that we have other options. Say, for example,
15 the remove and spool pieces are maybe, say, for example,
16 two-inch or four-inch pipes that we'll be removing.

17 The weights don't require the big crane to
18 handle a lot of the parts that are removed in the
19 disassembly.

20 If you look at a commercial nuclear power
21 plant, the big crane that they use, many times a polar
22 crane is often critical path. And we don't anticipate
23 that the refueling crane is going to be -- or the polar
24 crane for us, this refueling crane will be critical
25 path.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. REYES: So, just a follow-on to that.
2 This is Jose.

3 I did speak to the crane vendor when we were
4 first looking at the numbers of cranes. And one of the
5 things they commented was the fact that we actually move
6 the crane every two months or so was a big plus.

7 Because you're not having a crane, using
8 it for a short period, then letting it sit for 18 months,
9 and then trying to get it to operate.

10 So, they thought the frequency of crane
11 movement was helpful in terms of maintenance and making
12 sure that this would work on a regular basis. That was
13 their input.

14 MR. BOWMAN: For a commercial nuclear
15 power plant access to the polar crane is difficult.
16 And a lot of the electronics are in an adverse
17 condition, or an adverse environment.

18 For us it's accessible all the time. We
19 can test the crane at any time and make sure that it's
20 ready to go prior to the outage with plenty of margin.

21 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: I enjoy this
22 operating experience, but I'm just worried about the
23 safety of it. So, if you guys back up on the economic
24 problem then I'm not going to worry about it. Let's
25 keep on moving.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. BOWMAN: Okay. Next slide, please.
2 Keep going.

3 All right, so shutdown and cooldown. We
4 will execute shutdown and cooldown. It will be pretty
5 similar to what we see in a current PWR.

6 We'll ramp the unit down to approximately
7 20 percent and then we'll trip the unit.

8 We'll start primary system boration to
9 refueling concentration. We'll perform a cooldown to
10 300 degrees using feedwater and turbine bypass. So,
11 we're using our existing power conversion system to
12 perform the cooldown.

13 Once we get below 300 degrees we'll
14 depressurize the RCS to 200 psia using pressurizer
15 spray and then flood containment in the cooldown.

16 So we actually take and fill the module
17 containment outside the reactor vessel to
18 approximately the pressurizer baffle plate with pool
19 water to perform the remainder of the cooldown.

20 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: So, the containment
21 is flooded with pool water?

22 MR. BOWMAN: Correct. I'll have a
23 picture that will show that a little better here in just
24 a second.

25 MEMBER BLEY: Your spray, it's pump spray.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. BOWMAN: It's pumped flooding, yes.

2 MEMBER BLEY: A spray.

3 MR. BOWMAN: Oh, pressurizer spray. Yes,
4 yes. We use our CVCS recirc pumps to provide
5 pressurizer spray.

6 MEMBER BLEY: Are you going to tell us at
7 what point in this process do you turn it over to the
8 shutdown crew, the refueling crew?

9 MR. BOWMAN: We'll talk about that in just
10 a second.

11 MEMBER BLEY: Okay.

12 MR. BOWMAN: Steam generators are placed
13 in wet layup. We do our crud burst and cleanup is
14 performed.

15 And just to highlight again, we use normal
16 feedwater and turbine bypass to cool down the module.
17 We don't ever use the decay heat removal system to
18 perform that portion of it.

19 MEMBER STETKAR: And why don't you have
20 steam generator blowdown normally?

21 MR. BOWMAN: Well, given the design of the
22 steam generators we don't anticipate the need for it.

23 Being on the tube side instead of --
24 chemistry is on the tube side. So we're not worried
25 about hideout and places for those things to stay and

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 remain.

2 MEMBER STETKAR: Okay.

3 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: And where they would
4 stay you can inspect, if necessary?

5 MR. BOWMAN: Correct.

6 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Because there's --
7 don't go back to your picture, but there's like an
8 annular manifold up on top and below.

9 MR. BOWMAN: There's actually four
10 separate manifolds. And two of those manifolds, upper
11 and lower, create one steam generator.

12 But yes, those manifolds are accessible.
13 And we'll briefly talk about how we inspect those in
14 just a minute. Go ahead and go to the next slide.

15 All right, so we've completed our crud
16 burst so we can start into what we call transition
17 preparation and disconnection.

18 We shutdown CVCS as the crud burst is
19 cleaned up. We can fully depressurize the RCS now. And
20 we actually open the ECCS vent and recirc valves at this
21 point. We'll talk about the configuration.

22 MEMBER BLEY: What kind of crud levels are
23 you expecting? I mean, you don't have the normal
24 sources of -- the worst sources of crud in the existing
25 PWR.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. BOWMAN: That's true, but our control
2 rod design is similar to Westinghouse's. We will get
3 some from that.

4 So, we actually have a chemistry
5 individual who's been working on that. He expects
6 actually, based on what he knows so far, a fairly
7 similar level of crud.

8 We have lower flow rates. We don't have
9 quite the -- our flow velocity is less, so that's one
10 downside.

11 MEMBER BLEY: No Stellite anywhere, is
12 there?

13 MR. BOWMAN: Right.

14 MEMBER BLEY: Of course, there's not in
15 most operating plants now.

16 MEMBER STETKAR: If they were going to use
17 it --

18 MEMBER SCHULTZ: The crud burst chemistry
19 and process is expected to be like a PWR outage?

20 MR. BOWMAN: Actually, very similar, yes.
21 Hydrogen peroxide to initiate the crud burst. You
22 create an oxygen-reducing environment on your way down
23 by borating. And you set it up so you can do that crud
24 burst.

25 So, it was very similar. You need to clean

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 it up. Not much difference at all.

2 Again, the advantage of our design, that
3 we try to use existing technology as much as possible
4 wherever we can.

5 So, we open the ECCS valves. I'll show you
6 a picture on that in a minute.

7 We remove the bioshield. That was the
8 structure over the top of the module that you saw in
9 the other picture.

10 We closed all the containment isolation
11 valves at this point. We work on electrical and I&C
12 disconnections.

13 And containment is then pressurized to
14 prevent the water from coming over the top of the head
15 when the flange is separated.

16 So we actually put a slight overpressure
17 on the containment to control water level when we
18 separate the containment flange later. We do that at
19 this point.

20 Our mechanical disconnections are
21 completed after we pressurize containment and the crane
22 lifting device is connected.

23 One thing I will highlight. Given the
24 fact that this was a non-proprietary meeting, most of
25 the pictures you guys are going to see in this are older

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

1 concepts.

2 Our current designs aren't shown. So this
3 is an older picture of a lifting device at this point.
4 Okay, next slide.

5 MEMBER REMPE: Thank you. Could you
6 elaborate on what disconnections, what I&C? Or is that
7 in the closed session for discussion?

8 MR. BOWMAN: Well, I&C, we have most of
9 your general instrumentation, i.e., temperature,
10 pressure level. We would have controls. The control
11 rod drive mechanisms would be part of that electrical
12 and I&C disconnection. Pressurizer heaters.

13 I'm trying to think what else off the top
14 of my head.

15 MEMBER REMPE: So you said --

16 MR. BOWMAN: Yes. And to some degree or
17 another the NIs are disconnected at that point.

18 MEMBER BLEY: I'm assuming you have some
19 clever kind of easy disconnect for all of that. Is that
20 right? Or is it you go in and have to take them all
21 apart?

22 MR. BOWMAN: The mechanical
23 disconnections are flange tool pieces. But I realize
24 the largest pipe we're dealing with is 6-inch.

25 MEMBER BLEY: No, I meant for all the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 electrical connections.

2 MR. BOWMAN: I don't think the details of
3 those designs are completed yet.

4 We intend to make it easy. It's going to
5 be on the platform. It's going to be fairly obvious
6 to people what they have to disconnect. We don't
7 expect it to be -- you're worried that we're going to
8 be doing terminations? I expect there to be a
9 connector of some sort.

10 MEMBER BLEY: I'm just wondering.

11 MEMBER SKILLMAN: This is Dick Skillman.
12 For the transition, after you've latched on and you're
13 prepared to remove the module, what consideration have
14 you given to retaining some form of reactivity
15 monitoring?

16 MR. BOWMAN: At this point we're not
17 believing we need any reactivity monitoring because we
18 really don't have a credible problem that would cause
19 a change in the reactivity state of the vessel once it's
20 disconnected, or the module.

21 So we are going to -- we expect to do, for
22 example, level temperature and pressure. But we don't
23 anticipate the need for nuclear monitoring at that
24 point.

25 MEMBER SKILLMAN: Okay.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. BOWMAN: Okay, next slide, please.
2 Okay, so here's our simplified graphic of the model
3 during transition.

4 So recognize, we've talked about this.
5 The module is integrated, meaning that our safety
6 systems are all included in the module.

7 We remove the module, all our safety
8 systems go with the module.

9 And not only do they go with them, they're
10 all in their actuated state whenever we move it. So,
11 we have full access to DHR cooling, containment cooling
12 through flooding, our ECCS valves are all open.

13 So you can see at the top the ECCS reactor
14 vent valves are open. You can see the location of the
15 pressurizer baffle plate, and just below that is where
16 the water level is expected to be, both inside and
17 outside as all the valves are open.

18 And then near the bottom above the core we
19 have the location of the ECCS reactor recirculation
20 valves.

21 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: What's the black --
22 the thing just below the ECCS recirculation valves?

23 MR. BOWMAN: That's a representation of
24 the flange, the reactor vessel flange.

25 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Okay, thank you.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 And then just to repeat what you said before, you spray
2 it down to a certain temperature and pressure, and then
3 all valves open. And so in that state away you go.

4 MR. BOWMAN: Right. Yes.

5 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: All right.

6 MR. BOWMAN: Okay. Next slide, please.
7 So, we're going to walk through this in fair detail,
8 actual moving the module.

9 We all understand this is a unique part of
10 NuScale design so I'm going to walk through exactly how
11 we move it.

12 You can see we have a module represented
13 in bay 1 at this point and we're going to move the module
14 via animation to the containment flange tool.

15 So you can see I've got a pretty detailed
16 list. The module is raised just enough to clear the
17 pool floor.

18 We really haven't detailed that out. We
19 currently model that as about a foot off the pool floor.

20 And then the crane trolley is at the center
21 line of the pool. Oh, they didn't work. Never mind.
22 I'll just walk through it then. No problem.

23 The crane travels along the center line of
24 the pool. The green lines are actually load paths.
25 And when the crane's under load these are the only areas

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 it's allowed to move along.

2 So, it moves along the center of the pool
3 to the lift point which is just between -- just beyond
4 bays 6 and 12.

5 At that point the module is lifted
6 approximately 30 feet to clear the containment flange
7 tool. And then it's moved over to the containment
8 flange tool at that point. And then it's set down in
9 the containment flange tool.

10 When we set it down in the containment
11 flange tool there will be cameras monitoring it. We'll
12 have a load cell on it to make sure it's not getting
13 hung up or anything untowards is happening.

14 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: So, when you say the
15 flange tool, it's almost like a pedestal on which this
16 thing sits.

17 MR. BOWMAN: It actually sits inside of it
18 and basically there will be kind of a turntable to allow
19 you to access all the flange bolts at that point.

20 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: So the tool --

21 MR. BOWMAN: There's a number of
22 tensioners. The concept I've seen, there's a number
23 of different tensioners on it. And those tensioners
24 would access and de-tension a stud, and then rotate to
25 the next one.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 But you'd be doing, for example, three or
2 four at a time.

3 MEMBER STETKAR: But you rotate the whole
4 base for a fixed stud removal?

5 MR. BOWMAN: We don't move the module. We
6 move the tools, the de-tensioning.

7 The base doesn't move. I believe we're
8 looking at kind of a turntable on top of the base.

9 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: So, you lift it over
10 this thing, the record player, and then once you turn
11 on the record player it kind of goes and does its thing.

12 MR. BOWMAN: Yes, that's exactly the
13 concept we're looking at.

14 MEMBER SKILLMAN: Would you explain your
15 concept right now relative to seismic capability from
16 the time you latch on to the time you replace or place
17 the module into its receptacle?

18 MR. BOWMAN: Yes. So, the reactor
19 building crane is a NOG-1 designed crane. It's fully
20 single-failure proof and fully seismic class 1 with one
21 connected to the module.

22 So, our intention is that it's qualified
23 to move the module and qualified to all seismic events
24 that the plant's qualified to.

25 MEMBER SKILLMAN: Thank you.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. BOWMAN: Okay, next slide, please.
2 All right, so again, here's an older drawing, but a
3 concept of how the disassembly would work.

4 Containment flange tool de-tensions the
5 containment flange studs and provides a stand for the
6 lower containment vessel.

7 The remainder of the module is picked up
8 once you've de-tensioned all the studs and it's set into
9 the reactor flange tool.

10 The reactor flange tool is very similar
11 except for a few exceptions. It is, in fact, the place
12 where we're going to refuel from so it's the stand for
13 the core during refueling.

14 So it contains the nuclear instrumentation
15 necessary to support that refueling. And it also
16 de-tensions the flange and does the rest of the work
17 for it.

18 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: And that unscrews
19 the flange that I was asking about before.

20 MR. BOWMAN: Yes, yes. So, the
21 containment flange tool does the containment flange.
22 Reactor flange tool does the reactor flange.

23 It should be very similar devices. From
24 what I understand we're planning on using the same
25 fasteners for both of them at this point.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: And this is
2 in-detail design in terms of the tool?

3 MR. BOWMAN: Yes. They're currently on a
4 detailed design for that.

5 We have an individual who formerly worked
6 for the DOE doing a lot of the black cell work. So we're
7 used to remote tooling. And it's working on that
8 design.

9 MEMBER SKILLMAN: At this point in the
10 conceptual design are the de-tensioners for the bolts
11 and studs hydraulically driven, or are they
12 mechanically driven?

13 MR. BOWMAN: They are hydraulic. I know
14 they're hydraulic. Yes, we intend on them being
15 hydraulic.

16 MEMBER BLEY: Are you going to get into how
17 when you put this all back together you know you've got
18 a good seal on all of these flanges?

19 Because this is all done remotely
20 underwater with tools that ought to work just right.

21 MR. BOWMAN: Correct. Our seals will be
22 testable. We will perform leak tests on them. Once
23 we complete assembling them that leak test will be done
24 immediately following that.

25 MEMBER BLEY: Have a capability for some

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 kind of internal hydro and all that.

2 MR. BOWMAN: Absolutely. So, the seal
3 will be built. The seal will be similar to what you're
4 used to for a head seal right now, a C-shaped O-ring.

5 The details of exactly how we'll get in
6 there test them, we'll have some kind of internal seal
7 that will allow you to pressurize both of those outer
8 O-rings to make sure they're both leak tight.

9 MEMBER BLEY: Probably while it's still in
10 place.

11 MR. BOWMAN: Yes. While it's in the tool.
12 Okay, next slide, please.

13 All right, the upper modular work window.
14 This is where the majority of the work for the outage
15 goes on.

16 The upper module is secured in the module
17 upender and the crane and lifting rig is removed. So
18 we're in the drydock area of the pool now.

19 Once that's completed you close the door
20 on the drydock and you pump the drydock partially down.
21 Calling it a drydock is a bit of a misnomer. We only
22 pump it down partially to allow for shielding while
23 people are doing their work in there.

24 Steam generator eddy current, as performed
25 -- as asked earlier we intend on performing steam

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 generator eddy current every outage at this point.

2 MEMBER BLEY: One hundred percent?

3 MR. BOWMAN: I don't know that that's been
4 detailed out. I would expect a sampling portion at
5 this point, not 100 percent. Unless we refine
6 something.

7 MEMBER BALLINGER: You're below the magic
8 604 Fahrenheit which was for 600. For 690 that's a very
9 low temperature.

10 So, I'm not sure -- do you expect
11 degradation with time?

12 MR. BOWMAN: No, but I would never not go
13 below it.

14 MEMBER BALLINGER: Yes, but 100 percent --
15 (Simultaneous speaking.)

16 MR. BOWMAN: No, I don't believe we're
17 going to be doing 100 percent. We'll be doing some
18 small percentage.

19 MEMBER BALLINGER: Okay. So there's some
20 regime there.

21 MEMBER BLEY: They've got all this
22 automated stuff and I was just wondering.

23 MR. BOWMAN: We also do a majority of the
24 instrument testing, repair and calibration at this
25 point in the outage.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 We do an upper reactor flange inspection
2 and an upper containment flange inspection.

3 We intend right now to adopt the current
4 industry practice of performing 20 percent of the ISI
5 welds, forgings, and surfaces that are required for the
6 10-year ISI each outage.

7 We will perform any in-service testing
8 that's required, meaning mostly the safety relief
9 valves, reactor recirculation valves, reactor vent
10 valves, and any check valves within the upper module.

11 MEMBER SKILLMAN: Would you speak to us a
12 little bit about your conceptual thoughts. If you find
13 a problem with a valve or several valves how will you
14 gain access to effect repair? Conceptually.

15 MR. BOWMAN: The upper portion of the
16 module is intended to be accessible. And we have to
17 access it a number of times -- the upper portion of the
18 reactor vessel. So that would allow us access to the
19 safety relief valves and the reactor vent valves.

20 I know the recirc valves are a little more
21 difficult to get to, but they're also intended to be
22 accessible, and inspectable, and replaceable if
23 required.

24 MEMBER SKILLMAN: Thank you.

25 MR. BOWMAN: The vent valves are 6-inch

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 and the -- I'm trying to remember what size the recirc
2 -- are an inch and a half. They're not big components
3 at all.

4 MEMBER BALLINGER: What thoughts have
5 been given maybe to these welds and things like that
6 historically in our industry that have become the bane
7 of our existence?

8 Has any thought been given to mitigating
9 beforehand the stresses that might exist on
10 water-facing -- high-temperature water-facing welds?

11 Like special welding techniques to induce
12 compressive stresses. Those kinds of things that
13 would make your ISI inspection results a lot more
14 predictable.

15 MR. BOWMAN: That's an excellent
16 question.

17 MR. REYES: We don't have the right person
18 to address it.

19 MEMBER BALLINGER: I mean, dissimilar
20 weld cracking is the number one problem that we have.

21 MR. BOWMAN: The only thing I can really
22 comment on that right now is I know we intend on
23 minimizing welds, and trying to do the best job we can
24 to make them accessible for inspection, et cetera.

25 So that's a good question we could give you

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 a response to later.

2 MEMBER SCHULTZ: Doug, since you've got a
3 program planned now where you say, well, 10-year
4 inspection, we'll inspect 20 percent every year.

5 That sounds really good until you find
6 something. And then the next step is, well, don't you
7 have to inspect everything.

8 And so are you thinking about how you're
9 going to develop a program that's going to allow you
10 to really monitor what's happening and what's going on
11 so that you -- it seems like you want to look a little
12 more deeply in terms of trending and inspection than
13 you would if you were doing it every 10 years.

14 MR. BOWMAN: And again, I'm definitely not
15 an ISI expert, but one of the things that Tim talked
16 about that's going to help us a lot is the advancement
17 of OE as we see it.

18 We're going to be seeing modules every two
19 months.

20 MEMBER SCHULTZ: Yes, yes.

21 MR. BOWMAN: And we'll have a very good
22 trend of what that looks like over time.

23 So, I anticipate we will be able to very
24 quickly understand what our critical areas are for ISI
25 inspection and probably have a fairly good idea what

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 the scope is.

2 MEMBER SCHULTZ: It's not like industry
3 and EPRI don't have a good experience base.

4 MR. BOWMAN: Right, exactly.

5 MEMBER SCHULTZ: So, you can lean on all
6 of that too.

7 MR. BOWMAN: Yes.

8 MR. TOVAR: We'll operate similar to the
9 commercial nuclear power plants now in that if we do
10 an inspection, what if we find something will always
11 be a contingency.

12 We'll have work packages already developed
13 and understand the scope of work, the timing, the
14 expertise that we need, and so forth. So, we'll be able
15 to step into that and minimize the impact of the outage.

16 But certainly you don't go into inspecting
17 something and just blindly expect it to be okay. So,
18 just like the industry does now we'll make sure that
19 we're ready to go for contingencies.

20 MR. BOWMAN: Okay, next slide. Okay,
21 refueling. So, refueling. Actually much simpler
22 than the current fleet of PWRs.

23 We pull a fuel assembly directly out of the
24 core, the reactor vessel, and put it directly into the
25 spent fuel pool at the same machine. No upenders, no

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 transfer tubes, none of that to go onto.

2 Pick it up, put it directly into the spent
3 fuel. So, actually much simpler than the current
4 industry in terms of PWRs.

5 We only have 37 fuel assemblies. This is
6 a fairly quick process. We've given it 32 hours to
7 accomplish.

8 Each assembly can be taken directly from
9 the core and placed in the spent fuel pool. Each one
10 only requires a single handling event.

11 We would also complete any lower reactor
12 vessel inspections could be performed in this window
13 if necessary. And refueling can be completed either
14 as a partial core offload or -- in fuel shelf floor or
15 full core offload and reload depending on the work
16 that's going on, and the customer's preference to be
17 honest.

18 MEMBER SKILLMAN: Let me ask a question.
19 I'm looking at your slide 13 and as I recall you
20 indicated you have about 8 million gallons of water in
21 this pool.

22 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Dick, you're going
23 to have to speak up.

24 MR. BOWMAN: I can hear him.

25 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: I know, but the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 recorder can't.

2 MEMBER SKILLMAN: I'm on slide 13 and I'm
3 looking at what is the common spent fuel pool water
4 along with what is the shield water around the 12
5 modules. The pool water is the name that is described
6 on slide 13.

7 My question is what consideration has been
8 given to the event where you have fuel leakers or
9 weepers as a consequence as you remove the fuel assembly
10 that is the offending one, that you begin to add soluble
11 fission products into the pool.

12 What consideration have you given?
13 Because your pool is common to all 12 reactors as well
14 as the spent fuel pool.

15 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: You contaminate the
16 pool he's worried about.

17 MEMBER SKILLMAN: Correct.

18 MR. BOWMAN: So, we have -- number one, we
19 intend to perform en masse fuel sampling for all the
20 -- fuel assemblies at this point. So we'll be trying
21 to identify leakers immediately.

22 Of course, we'd have a program to monitor
23 during operation to try to minimize and eliminate those
24 leakers, of course.

25 But we do have a rather large cleanup

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 system that's also been installed to help remove those
2 fission products once they're there.

3 And we would try to quickly eliminate those
4 leakers, and reconstitute the fuel, and isolate those
5 leakers as soon as we can, leaking fuel pens as soon
6 as we can.

7 MEMBER STETKAR: This is Tim again. We
8 will have procedures that -- basically we're ready for
9 this.

10 But we anticipate during our normal RCS
11 sampling that we would identify the fact that a module
12 does have any fuel leakers.

13 And if -- we understand the fact that we
14 are opening this up to the entire pool, so we'll likely
15 have some kind of contingencies where we'll have, say,
16 additional filtering, TriNucs, or something like that
17 where we try and control the spread of contamination
18 at the source as much as possible.

19 And then we do have the regular pool
20 cleanup that minimizes -- due to the flow will minimize
21 the spread of contamination.

22 It will go more directly into the filtering
23 and cleanup system rather than drag it across the rest
24 of the module.

25 MR. BOWMAN: I believe the current concept

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 is to have the cleanup take a draw off the pool so all
2 the water is drawn through the pool and then into the
3 cleanup system, and then returned back out.

4 MEMBER STETKAR: Doug, I have no idea what
5 names you give to these various bays so I'll just call
6 it the place where the reactor vessel sits when you're
7 refueling bay.

8 There's obviously -- if I look at the plan
9 view of that is there any way -- there's no way of
10 isolating that bay from the rest of the pool.

11 MR. BOWMAN: No, it's open to the
12 remainder of the pool.

13 MEMBER STETKAR: And it's always open.

14 MR. BOWMAN: Yes.

15 MEMBER STETKAR: Okay.

16 MR. BOWMAN: The only place that's
17 isolable is the actual drydock itself. And the weir
18 between the spent fuel pool and the --

19 MEMBER STETKAR: So that's the only place,
20 despite -- okay. Thanks.

21 MEMBER BALLINGER: I'm assuming this pool
22 is lined.

23 MR. BOWMAN: Yes.

24 MEMBER BALLINGER: With stainless steel.

25 MR. BOWMAN: Next slide, please. All

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 right. So we've completed all three of our work
2 windows we need to to complete refueling. And we're
3 going to move into are-assembly at this point.

4 The prerequisites, of course, we need to
5 have those three windows completed. We place the upper
6 module on the lower reactor pressure vessel and tension
7 the flange. So the reactor pressure vessel is
8 currently -- it's still sitting in its location and it
9 always was at the reactor flange tool.

10 We connect the control rods and perform a
11 latch and stroke test. That's performed one control
12 rod at a time. And we intend on having a testing setup
13 that will only allow us to test one control rod at a
14 time.

15 We then also leak-test the reactor flange.

16 Once that's all completed we'll place the
17 upper module in the lower containment vessel and
18 tension its flange and then perform its leak test.

19 And then the module is then moved to the
20 operating bay following the load path that we talked
21 about previously.

22 MEMBER BALLINGER: I'm assuming that
23 we're talking about a thermodynamic impossibility.
24 But what happens if you drop one of these things?

25 MR. BOWMAN: I think that would be a good

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 question for Bill to answer a little later.

2 MR. GALYEAN: We can talk about that
3 during the closed portion, PRA portion.

4 MR. BOWMAN: PRA has taken a look at that.

5 MEMBER SKILLMAN: That's why you have a
6 second crane.

7 (Laughter.)

8 MEMBER BALLINGER: And a prayer rug.

9 MR. BOWMAN: All right. So then we get
10 into reconnection. Our prerequisites are the CVCS
11 system is operating on recirculation, and feedwater and
12 condensates on long cleanup.

13 We connect our power instrumentation and
14 controls. We verify their operability,
15 instrumentation control operability.

16 MEMBER BROWN: Can I ask a question?

17 MR. BOWMAN: Sure.

18 MEMBER BROWN: Are they underwater?

19 MR. BOWMAN: What's that?

20 MEMBER BROWN: Are the connections
21 underwater?

22 MR. BOWMAN: No, the connections are on
23 top of the module.

24 MEMBER REMPE: So, they're never
25 submerged at all in this whole process. Are they

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 exposed to high humidity conditions?

2 MR. BOWMAN: The connections themselves
3 are never submerged, no. The humidity would be what
4 it is within the pool. I expect at times that could
5 be somewhat high, yes.

6 MEMBER REMPE: You'll catch on when things
7 are degraded and you'll replace them.

8 MEMBER BROWN: In your other pictures you
9 showed -- or maybe I missed it. I didn't see any
10 neutron detectors, sensors, pressure, temperature.
11 There was nothing showing the relative locations of
12 those for your monitoring of the plant.

13 MR. BOWMAN: Neutron detectors, the
14 ex-cores are actually on the outside of the containment
15 vessel.

16 So, there are four ex-cores similar to the
17 current PWR fleet. Those will be -- basically they'll
18 have to be waterproof. Those are the one set of
19 instrumentation to some degree that do get --

20 MEMBER BROWN: So, they're not in a tube.

21 MR. BOWMAN: Well, there's a tube outside
22 of the containment vessel that we set them in and then
23 put it in --

24 MEMBER BROWN: Does the tube have water in
25 it?

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. BOWMAN: Yes.

2 MEMBER BROWN: So, connectors for the
3 detectors are underwater? For the neutron detector.

4 MR. BOWMAN: Yes. Right. The remainder
5 of the instrumentation is all --

6 MEMBER BROWN: -- done before somewhere?

7 MR. BOWMAN: They're similar to what --
8 what it would use as a temporary detector. Similar in
9 design to what's used as a temporary detector during
10 refueling.

11 MEMBER BROWN: But these aren't
12 temporary.

13 MR. BOWMAN: No, these are permanent.

14 MEMBER BROWN: So, are they disconnected
15 also during the operation of refueling?

16 MR. BOWMAN: Yes. During the disassembly
17 portion of the thing they would be removed.

18 MEMBER BROWN: What ensures that they get
19 resealed properly when you reinstall them?

20 MR. BOWMAN: I don't know that I have
21 enough detail right now to answer that question, but
22 that would be part of our design would be to ensure that
23 they are completely waterproof and capable of surviving
24 for the period they need to.

25 MEMBER BROWN: Those are fairly

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 low-signal devices which don't particularly care for
2 moisture of any kind in the electrical connection area.

3

4 MR. BOWMAN: Right.

5 MEMBER BROWN: Even little bitty bits --

6 MR. BOWMAN: We'll have to be very good at
7 it.

8 MEMBER BROWN: -- of moisture. You'll
9 have to be very, very good.

10 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: He won't forget this
11 question.

12 MR. BOWMAN: I understand.

13 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Although he looks --
14 but he won't.

15 MEMBER BROWN: What about your other
16 sensors? Pressure, temperature, flow. Do you have
17 any flow sensors at all?

18 MR. BOWMAN: We do have flow sensors, yes.
19 For the RCS.

20 MEMBER BROWN: And where --

21 MR. BOWMAN: They're internal to the
22 containment. So, none of them are ever wetted.

23 MEMBER BROWN: So there's instrument
24 piping coming out to the detectors and they're above
25 the water level boundary?

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. BOWMAN: Yes. I see what you're
2 after. When we're doing a refueling where we flood up
3 to, yes, they are above.

4 MEMBER BROWN: When you're operating.

5 MR. BOWMAN: When we're operating we're at
6 a vacuum inside containment and that's what most of them
7 are exposed to. Or the RCS conditions.

8 MR. TOVAR: I think we're getting a little
9 bit -- I'm not sure we're talking about this.

10 The connections for all the
11 instrumentations are going to be at the top of
12 containment. And we'll do those disconnects just like
13 the piping will be in the top of containment.

14 I don't know if we know the --

15 MEMBER BROWN: -- pressure detector
16 you'll have tubes, piping going up so that your pressure
17 detectors will connect into the piping above the water.

18 MR. TOVAR: Yes.

19 MEMBER BROWN: Outside the containment.

20 MR. TOVAR: Yes.

21 MEMBER BROWN: -- differential pressure
22 or whatever, represents?

23 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: I guess given that we
24 don't have detailed design in front of us they're going
25 to go back -- I'm giving them the chance to go back and

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 check it, rather than get on the record that they might
2 be --

3 MR. TOVAR: I don't think we've gotten to
4 the level of detail where we know if there are any
5 connections beyond the ones that are at the top of
6 containment that we actually disconnect for the
7 refueling to transition.

8 I don't think we know the level of details
9 going down to the actual instruments, if there's any
10 other connections. I don't believe there will be, but
11 we can't state that categorically. We'll have to get
12 the I&C folks.

13 MEMBER BROWN: Well, you'd normally have
14 to have temperature sensors. It has to be in the medium
15 that you're going to --

16 MR. TOVAR: Sure, sure.

17 MEMBER BROWN: -- that you might want to
18 measure. We haven't had any discussion -- or no
19 comment at all about how you get those plugged into the
20 flow mediums where your various waters, whether it's
21 primary or secondary, and then how those connections
22 get out.

23 I mean, you know it's standard PWRs. I
24 mean, there's instrument wells and things like that
25 that are welded in, and there's been no comments or

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 illustrations about how that's done.

2 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: I don't think we're
3 at the point where we're --

4 MEMBER BROWN: Well, details do matter at
5 some point when you have to --

6 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: I don't think we're
7 at that point yet.

8 MEMBER BROWN: Well, I'm sorry. I guess
9 I would probably disagree since this whole
10 configuration of an integral plant is tied up in how
11 you get information out as well as how you have moving
12 water around.

13 So, I'll pass. I'm just --

14 MR. REYES: There's additional
15 information available. I think it's just having the
16 right people in the room to respond.

17 So, we would need our mechanical person to
18 talk about the penetration of instrumentation. We
19 need our I&C folks to talk about specifics.

20 So, I think, very good questions. I'm
21 writing them down. But I think there are some good
22 responses.

23 MEMBER BROWN: Well, we can go on. I got
24 the point.

25 MEMBER REMPE: Before you do though, just

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 as an add-on. During the refueling I thought you did
2 say you would keep some sort of instrumentation in.
3 And could you tell us in the reactor vessel, what
4 instrumentation would be in the reactor vessel during
5 the refueling process?

6 MR. BOWMAN: We anticipate being able to
7 monitor level temperature and pressure during the
8 movement of the module.

9 And then we would have nuclear
10 instrumentation available at the reactor flange tool
11 during refueling operation.

12 MEMBER BROWN: That's your temporary you
13 talked about?

14 MR. BOWMAN: No, no, no. That's an
15 installed set of nuclear instruments at the reactor
16 flange tool.

17 So, again, they would be similar in design
18 to -- I hate to use the term, they're called dunkers
19 that we use in the current PWR world to do refueling
20 with. So, very commonly used.

21 MEMBER REMPE: Thank you.

22 MEMBER BROWN: Thank you.

23 MR. BOWMAN: Okay, reconnection. We have
24 to insert our in-core instrumentation. It does come
25 in from the top. It's similar to Combustion

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Engineering's design in terms of how that works.

2 We connect containment evacuation system
3 and begin containment and RCS de-gas. So, recall the
4 module is currently in a configuration where the vent
5 valves and the recirc valves are open.

6 So, when we start cleaning the evacuation
7 system we'll be drawing a vacuum on the entire RCS and
8 containment. We use that as part of our de-gas
9 process.

10 We complete the remainder of the
11 mechanical connections and then we shut the ECCS vent
12 and recirc valves while we're under vacuum.

13 After that we turn around and pressurize
14 the RCS with nitrogen. This provides a net positive
15 suction head for the CVCS recirculation pump.

16 We push nitrogen into the pressurizer area
17 to provide a pressure.

18 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: So, I was going to
19 ask. So, essentially the -- what would have been the
20 steam space now becomes a nitrogen space.

21 MR. BOWMAN: That's correct, nitrogen
22 blanket on just to provide pressure for the pumps.
23 Next slide, please.

24 We establish normal CVCS recirculation,
25 place the module heatup system in service. One of

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 those unique things about not having reactor coolant
2 pumps is you have to provide separate heating
3 externally.

4 So, we'll be using a steam heater on the
5 CVCS system to perform heatup.

6 MEMBER BROWN: Relative to Mike's
7 question, is that nitrogen up in the pressurized -- what
8 you call the pressurizer?

9 MR. BOWMAN: Yes.

10 MEMBER BROWN: So, where you would
11 normally -- okay. You've answered my question.

12 So, you pressurize that with nitrogen once
13 you've got everything buttoned up.

14 MR. BOWMAN: Right. Correct.

15 MEMBER BLEY: Do you have a donkey boiler
16 for the first module?

17 MR. BOWMAN: We intend on having an
18 installed ox boiler to provide steam for this system.
19 I believe there's actually two of them, but I'd have
20 to go back and take a look.

21 Okay. Module heatup system is put in
22 service to restore feedwater and perform a steam
23 generator flush at this point, essentially overflowing
24 to the first steam trap, steam generators.

25 We verify containment is operable and

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 drain containment. So that's one of our systems, the
2 new systems that Jose talked about. We have a
3 containment flood and drain system that will drain most
4 of the water out of the module -- out of containment.

5 We install -- once containment is operable
6 and we've drained it we can then install the bioshield
7 and perform our first dilution towards critical boron
8 concentration.

9 We then begin the process of drawing a
10 vacuum on containment now that we've drained it. We
11 draw a steam bubble in the pressurizer and we do our
12 final dilution to critical boron.

13 And we wind up with the module heatup
14 system in service with stabilizing RCS temperature at
15 430 degrees and pressure at 1,850 psia. That's full
16 pressure and that's above our minimum temperature for
17 criticality so we can perform a startup. Next slide,
18 please.

19 We do -- even coming out of refueling we
20 will perform a control rod startup, not a dilution
21 startup. We'll always be doing control rods.

22 Once we've gone critical we'll perform
23 physics testing, withdraw rods to raise power to 15
24 percent and T-ave to 546. So, basically we ramp both
25 of those at the same time, power and T-ave. Five

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 forty-six will be our normal temperature.

2 We remove the CVCS heater from service,
3 place the main turbine in service, and synchronize the
4 generator to the grid and send to 100 percent power from
5 there. Next slide, please.

6 So, to go back and cover -- that's the
7 refueling process. I'll now go cover the few things
8 that are different about module installation.

9 Most of what you've seen or I've discussed
10 is really part of module installation as well.

11 But the module arrives in three parts. It
12 has an upper module, a lower containment vessel, and
13 a lower reactor vessel.

14 The module has its factory ITAAC completed
15 when it arrives at the site. The lower containment
16 vessel and lower reactor vessel are placed in their
17 respective tools. So, we can't have any other
18 operations going on at this point. And the upender is
19 used for all three of these items.

20 And then we place the upper module in the
21 import trolley or the upender. And then the following
22 windows are completed.

23 We perform an initial fuel load with the
24 reactor vessel. We then do assembly connection,
25 module heatup, and startup.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 And that is -- if I can go to the next slide.

2 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Okay, so additional
3 questions from the members?

4 MEMBER BLEY: It's nothing to do with
5 safety, but when you build the reactor building --
6 sorry, Mike -- do you install all the steam pipe you're
7 going to need? Or do you just put in what they're
8 buying the first time? Have you thought about that
9 kind of stuff?

10 MR. TOVAR: Yes, we have thought about
11 that. We've gone through with Fluor some of the
12 initial construction concepts. And we do have to put
13 in all the piping that's --

14 MEMBER BROWN: You're all piped up for 12
15 modules.

16 MR. TOVAR: Yes, yes. For a 12-pack plant
17 if we're going to -- if that's what we're building for
18 the customer it will be all installed.

19 MR. MIRSKY: I might also add that we're
20 planning a presentation on that exact topic late
21 summer/early fall. Just construction while there's
22 operation and startup going on in terms of briefing.

23 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: The subcommittee
24 shouldn't feel slighted. We're going to have a lot of
25 meetings. Charlie, lots of meetings. I just want to

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 make you happy.

2 Okay, other questions from the committee.

3 MEMBER SCHULTZ: Yes, one quick one. It
4 seems nice that you've provided a fuel shuffle option
5 for refueling, but it also seems with the number of
6 assemblies that are in core that not providing that
7 option could provide some real benefit, especially
8 given that, as you said, you've got a one-pass move from
9 the core to the fuel pool.

10 It would seem you could manage the fuel for
11 these 12 units within the fuel pool and get them from
12 the core into the pool, from the pool into the core a
13 lot quicker, and perhaps have some safety benefit in
14 terms of misloaded fuel assemblies and so forth that
15 would be well worthwhile exploring.

16 In other words, it could be advantageous
17 from a fuel management point of view as well as a safety
18 issue related to fuel misload.

19 And also be at least as quick, perhaps
20 quicker than shuffling.

21 MR. BOWMAN: So, we have a variety of
22 operational backgrounds, both BWR and PWR. Coming
23 from a Westinghouse background we always did full-core
24 offload. So that was common to me.

25 The BWRs tend to do fuel shuffles. And I

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 know the CE designs --

2 MEMBER SCHULTZ: They've got a lot of
3 assemblies.

4 MR. BOWMAN: Right. The CE design also --
5 they tend to do fuel shuffles as well. So, we provided
6 both.

7 In my experience I've never seen a fuel
8 shuffle, so I'm not sure exactly the downfalls of that.
9 But I understand it's a little more difficult in terms
10 of --

11 MEMBER SCHULTZ: But in the pool because
12 of the number of assemblies you've got for the 12 units
13 and the power production you've got a lot of option for
14 fuel management within the pool itself.

15 MR. BOWMAN: Correct.

16 MEMBER SCHULTZ: I would think you'd want
17 to utilize that and do fuel management from the pool,
18 if you will, instead of in the core.

19 MR. BOWMAN: Right. Again, it makes
20 sense to me too, so. But we provided all the options.

21 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Other questions?

22 MEMBER BALLINGER: With respect to the
23 fuel I see in your diagram and ISFSI.

24 MR. BOWMAN: Yes.

25 MEMBER BALLINGER: So that's for dry

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 storage, I'm assuming, of spent fuel.

2 Now, the current dry storage systems don't
3 match half-height fuel assemblies. Are you going to
4 do something, or is somebody going to do something to
5 develop a canister system that would integrate well
6 with the current kind of dry storage technology.

7 MR. REYES: Right, right. So we're
8 looking at that right now in terms of the half-life.
9 And there's different options that are possible, but
10 we haven't settled on which one.

11 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: They need to sell one
12 first.

13 MEMBER BALLINGER: True, but there's
14 current stuff going on within the staff and everything
15 on dry storage, and transportation, and all that kind
16 of things that you might keep track of.

17 MEMBER SCHULTZ: What's your time limit
18 associated with wet storage and the pool that you've
19 got?

20 MR. BOWMAN: Ten years is our design.

21 MEMBER SCHULTZ: Ten years on design.
22 Okay.

23 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Other questions?
24 Okay. Let me turn to the audience here while Maitri
25 goes and gets the public line turned on.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. TOVAR: Sir, one thing I just wanted
2 to clarify.

3 One of the things that was said was that
4 the module during refueling was never submerged.

5 When we do bring it over to the R-tool and
6 the C-tool because of the lift height restrictions of
7 the crane that portion is lower than the regular pool
8 floor.

9 So, in order to lift it that 30 feet to get
10 it into the tool, once it is in the tool it is lower
11 than the normal height of the rest of the pool.

12 So, we do actually get water up to the level
13 of the top of the containment vessel. It doesn't wet
14 the containment isolation valves or any electrical
15 penetrations or anything. But I just want to make that
16 clear.

17 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: We'll be back to you
18 on that.

19 MR. TOVAR: Okay.

20 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: So, are there
21 members of the public here that want to make a comment?

22 Hearing none --

23 MS. THOMAS: Comments and questions from
24 the public?

25 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Yes, ma'am. Go

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 ahead. Please identify yourself.

2 MS. THOMAS: Ruth Thomas with
3 Environmentalists Incorporated.

4 And boy, there's a lot to go over. To
5 start with I'm glad that you're going to be having
6 visuals that show -- what's that noise? -- visuals that
7 show the refueling.

8 That is something that hasn't been clear
9 at all. I've been talking with the people in the
10 information digest how do you refuel a regular reactor.

11 Now, we would like the visuals on how this
12 is going to be done with the small module -- I don't
13 know what that noise is, but it's --

14 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: You're fine.
15 That's just the high-quality phone line.

16 MS. THOMAS: Oh. And so we understand
17 that this small module reactor is still in the early
18 stages of development. Would you say that was correct?

19 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Yes, ma'am. And
20 these are all public presentations so we can provide
21 to you exactly what was provided to us. That should
22 not be a problem.

23 MS. THOMAS: Well, I know sometimes I get
24 the slides and they aren't what I call visuals.
25 They're kind of talking points.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: In this case they'll
2 be pictures. What we see, you'll see.

3 MS. THOMAS: What was that?

4 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: I said you will get
5 exactly what was presented to us which were visuals.

6 MS. THOMAS: And so who was the -- I heard
7 Department of Energy mentioned. And an individual who
8 apparently, I hope it's more than one individual that
9 has a background in this.

10 Is that where the idea of having module
11 reactors started? With the Department of Energy?

12 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: I think -- we're not
13 in a position to answer questions from the public, but
14 rather we'll take your comment and note it.

15 Maitri Banerjee is our designated federal
16 official which will contact you and get you the visuals.

17 MS. THOMAS: Okay, great. Well, I'm glad
18 to see the public, and I hope there's more than one
19 person from the public on the line, to be involved early
20 in this because that's where you get people who are --
21 well, they don't have a conflict of interest.

22 In fact, their interest is in having
23 something that's going to be safe. And by safe I don't
24 mean the way the Nuclear Regulatory views as safe.

25 And now, particularly with so much

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 information. Let's see, I have five written pages.

2 Our questions are -- we can't possibly ask
3 in the five minutes, particularly if the reception is
4 so poor.

5 So who would we be sending our questions?
6 And these would be questions that we want the answer.

7 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: You can send your
8 comments and questions to Maitri Banerjee who is the
9 designated federal official.

10 MS. THOMAS: And will she be getting to the
11 experts to get the technical and full answers? Because
12 I don't see how one person could cover all this. It
13 must take -- it takes some scientists.

14 And usually it's engineers, scientists
15 that understand nature and that understand that these
16 are unique materials that never have been in existence
17 before.

18 I would suggest, and others have suggested
19 that the conversation and dialogue start with talking
20 about the materials.

21 What you're talking about is ways to
22 overcome the detrimental and lethal characteristics of
23 the materials you're working with.

24 And it seems like that ought to be in the
25 beginning as to why such materials were chosen in the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 process for electricity. Thank you.

2 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Thank you very much.
3 And Ms. Banerjee is the individual to send your comments
4 to and will communicate with you with the visuals so
5 you can get what we have. Thank you very much.

6 Is there another member of the public
7 online?

8 (No response.)

9 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Okay. Why don't we
10 close the line. Thank you very much.

11 MS. THOMAS: I have one other question.

12 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: We can't answer
13 questions. We can take your comment.

14 MS. THOMAS: Okay. My comment is with the
15 importance, the critical future in mind there's only
16 one person on the line. And that's -- and there must
17 be something wrong with the way the NRC is communicating
18 with the public.

19 I don't know the number of people in the
20 United States, but I don't feel honored to be the only
21 one listening in. I feel sadness.

22 CHAIRMAN CORRADINI: Thank you for your
23 comment. Why don't we close the line so we can go into
24 closed session.

25 Can NuScale please verify that the people

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 in the room are who are allowed to be in the room since
2 we're in closed session? And Maitri, can you make sure
3 the outside line is closed?

4 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
5 adjourned into closed session at 11:08 a.m.)

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

ACRS Future Plant Designs Subcommittee Information Briefing

Presentations


- Overview of Design & Integral System Test Facility
- Refueling & Module Installation
- PRA Update
- Design-Basis Events


June 25, 2015



**NUSCALE
POWER™**

© 2015 NuScale Power, LLC





Overview of Design and NIST-1 Test Facility

Dr. José N. Reyes, Jr.
Chief Technology Officer

June 25, 2015

NuScale Nonproprietary



**NUSCALE
POWER™**

© 2015 NuScale Power, LLC

Acknowledgement & Disclaimer


This material is based upon work supported by the Department of Energy under Award Number DE-NE0000633.

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States (U.S.) Government. Neither the U.S. Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the U.S. Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the U.S. Government or any agency thereof.


Objectives

- Provide an overview of the NuScale 12-module plant design
- Provide an introduction to the NuScale Integral System Test facility (NIST-1)

Plant Design Overview




Site Aerial View




Reactor Building Cross-Section

Reactor building houses reactor modules, spent fuel pool, and reactor pool



Reactor Building Overhead View



Design Simplification

- **New system**

- containment evacuation
- containment flooding and drain

- **Eliminated systems**

- containment spray
- containment fan cooler
- auxiliary feedwater
- ECCS injection and recirculation
- steam generator blowdown
- electrical generator hydrogen supply
- safety-related electrical systems

- **Eliminated components**

- reactor coolant pumps
- ECCS pumps, tanks, and RPV injection lines
- containment sumps and tanks
- refueling water storage tank
- reactor coolant hot leg and cold leg piping
- pressurizer surge line and relief tank
- reactor vessel and primary coolant system insulation
- safety-related emergency diesel generators


Reactor Module Overview

Natural convection for cooling



- Passively safe, driven by gravity, natural circulation of water over the fuel
- No safety-related pumps, no need for emergency generators

Simple and small

- Reactor is 1/20th the size of large reactors
- Integrated reactor design, no large-break loss-of-coolant accidents



NuScale Power Train (Video)




- Each reactor module has a dedicated turbine-generator (T-G) train eliminating the loss of other modules in the event of a turbine trip
- Small, simple components support short simple refueling outages
- Water-cooled or air-cooled condenser


Containment Design

Evacuated Containment—Enhanced Safety

- Containment volume sized so that core does not uncover following a LOCA
- Large reactor pool keeps containment shell cool and promotes efficient post-LOCA steam condensation
- Insulating vacuum
 - significantly reduces conduction and convection heat transfer during normal operation
 - eliminates requirement for insulation on the reactor vessel, thereby minimizing sump screen blockage concerns (GSI-191)
 - improves LOCA steam condensation rates by eliminating air
 - prevents combustible hydrogen mixture in the unlikely event of a severe accident (i.e., little or no oxygen)
 - reduces corrosion and humidity problems inside containment




Passive Cooling Systems




Passive Decay Heat Removal System

- Main steam and main feedwater isolated
- Decay heat removal (DHR) valves opened
- Decay heat passively removed via the steam generators and DHR heat condensers to the reactor pool
- DHR system is composed of two independent single failure proof trains (1 of 2 trains needed)



Emergency Core Cooling System and Containment Heat Removal


- Main steam and main feedwater isolated
- Reactor vent valves and Reactor recirculation valves open on safety signal
- Decay heat removed
 - condensing steam on inside surface of containment vessel
 - convection and conduction through liquid and both vessel walls




NuScale Test Program

NuScale Reactor Qualification Test Plan


NuScale Reactor Qualification Test Plan outlines design certification and FOAKE projects for reactor safety code development, validation, reactor design, and technology maturation to reduce first-of-a-kind (FOAK) design risk.



NuScale Test Programs



NuScale Integral System Test Facility (NIST-1)



Testing Capabilities/Objectives

- System interaction testing
 - reactor vessel and containment coupling (pressures and levels)
 - DHRS characterization
- NRELAP5 code validation
 - LOCAs
 - long-term cooling
 - high-pressure condensation
 - cooling pool convection
 - non-LOCA transients (scram, overheating, overcooling, NC operation)
 - CVCS line break
- Safety methods development
 - evaluation model nodalization
 - evaluation model features
 - emergency procedures
- Simulator validation

NIST-1 Test Facility



NIST-1 Test Facility




Full-length DHRS condenser test section




Reactor Pressure Vessel

- SS304 pressure vessel built in four sections:
 - heater shell
 - lower shell
 - SG coil
 - pressurizer
- Insulated




Containment and Cooling Pool

- Containment pressure rating increase
 - maximum pressure 900 psig
- Highly-instrumented heat transfer plate
 - characterize heat transfer from containment to pool



- Cooling pool view ports
 - allow particle image velocimetry (PIV) measurements to characterize local velocities near the heat transfer plate
- DHRS
 - Full-height and reduced-height DHRS to examine performance under varied DHRS and pool inventories



Data Acquisition and Control System

- State-of-the-art data acquisition and control system (DACS)
 - multi-display interface for users to monitor and control operation of the NIST-1 facility
 - interface for over 700 instruments/controls
 - visual indications of alarm conditions
 - automatically initiate control actions (trips) to prevent unsafe operations
 - log all actions and events affecting the facility
 - ability to perform precisely timed and sequenced control actions during test operations
 - prevent software shutdown if predesignated safe conditions have not been met
 - initiate facility shutdown actions if an emergency stop button is actuated

NIST-1 Tests (Critical Path for Code Validation)

Test Identifier	Test Name
NIST-HP-01	Volume and Elevation Characterization
NIST-HP-02	High Pressure Condensation (3 points)
NIST-HP-03	DHRS Full Length Characterization
NIST-HP-04	Cooling Pool Characterization
NIST-HP-05	Powered Natural Circulation Flow K Loss Test
NIST-HP-06	CVCS Discharge Pipe Break
NIST-HP-07	Pressurizer Spray Line Break
NIST-HP-09	ECCS RVV Spurious Opening

Summary

- The NuScale design
 - comprised of multiple modules housed in a common stainless steel lined below ground pool
 - each module consists of a natural circulation PWR with an integral reactor housed inside a small volume containment
 - utilizes two passive safety systems to provide stable cooling
 - DHRS
 - ECCS
- NuScale has a comprehensive test program that includes the NIST-1 test facility
 - modified to reflect design updates
 - validate NRELAP5
 - assess integral system behavior
 - testing is underway

6650 SW Redwood Lane, Suite 210
Portland, OR 97224
503.715.2222

1100 NE Circle Blvd., Suite 200
Corvallis , OR 97330
541.360.0500


11333 Woodglen Ave., Suite 205
Rockville, MD 20852
301.770.0472

6060 Piedmont Row Drive South, Suite 1000
Charlotte, NC 28287

<http://www.nuscalepower.com>



The Element of Nu



NuScale Refueling and Module Installation

Doug Bowman
Operations Engineer

June 25, 2015

NuScale Nonproprietary



**NUSCALE
POWER™**

© 2015 NuScale Power, LLC

Acknowledgement & Disclaimer

This material is based upon work supported by the Department of Energy under Award Number DE-NE0000633.

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States (U.S.) Government. Neither the U.S. Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the U.S. Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the U.S. Government or any agency thereof.

Refueling Overview

- Two-year fuel cycle
- Dedicated refueling crew separate from the operations crew—including an SRO
- Once a module is disconnected, the refueling crew assumes primary responsibility

Mode Table Comparison

NuScale Draft Mode Table


Current PWR Mode Table

Mode	Title	k_{eff}	Reactor Coolant Average	Module Position	Mode	Title	k_{eff}	% Power ^(a)	T _{ave} (°F)
1	Operations	≥ 0.99	N/A	Operating	1	Power Operation	≥ 0.99	> 5	NA
2	Hot Shutdown	< 0.99	≥ 300 F	Operating	2	Startup	≥ 0.99	≤ 5	NA
3	Safe Shutdown	< 0.99	< 300 F	Operating	3	Hot Standby	< 0.99	NA	≥ 350
4	Transition ^(a)	< 0.95	< 200 F	Connected to the overhead crane	4	Hot Shutdown	< 0.99	NA	$350 > T_{avg} > 200$
5	Refueling ^(b)	< 0.95	< 200 F	Other	5	Cold Shutdown	< 0.99	NA	≤ 200
					6	Refueling	NA	NA	NA

(a) All CVC connections to MODULE isolated.

(b) One or more reactor vessel head closure bolts less than fully tensioned.


NuScale Plant Refueling



Windows

1. Shutdown/cooldown
2. Transition preparation and disconnection
3. Transition (to containment flange tool)
4. Disassembly
5. Upper module work window
6. Refueling
7. Lower containment vessel work window
8. Reassembly
9. Transition (to operating bay)
10. Reconnection
11. Module heatup
12. Reactor start-up and ramp to full power

10-Day (240 hr.) Refuel Schedule




Shutdown/Cooldown

- Unit is ramped down to 20% and tripped
- Primary system is borated to refueling concentration
- Cooldown to 300 degrees F using feedwater and turbine bypass
- Depressurize the RCS to 200 psia using pressurizer spray
- Containment flooded to continue cooldown below 200 degrees F
- Steam generators placed in wet layup
- Crud burst and cleanup performed
- DHRS is not used in a normal shutdown and cooldown




Transition Preparation and Disconnection

- Shutdown CVCS
- Fully depressurize RCS
- Open ECCS valves
- Remove bioshield
- Close all containment isolation valves
- Electrical and I&C disconnections performed
- Containment is pressurized to prevent water from coming over the top of the RPV head when the CNV flange is separated
- Mechanical disconnections completed
- Crane and lifting device connected




Simplified Graphic of Module During Transition



Transition



1. Module is raised enough to clear the pool floor
2. Crane trolleys to the centerline of the pool
3. Crane travels to the lift point (just past bay 6 and 12)
4. Module is lifted 30 feet
5. Crane travels along the centerline until it is lined up with the containment flange tool
6. Crane trolleys until the module is directly over the containment flange tool
7. Module is placed into the containment flange tool




Disassembly

The containment flange tool detensions the containment flange studs and provides a stand for the containment lower vessel

The remainder of the module is picked up and moved to the reactor flange tool—where the reactor flange studs are detensioned, and then the upper module is lifted and transported to the dry dock, leaving the lower reactor vessel and the core for refueling



Upper Module Work Window




- Upper module is secured in the module upender and the crane and lifting rig is removed
- Steam generator eddy current
- Instrument testing, repair, and calibration
- Upper reactor flange inspection
- Upper containment flange inspection
- Inspect 20% of ISI welds, forgings, and surfaces
- Inservice testing (SRVs, RRVs, RVVs and check valves)
- Appendix J Type B and C testing

steam generator feedwater plenum

upper containment flange

upper reactor flange

Refueling



The 37 fuel assemblies in the lower reactor vessel can be taken directly from the core with the refueling machine and placed in the spent fuel pool. Each assembly only requires a single handling event to take it from the core to the spent fuel storage location.

Lower reactor vessel inspections would also be performed in this window.

Refueling can be completed either as a partial core offload and fuel shuffle, or full-core offload and reload.

Reassembly

- Prerequisites
 - lower containment work window complete
 - upper module work window complete
 - refueling work window complete
- Place upper module on lower RPV and tension flange
- Connect control rods and perform latch and stroke test
- Leak test reactor flange
- Place upper module on lower CNV and tension flange
- Leak test containment flange
- The module is then moved to the operating bay following the load path

Reconnection

- Prerequisites
 - CVCS operating on recirculation
 - feedwater and condensate on long cleanup
- Connect power, instrumentation and controls
- Verify instrumentation and control operability
- Place ex-core Nuclear Instruments in their operating position
- Insert in-core instrumentation
- Connect CES and begin containment and RCS degas
- Complete the remainder of the mechanical connections
- Shut ECCS vent and recirculation valves
- Pressurize the RCS with nitrogen to provide NPSH for CVCS recirculation pumps

Module Heatup

- Establish normal CVCS recirculation
- Place module heatup system in service
- Restore feedwater and main steam and perform SG flush
- Verify containment is operable and drain containment
- Install bio shield
- Perform first dilution towards critical boron concentration
- Draw a vacuum on containment
- Draw a steam bubble in the pressurizer
- Complete dilution to critical boron concentration
- Stabilize RCS temperature at 430 degrees F
- Stabilize RCS pressure at 1850 psia

Start-Up

- Withdraw rods to criticality
- Perform physics testing
- Withdraw rods to raise power to 15% and Tave to 546 degrees F
- Remove the CVCS heater from service
- Place the main turbine in service
- Synchronize turbine generator to the grid
- Ascend to 100% power

Module Installation

- Module arrives in three parts:
 - upper module
 - lower CNV
 - lower RXV
- Module has factory ITAAC completed
- Lower CNV and lower RXV are placed in their respective tools and the upper module is placed in the import trolley, and then the following windows are completed:
 - initial fuel load
 - assembly
 - connection
 - module heatup
 - start-up

6650 SW Redwood Lane, Suite 210
Portland, OR 97224
503.715.2222

1100 NE Circle Blvd., Suite 200
Corvallis , OR 97330
541.360.0500

11333 Woodglen Ave., Suite 205
Rockville, MD 20852
301.770.0472

6060 Piedmont Row Drive South, Suite 1000
Charlotte, NC 28287

<http://www.nuscalepower.com>



The Element of Nu