

Zerth, Marjorie

From: Giessner, John
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2015 6:04 PM
To: Zerth, Marjorie
Subject: FW: Re: [External_Sender] Hi John, a question re: a document you wrote in April 2013

Please add this email string to ADAMS public.

From: Giessner, John
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2015 6:03 PM
To: 'Kevin Kamps'
Subject: RE: Re: [External_Sender] Hi John, a question re: a document you wrote in April 2013

Mr. Kamps for your question and the follow-up. My apologies I got a little behind today.

I did review the issue with the Agency's experts and I confirmed the date listed for Diablo Canyon is accurate as 2033. I do want to note, as stated in the answer for question 4, this value is an estimate. Updated fluence calculations, capacity factors changes, power uprates, new surveillance data, among other items can change these estimates.

I hope this answers your question.

Jack

Jack Giessner
Deputy Director DRP
R3

From: Kevin Kamps [<mailto:kevin@beyondnuclear.org>]
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2015 5:59 PM
To: Giessner, John
Subject: [External_Sender] Re: [External_Sender] Hi John, a question re: a document you wrote in April 2013

Hi Jack,

Just following up about this question below. Thanks.

Kevin Kamps, Beyond Nuclear

On Fri, Jul 10, 2015 at 8:18 PM, Giessner, John <John.Giessner@nrc.gov> wrote:
Hello Mr. Kamps,

thanks for the question. Let me check and I'll get back with you.

Jack

From: Kevin Kamps [<mailto:kevin@beyondnuclear.org>]
Sent: Friday, July 10, 2015 05:54 PM
To: Giessner, John

Subject: [External_Sender] Hi John, a question re: a document you wrote in April 2013

Hi John,

How are you?

I have a question about this April 18, 2013 document you wrote:

<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1310/ML13108A336.pdf>

See Point #4, on Page 5 of 15 on PDF counter.

Re: this listing:

1. Point Beach 2 (2017)
2. Palisades (2017)
3. Diablo Canyon 1 (2033)
4. Indian Point 3 (2025)
5. Beaver Valley 1 (2033)

Is this completely accurate? Is #3, **Diablo Canyon 1 (2033)** a typo? It says 2033, but is it supposed to say 2023?

I ask because the weird order has always seemed odd to me. It appears to be a chronologically ordered list, but #3 is out of order. But if it is 2023, that would put it back into chronological order.

The *Kalamazoo Gazette* seems to have interpreted it that way, reporting last summer the Diablo Canyon 1 date not as 2033, but rather 2023:

http://www.mlive.com/news/kalamazoo/index.ssf/2014/08/palisades_nuclear_plant_embrit.html

[see 8 paragraphs down in the section entitled "A crucial question of safety".]

Any clarification/confirmation you could provide would be most appreciated. Thanks.

---Kevin Kamps, Beyond Nuclear & Don't Waste MI

--

Kevin Kamps
Radioactive Waste Watchdog
Beyond Nuclear
6930 Carroll Avenue, Suite 400
Takoma Park, Maryland 20912
Office: (301) 270-2209 ext. 1
Cell: (240) 462-3216
Fax: (301) 270-4000

kevin@beyondnuclear.org
www.beyondnuclear.org

Beyond Nuclear aims to educate and activate the public about the connections between nuclear power and nuclear weapons and the need to abandon both to safeguard our future. Beyond Nuclear advocates for an energy future that is sustainable, benign and democratic.

--
Kevin Kamps
Radioactive Waste Watchdog
Beyond Nuclear
6930 Carroll Avenue, Suite 400
Takoma Park, Maryland 20912
Office: (301) 270-2209 ext. 1
Cell: (240) 462-3216
Fax: (301) 270-4000
kevin@beyondnuclear.org
www.beyondnuclear.org

Beyond Nuclear aims to educate and activate the public about the connections between nuclear power and nuclear weapons and the need to abandon both to safeguard our future. Beyond Nuclear advocates for an energy future that is sustainable, benign and democratic.