

TurkeyPointCEm Resource

From: diane johnson [djoveges@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2015 9:09 AM
To: TurkeyPointCOLEIS Resource
Subject: [External_Sender] Support the "No Action" Alternative Re: NRC-2009-0337 Turkey Point 6 & 7 Expansion

I have serious concerns that the proposed expansion of FPL's Turkey Point could significantly impact and degrade the health of our national parks, sensitive ecological areas including extensive wetlands, federally listed threatened and endangered wildlife, and the quality and quantity of limited fresh water resources. I request that both agencies support the "No Action" alternative in reference to Docket ID: NRC-2009-0337.

It is ironic that Florida's license plates proclaim us as the sunshine state and yet solar energy development is an major underused resource.

This is 2015 and given the impact of climate change and the resulting sea level rise, one would think that intelligent forward thinking individuals would not consider an expensive, water intensive option like nuclear energy.

There are other energy choices that don't pose such risks, which are not properly studied in the draft Environmental Impact Statement. Solar power has dropped in price and improved in quality whereas new nuclear reactors continue to increase in cost and have yet to actually be built. Energy efficiency is the lowest cost resource in meeting electricity demand—many times less expensive than these reactors that are approaching \$20 billion. Yet, FPL is doing almost nothing to expand energy efficiency or renewables, which are viable alternatives, nor is the Florida Public Service Commission making decisions that will expand these safer, more affordable options. Your agencies should not rely on their flawed utility planning process.

Clearly, South Florida is highly vulnerable to sea level rise and the impacts of climate change. This site was never an acceptable location for the Turkey Point facility there today and many decades later it has only become an even more unacceptable location. Complicating all of this is that with no solution in sight for the long-term management of highly radioactive nuclear waste, there is no reason to support the licensing of these proposed reactors. I strongly oppose the expansion of Turkey Point and support the "No Action" alternative.

diane johnson
17177 bonita la west
sugarloaf, FL 33042

Federal Register Notice: 80FR12043
Comment Number: 10389

Mail Envelope Properties (182214392.24172.1436965712425.JavaMail.tomcat)

Subject: [External_Sender] Support the "No Action" Alternative Re: NRC-2009-0337
Turkey Point 6 & 7 Expansion
Sent Date: 7/15/2015 9:08:32 AM
Received Date: 7/15/2015 9:08:33 AM
From: diane johnson

Created By: djoveges@gmail.com

Recipients:
"TurkeyPointCOLEIS Resource" <TurkeyPointCOLEIS.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: vweb211

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2155	7/15/2015 9:08:33 AM

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: