

Hester, Janice

FOIA/PA REQUEST

From: David Lochbaum <dlochbaum@ucsusa.org>
Sent: Friday, July 10, 2015 3:59 PM
To: FOIA Resource
Subject: WWW Form Submission

Case No.: 2015-0386
Date Rec'd: 7/13/2015
Specialist:
Related Case:

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted by

David Lochbaum (dlochbaum@ucsusa.org) on Friday, July 10, 2015 at 15:59:08

through the IP 63.141.200.21

using the form at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/foia/foia-submittal-form.html>

and resulted in this email to foia.resource@nrc.gov

Company/Affiliation: Union of Concerned Scientists

Address1: PO Box 15316

Address2:

City: Chattanooga

State: TN

Zip: 37415

Country: United_States

Country-Other:

Phone: 423-468-9272

Desc: Revision 4 and all subsequent revisions of NRR Procedure COM-106, Task Interface Agreements. Revision 3 of COM-106 is in public ADAMS (ML073440014) but Revision 4 of COM-106 cannot be found in public ADAMS or posted on the NRC's website. Yet Duke Energy letter dated May 22, 2015, (ML15154A490) refers to Section 6.1.4 of Revision 4 to COM-106. UCS is not sure not Duke Energy seems to have a copy of this non-public NRR procedure, but UCS would like to see what this revision states.

FeeCategory: Educational

MediaType:

MediaType_Other_Description:

Expedite_ImminentThreatText:

Expedite_UrgencyToInformText:

Waiver_Purpose: The NRC inspection report dated June 27, 2014, (ML14178A535) described the Component Design Basis Inspection (CDBI) conducted at Oconee. Duke Energy's letter dated May 22, 2015, (ML15154A490) referenced this CDBI report and provided answers to questions the letter said were asked of NRR by NRC Region II via TIA 2014-04 and cited Revision 4 to NRR Procedure COM-106, but nothing could be found in public ADAMS about this revision of the procedure. Public ADAMS and the NRC's website only have COM-106 Revision 3. UCS read the CDBI report and Duke's related letter. We seek the requested document because the briefing seems to have shaped Duke Energy's understanding of the matter, but a summary of the meeting is not publicly available and we want to ensure we have the full understanding of the issues raised during the CDBI. UCS is also interested in how Duke Energy acquired access to an apparently non-public document.

Waiver_ExtentToExtractAnalyze: UCS will review the requested record to see how it fits in the protected service water modification at Oconee and the post-Fukushima electricity reliability requirements. UCS will also seek to establish whether Revision 4 of COM-106 was public available and, if not, will engage the NRC about how this access happened.

Waiver_SpecificActivityQuals: The CDBI documented concerns with the emergency power system at Oconee. The CDBI concerns potentially affect both the design basis and beyond design basis response capability of the plant. UCS will review the requested record along with publicly available information. UCS seeks to fully understand the CDBI concerns and their potential impact on public health and safety.

Waiver_ImpactPublicUnderstanding: UCS has made two trips to South Carolina in recent years to talk with local citizens about safety issues at Oconee. We've also responded to numerous media and citizen email and phone inquiries about Oconee's safety issues. UCS seeks the requested record so as to sustain our role as a objective information broker regarding safety issues at this facility.

Waiver_NatureOfPublic: According to the NRC (ML11216A185), 3,174,858 people live within 50 miles of the Oconee plant. While not every member of this population will be interested in this matter, our experience with attendance at the meetings conducted during our two trips to South Carolina and with the volume of media and citizen inquiries strongly suggests to us that enough people are interested to warrant our efforts understanding this matter and communicating our perspectives on it.

Waiver_MeansOfDissemination: UCS posts commentaries about nuclear safety at least once per week to our blog, AllThingsNuclear.org. We post short reports, fact sheets, issue briefs, and long reports about nuclear safety topics to our website, www.ucsusa.org. In addition, we make presentations to the NRC Commission and the US Congress. We will very likely employ one or more of these methods to communicate our perspectives on the emergency power system concerns at Oconee.

Waiver_FreeToPublicOrFee: Information posted to the UCS blog and website may be viewed/downloaded free of charge.

Waiver_PrivateCommericalInterest: None.
