

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: 7/2/15 3:32 PM  
 Received: June 30, 2015  
 Status: Pending\_Post  
 Tracking No. 1jz-8jq2-x6gy  
 Comments Due: July 01, 2015  
 Submission Type: Web

**Docket:** NRC-2015-0044

Guidance for Evaluation of Acute Chemical Exposures and Proposed Quantitative Standards

**Comment On:** NRC-2015-0044-0003

Guidance for Evaluation of Acute Chemical Exposures and Proposed Quantitative Standards;  
 Supplemental Information and Extension of Comment Period

**Document:** NRC-2015-0044-DRAFT-0002

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-08932

4/17/2015  
 80 FR 91274

2

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Anonymous Anonymous

## General Comment

I am writing in response to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission extension of comment period on the staff guidance related to acute chemical exposures.

My comments are focused on the agency's need to appropriately regulate chemicals mixed with uranium. I believe the Integrated Safety Analysis is the foundation of a safety program that every fuel cycle facility should adhere to. It allows companies to get a better understanding of the hazards of their processes that may pose a threat to workers and the environment. Therefore, it is extremely important that the ISA covers all hazards including what workers breathe, get on their skin and in their eyes. The need for quantitative standards is not impractical or unnecessary; I think is necessary for the facilities to assess the potential consequences and to adequately protect the worker. Similar to what is done for OSHA PHA standard.

I believe this guidance will ensure that NRC regulated companies are conducting the proper analysis and identification of hazards. I think companies should stop complaining and just follow the rule.

SUNSI Review Complete  
 Template = ADM - 013  
 E-RIDS = ADM-03  
 Add= m. Dier (mld6)