

RulemakingComments Resource

From: mary eddy <maryjensen@copper.net>
Sent: Wednesday, June 24, 2015 3:49 PM
To: RulemakingComments Resource
Subject: [External_Sender] Comment on Waste Rules (NRC-2011-0012)

We in Utah are very interested in the rules that the NRC makes to govern low level nuclear waste.

I have some significant concerns about the proposed revisions to Part 61.

There are several key concerns that I'd like to highlight.

1. The new regulations reduce the compliance period.
2. i am concerned that licensees (such as EnergySolutions) can choose to simply order a study if they want to bring a new waste stream.
3. I disagree with the dramatic limitations placed on the number of intruder scenarios to be considered.

This approach is not appropriate for long-lived nuclear waste streams that will require more advanced predictive modeling.

4. I request that the NRC classify Depleted Uranium. It makes no sense this has been arbitrarily lumped into the Class A category -- with waste that's only hazardous for a few hundred years.

I urge the NRC to finally classify this waste accurately to inform ongoing disposal efforts.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

mary eddy
776 emerson ave
salt lake city, UT 84105