



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

July 14, 2015

Mr. Dean Curtland  
Site Vice President  
Seabrook Station  
NextEra Energy Seabrook, LLC  
P.O. Box 300  
Seabrook, NH 03874

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR WITHHOLDING INFORMATION FROM PUBLIC DISCLOSURE  
(TAC NO. ME4028)

Dear Mr. Curtland:

By letter dated May 26, 2015, Agencywide Documents Access and Management System under (ADAMS) Accession No. ML15149A278, you submitted an affidavit dated May 20, 2015, executed by Mr. James A. Gresham of Westinghouse Electric Company, requesting that the information contained in the following document be withheld from public disclosure pursuant to Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), Part 2, Section 2.390:

- Enclosure 10 to SBK-L-15073: "Proprietary Class 2 Westinghouse Report (PWROG-15023-P, Revision 1) - NextEra Energy Seabrook Unit 1 Summary Report for the Fuel Design/Fuel Management Assessments to Demonstrate MRP-227-A Applicability"

A nonproprietary version of this document is located in the letter (Enclosure 1 of this letter, ADAMS Accession No. ML15149A278).

The affidavit stated that the submitted information should be considered exempt from mandatory public disclosure for the following reasons:

- The information sought to be withheld from public disclosure is owned and has been held in confidence by Westinghouse.
- The information is of a type customarily held in confidence by Westinghouse and not customarily disclosed to the public. Westinghouse has a rational basis for determining the types of information customarily held in confidence by it and, in that connection, utilizes a system to determine when and whether to hold certain types of information in confidence. The application of that system and the substance of that system constitute Westinghouse policy and provide the rational basis required.

Under that system, information is held in confidence if it falls in one or more of several types, the release of which might result in the loss of an existing or potential competitive advantage, as follows:

- (a) The information reveals the distinguishing aspects of a process (or component, structure, tool, method, etc.) where prevention of its use by any of Westinghouse's competitors without license from Westinghouse constitutes a competitive economic advantage over other companies.
  - (b) It consists of supporting data, including test data, relative to a process (or component, structure, tool, method, etc.), the application of which data secures a competitive economic advantage, e.g., by optimization or improved marketability.
  - (c) Its use by a competitor would reduce his expenditure of resources or improve his competitive position in the design, manufacture, shipment, installation, assurance of quality, or licensing a similar product.
  - (d) It reveals cost or price information, production capacities, budget levels, or commercial strategies of Westinghouse, its customers or suppliers.
  - (e) It reveals aspects of past, present, or future Westinghouse or customer funded development plans and programs of potential commercial value to Westinghouse.
  - (f) It contains patentable ideas, for which patent protection may be desirable.
- There are sound policy reasons behind the Westinghouse system which include the following:
    - (a) The use of such information by Westinghouse gives Westinghouse a competitive advantage over its competitors. It is, therefore, withheld from disclosure to protect the Westinghouse competitive position.
    - (b) It is information that is marketable in many ways. The extent to which such information is available to competitors diminishes the Westinghouse ability to sell products and services involving the use of the information.
    - (c) Use by competitor, would put Westinghouse at a competitive disadvantage by reducing his expenditure of resources at our expense.
    - (d) Each component of proprietary information pertinent to a particular competitive advantage is potentially as valuable as the total competitive advantage. If competitors acquire components of proprietary information, any one component may be the key to the entire puzzle, thereby depriving Westinghouse of a competitive advantage.

- (e) Unrestricted disclosure would jeopardize the position of prominence of Westinghouse in the world market, and thereby give a market advantage to the competition of those countries.
- (f) The Westinghouse capacity to invest corporate assets in research and development depends upon the success in obtaining and maintaining a competitive advantage.
- The information sought to be protected is not available in public sources or available information has not been previously employed in the same original manner or method to the best of NextEra knowledge and belief.
- The proprietary information sought to be withheld in the submittal is that which is marked in PWROG-15023-P, Revision 1, "Seabrook Station Unit 1 Summary Report for the Fuel Design/Fuel Management Assessments to Demonstrate MRP-227-A Applicability" (Proprietary), for submittal to the Commission, being transmitted by PWROG letter OG-15-198 and Application for Withholding Proprietary Information from Public Disclosure, to the Document Control Desk. The Westinghouse proprietary information as submitted by NextEra is that associated with the NRC Letter, "Request for Additional Information Related to the Review of the Seabrook Station License Renewal Application - Set 21 (TAC No. ME4028)," ADAMS Accession No. ML14101A324 dated April 25, 2014 and may be used only for that purpose, stated by NextEra as follow:
  - (a) This information is part of that which will enable Westinghouse to:
    - (i) Support reactor vessel internals aging management.
  - (b) Further this information has substantial commercial value as follows:
    - (i) Westinghouse plans to sell the use of similar information to its customers for the purpose of supporting reactor internals aging management
    - (ii) Westinghouse can sell support and defense of industry guidelines and acceptance criteria for plant-specific applications.
    - (iii) The information requested to be withheld reveals the distinguishing aspects of a methodology, which was developed by Westinghouse.

Public disclosure of this proprietary information is likely to cause substantial harm to the competitive position of Westinghouse because it would enhance the ability of competitors to provide similar technical evaluation justifications and licensing defense services for commercial power reactors without commensurate expenses. Also, public disclosure of the information would enable others to use the information to meet NRC requirements for licensing documentation without purchasing the right to use the information.

The development of the technology described in part by the information is the result of applying the results of many years of experience in an intensive Westinghouse effort and the expenditure of a considerable sum of money.

In order for competitors of Westinghouse to duplicate this information, similar technical programs would have to be performed and a significant manpower effort, having the requisite talent and experience, would have to be expended.

We have reviewed your application and the material in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.390 and, on the basis of the statements in the affidavit, have determined that the submitted information sought to be withheld contains proprietary commercial information and should be withheld from public disclosure.

Therefore, Enclosure 10 marked as proprietary, will be withheld from public disclosure pursuant to 10 CFR 2.390(b)(5) and Section 103(b) of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended.

Withholding from public inspection shall not affect the right, if any, of persons properly and directly concerned to inspect the documents. If the need arises, we may send copies of this information to our consultants working in this area. We will, of course, ensure that the consultants have signed the appropriate agreements for handling proprietary information.

If the basis for withholding this information from public inspection should change in the future such that the information could then be made available for public inspection, you should promptly notify the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). You also should understand that the NRC may have cause to review this determination in the future, for example, if the scope of a Freedom of Information Act request includes your information. In all review situations, if the NRC makes a determination adverse to the above, you will be notified in advance of any public disclosure.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, I may be reached at 301-415-1427 or e-mail [Richard.Plasse@nrc.gov](mailto:Richard.Plasse@nrc.gov).

Sincerely,

**/RA/**

Richard Plasse, Project Manager  
Projects Branch 1  
Division of License Renewal  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-443

cc: Listserv

The development of the technology described in part by the information is the result of applying the results of many years of experience in an intensive Westinghouse effort and the expenditure of a considerable sum of money.

In order for competitors of Westinghouse to duplicate this information, similar technical programs would have to be performed and a significant manpower effort, having the requisite talent and experience, would have to be expended.

We have reviewed your application and the material in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.390 and, on the basis of the statements in the affidavit, have determined that the submitted information sought to be withheld contains proprietary commercial information and should be withheld from public disclosure.

Therefore, Enclosure 10 marked as proprietary, will be withheld from public disclosure pursuant to 10 CFR 2.390(b)(5) and Section 103(b) of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended.

Withholding from public inspection shall not affect the right, if any, of persons properly and directly concerned to inspect the documents. If the need arises, we may send copies of this information to our consultants working in this area. We will, of course, ensure that the consultants have signed the appropriate agreements for handling proprietary information.

If the basis for withholding this information from public inspection should change in the future such that the information could then be made available for public inspection, you should promptly notify the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). You also should understand that the NRC may have cause to review this determination in the future, for example, if the scope of a Freedom of Information Act request includes your information. In all review situations, if the NRC makes a determination adverse to the above, you will be notified in advance of any public disclosure.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, I may be reached at 301-415-1427 or e-mail [Richard.Plasse@nrc.gov](mailto:Richard.Plasse@nrc.gov).

Sincerely,

/RA/

Richard Plasse, Project Manager  
Projects Branch 1  
Division of License Renewal  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-443

cc: Listserv

**DISTRIBUTION:**

See next page

Accession No. **ML15173A120**

\* Concurred via e-mail

OFFICE	LA:DLR	PM:RPB1:DLR	PM:RARB	BC:RPB1:DLR	PM:RPB1:DLR
NAME	YEdmonds	RPlasse	MYoo	YDiaz-Sanabria	RPlasse
DATE	7/ 13 /15	7/ 14 /15	7/ 14 /15	7/ 14 /15	7/ 14 /15

**OFFICIAL RECORD COPY**

Letter to Dean Curtland from Richard Plasse date July 14, 2015

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR WITHHOLDING INFORMATION FROM PUBLIC DISCLOSURE  
(TAC NO. ME4028)

DISTRIBUTION:

**HARDCOPY:**

DLR RF

**E-MAIL:**

PUBLIC

RidsNrrDlr Resource

RidsNrrDlrRpb1 Resource

RidsNrrDlrRpb2 Resource

RidsNrrDlrRarb Resource

RidsNrrDlrRasb Resource

RidsNrrDlrRerb Resource

RidsNrrDraApla Resource

Listserv

-----  
[Lois.James@nrc.gov](mailto:Lois.James@nrc.gov)

[Richard.Plasse@nrc.gov](mailto:Richard.Plasse@nrc.gov)

[Brian.Wittick@nrc.gov](mailto:Brian.Wittick@nrc.gov)

[Yaira.Diaz-Sanabria@nrc.gov](mailto:Yaira.Diaz-Sanabria@nrc.gov)

[John.Lamb@nrc.gov](mailto:John.Lamb@nrc.gov)

[David.McIntyre@nrc.gov](mailto:David.McIntyre@nrc.gov)

[Eugene.Dacus@nrc.gov](mailto:Eugene.Dacus@nrc.gov)

[Brian.Harris@nrc.gov](mailto:Brian.Harris@nrc.gov)

[Glenn.Dental@nrc.gov](mailto:Glenn.Dental@nrc.gov)

[Pauli.Cataldo@nrc.gov](mailto:Pauli.Cataldo@nrc.gov)

[Chris.Newport@nrc.gov](mailto:Chris.Newport@nrc.gov)

[Jasmine.Gilliam@nrc.gov](mailto:Jasmine.Gilliam@nrc.gov)

[Nancy.McNamara@nrc.gov](mailto:Nancy.McNamara@nrc.gov)

[Doug.Tiff@nrc.gov](mailto:Doug.Tiff@nrc.gov)

[Neil.Sheehan@nrc.gov](mailto:Neil.Sheehan@nrc.gov)

[Diane.Screnci@nrc.gov](mailto:Diane.Screnci@nrc.gov)