

TurkeyPointCEm Resource

From: John Fringer [jhenryf3@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2015 5:25 PM
To: TurkeyPointCOLEIS Resource
Subject: Turkey Pt. comments PDF'd
Attachments: Nancy Booth.pdf; John Short.pdf; Rosita Aranita.pdf; B. Sprano.pdf; J. Stewart.pdf; L. Headley.pdf; C. Parker.pdf; D. Winick.pdf; M.Youd.pdf; C.A. Cordero.pdf; B. Hart.pdf; P. Wilkins.pdf

Federal Register Notice: 80FR12043
Comment Number: 10050

Mail Envelope Properties (CAH5XZV+iMt1ugZZnNJHU94NHXKWi2ZXBbOEBWHPn9goOnz3w+Q)

Subject: Turkey Pt. comments PDF'd
Sent Date: 6/11/2015 5:25:14 PM
Received Date: 6/11/2015 5:25:17 PM
From: John Fringer

Created By: jhenryf3@gmail.com

Recipients:
"TurkeyPointCOLEIS Resource" <TurkeyPointCOLEIS.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: mail.gmail.com

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	0	6/11/2015 5:25:17 PM
Nancy Booth.pdf	79379	
John Short.pdf	79730	
Rosita Aranita.pdf	78957	
B. Sprano.pdf	75594	
J. Stewart.pdf	75437	
L. Headley.pdf	76657	
C. Parker.pdf	79126	
D. Winick.pdf	77823	
M.Youd.pdf	78275	
C.A. Cordero.pdf	77529	
B. Hart.pdf	78165	
P. Wilkins.pdf	77809	

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

TurkeyPointCOLEIS Resource

From: National Parks Conservation Association <nPCA@npca.org> on behalf of Nancy Booth
<nPCA@npca.org>
Sent: Saturday, May 16, 2015 4:47 PM
To: TurkeyPointCOLEIS Resource
Subject: I Oppose the Proposed Expansion of Turkey Point, Docket ID: NRC-2009-0337

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

May 16, 2015

Chief Cindy Bladley

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of Administration, OWFN 12
H8
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Chief Bladley,

I am writing in reference to Docket ID: NRC-2009-0337 to express my opposition to the proposed expansion of Turkey Point Power Plant, located in Homestead, Florida. The site proposed for expansion is located directly on the shores of Biscayne National Park in an area that is extremely susceptible to sea level rise and the impacts of climate change. The expansion of Turkey Point could have serious environmental impacts on sensitive ecological habitat and the health and sustainability of limited freshwater resources.

Like so many Americans, I am committed to protecting the National Park System, which preserves our country's incredible array of landscapes, waters, wildlife, and opportunities for exploration. Biscayne National Park is an irreplaceable national treasure that safeguards precious natural resources and recreational opportunities. According to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's own regulations, "sites adjacent to lands devoted to public use may be considered unsuitable," and unacceptable impacts are "most apt to arise in areas adjacent to natural-resource-oriented areas." Within six miles of the proposed expansion site, there are two national parks, an aquatic preserve, a national wildlife refuge, and a wetland habitat preserve. In following the NRC's own guidelines, the expansion of Turkey Point could have unacceptable and irreversible impacts on these treasured sites.

Operating two new reactors at Turkey Point could also have huge impacts on the quantity and quality of precious freshwater resources. The withdrawal of massive amounts of water from under Biscayne Bay as back-up cooling water could increase salinity levels within the Bay and hasten saltwater intrusion into our limited freshwater supplies.

Furthermore, expanding a nuclear power plant in an area that is ground zero for sea level rise threatens the future of South Florida. I strongly oppose the expansion of Turkey Point as proposed due to the project's potentially widespread negative environmental and public health and safety impacts and the serious threats it poses to Biscayne National Park.

Thank you for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Dr. Nancy Booth
6215 Boulevard E

West New York, NJ 07093

TurkeyPointCOLEIS Resource

From: National Parks Conservation Association <npca@npca.org> on behalf of John Short <npca@npca.org>
Sent: Saturday, May 16, 2015 4:47 PM
To: TurkeyPointCOLEIS Resource
Subject: I Oppose the Proposed Expansion of Turkey Point, Docket ID: NRC-2009-0337

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

May 16, 2015

Chief Cindy Bladley

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of Administration, OWFN 12
H8
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Chief Bladley,

I am writing in reference to Docket ID: NRC-2009-0337 to express my opposition to the proposed expansion of Turkey Point Power Plant, located in Homestead, Florida. The site proposed for expansion is located directly on the shores of Biscayne National Park in an area that is extremely susceptible to sea level rise and the impacts of climate change. The expansion of Turkey Point could have serious environmental impacts on sensitive ecological habitat and the health and sustainability of limited freshwater resources.

Like so many Americans, I am committed to protecting the National Park System, which preserves our country's incredible array of landscapes, waters, wildlife, and opportunities for exploration. Biscayne National Park is an irreplaceable national treasure that safeguards precious natural resources and recreational opportunities. According to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's own regulations, "sites adjacent to lands devoted to public use may be considered unsuitable," and unacceptable impacts are "most apt to arise in areas adjacent to natural-resource-oriented areas." Within six miles of the proposed expansion site, there are two national parks, an aquatic preserve, a national wildlife refuge, and a wetland habitat preserve. In following the NRC's own guidelines, the expansion of Turkey Point could have unacceptable and irreversible impacts on these treasured sites.

Operating two new reactors at Turkey Point could also have huge impacts on the quantity and quality of precious freshwater resources. The withdrawal of massive amounts of water from under Biscayne Bay as back-up cooling water could increase salinity levels within the Bay and hasten saltwater intrusion into our limited freshwater supplies.

Furthermore, expanding a nuclear power plant in an area that is ground zero for sea level rise threatens the future of South Florida. I strongly oppose the expansion of Turkey Point as proposed due to the project's potentially widespread negative environmental and public health and safety impacts and the serious threats it poses to Biscayne National Park.

Thank you for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Short
PO Box 125

Yorktown, VA 23690-0125
(757) 887-2059

TurkeyPointCOLEIS Resource

From: National Parks Conservation Association <nPCA@npca.org> on behalf of Rosita Aranita <nPCA@npca.org>
Sent: Saturday, May 16, 2015 4:47 PM
To: TurkeyPointCOLEIS Resource
Subject: I Oppose the Proposed Expansion of Turkey Point, Docket ID: NRC-2009-0337

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

May 16, 2015

Chief Cindy Bladley

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of Administration, OWFN 12
H8
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Chief Bladley,

I am writing in reference to Docket ID: NRC-2009-0337 to express my opposition to the proposed expansion of Turkey Point Power Plant, located in Homestead, Florida. The site proposed for expansion is located directly on the shores of Biscayne National Park in an area that is extremely susceptible to sea level rise and the impacts of climate change. The expansion of Turkey Point could have serious environmental impacts on sensitive ecological habitat and the health and sustainability of limited freshwater resources.

Like so many Americans, I am committed to protecting the National Park System, which preserves our country's incredible array of landscapes, waters, wildlife, and opportunities for exploration. Biscayne National Park is an irreplaceable national treasure that safeguards precious natural resources and recreational opportunities. According to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's own regulations, "sites adjacent to lands devoted to public use may be considered unsuitable," and unacceptable impacts are "most apt to arise in areas adjacent to natural-resource-oriented areas." Within six miles of the proposed expansion site, there are two national parks, an aquatic preserve, a national wildlife refuge, and a wetland habitat preserve. In following the NRC's own guidelines, the expansion of Turkey Point could have unacceptable and irreversible impacts on these treasured sites.

Operating two new reactors at Turkey Point could also have huge impacts on the quantity and quality of precious freshwater resources. The withdrawal of massive amounts of water from under Biscayne Bay as back-up cooling water could increase salinity levels within the Bay and hasten saltwater intrusion into our limited freshwater supplies.

Furthermore, expanding a nuclear power plant in an area that is ground zero for sea level rise threatens the future of South Florida. I strongly oppose the expansion of Turkey Point as proposed due to the project's potentially widespread negative environmental and public health and safety impacts and the serious threats it poses to Biscayne National Park.

Thank you for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Miss Rosita Aranita
1735 Ala Aolani St

Honolulu, HI 96819-1413

TurkeyPointCOLEIS Resource

From: Barbara Sprano <Bobbi@ReikiTouchTherapy.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 16, 2015 4:59 PM
To: TurkeyPointCOLEIS Resource
Subject: Attn: NRC-2009-0337 -- No New Reactors at Turkey Point

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Dear Chief Bladley,

I am writing in opposition to the proposed expansion of Turkey Point Power Plant in Homestead, Florida. The proposed construction site is located directly on the shores of Biscayne National Park in an area that's extremely susceptible to sea-level rise and the storm surges that will come with climate change. And an expansion of Turkey Point could jeopardize the area's limited freshwater resources as well as sensitive habitat for a wide range of federally protected endangered species.

Like so many Americans, I love our national parks, which preserve our country's incredible landscapes and waters, wildlife and opportunities for exploration. Biscayne National Park is one of these irreplaceable national treasures. According to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's own rules, "sites adjacent to lands devoted to public use may be considered unsuitable," and unacceptable impacts are "most apt to arise in areas adjacent to natural-resource-oriented areas." How then can this project proceed when there are two national parks, an aquatic preserve, a wetland preserve and a national wildlife refuge within six miles of the proposed expansion site?

As you know operating two new reactors at Turkey Point could also have huge impacts on the quantity and quality of the area's limited freshwater supplies. The withdrawal of massive amounts of water from under Biscayne National Park as backup cooling water could increase salinity levels within the bay and hasten saltwater intrusion into the aquifer.

And finally, expanding a nuclear power plant in an area that's ground zero for sea level rise puts South Florida at unacceptable risk. For all these reasons, I urge you to deny the proposed expansion at Turkey Point and protect the region's people and unparalleled natural resources.

Sincerely,

Barbara Sprano
7185 Pine Bluff Dr
Lake Worth, FL 33467
US

TurkeyPointCOLEIS Resource

From: Jacqueline Stewart <Avtec11@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 16, 2015 5:01 PM
To: TurkeyPointCOLEIS Resource
Subject: Attn: NRC-2009-0337 -- No New Reactors at Turkey Point

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Dear Chief Bladley,

I am writing in opposition to the proposed expansion of Turkey Point Power Plant in Homestead, Florida. The proposed construction site is located directly on the shores of Biscayne National Park in an area that's extremely susceptible to sea-level rise and the storm surges that will come with climate change. And an expansion of Turkey Point could jeopardize the area's limited freshwater resources as well as sensitive habitat for a wide range of federally protected endangered species.

Like so many Americans, I love our national parks, which preserve our country's incredible landscapes and waters, wildlife and opportunities for exploration. Biscayne National Park is one of these irreplaceable national treasures. According to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's own rules, "sites adjacent to lands devoted to public use may be considered unsuitable," and unacceptable impacts are "most apt to arise in areas adjacent to natural-resource-oriented areas." How then can this project proceed when there are two national parks, an aquatic preserve, a wetland preserve and a national wildlife refuge within six miles of the proposed expansion site?

As you know operating two new reactors at Turkey Point could also have huge impacts on the quantity and quality of the area's limited freshwater supplies. The withdrawal of massive amounts of water from under Biscayne National Park as backup cooling water could increase salinity levels within the bay and hasten saltwater intrusion into the aquifer.

And finally, expanding a nuclear power plant in an area that's ground zero for sea level rise puts South Florida at unacceptable risk. For all these reasons, I urge you to deny the proposed expansion at Turkey Point and protect the region's people and unparalleled natural resources.

Sincerely,

Jacqueline Stewart
20741 SE 141st. ST
FI, FL 32784
US

TurkeyPointCOLEIS Resource

From: National Parks Conservation Association <nPCA@npca.org> on behalf of Linda Headley <nPCA@npca.org>
Sent: Saturday, May 16, 2015 5:17 PM
To: TurkeyPointCOLEIS Resource
Subject: I Oppose the Proposed Expansion of Turkey Point, Docket ID: NRC-2009-0337

May 16, 2015

Chief Cindy Bladley

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of Administration, OWFN 12
H8
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Chief Bladley,

I am writing in reference to Docket ID: NRC-2009-0337 to express my opposition to the proposed expansion of Turkey Point Power Plant, located in Homestead, Florida. The site proposed for expansion is located directly on the shores of Biscayne National Park in an area that is extremely susceptible to sea level rise and the impacts of climate change. The expansion of Turkey Point could have serious environmental impacts on sensitive ecological habitat and the health and sustainability of limited freshwater resources.

Like so many Americans, I am committed to protecting the National Park System, which preserves our country's incredible array of landscapes, waters, wildlife, and opportunities for exploration. Biscayne National Park is an irreplaceable national treasure that safeguards precious natural resources and recreational opportunities. According to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's own regulations, "sites adjacent to lands devoted to public use may be considered unsuitable," and unacceptable impacts are "most apt to arise in areas adjacent to natural-resource-oriented areas." Within six miles of the proposed expansion site, there are two national parks, an aquatic preserve, a national wildlife refuge, and a wetland habitat preserve. In following the NRC's own guidelines, the expansion of Turkey Point could have unacceptable and irreversible impacts on these treasured sites.

Operating two new reactors at Turkey Point could also have huge impacts on the quantity and quality of precious freshwater resources. The withdrawal of massive amounts of water from under Biscayne Bay as back-up cooling water could increase salinity levels within the Bay and hasten saltwater intrusion into our limited freshwater supplies.

Furthermore, expanding a nuclear power plant in an area that is ground zero for sea level rise threatens the future of South Florida. I strongly oppose the expansion of Turkey Point as proposed due to the project's potentially widespread negative environmental and public health and safety impacts and the serious threats it poses to Biscayne National Park.

Thank you for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Headley
121 SE 276th St
Cross City, FL 32628-3555

TurkeyPointCOLEIS Resource

From: National Parks Conservation Association <nPCA@npca.org> on behalf of Corey Parker <nPCA@npca.org>
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2015 9:45 PM
To: TurkeyPointCOLEIS Resource
Subject: I Oppose the Proposed Expansion of Turkey Point, Docket ID: NRC-2009-0337

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

May 15, 2015

Chief Cindy Bladley

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of Administration, OWFN 12
H8
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Chief Bladley,

I am writing in reference to Docket ID: NRC-2009-0337 to express my opposition to the proposed expansion of Turkey Point Power Plant, located in Homestead, Florida. The site proposed for expansion is located directly on the shores of Biscayne National Park in an area that is extremely susceptible to sea level rise and the impacts of climate change. The expansion of Turkey Point could have serious environmental impacts on sensitive ecological habitat and the health and sustainability of limited freshwater resources.

Like so many Americans, I am committed to protecting the National Park System, which preserves our country's incredible array of landscapes, waters, wildlife, and opportunities for exploration. Biscayne National Park is an irreplaceable national treasure that safeguards precious natural resources and recreational opportunities. According to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's own regulations, "sites adjacent to lands devoted to public use may be considered unsuitable," and unacceptable impacts are "most apt to arise in areas adjacent to natural-resource-oriented areas." Within six miles of the proposed expansion site, there are two national parks, an aquatic preserve, a national wildlife refuge, and a wetland habitat preserve. In following the NRC's own guidelines, the expansion of Turkey Point could have unacceptable and irreversible impacts on these treasured sites.

Operating two new reactors at Turkey Point could also have huge impacts on the quantity and quality of precious freshwater resources. The withdrawal of massive amounts of water from under Biscayne Bay as back-up cooling water could increase salinity levels within the Bay and hasten saltwater intrusion into our limited freshwater supplies.

Furthermore, expanding a nuclear power plant in an area that is ground zero for sea level rise threatens the future of South Florida. I strongly oppose the expansion of Turkey Point as proposed due to the project's potentially widespread negative environmental and public health and safety impacts and the serious threats it poses to Biscayne National Park.

Thank you for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Mr. Corey Parker
1912 Kingsley Dr

Schaumburg, IL 60194-2651

TurkeyPointCOLEIS Resource

From: National Parks Conservation Association <nPCA@npca.org> on behalf of Dorothy Winick <nPCA@npca.org>
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2015 5:44 PM
To: TurkeyPointCOLEIS Resource
Subject: I Oppose the Proposed Expansion of Turkey Point, Docket ID: NRC-2009-0337

May 15, 2015

Chief Cindy Bladley

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of Administration, OWFN 12
H8
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Chief Bladley,

I am writing in reference to Docket ID: NRC-2009-0337 to express my opposition to the proposed expansion of Turkey Point Power Plant, located in Homestead, Florida. The site proposed for expansion is located directly on the shores of Biscayne National Park in an area that is extremely susceptible to sea level rise and the impacts of climate change. The expansion of Turkey Point could have serious environmental impacts on sensitive ecological habitat and the health and sustainability of limited freshwater resources.

Like so many Americans, I am committed to protecting the National Park System, which preserves our country's incredible array of landscapes, waters, wildlife, and opportunities for exploration. Biscayne National Park is an irreplaceable national treasure that safeguards precious natural resources and recreational opportunities. According to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's own regulations, "sites adjacent to lands devoted to public use may be considered unsuitable," and unacceptable impacts are "most apt to arise in areas adjacent to natural-resource-oriented areas." Within six miles of the proposed expansion site, there are two national parks, an aquatic preserve, a national wildlife refuge, and a wetland habitat preserve. In following the NRC's own guidelines, the expansion of Turkey Point could have unacceptable and irreversible impacts on these treasured sites.

Operating two new reactors at Turkey Point could also have huge impacts on the quantity and quality of precious freshwater resources. The withdrawal of massive amounts of water from under Biscayne Bay as back-up cooling water could increase salinity levels within the Bay and hasten saltwater intrusion into our limited freshwater supplies.

Furthermore, expanding a nuclear power plant in an area that is ground zero for sea level rise threatens the future of South Florida. I strongly oppose the expansion of Turkey Point as proposed due to the project's potentially widespread negative environmental and public health and safety impacts and the serious threats it poses to Biscayne National Park.

Thank you for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Dorothy Winick
3501 N Ocean Dr
Hollywood, FL 33019-3813
(954) 981-3225

TurkeyPointCOLEIS Resource

From: National Parks Conservation Association <nPCA@npca.org> on behalf of Mark Youd <nPCA@npca.org>
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2015 4:15 PM
To: TurkeyPointCOLEIS Resource
Subject: I Oppose the Proposed Expansion of Turkey Point, Docket ID: NRC-2009-0337

May 15, 2015

Chief Cindy Bladley

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of Administration, OWFN 12
H8
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Chief Bladley,

I am writing in reference to Docket ID: NRC-2009-0337 to express my opposition to the proposed expansion of Turkey Point Power Plant, located in Homestead, Florida. The site proposed for expansion is located directly on the shores of Biscayne National Park in an area that is extremely susceptible to sea level rise and the impacts of climate change. The expansion of Turkey Point could have serious environmental impacts on sensitive ecological habitat and the health and sustainability of limited freshwater resources.

Like so many Americans, I am committed to protecting the National Park System, which preserves our country's incredible array of landscapes, waters, wildlife, and opportunities for exploration. Biscayne National Park is an irreplaceable national treasure that safeguards precious natural resources and recreational opportunities. According to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's own regulations, "sites adjacent to lands devoted to public use may be considered unsuitable," and unacceptable impacts are "most apt to arise in areas adjacent to natural-resource-oriented areas." Within six miles of the proposed expansion site, there are two national parks, an aquatic preserve, a national wildlife refuge, and a wetland habitat preserve. In following the NRC's own guidelines, the expansion of Turkey Point could have unacceptable and irreversible impacts on these treasured sites.

Operating two new reactors at Turkey Point could also have huge impacts on the quantity and quality of precious freshwater resources. The withdrawal of massive amounts of water from under Biscayne Bay as back-up cooling water could increase salinity levels within the Bay and hasten saltwater intrusion into our limited freshwater supplies.

Furthermore, expanding a nuclear power plant in an area that is ground zero for sea level rise threatens the future of South Florida. I strongly oppose the expansion of Turkey Point as proposed due to the project's potentially widespread negative environmental and public health and safety impacts and the serious threats it poses to Biscayne National Park.

Thank you for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Youd
3 Magnolia Dr N
Ormond Beach, FL 32174-9249
(386) 212-1403

TurkeyPointCOLEIS Resource

From: National Parks Conservation Association <npca@npca.org> on behalf of Carole-Anne Cordero <npca@npca.org>
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2015 4:15 PM
To: TurkeyPointCOLEIS Resource
Subject: I Oppose the Proposed Expansion of Turkey Point, Docket ID: NRC-2009-0337

May 15, 2015

Chief Cindy Bladley

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of Administration, OWFN 12
H8
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Chief Bladley,

I am writing in reference to Docket ID: NRC-2009-0337 to express my opposition to the proposed expansion of Turkey Point Power Plant, located in Homestead, Florida. The site proposed for expansion is located directly on the shores of Biscayne National Park in an area that is extremely susceptible to sea level rise and the impacts of climate change. The expansion of Turkey Point could have serious environmental impacts on sensitive ecological habitat and the health and sustainability of limited freshwater resources.

Like so many Americans, I am committed to protecting the National Park System, which preserves our country's incredible array of landscapes, waters, wildlife, and opportunities for exploration. Biscayne National Park is an irreplaceable national treasure that safeguards precious natural resources and recreational opportunities. According to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's own regulations, "sites adjacent to lands devoted to public use may be considered unsuitable," and unacceptable impacts are "most apt to arise in areas adjacent to natural-resource-oriented areas." Within six miles of the proposed expansion site, there are two national parks, an aquatic preserve, a national wildlife refuge, and a wetland habitat preserve. In following the NRC's own guidelines, the expansion of Turkey Point could have unacceptable and irreversible impacts on these treasured sites.

Operating two new reactors at Turkey Point could also have huge impacts on the quantity and quality of precious freshwater resources. The withdrawal of massive amounts of water from under Biscayne Bay as back-up cooling water could increase salinity levels within the Bay and hasten saltwater intrusion into our limited freshwater supplies.

Furthermore, expanding a nuclear power plant in an area that is ground zero for sea level rise threatens the future of South Florida. I strongly oppose the expansion of Turkey Point as proposed due to the project's potentially widespread negative environmental and public health and safety impacts and the serious threats it poses to Biscayne National Park.

Thank you for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carole-Anne Cordero
41 Clyde St
Asheville, NC 28801-1903
(919) 357-7388

TurkeyPointCOLEIS Resource

From: National Parks Conservation Association <nPCA@npca.org> on behalf of Barbara Hart <nPCA@npca.org>
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2015 4:15 PM
To: TurkeyPointCOLEIS Resource
Subject: I Oppose the Proposed Expansion of Turkey Point, Docket ID: NRC-2009-0337

May 15, 2015

Chief Cindy Bladley

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of Administration, OWFN 12
H8
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Chief Bladley,

I am writing in reference to Docket ID: NRC-2009-0337 to express my opposition to the proposed expansion of Turkey Point Power Plant, located in Homestead, Florida. The site proposed for expansion is located directly on the shores of Biscayne National Park in an area that is extremely susceptible to sea level rise and the impacts of climate change. The expansion of Turkey Point could have serious environmental impacts on sensitive ecological habitat and the health and sustainability of limited freshwater resources.

Like so many Americans, I am committed to protecting the National Park System, which preserves our country's incredible array of landscapes, waters, wildlife, and opportunities for exploration. Biscayne National Park is an irreplaceable national treasure that safeguards precious natural resources and recreational opportunities. According to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's own regulations, "sites adjacent to lands devoted to public use may be considered unsuitable," and unacceptable impacts are "most apt to arise in areas adjacent to natural-resource-oriented areas." Within six miles of the proposed expansion site, there are two national parks, an aquatic preserve, a national wildlife refuge, and a wetland habitat preserve. In following the NRC's own guidelines, the expansion of Turkey Point could have unacceptable and irreversible impacts on these treasured sites.

Operating two new reactors at Turkey Point could also have huge impacts on the quantity and quality of precious freshwater resources. The withdrawal of massive amounts of water from under Biscayne Bay as back-up cooling water could increase salinity levels within the Bay and hasten saltwater intrusion into our limited freshwater supplies.

Furthermore, expanding a nuclear power plant in an area that is ground zero for sea level rise threatens the future of South Florida. I strongly oppose the expansion of Turkey Point as proposed due to the project's potentially widespread negative environmental and public health and safety impacts and the serious threats it poses to Biscayne National Park.

MUST WE ALWAYS PUT PROFIT FRIST. IN THIS CASE PEOPLE MUST COME FRIST!!!

Thank you for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Barbara Hart
8725 Rugby Ct
Colorado Springs, CO 80920-5365

TurkeyPointCOLEIS Resource

From: National Parks Conservation Association <nPCA@npca.org> on behalf of Pat Wilkins <nPCA@npca.org>
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2015 4:15 PM
To: TurkeyPointCOLEIS Resource
Subject: I Oppose the Proposed Expansion of Turkey Point, Docket ID: NRC-2009-0337

May 15, 2015

Chief Cindy Bladley

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of Administration, OWFN 12
H8
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Chief Bladley,

I am writing in reference to Docket ID: NRC-2009-0337 to express my opposition to the proposed expansion of Turkey Point Power Plant, located in Homestead, Florida. The site proposed for expansion is located directly on the shores of Biscayne National Park in an area that is extremely susceptible to sea level rise and the impacts of climate change. The expansion of Turkey Point could have serious environmental impacts on sensitive ecological habitat and the health and sustainability of limited freshwater resources.

Like so many Americans, I am committed to protecting the National Park System, which preserves our country's incredible array of landscapes, waters, wildlife, and opportunities for exploration. Biscayne National Park is an irreplaceable national treasure that safeguards precious natural resources and recreational opportunities. According to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's own regulations, "sites adjacent to lands devoted to public use may be considered unsuitable," and unacceptable impacts are "most apt to arise in areas adjacent to natural-resource-oriented areas." Within six miles of the proposed expansion site, there are two national parks, an aquatic preserve, a national wildlife refuge, and a wetland habitat preserve. In following the NRC's own guidelines, the expansion of Turkey Point could have unacceptable and irreversible impacts on these treasured sites.

Operating two new reactors at Turkey Point could also have huge impacts on the quantity and quality of precious freshwater resources. The withdrawal of massive amounts of water from under Biscayne Bay as back-up cooling water could increase salinity levels within the Bay and hasten saltwater intrusion into our limited freshwater supplies.

Furthermore, expanding a nuclear power plant in an area that is ground zero for sea level rise threatens the future of South Florida. I strongly oppose the expansion of Turkey Point as proposed due to the project's potentially widespread negative environmental and public health and safety impacts and the serious threats it poses to Biscayne National Park.

Thank you for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Mr. Pat Wilkins
437 Blossom St
Fitchburg, MA 01420-2505
(978) 345-0470

