

TurkeyPointCEm Resource

From: National Parks Conservation Association [npca@npca.org] on behalf of Charles Brumleve [npca@npca.org]
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2015 11:15 PM
To: TurkeyPointCOLEIS Resource
Subject: I Oppose the Proposed Expansion of Turkey Point, Docket ID: NRC-2009-0337

May 15, 2015

Chief Cindy Bladley

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of Administration, OWFN 12
H8
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Chief Bladley,

Dear NRC,

I am not convinced that nuclear is the way to proceed towards our country's energy solutions. There are still two problems yet to be addressed before nuclear can be truly viable: 1) the reactors need to be removed from the biosphere that we live in - meaning get the reactors a couple thousand feet underground (or in space); the steam generators and all other facilities can be on the surface, 2) after 30 years, the waste still has nowhere to go. Before expansions can be considered, the mounting spent nuclear waste problem has to be addressed. Suggest giving NASA a new mission - get the Nuc waste off the planet - shoot it into the sun or whatever.

In addition, I am writing in reference to Docket ID: NRC-2009-0337 to express my opposition to the proposed expansion of Turkey Point Power Plant, located in Homestead, Florida. The site proposed for expansion is located directly on the shores of Biscayne National Park in an area that is extremely susceptible to sea level rise and the impacts of climate change. The expansion of Turkey Point could have serious environmental impacts on sensitive ecological habitat and the health and sustainability of limited freshwater resources.

Like so many Americans, I am committed to protecting the National Park System, which preserves our country's incredible array of landscapes, waters, wildlife, and opportunities for exploration. Biscayne National Park is an irreplaceable national treasure that safeguards precious natural resources and recreational opportunities. According to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's own regulations, "sites adjacent to lands devoted to public use may be considered unsuitable," and unacceptable impacts are "most apt to arise in areas adjacent to natural-resource-oriented areas." Within six miles of the proposed expansion site, there are two national parks, an aquatic preserve, a national wildlife refuge, and a wetland habitat preserve. In following the NRC's own guidelines, the expansion of Turkey Point could have unacceptable and irreversible impacts on these treasured sites.

Operating two new reactors at Turkey Point could also have huge impacts on the quantity and quality of precious freshwater resources. The withdrawal of massive amounts of water from under Biscayne Bay as back-up cooling water could increase salinity levels within the Bay and hasten saltwater intrusion into our limited freshwater supplies.

Furthermore, expanding a nuclear power plant in an area that is ground zero for sea level rise threatens the future of South Florida. I strongly oppose the expansion of Turkey Point as proposed due to the project's potentially widespread negative environmental and public health and safety impacts and the serious threats it poses to Biscayne National Park.

Thank you for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Brumleve
13606 S Fishery Road
Mohawk, MI 49950
(906) 362-9663

Federal Register Notice: 80FR12043
Comment Number: 5423

Mail Envelope Properties (753108901.1431746096997.JavaMail.www)

Subject: I Oppose the Proposed Expansion of Turkey Point, Docket ID: NRC-2009-0337
Sent Date: 5/15/2015 11:14:56 PM
Received Date: 5/15/2015 11:46:37 PM
From: National Parks Conservation Association

Created By: npca@npca.org

Recipients:
"TurkeyPointCOLEIS Resource" <TurkeyPointCOLEIS.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: app320

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	3133	5/15/2015 11:46:37 PM

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: