



Dry Cask Storage Characterization Project—Phase 1: CASTOR V/21 Cask Opening and Examination

Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research
Washington, DC 20555-0001



AVAILABILITY OF REFERENCE MATERIALS IN NRC PUBLICATIONS

NRC Reference Material

As of November 1999, you may electronically access NUREG-series publications and other NRC records at NRC's Public Electronic Reading Room at www.nrc.gov/NRC/ADAMS/index.html.

Publicly released records include, to name a few, NUREG-series publications; *Federal Register* notices; applicant, licensee, and vendor documents and correspondence; NRC correspondence and internal memoranda; bulletins and information notices; inspection and investigative reports; licensee event reports; and Commission papers and their attachments.

NRC publications in the NUREG series, NRC regulations, and *Title 10, Energy*, in the Code of *Federal Regulations* may also be purchased from one of these two sources.

1. The Superintendent of Documents
U.S. Government Printing Office
Mail Stop SSOP
Washington, DC 20402-0001
Internet: bookstore.gpo.gov
Telephone: 202-512-1800
Fax: 202-512-2250
2. The National Technical Information Service
Springfield, VA 22161-0002
www.ntis.gov
1-800-553-6847 or, locally, 703-605-6000

A single copy of each NRC draft report for comment is available free, to the extent of supply, upon written request as follows:

Address: Office of the Chief Information Officer,
Reproduction and Distribution
Services Section
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001
E-mail: DISTRIBUTION@nrc.gov
Facsimile: 301-415-2289

Some publications in the NUREG series that are posted at NRC's Web site address www.nrc.gov/NRC/NUREGS/indexnum.html are updated periodically and may differ from the last printed version. Although references to material found on a Web site bear the date the material was accessed, the material available on the date cited may subsequently be removed from the site.

Non-NRC Reference Material

Documents available from public and special technical libraries include all open literature items, such as books, journal articles, and transactions, *Federal Register* notices, Federal and State legislation, and congressional reports. Such documents as theses, dissertations, foreign reports and translations, and non-NRC conference proceedings may be purchased from their sponsoring organization.

Copies of industry codes and standards used in a substantive manner in the NRC regulatory process are maintained at—

The NRC Technical Library
Two White Flint North
11545 Rockville Pike
Rockville, MD 20852-2738

These standards are available in the library for reference use by the public. Codes and standards are usually copyrighted and may be purchased from the originating organization or, if they are American National Standards, from—

American National Standards Institute
11 West 42nd Street
New York, NY 10036-8002
www.ansi.org
212-642-4900

Legally binding regulatory requirements are stated only in laws; NRC regulations; licenses, including technical specifications; or orders, not in NUREG-series publications. The views expressed in contractor-prepared publications in this series are not necessarily those of the NRC.

The NUREG series comprises (1) technical and administrative reports and books prepared by the staff (NUREG-XXXX) or agency contractors (NUREG/CR-XXXX), (2) proceedings of conferences (NUREG/CP-XXXX), (3) reports resulting from international agreements (NUREG/IA-XXXX), (4) brochures (NUREG/BR-XXXX), and (5) compilations of legal decisions and orders of the Commission and Atomic and Safety Licensing Boards and of Directors' decisions under Section 2.206 of NRC's regulations (NUREG-0750).

DISCLAIMER: This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the U.S. Government. Neither the U.S. Government nor any agency thereof, nor any employee, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for any third party's use, or the results of such use, of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed in this publication, or represents that its use by such third party would not infringe privately owned rights.

Dry Cask Storage Characterization Project—Phase 1: CASTOR V/21 Cask Opening and Examination

Manuscript Completed: August 2001
Date Published: September 2001

Prepared by
W.C. Bare, L.D. Torgerson

Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory
Idaho Falls, ID 83415-3114

R.M. Kenneally, NRC Project Manager

Prepared for
Division of Engineering Technology
Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001
NRC Job Code Y6038



**NUREG/CR-6745 has been reproduced
from the best available copy.**

ABSTRACT

This report documents visual examination and testing conducted in 1999 and early 2000 at the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory (INEEL) on a Gesellschaft für Nuklear Service (GNS) CASTOR V/21 pressurized water reactor (PWR) spent fuel dry storage cask. The purpose of the examination and testing is to develop a technical basis for renewal of licenses and Certificates of Compliance for dry storage systems for spent nuclear fuel and high-level waste at independent spent fuel storage installation sites. The examination and testing was conducted to assess the condition of the cask internal and external surfaces, cask contents consisting of 21 Westinghouse PWR spent fuel assemblies from Dominion's (formerly named Virginia Power) Surry Power Station and cask concrete storage pad. The assemblies have been continuously stored in the CASTOR cask since 1985. Cask exterior surface and selected fuel assembly temperatures, and cask surface gamma and neutron dose rates were measured. Cask external/internal surfaces, fuel basket components including accessible weldments, fuel assembly exteriors, and primary lid seals were visually examined. Selected fuel rods were removed from one fuel assembly, visually examined, and then shipped to Argonne National Laboratory for nondestructive, destructive, and mechanical examination. Cask interior crud samples and helium cover gas samples were collected and analyzed. The results of the examination and testing indicate the concrete storage pad, CASTOR V/21 cask, and cask contents exhibited sound structural and seal integrity and that long-term storage has not caused detectable degradation of the spent fuel cladding or the release of gaseous fission products between 1985 and 1999.

CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	iii
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	xvii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	xix
ACRONYMS	xxi
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Regulations	1
1.2 Objectives and Scope	1
1.3 CASTOR-V/21 Cask Description	3
1.3.1 Cask Body	3
1.3.2 Spent Fuel Basket	4
1.3.3 Primary Lid	5
1.3.4 Secondary Lid	6
2. INSPECTIONS AND TESTS	7
2.1 Long-Term Spent Fuel Storage Pad	7
2.1.1 Description of Pad	7
2.1.2 Pad Inspection	9
2.2 Cask Exterior	11
2.3 Cask Lid Bolts	11
2.4 Cask Lid Seals	14
2.4.1 Description of the Seals	14
2.5 Remote Inspection	14
2.5.1 Direct Visual Inspection	16
2.5.2 Observations and Results	16
2.6 Cask Interior	19
2.6.1 Method of Inspection	20
2.6.2 Interior Cask Sidewall	20
2.6.3 Interior Cask Bottom and Bottom Sidewall	21
2.7 Fuel Assembly Basket	23

2.7.1	Basket Condition	24
2.7.2	Basket Welds	25
2.8	Fuel Assemblies	28
2.8.1	Purpose of the Inspection	28
2.8.2	Method of Inspection and Lifting Force Measurements	28
2.8.3	Fuel Rod Length Variation.....	30
2.8.4	Fuel Rod Bowing.....	31
2.8.5	Cladding Failure	31
2.8.6	Crud Coating	31
2.8.7	Fuel Rod Removal and Examination.....	32
2.9	Cask Temperatures	36
2.9.1	Internal Temperature	36
2.9.2	External Surface Temperature.....	37
3.	RADIATION SURVEY	40
3.1	Objectives	40
3.2	Methods of Measurement	40
3.3	Observations	42
3.3.1	Gamma Contributors	42
3.3.2	Gamma Dose Rates on the Sides of the Cask.....	43
3.3.3	Neutron Dose Rates on the Sides of the Cask	45
3.3.4	Gamma Dose Rates on the Top and Bottom Surfaces of the Cask	49
3.3.5	Conclusion.....	55
4.	GAS SURVEY.....	58
4.1	Background.....	58
4.2	1985 Data	58
4.3	1986 Post Cask Performance Test Gas Sampling Data	59
4.4	1994 Through 1999 Data.....	60
4.5	C-14 and Kr-85 Data	64
4.6	2000 Data After Refill of CASTOR V/21	64
4.7	Conclusion on Gas Survey	65
5.	CONCLUSION.....	66
6.	REFERENCES	68

Appendix A—Dosimeter Radiation Measurements from CASTOR V/21 Cask Performance Test	A-1
Appendix B—Cracked Stitch Welds Identified in Fuel Basket of CASTOR V/21 Cask Basket	B-1
Appendix C—Fuel Assembly Features	C-1
Appendix D—Fuel Tubes	D-1
Appendix E—Hot Cell Operation and Removal of Fuel Rods from Fuel Assembly T11	E-1
Appendix F—Cask Interior Wall and Floor	F-1
Appendix G—Condition of Existing Cracked Welds Identified in 1985	G-1
Appendix H—Castor V/21 Cask Side Radiation Survey Locations	H-1

FIGURES

1-1. CASTOR-V/21 PWR Spent Fuel Storage Cask	3
1-2. CASTOR-V/21 cask cross section	4
1-3. CASTOR V/21 primary lid	5
1-4. CASTOR V/21 cask lid system	6
2-1. Location of fuel storage casks on spent fuel storage pad TAN/TSF-791	8
2-2. Rebound hammer test locations at CASTOR V/21 storage pad location	9
2-3. Shrink crack indication of CASTOR V/21 concrete pad storage area	10
2-4. Cask bottom cover plate bolt corrosion	12
2-5. Cask bottom cover plate bolt after corrosion removal	12
2-6. Typical cask primary lid bolt after removal	13
2-7. Cask primary lid bolts prior to removal	13
2-8. Primary lid elastomer O-ring crushed dimensional configuration	17
2-9. Elastomer O-ring splice joint configuration	17
2-10. Elastomer O-ring splice joint configuration	18
2-11. Primary lid metal O-ring crushed dimensional configuration	18
2-12. Primary lid metal O-ring	19
2-13. Cask wall at top—typical surface condition	21
2-14. Cask floor and wall below fuel tube C8—reflective surfaces free of corrosion	22

2-15. Cask wall below and between fuel tube A7 and A8—reflective surface free of corrosion— typical of cask wall condition without mineral stains.....	23
2-16. CASTOR-V/21 basket crack indication locations and fuel tube arrangement.....	26
2-17. Typical top stitch weld crack at location 14 identified in Figure 2-16	27
2-18. Typical bottom stitch weld crack at location 14 identified in Figure 2-16	27
2-19. Crack in basket weld below weld crack 4 (120 degree side) identified in Figure 2-13	28
2-20. Fuel assembly being lifted out of the basket for visual examination	29
2-21. Fuel assembly T11 attached to lifting grapple for visual examination	29
2-22. Surry 15 × 15 PWR Fuel Assembly T11, Serial number LM02JR.....	34
2-23. Close up of Fuel rod removed from fuel assembly T11 position 7H identified in Figure 2-22.....	35
2-24. Fuel rod removed from fuel assembly T11 position 8I identified in Figure 2-22.....	35
2-25. Surface temperatures of the CASTOR V/21 Cask.....	39
3-1. Vertical location of the gamma and neutron dose rate readings on the sidewall of the CASTOR V/21 cask. Locations of the readings at the 45 and 90-degree azimuths are given as elevation (in millimeters) from the bottom of the cask. The bottom of the cask in the figure is on the left	41
3-2. Radial locations of the gamma and neutron dose rate readings on the top and bottom surfaces of the cask. Locations of the readings are given as radial displacement (in millimeters) from the center on the 45 and 90-degree azimuths	41
3-3. Comparison of the 2001 and 1985 gamma dose rates on the cask sidewall at the 45 and 90-degree azimuths	44
3-4. Gamma dose rates in 2001 as a function of elevation for the 45 and 90-degree azimuths on the sidewall of the cask. The dose rate profiles for the two azimuths appear to differ slightly at the ends of the cask	46
3-5. Circumferential profiles of gamma dose rates on the cask sidewall, acquired in 2001 as a function of azimuth and elevation. Readings were taken at the 4400, 4298, and 2701-mm elevations in 30-degree increments around the entire cask circumference	47
3-6. Comparison of the neutron dose rates measured in 1985 and 2001 on the cask sidewall at the 45 and 90-degree azimuths	48
3-7. Circumferential profiles of neutron dose rates on the cask sidewall, acquired in 2001 as a function of azimuth and elevation. Readings were taken at the 4400, 4298, and 2701-mm elevations in 30-degree increments around the entire cask circumference	50

3-8.	Comparison of the 1985 and 2001 neutron dose rates as a function of azimuth and elevation. Although the 1985 neutron dosimetry is limited to the 45–120-degree sector, the 2001 circumferential data compare favorably	51
3-9.	Local variations in shielding due to the stacking configuration of the cylindrical polyethylene rods. Plot compares the 1985 and the 2001 data for gamma and neutron radiation	52
3-10.	Radial gamma dose rate profile on the top surface of the cask. The plot compares the 2001 and 1985 readings at the 45 and 90-degree azimuths	53
3-11.	Radial gamma dose rate profile on the bottom surface of the cask. The plot compares the 2001 and 1985 readings at the 45 and 90-degree azimuths	54
3-12.	Radial neutron dose rate profile on the top surface of the cask. The plot compares the 2001 and 1985 readings at the 45 and 90-degree azimuths	56
3-13.	Radial neutron dose rate profile on the bottom surface of the cask. The plot compares the 2001 and 1985 readings at the 45 and 90-degree azimuths	57
B-1	Top weld crack at location 9 identified in B-19. (Triangular air channel between fuel tube A7 & B8).....	B-3
B-2.	Bottom weld crack at location 9 identified in B-19. (Triangular air channel between fuel tube A7 & B8).....	B-3
B-3.	Top weld crack at location 10 identified in B-19. (Triangular air channel between fuel tube A3 & A6)	B-4
B-4.	Bottom weld crack at location 10 identified in B-19. (Triangular air channel between fuel tube A3 & A6)	B-4
B-5.	Top weld crack at location 11 identified in B-19. (Triangular air channel between fuel tube A3 & B6).....	B-5
B-6.	Bottom weld crack at location 11 identified in B-19. (Triangular air channel between fuel tube A3 & B6).....	B-5
B-7.	Top weld no crack. Location number 12 identified in B-19. (Triangular air channel between fuel tube B7 & B8).....	B-6
B-8.	Bottom weld crack at location 12 identified in B-19. (Triangular air channel between fuel tube B7 & B8).....	B-6
B-9.	Top weld crack (minor) at location 13 identified in B-19. (Triangular air channel between fuel tube B7 & C8).....	B-7
B10.	Bottom weld crack at location 13 identified in B-19. (Triangular air channel between fuel tube B7 & C8).....	B-7
B-11.	Top weld crack at location 14 identified in B-19. (Triangular air channel between fuel tube C6 & D3).....	B-8

B-12. Botton weld crack at location 14 identified in B-19. (Triangular air channel between fuel tube C6 & D3).....	B-8
B-13. Top weld crack at location 15 identified in B-1. (Triangular air channel between fuel tube D3 & D6)	B-9
B-14. Bottom weld crack at location 15 identified in B-19. (Triangular air channel between fuel tube D3 & D6)	B-9
B-15. Top weld crack at location 16 identified in B-19. (Triangular air channel between fuel tube A7 & D8)	B-10
B-16. Bottom weld crack at location 16 identified in B-19. (Triangular air channel between fuel tube A7 & D8)	B-10
B-17. Crack in basket weld below weld crack 4 (120°) identified in B-19.	B-11
B-18. Same as B-17 with complete view of existing weld crack 4 identified in B-19.	B-11
B-19. CASTOR V/21 Basket Crack Indication Locations.	B-12
C-1. Westinghouse gropple lifting fuel assembly V-05.....	C-3
C-2. Top of assembly V-05.....	C-3
C-3. Oxide mottling noted around spacer #5 on fuel assembly V-05.....	C-4
C-4. Oxide mottling noted around grid spacer #6 on V-05.....	C-4
C-5. Fuel assembly V-05—area around upper grid spacer—rods clean and minimal bowing noted.....	C-5
C-6. Fuel assembly V-05 area around third grid spacer—noted light abrasion marking on spacer	C-5
C-7. Fuel assembly V-05 oxide mottling noted around fifth grid spacer.....	C-6
C-8. Fuel assembly V-05 bottom of fuel element V-05—light oxide mottling noted—no loose material seen on rods or top of bottom nozzle	C-6
C-9. Fuel assembly V-05 detail of bottom of element—note oxide rings adjacent to rod end weld	C-7
C-10. Fuel assembly V-05 top of assembly	C-7
C-11. Fuel assembly V-05 oxide mottling noted around grid spacer 5	C-8
C-12. Fuel assembly V-05—bottom left nozzle—noted mechanical fasteners searing guide tubes	C-8
C-13. Fuel assembly V-05 looking for loose “crud”—non was evident.....	C-9

C-14. Element T-03 being withdrawn—note fuel rod growth.....	C-9
C-15. Element T-03 at intermediate grid spacer—rods appear to be relatively clean with a light oxide coating.....	C-10
C-16. Element T-03 midpoint of assembly noted oxide density increases as we go lower on the element.....	C-10
C-17. Element T-03 area adjacent to fifth grid spacer.....	C-11
C-18. Element T-03 bottom of fuel element—light oxide—no loose material obvious on surfaces.....	C-11
C-19. Fuel element T-03—notes serial number—fuel rod growth evident	C-12
C-20. Fuel element T-03 bottom.....	C-12
C-21. Fuel assembly V-27 being withdrawn	C-13
C-22. Fuel assembly V-27 oxide mottling noted	C-13
C-23. Fuel assembly V-27 at bottom.....	C-14
C-24. Fuel assembly V-04	C-14
C-25. Fuel assembly V-14	C-15
C-26. Fuel assembly V-14 oxide mottling at fifth grid spacer.....	C-15
C-27. Fuel assembly T-07 note difference in fuel rod growth.....	C-16
C-28. Fuel assembly T-07 at sixth grid spacer.....	C-16
C-29. Fuel assembly T-07 bottom	C-17
C-30. Fuel assembly T-12 mid point	C-17
C-31. Fuel assembly T-12.....	C-18
C-32. Fuel assembly T-12.....	C-18
C-33. Fuel assembly V-08 tops of fuel rods appear quite even	C-19
C-34. Fuel assembly V-08 at fifth spacer—noted oxide mottling	C-19
C-35. Fuel assembly V-08	C-20
C-36. Fuel assembly V-11	C-20
C-37. Fuel assembly V-11 at sixth spacer	C-21
C-38. Fuel assembly V-11	C-21

C-39. Fuel assembly V-01	C-22
C-40. Fuel assembly V-01 at fifth grid spacer.....	C-22
C-41. Fuel assembly V-01.	C-23
C-42. Fuel assembly T-08 near top.....	C-23
C-43. Fuel assembly T-08 near sixth grid spacer.....	C-24
C-44. Fuel assembly T-09—note uneven rods.....	C-24
C-45. Fuel assembly T-09 at sixth grid spacer.....	C-25
C-46. Fuel assembly T-09.....	C-25
C-47. Fuel assembly T-16.....	C-26
C-48. Fuel assembly T-16 at sixth grid spacer.....	C-26
C-49. Fuel assembly T-16.....	C-27
C-50. Fuel assembly T-16.....	C-27
C-51. Fuel assembly V-24	C-28
C-52. Fuel assembly V-24 third spacer.....	C-28
C-53. Fuel assembly V-24 near fifth spacer	C-29
C-54. Fuel assembly V-24	C-29
C-55. Fuel assembly V-15 third grid spacer	C-30
C-56. Fuel assembly V-15 close ups at sixth grid spacer	C-30
C-57. Fuel assembly V-15	C-31
C-58. Fuel assembly V-25	C-31
C-59. Fuel assembly V-25	C-32
C-60. Fuel assembly T-13.....	C-32
C-61. Fuel assembly T-13 near sixth grid spacer.....	C-33
C-62. Fuel assembly T-11.....	C-33
C-63. Fuel assembly T-11.....	C-34
C-64. Fuel assembly T-11 attempt to obtain loose material	C-34

C-65. Fuel assembly V-05 fourth grid spacer oxide mottling noted.....	C-35
C-66. Fuel assembly V-04 mottling at fifth grid spacer	C-35
C-67. Fuel assembly V-04—bottom—rod having greatest indication of oxide appears to be slightly above the nozzle plate—no conclusion made	C-36
D-1. Fuel Tube A-1 top—appears clean. Typical appearance and cleanliness of all fuel tubes.....	D-3
D-2. Fuel Tube A-1—Close up of bottom—minor debris noted	D-3
D-3. Fuel tube A-7—closeup of bottom—noted stain—no conclusion—surfaces are clean and bright. This view approximates condition viewed at the bottom of all fuel tubes	D-4
D-4. Fuel tube A-5 bottom—Noted typical absence of quantities of loose material	D-4
D-5. Fuel tube A-6 bottom.....	D-5
D-6. Fuel tube A-3 bottom—Surfaces clean and bright.....	D-5
D-7. Looking down fuel tube B-5	D-6
D-8. Fuel tube B-5—bottom	D-6
D-9. Fuel Tube B-6	D-7
D-10. Fuel tube B-8 bottom	D-7
D-11. Inside fuel tube D-5 at the midway of the tube. View as typical of all fuel tubes	D-8
D-12. Midway inside fuel tube D-6	D-8
D-13. Fuel tube D-8—bottom.....	D-9
D-14. Fuel tube B-4.....	D-9
D-15. Fuel tube A-4 bottom.....	D-10
D-16. Fuel tube C-6.....	D-10
D-17. Fuel tube C-6—Appeared quite clean.....	D-11
D-18. Fuel tube D-3 bottom.....	D-11
D-19. Fuel tube D-2 bottom.....	D-12
D-20. Fuel tube C-5 reflective surfaces and existence of original fabrication/layout characters.....	D-12
D-21. Fuel tube C-8, bottom	D-13
D-22. Fuel tube B-7—near top—showing side plates and basket wall.....	D-13

D-23. Fuel tube E-7, bottom	D-14
D-24. Tube reinforcement plate and associated welds between A-5, A-6, and A-8	D-14
D-25. Top of fuel tube A-2	D-15
E-1. Fuel assembly and nozzle cutter	E-2
E-2. Fuel assembly T-11—after cutting a 3-inch dia. hole in top nozzle to access rods	E-2
E-3. Fuel assembly T-11—ready to pull fuel rods.....	E-3
E-4. Fuel rod being pulled from fuel assembly T-11.....	E-3
E-5. Fuel rod pulled into inspection tray	E-4
E-6. Oxide mottling on fuel rod.....	E-4
E-7. Condition of fuel rod—light oxide coating minor—scratches believed from moving rod through spacer grids.....	E-5
E-8. End of fuel rod	E-5
E-9. Fuel rod-linear scratches believed from moving rod through spacer grid plates	E-6
E-10. Fuel rod with linear scratch.....	E-6
E-11. Fuel rod with linear scratch.....	E-7
E-12. Fuel rod with linear scratch.....	E-7
E-13. FSV cask interface shipping tube with the 12 fuel rods	E-8
E-14. Fuel assembly being moved from the hot shop into the hot cell.....	E-8
E-15. Camera Resolution Test showing letter size	E-9
E-16. Typical camera color check	E-9
F-1. Castor V/21 configuration with primary lid removed.....	F-3
F-2. Cask inner wall at top—typical surface condition.....	F-3
F-3. Cask floor and wall below fuel tube B7.....	F-4
F-4. Cask floor and wall below fuel tube C8—reflective surfaces free of corrosion	F-4
F-5. Cask floor and wall below fuel tube D3—reflective surfaces free of corrosion.....	F-5
F-6. Cask floor and wall below fuel tube D6—reflective surfaces free of corrosion.....	F-5

F-7. Cask floor and wall below fuel tube A7—reflective surfaces free of corrosion—minor granular debris on floor.....	F-6
F-8. Cask floor below fuel tube A3—reflective surfaces free of corrosion—minor granular debris on floor.....	F-6
F-9. Cask floor below fuel tube B6—reflective surfaces free of corrosion—minor granular debris on floor.....	F-7
F-10. Cask floor below fuel tube B8—reflective surfaces free of corrosion—minor granular debris on floor.....	F-7
F-11. Cask wall below and between fuel tube A7 and A8—reflective surface free of corrosion—typical of cask wall condition without mineral stains—nodular ductile cast iron nickel plated	F-8
F-12. Cask wall below and between fuel tube D3 and D6—reflective surface free of corrosion—typical of cask wall condition with mineral stains—nodular ductile cast iron nickel plated.....	F-8
G-1. Existing 1985 weld crack at location No. 1 identified in Figure G-9	G-3
G-2. Existing 1985 weld crack at location No. 2 identified in Figure G-9	G-3
G-3. Existing 1985 weld crack at location No. 3 identified in Figure G-9	G-4
G-4. Existing 1985 weld crack at location No. 4 identified in Figure G-9	G-4
G-5. Existing 1985 weld crack at location No. 5 identified in Figure G-9	G-5
G-6. Existing 1985 weld crack at location No. 6 identified in Figure G-9	G-5
G-7. Existing 1985 weld crack at location No. 7 identified in Figure G-9	G-6
G-8. Existing 1985 weld crack at location No. 8 identified in Figure G-9	G-6
G-9. Castor V/21 basket crack indication location	G-7

TABLES

2-1. Characterization of the particulate debris retrieved from the cask floor. Location of the samples were taken from the CASTOR V/21 cask for characterization.....	24
2-2. Fuel assembly examination sequence and lift force measurements.....	30
2-3. Internal temperature	37
2-4. External temperature data for CASTOR V/21 Cask.....	37
3-1. Decay-normalized gamma intensity from radionuclides identified as major contributors to the gamma dose rate in 1985, assuming a 16-year decay time.....	43
3-2. Maximum and average neutron dose rates for the 1985 and 2001 cask sidewall readings.....	49

4-1.	1985 casks gas sample composition (volume percent). (Taken from EPRI-4887).....	59
4-2.	1986 cask gas sample composition (volume percent). (Taken from PNNL-11576).....	60
4-3.	Cask gas sample composition. Values for ^{85}Kr and ^{14}C are in units of nCi/cm^3 ; values for the remaining gas components are in units of volume percent. Except as noted, ^{85}Kr and ^{14}C were determined by liquid scintillation	61
4-4.	Summary of the nitrogen and oxygen concentrations in the cask cover gas analyses. Dimensions of values are in volume percent	63
4-5.	Cask gas sample composition (volume mole percent).....	65

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

To augment the technical basis for renewals of licenses and Certificates of Compliance for dry storage systems for spent nuclear fuel and high-level waste at independent spent fuel storage installation sites, a dry cask storage system, the CASTOR-V/21, was examined and tested after it had undergone an extended period of service. This report presents the results of visual examination and testing conducted in 1999 and early 2000 on a Gesellschaft für Nuklear Service (GNS) CASTOR V/21 pressurized water reactor (PWR) spent fuel storage cask located at the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory (INEEL). The examination and testing evaluated the condition and integrity of the exterior and interior surfaces of the CASTOR V/21 cask and its contents consisting of 21 Westinghouse 15 × 15, PWR spent fuel assemblies from Dominion's (formerly named Virginia Power) Surry Power Station) and the concrete spent fuel storage pad after a 14-year storage duration. Performance of activities was conducted under a standard order agreement between the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research (NRC-RES) and the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Idaho Field Office, the INEEL operated by Bechtel BWXT Idaho. The project (Dry Cask Storage Characterization) was jointly funded by Nuclear Regulatory Commission-RES, Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI), DOE-Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management (RW), and DOE-Office of Environmental Management (EM) to examine the spent fuel and cask in dry storage at the INEEL. Examination and testing, including video and photographic scans, were performed at the INEEL Test Area North (TAN) Hot Shop facility and consisted of pretest preparations, performance testing, and post-test activities.

Pretest preparations included conducting cask-external examination, measurement of cask exterior surface temperature, extraction of helium cover gas samples and analysis, and handling and transport of the cask from the concrete storage pad to the TAN Hot Shop. Performance examination and testing included cask remote primary lid removal, remote indirect visual examination of cask internals, primary lid seals, 21 PWR spent fuel assemblies, and measurement of selected fuel assembly temperatures. Interior crud samples were taken, and cask surface gamma and neutron dose rates were measured. Selected fuel rods were removed from one fuel assembly, visually examined, and shipped to Argonne National Laboratory West for nondestructive, destructive, and mechanical examination. Post examination and test activities included examination and removal of one fuel assembly from the TAN Hot Cell and reinstallation in the CASTOR V/21, examination of the primary lid and cask sealing surfaces, installation of new primary lid seals, primary lid installation, refill of cask with fresh helium, leak testing of primary lid seals, and extraction of helium cover gas samples and analysis.

The CASTOR-V/21 PWR spent fuel storage cask consists of a nodular cast-iron body. The cast-body material was found to be in very good condition and continues to provide effective gamma shielding. The cask is 4.9 m (16 ft) high and 2.4 m (8 ft) in diameter and weighs approximately 112 tons when loaded with PWR spent fuel. Two concentric rows of polyethylene rods incorporated in the cask wall continues to provide the required level of neutron shielding. The external surface consists of heat transfer fins oriented

circumferentially around the cask surface. The fuel basket within the cask is configured to hold 21 PWR spent fuel assemblies and is constructed of stainless steel and borated stainless steel for criticality control. The Surry spent fuel assemblies are of a standard Westinghouse 15×15 rod design. The cask is closed with a primary lid having both elastomer and metallic O-rings to seal the cask cavity from the environment. A secondary lid, used in commercial applications, was not used in this testing program (Section 1.3.4).

Based on 1985 pretest ORIGEN2 predictions, fuel assembly decay heat generation rates totaled approximately 28 kW at the start of testing and 27 kW at the end of testing in 1985. In 1985, thirteen of the twenty-one fuel assemblies had decay heat rates near 1 kW; the remaining eight assemblies had decay heat rates of approximately 1.8 kW. The 1999 measured temperature of the exterior cask surface ranged from 103°F (39°C) to 141°F (61°C) (ambient temperature 91.2°F [32.9°C]). The hottest of selected fuel assemblies measured 309°F (154°C). This compares to 109°F (43°C) to 221°F (105°C) on the exterior cask surface, and a peak internal cask guide tube temperature of 676°F (358°C) measured in 1985 with a nitrogen backfill, with lid in place and cask in the vertical orientation (EPRI NP-4887).

Examination and Testing Findings

Based on the 1999 examination and testing results, there was no evidence of cask, shielding, or fuel rod degradation during long-term (14 years) storage that would affect cask performance or fuel integrity. There was no evidence of exterior or interior cask deterioration during storage period, nor were there any signs of seal or shielding failure. The fuel was intact, and there were no indications of any significant cladding creep or rod bow. There was some crud adherent to the rods, but no crud appears to have fallen into either the spacers or cask bottom. The crud is not a result of the fuel or cladding oxidation during dry storage, but rather the results of oxidation of steel components by the coolant in reactor operations. However, fifteen of sixteen stitch welds (Appendix B) in the triangular air channels at the perimeter of the basket were found to be cracked. These welds attached the basket borated stainless partition plates to the basket barrel. Based on the cask testing performed in 1985 (EPRI NP-4887 report), it was concluded that applicable triangular air channels were not examined back in 1985, and the defective stitch welds cracked during high temperature testing cycles and test configurations that the cask experienced during the 1985 testing campaign rather than during the long-term storage period. These welds in their current condition do not affect required cask performance parameters.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Dry Cask Storage Characterization Project personnel would like to express our appreciation to those that helped make this project successful and to those that contributed to this report.

Our thanks to Roger Kenneally, Charles Interrante, and Louise Lund of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Tom Brookmire of Dominion, and John Kessler and Albert Machiels from the Electric Power Research Institute for their technical guidance, reviews, and support during the performance of this project.

Our thanks to Peter Dirkmaat and David Koelsch of the U.S. Department of Energy, Idaho Operations Office, for their contributions and support of the project.

Our thanks to Bruce Hilton, Michael Billone, and Robert Einziger of the Argonne National Laboratory for their technical guidance and their assistance in shipping the Surry fuel.

Our thanks to Matthias Ebner at the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory (INEEL) for providing technical input and preparation of this report.

Our thanks to the personnel at the INEEL Test Area North for their dedication and work performance, which allows this report to be possible. These personnel include Lyle Albertson, Jimmy Spells, Kevin Streeper, Ilene Harrell, John Cummings, John Hansen, Jake Green, and Doug Illum.

Our thanks to Jim Dobbins for his exceptional work with the design and fabrication of the equipment for boring and pulling spent fuel rods and to James Rivera for his support with camera systems that allowed the video inspections to be completed.

Our thanks to the Quality Assurance inspectors Lonnie Gilson and Jim Dowalo, to Daryl Lopez for his design efforts and to Richard Deaton and Raymond Mitchell for fabrication of needed equipment.

Our thanks to Paul Ruhter, INEEL Dosimetry, who with Rick Sorenson selected appropriate radiation monitoring and reporting methods to allow gamma/neutron assessments to be made.

We would also like to thank Tom Bridges for his support with the Transport Plan for movement of fuel rods to Argonne National Laboratory, Gary Koyama for budget support, Claude Kimball and Baird McNaught for project management efforts, Brent Satterthwaite for cask transportation support, Chris Morgan for photo and video service support, Jan Montgomery for technical editing support, and Louise Judy for text processing support.

Our thanks to Dinesh Gupta of the DOE Office of Environmental Management, and David Stahl and Joe Price of the DOE Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management, for their technical guidance and financial contribution to this project.

ACRONYMS

ANL-E	Argonne National Laboratory-East
ANL-W	Argonne National Laboratory-West
CASTOR	<u>C</u> ast Iron Cask for <u>S</u> torage and <u>T</u> ransport of <u>R</u> adioactive Material
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
EDS	Energy Dispersive Spectrometry
EM	Office of Environmental Management
EPRI	Electric Power Research Institute
INEEL	Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory
INTEC	Idaho Nuclear Technology and Engineering Center
LLNL	Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
LTSM	Long-Term Storage Monitoring (Program)
NRC	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
PNNL	Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
RES	Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research
RW	Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
SFB	Spent Fuel Behavior (Study)
TAN	Test Area North
TED	track etch dosimeter
TLD	thermoluminescent dosimeter

Dry Cask Storage Characterization Project—Phase 1: Cask Opening and Examination

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Regulations

Most nuclear power plants in the United States were not originally designed with a storage capacity for the spent fuel generated over the operating life by their reactors. Utilities originally planned for spent fuel to remain in the spent fuel pool for a few years after discharge and then to be sent to a reprocessing facility. Because reprocessing has been eliminated, and no other option for spent fuel disposition currently exists, utilities expanded the storage capacity of their spent fuel pools by using high-density storage racks. This has been a short-term solution with many utilities having reached, or soon will reach, their spent fuel pool storage capacity (Fisher and Howe 1998). Utilities have developed independent spent fuel storage installations as a means of expanding their spent fuel storage capacity on an interim basis until the geologic repository is available to accept spent fuel for permanent storage.

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) promulgated 10 CFR Part 72 (Title 10 1999) for the independent storage of spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste outside reactor spent fuel pools. Part 72 currently limits the license term for an independent spent fuel storage installation to 20 years from the date of issuance. In preparation for possible license renewal, the NRC, Office of Nuclear Material and Safeguards, Spent Fuel Project Office is developing the technical basis for renewals of licenses and Certificates of Compliance for dry storage systems for spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste at independent spent fuel storage installation sites. These renewals would cover periods from 20 to 100 years and would require development of a technical basis for ensuring continued safe performance under the extended service conditions. An analysis of past performance of selected components of these systems is required as part of that technical basis. The components include the spent fuel and all structures, systems, and other components with functions important to safety. The safety functions, which apply for normal, off-normal, and accident conditions, are as follows: maintain subcriticality, maintain confinement, ensure that radiation rates and doses to workers and the public do not exceed acceptable levels and remain as low as reasonably achievable, maintain retrievability, and ensure heat removal as needed to meet the safety requirements.

1.2 Objectives and Scope

In the mid-1980s, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) procured three prototype dry storage casks for testing at the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory (INEEL): MC-10, TN-24P, and CASTOR V/21. The primary purpose of the test was to benchmark thermal and radiological codes and to determine the thermal and radiological characteristics of the three casks. The CASTOR V/21 cask was loaded with irradiated assemblies from the Surry Nuclear Power Plant and then tested in a series of configurations using a variety of fill gases. Because the tests were not intended to be fundamental fuel behavior tests, the fuel prior to the tests had undergone only minimal characterization consisting of visual examination of the outside of the assemblies and ultrasonic examination to ensure no breached rods would be included. During the tests, the temperature at various locations was monitored, and the cover gas was periodically analyzed to determine if any leaking rods had developed. None were found. The details of these tests have been reported in a number of documents.

In 1999, a project was jointly funded by NRC-Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research (RES), Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI), DOE-Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management (RW), and DOE-Office of Environmental Management (EM) to examine the Surry spent fuel in dry storage at the INEEL. This project is intended to provide confirmatory data to be used by licensees submitting an

application (no later than 2004 for the first licensee) for continuing dry storage beyond 20 years and by the NRC staff in their technical licensing reviews. The objectives of the Dry Cask Storage Characterization Project are to:

1. Obtain data to confirm the predicted long-term integrity of dry storage cask systems and spent nuclear fuel under dry storage conditions
2. Provide data to augment the technical bases and criteria for evaluating the safety of spent fuel storage and transportation systems, and for extending dry cask storage licenses.

Phase 1 of the overall program involved moving the CASTORV/21 dry storage cask from the INEEL Test Area North (TAN) storage area to the INEEL TAN Hot Shop facility; extracting helium cover gas samples and analysis; obtaining temperature readings of the cask exteriors; performing a radiation survey; performing video and photographic inspection of the cask exterior and interior surfaces; examining cask primary lid seals, fuel assembly exteriors and selected fuel rods; and returning the cask to storage. The specific tasks executed in the project are as follows:

Task 1.1—Equipment: Design, fabricate, and purchase of material, equipment, and fixtures necessary to (1) move the cask; (2) remove and replace fuel assemblies; (3) remove fuel rods from one selected assembly; and (4) videotape and photograph the external and internal surfaces of the cask, fuel assemblies, and fuel rods.

Task 1.2—Procedures and Training: Develop procedures, obtain required reviews and approvals, perform needed training, and other pertinent activities associated with (1) movement of the cask, (2) removal of the designated fuel assemblies or canisters, (3) removal of fuel rods, (4) returning the fuel assemblies or canisters to the cask, and (5) returning the cask to storage.

Task 1.3—Hot Shop/Cell Rental: Use the TAN Hot Shop and Hot Cell and TAN operations oversight.

Task 1.4—Inspection of Cask and Internals: Activities include (1) temperature readings of the cask exterior; (2) radiation survey; (3) video and photographic inspections of the cask exterior and interior, seal, and storage pad; (4) video and photographic inspections of sixteen fuel assemblies in general and five assemblies in detail and twelve fuel rods selected from one fuel assembly; (5) obtain crud and smear samples; and (6) temporary storage of the fuel rods until transported to ANL for Phase 2 evaluations.

Task 1.5—Transportation: Transport the fuel rods to Argonne National Laboratory-West (ANL-W) and crud and smear samples to the Idaho Nuclear Technology and Engineering Center (INTEC) for analysis. Return the fuel rod pieces and other material (e.g., crud samples) to the TAN facilities for permanent storage.

Phase 2 of the program, to be reported by others in the future, involves nondestructive, destructive, and mechanical examinations of dry-stored spent nuclear fuel elements. This will provide quantitative and qualitative information concerning the integrity of the fuel. Examples of the type of information that will be obtained include: in situ creep; percentage of fission gas release, internal rod pressure; oxide thickness, hydride morphology and orientation, residual cladding thickness, cladding microstructure; hydrogen content; creep rates, breakaway temperature; tensile strengths; and ductility. These tasks will take place at either ANL-W or ANL-East (ANL-E). The Phase 2 task interface between TAN and ANL-W at the INEEL are activities at ANL-W associated with the transportation of the fuel rods from the TAN facilities at the INEEL to ANL-W, the fuel rod segments from ANL-W to ANL-E, and the return of the fuel rod pieces and other material from ANL-W and ANL-E to the TAN facilities for storage.