

NRR-PMDAPEm Resource

From: Lamb, John
Sent: Friday, April 17, 2015 11:45 AM
To: scomleysr@yahoo.com
Subject: RE: Seabrook

Dear Mr. Comley:

As the Seabrook project manager, I have the responsibility for communicating to the applicable internal/external stakeholders. The EDO's office asked me to get in touch with you after you contacted them directly about Seabrook. It is our normal process for the EDO's office to ask a plant project manager to follow up on concerns from members of the public.

Sincerely,

John Lamb

From: Stephen Comley [mailto:scomleysr@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, April 17, 2015 10:59 AM
To: Lamb, John
Subject: Re: Seabrook

Thank you for sending your response. I did not get the previous e-mail to me for some reason. Could be my provider which I will check into.

As I request in my e-mail to you below. what prompted you to call me. when and what office and who directed you to contact me and why?

Please respond.

Stephen B. Comley Sr.

From: "Lamb, John" <John.Lamb@nrc.gov>
To: "scomleysr@yahoo.com" <scomleysr@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, April 17, 2015 9:28 AM
Subject: FW: Seabrook

From: Lamb, John
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2015 3:08 PM
To: 'Stephen Comley'
Subject: RE: Seabrook

Dear Mr. Comley:

As the Seabrook project manager (PM), I have the responsibility for preparing and managing the review and issuance of license amendments, exemptions, relief requests, safety evaluations, and other documents associated with the safety and regulation of Seabrook. As the PM, I serve as the licensing authority regarding

the maintenance and amendment of Seabrook's licensing and design basis. My knowledge as a PM of Seabrook's licensing basis is intended to support the NRC's response to potentially significant events, generic safety issues and assessment of licensee performance.

My knowledge as a PM of Seabrook's design and licensing information makes the PM a necessary component in responding to allegations, controlled and routine correspondence, generic communications, and other requests for information from stakeholders. As the Seabrook PM, I serve as the primary licensing interface between headquarter organizations and licensees or regional offices in matters pertaining to Seabrook. As the PM, I integrate information obtained from all sources and ensure the proper dissemination to the applicable internal/external stakeholders.

Sincerely,

John Lamb

From: Stephen Comley [<mailto:scomleysr@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2015 1:46 PM
To: Lamb, John
Subject: Re: Seabrook

Mr. Lamb, thank you for getting back to me?

What has prompted you to contact me? Has this originated from Mr. Mark Satorius, Executive (EDO) Director of the Nuclear (NRC) Regulatory Commission?

I was informed 4/13/2015 Ms, Jane Kreuter (Mr. Sartorius assistant) was contacted again concerning a previous e-mail I received on January 12, 2015, I was informed that date a Conference call would be arranged for Mr. Satorius and I to discuss not only my concerns about Seabrook but other matters including cover-ups within the NRC.

Please reply,

Stephen B. Comley Sr.
Founder of We The People a National Whistleblower Non-Profit Organization

From: "Lamb, John" <John.Lamb@nrc.gov>
To: "scomleysr@yahoo.com" <scomleysr@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2015 11:29 AM
Subject: Seabrook

Dear Mr. Comley:

My name is John Lamb. I am the project manager for Seabrook in the NRC Headquarters, located in Rockville, Maryland. I understand that you have some concerns regarding Seabrook.

Sincerely,
John Lamb

Hearing Identifier: NRR_PMDA
Email Number: 2007

Mail Envelope Properties (John.Lamb@nrc.gov20150417114500)

Subject: RE: Seabrook
Sent Date: 4/17/2015 11:45:19 AM
Received Date: 4/17/2015 11:45:00 AM
From: Lamb, John

Created By: John.Lamb@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"scomleysr@yahoo.com" <scomleysr@yahoo.com>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office:

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	3887	4/17/2015 11:45:00 AM

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: