### 6.0 Groundwater Contamination

### 6.1 Contaminant Mass

Constituents of concern with respect to ARCO's groundwater corrective action program, and subsequently included in the LTSP, are molybdenum, selenium, and uranium because concentrations were above background levels in monitoring wells near the main tailings impoundment. Therefore, the evaluation of contaminant mass that seeped from the tailings impoundment, and continues to seep from the disposal cell, addresses these constituents.

As noted previously, an estimated quantity of 5.7 billion gallons of tailings fluids seeped through the bottom of the main tailings impoundment prior to encapsulation in 1995. Contaminant concentrations in the raw tailings water, or tailings liquor, varied due to changes in milling processes and ore characteristics, but ARCO considered the concentrations listed in Appendix A Table A-2 as representative of the tailings liquor. According to that table, the liquor had a pH of 1.2 and the following concentrations for contaminants of concern: $1.33 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ molybdenum, $4.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ selenium, and $19.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ uranium (Dames \& Moore 1981b).

The estimated mass for each of the constituents of concern that seeped through the bottom of the tailings impoundment prior to completion of the disposal cell cover in 1995 is provided in Table 11. Assuming the seepage volume and contaminant concentrations are representative of actual conditions, nearly 1 million pounds of uranium would have been in the fluids that seeped from the tailings impoundment.

Table 11. Estimated Seeped Contaminant Mass Prior to Disposal Cell Completion

| Contaminant | Concentration | Seeped Volume |  | Contaminant Mass |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (mg/L) | (billion gallons) | (billion liters) | (mg) $^{\text {a }}$ | (pounds) |
| Molybdenum | 1.33 | 5.7 | 21.6 | $2.87 \times 10^{10}$ | 63,300 |
| Selenium | 4.0 | 5.7 | 21.6 | $8.64 \times 10^{10}$ | 190,500 |
| Uranium | 19.5 | 5.7 | 21.6 | $4.21 \times 10^{11}$ | 928,300 |

Key: $\mathrm{mg}=$ milligrams; $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}=$ milligrams per liter
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Concentration times seeped liters

The mass of uranium in seepage since the cover was completed cannot be estimated because seepage rates and contaminant concentrations in the tailings fluids are unknown. ARCO's efforts to dewater the tailings impoundment means that the fluids currently in the tailings are a mixture of the small amount of remaining leachate and precipitation that has percolated into the tailings since dewatering activities. The current quality of the fluids in the tailings would be better than the original tailings liquor. Regardless, seepage is most likely occurring, and will increase if the tailings become saturated. Therefore, contaminants will continue to enter the aquifers.

Concentrations of hazardous constituents in groundwater beneath the disposal cell are controlled by geochemical reactions between the seeped tailings solution in the geologic formation materials underlying the cell and in the receiving groundwater. The tailings solution with low pH , high reduction potential (Eh), and high sulfate concentrations has high concentrations of trace constituents, including metals, uranium, and molybdenum.

Precipitation of metals, sulfate, molybdenum, and uranium occurs as a result of neutralization of tailings solution. Chloride and selenium are not subject to pH -induced precipitation (Dames \& Moore 1981b). Once the ambient pH exceeds about 6.0, further precipitation of sulfate, molybdenum, and uranium is negligible.

Trace components are removed from solution either by precipitation of minerals involving the trace constituent or by coprecipitation and adsorption of trace components on the precipitates. The precipitation process may contribute low concentrations of constituents to groundwater passing through the altered (mineralized) zone. Consequently, constituent concentrations above background levels are expected to persist indefinitely.

ARCO concluded that a neutralizing zone exists in the alluvium and limestone materials beneath the cell (Applied Hydrology Associates Inc. 1995). Their conclusion was based on the geochemistry of groundwater samples from monitoring wells close to the tailings impoundment. The samples had much higher pH values (between 6 and 7 compared to 1.2 in the tailings fluid) and lower constituent concentrations than the seeping tailings fluid. A geochemical analysis of the tailings liquor indicated that it was saturated with respect to metal-carbonates. Therefore, it was implied that uranium, lead, zinc, cadmium, copper, and molybdenum should be precipitated as carbonates in the neutralization zone.

This neutralization zone would be an altered or mineralized zone of constituents that seeped from the tailings impoundment and disposal cell. It would exist under the disposal cell and probably for some distance along the fault zones. If present, it would be an indefinitely continuing source of contamination to the alluvial and San Andres aquifers because groundwater flow through the mineralized zone would mobilize unknown quantities of contaminants. Because of the nearneutral pH of the unaffected inflowing groundwater, however, contaminant mobilization would be expected to be limited.

The actual presence of a mineralized zone cannot be verified without extensive drilling and sampling of the aquifer materials under the disposal cell. However, ARCO's geochemical evaluation of the tailings fluid and the monitoring well samples near the tailings impoundment strongly support its existence.

### 6.2 Alluvial Aquifer

Anaconda and ARCO sampled wells in the alluvial aquifer from 1980 to 1996. ARCO records indicate that uranium concentrations in POC well T(M) peaked at a concentration of approximately $1.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ in 1980 and declined rapidly, stabilizing at about $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ in 1995. Uranium concentrations peaked at approximately $0.75 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ in 1983 in downgradient well $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{M})$, and peaked at about $0.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ in POE well X(M) in 1989. ARCO attributed the progressive decline in uranium concentrations from $T(M)$ to $U(M)$ to $X(M)$ to natural attenuation in the alluvial aquifer. The closest offsite downgradient alluvial well (located about $1,400 \mathrm{ft}$ south of the site entrance) indicated negligible uranium concentrations of $0.0031 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ in 1989 and $0.0041 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ in 1990, supporting ARCO's assessment that uranium in the alluvial aquifer had attenuated to background concentrations near the mill site boundary.

DOE began groundwater monitoring in 1998. Initially, uranium concentrations in POC well $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{M})$ were approximately $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, which was the same as the lowest results obtained by ARCO. However, uranium concentrations began to increase after the 2000 sampling event. The increasing uranium concentrations coincided with decreasing water levels in that portion of the alluvial aquifer. Before the well dried up, uranium concentrations in well $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{M})$ were averaging $0.54 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, which is less than the peak concentration observed by ARCO. This correlation of increasing uranium and decreasing aquifer water levels has been observed at some UMTRCA Title I sites. Uranium concentrations in POC well $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{M})$ did not change during that period (averaging $0.008 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) -the alluvial aquifer at that location may not have been affected by site contamination-nor did water levels drop significantly (less than 2 ft since 2000).

Although the alluvium at well $T(M)$ has dried up, the aquifer has not dried up because the alluvial sequence is thicker and deeper where the main channel of the former Rio San Jose coursed. For example, the alluvium at well $21(\mathrm{M})$ is approximately 25 ft thick and is fully saturated. As the volume of water in an aquifer decreases, such as at $T(M)$, it is possible that the contaminant mass is concentrated in the remaining water, resulting in increased concentrations. Uranium is the only contaminant with elevated concentrations to begin with, so its concentration would have increased as the volume of water decreased. As expected under this scenario, total dissolved solids concentrations also increased by approximately 40 percent as water levels in well $T(M)$ dropped. This correlation between reducing water levels and increasing uranium will be addressed further in the conceptual model study.

Whether or not this is the cause for the increasing uranium concentrations in $T(M)$, the increase does not point to a new pulse of contaminated water coming from the disposal cell. The declining water levels are not indicative of additional seepage, and no other mill-related contaminants are showing increasing concentrations in the well. Chloride and sulfate, other indicator constituents of potential cell leakage, had historically low concentrations and decreased slightly in $T(M)$ as water levels dropped.

The uranium concentrations in well $22(M)$, located about midway between $T(M)$ and $21(M)$, are averaging $0.33 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, which is nearly equivalent to the last concentration of $0.31 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ observed by ARCO for well $U(M)$ in 1990 . Wells $21(\mathrm{M})$ and $X(M)$ are located along downgradient site boundaries, and samples from each well have uranium concentrations of approximately $0.14 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$; ARCO's last sample from X(M), collected in 1990, had a uranium concentration of $0.15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (it averaged $0.31 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ during the previous 6 years). Well 23(M), near the site entrance and about $1,600 \mathrm{ft}$ downgradient of well $21(\mathrm{M})$, has had uranium concentrations averaging $0.02 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, which is below the drinking water standard.

DOE sampled the same offsite alluvial well monitored by ARCO. The bottom of that well apparently is completed in the Chinle Formation (below its contact with the alluvium), but the water is mostly likely from Rio San Jose alluvium because that portion of the Chinle Formation is dry at other nearby well locations (ARCO also considered it to be an alluvial well). Uranium concentrations from two DOE sampling events in 2012 were $0.0033 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ and $0.0045 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, which are essentially unchanged from ARCO's results. These results, considered together with DOE's sampling results from 23(M), appear to substantiate ARCO's conclusion regarding the attenuation of uranium in the alluvial aquifer. Although uranium concentrations increased in well $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{M})$, the aquifer at the downgradient wells appears to have reached steady-state conditions because uranium concentrations have remained unchanged since 1990. Fate and transport of
contaminants in the alluvial aquifer will be evaluated further in DOE's groundwater conceptual model.

### 6.3 San Andres Aquifer

### 6.3.1 Northeast Portion of Site

Uranium concentrations in the San Andres aquifer groundwater north of the east-west-tracking fault continue to be elevated. Uranium concentrations in POC well S(SG) had been declining since the late 1980s when they peaked at $1.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. The uranium concentration in POE well I(SG) peaked at $0.66 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ in 1989 and then began to decline. ARCO concluded that this peak in well I(SG) was in response to the high seepage rates that occurred in the 1950s, as supported by results of groundwater velocity and uranium transport analyses (Applied Hydrology Associates Inc. 1995). ARCO considered the decrease in uranium concentrations between the POC and POE wells to represent natural attenuation within the San Andres aquifer.

At the location of new well 16(SG), the San Andres Limestone is dry due to the decline of water levels, but the Glorieta Sandstone is saturated. Therefore, the polyvinyl chloride well screen is in the upper part of the Glorieta Sandstone. Uranium concentrations using the low-flow sampling method have been averaging approximately $1.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, which is below the ACL of $2.15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. This concentration is very similar to ARCO's results for OBS-3 and S(SG) in the mid-1990s, when the wells probably were not exhibiting the current extent of corrosion. Therefore, sample results from $16(\mathrm{SG})$ appear to be more representative of aquifer conditions at that location than current results from the corroded POC wells.

A downhole conductivity test through the open borehole portion of well I(SG) revealed that the aquifer is stratified into two zones at that location; the upper third of the open borehole had a lower conductivity than the lower two-thirds. Low-flow samples collected in November 2013 showed elevated uranium concentrations in both zones, with a result of $0.15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ in the upper third and results of $0.32 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ and $0.33 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ in the lower two-thirds of the aquifer. A subsequent high-flow sample (using the casing purge method) had a concentration of $0.35 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, which is less than ARCO's last result of $0.42 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (ARCO also used the casing purge method). For comparison, a conductivity test in background well $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{SG})$ showed no change in conductivity with depth, and samples collected at multiple depths within the open borehole portion of that well averaged $0.003 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ uranium during the May 2013 sampling event.

Current uranium, chloride, sulfate, and total dissolved solids concentrations are lower than ARCO's results for OBS-3, S(SG), and I(SG). It appears from a comparison of the ARCO and DOE results, therefore, that San Andres aquifer groundwater quality has improved north of the east-west tracking fault, and there is no obvious indication of a new pulse of contamination from the disposal cell. However, historical and recent groundwater data from San Andres aquifer wells north of the east-west-tracking fault and downgradient of the site are being evaluated in DOE's groundwater conceptual model to estimate the fate and transport of contaminants in that portion of the aquifer.

### 6.3.2 Southeast Portion of Site

ARCO recognized that the Anaconda \#5 production well, located along the Ambrosia Lake Fault more than a mile south of the east-west-tracking fault, was affected by mill-related contaminants. Reported uranium concentrations were as high as $0.18 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ in 1989 (the well was not monitored while being used as a production well). Despite the presence of mill-related contaminants in Anaconda \#5, ARCO did not consider contamination south of the fault to be of concern. As discussed in Section 2.3, ARCO assumed that incoming fresh water (from upgradient recharge) would mix with contaminated water and dilute contaminant concentrations to acceptable levels in the south portion of the site.

Uranium concentrations in DOE's new San Andres aquifer wells south of the east-west-tracking fault are elevated. Sample results from November 2013 showed a high of $0.18 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ in well $15(\mathrm{SG})$ and a low of $0.074 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ in $14(\mathrm{SG})$. A sample from farthest downgradient well 13 (SG) had a concentration of $0.099 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. These results lead to the conclusion that the historical results from Anaconda \#5 represent contamination that was drawn south during mill production well pumping and that the contamination has migrated downgradient of the fault zone.

ARCO never had San Andres monitoring wells in the southeastern portion of the site. Based on ARCO's sample results from Anaconda \#5 well, the groundwater in this portion of the site probably has had elevated uranium concentrations since the mill was being operated. Therefore, the results from DOE's new wells represent contamination drawn to that area by ARCO's production wells rather than a new pulse of contaminated water from the disposal cell.

The offsite former domestic Sabre-Piñon well (now called HMC-951) had background uranium concentrations when monitored by ARCO in the 1980s (see Section 2.3). However, Homestake Mining Company put HMC-951 into production for their groundwater corrective action plan for its mill site located about 4 miles southeast of the Bluewater site. The well was pumped at an average rate of about 350 gpm from 1999 through 2012. During that time, uranium concentrations ranged from a low of $0.020 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ to a high of $0.048 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. It appears likely, therefore, that the pumping drew contaminated groundwater from the southeast portion of the site to HMC-951. DOE has begun sampling this well, and the uranium concentration from the first sampling event in November 2013 was $0.031 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$.

A comparison of results from wells on both sides of the east-west tracking fault indicates that uranium concentrations are lower in the southeast portion of the site. Historical and recent groundwater data from San Andres aquifer wells south of the fault and downgradient of the site are being evaluated in DOE's groundwater conceptual model to estimate the fate and transport of contaminants in that portion of the aquifer.
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### 7.0 Conclusions

ARCO estimated that approximately 5.7 billion gallons of tailings fluid seeped through the bottom of the main tailings impoundment prior to construction of the disposal cell cover in 1995; about half of that total was projected to have occurred prior to 1960. These fluids entered the two uppermost aquifers at the site. ARCO expended a considerable effort to dewater the tailings before completing the disposal cell, so the volume of tailings liquor available for continued seepage was significantly reduced.

Tailings fluids, consisting of a less-contaminated mixture of the remaining tailings liquor and precipitation that has percolated through the cell cover materials, are seeping from the disposal cell and may continue to do so indefinitely. The projected maximum annual seepage estimate of 36 million gallons assumes saturated moisture conditions in the tailings and a potential upper limit of 50 percent of precipitation percolating through the cell cover. Although this maximum estimated annual seepage rate is large, it is substantially less than 1 percent of the total seepage that occurred prior to completion of the cover in 1995. However, current seepage appears to be minimal because decreasing water levels and steady-state contaminant concentrations in the aquifers are not indicative of the maximum estimated rate. It is unlikely, therefore, that the tailings are saturated at this time, and hydraulic properties of the cover materials may not have changed enough to allow the maximum projected precipitation infiltration. An increase in vegetation on the cell cover is expected to keep infiltration rates low due to evapotranspiration, which would keep seepage rates low.

The band drains that ARCO installed in the north portion of the tailings impoundment did not completely dewater the slimes-they only reduced the water content to facilitate consolidation of the slimes. Up to 15 ft of relocated silty-clay material, similar to the material used for the radon barrier, was placed over the slimes prior to installation of the radon barrier. This thick, low-permeability layer would significantly reduce infiltration of precipitation into the slimes and keep the seepage rate low.

Based on groundwater monitoring results that showed higher pH values and lower contaminant concentrations than were present in the tailings fluid, ARCO concluded that the acidic tailings fluids that seeped through the bottom of the tailings impoundment were neutralized, causing contaminants to precipitate and adsorb in the underlying aquifer materials. Consequently, a mineralized zone apparently formed in the aquifer materials under the disposal cell. This mineralized zone is assumed to be the current primary source of groundwater contamination, and it will remain indefinitely.

Depressions have formed on the disposal cell cover because the slimes portion of the tailings impoundment continued to consolidate after the cover was completed. Precipitation runoff water forms ponds in these depressions, potentially introducing a second source of fluids infiltrating through the disposal cell. However, observations of the persistence of the ponds and the results of radon flux measurements indicate that there has been no reduction in the performance of the radon barrier and that the ponds are reduced primarily through evaporation rather than infiltration. Therefore, the depressions and associated ponds are not indicative of additional seepage from the cell.

There is no evidence at this time to suggest that the elevated uranium concentrations in onsite wells indicate a new pulse of contamination from within the disposal cell or recharge from ponds
on top of the cell. An increase in seepage from the disposal cell is not evident because water levels have dropped significantly in both aquifers and continue to decline. Although uranium concentrations increased in alluvium POC well $T(M)$ until the well dried up, the increase appears to be due to decreasing water levels at that location rather than increased seepage from the disposal cell. Also, uranium concentrations at the POE wells in both aquifers are less than those observed by ARCO.

Considerable uncertainties are associated with the water balance and mass estimates presented in this assessment and are addressed in the following chapter. Nevertheless, they do not negate the primary conclusion that the volume of fluid and mass of contaminants seeping from the cell since it was constructed are very small compared to the corresponding volume and mass that seeped through the bottom of the tailings impoundment prior to cell construction.

### 8.0 Uncertainties

Uncertainty in regards to the performance of the disposal cell is unavoidable given the sparseness of observed data and the limited amount of information available to corroborate or refute alternative models. The major uncertainties and their effects on the conclusions drawn in this study are provided in Table 12.

Table 12. Uncertainties and Their Effects on Conclusions

| Conclusion | Uncertainty | Effect on Conclusion | Significance of Uncertainty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| An estimated 5.7 billion gallons of fluids seeped through the bottom of the tailings impoundment prior to encapsulation. This quantity was estimated by ARCO based on a water balance analysis of the milling processes. Unknown proportions seeped into the underlying aquifers. | Actual seepage rates and quantities were not measured. | Precipitation and evaporation were not factored into ARCO's estimates. Therefore, actual seepage could have been greater or less than 5.7 billion gallons. | Attempts to more precisely estimate the amount of seepage that occurred would not impact the conclusion that a very large volume of contaminated tailings fluid seeped into the materials and aquifers below the tailings impoundment. |
| Approximately 1 million pounds of uranium were in the fluids that seeped from the tailings impoundment prior to completion of the disposal cell. This estimated quantity was based on the estimated 5.7 billion gallons of seepage and one sample that was used by ARCO to characterize the tailings fluid. | Contaminant concentrations in the tailings fluids would have varied considerably throughout the period of milling and as distributed within the tailings. Therefore, the actual quantity of uranium that seeped from the tailings is unknown, and the amount remaining for mobilization in the groundwater is unknown. | The actual quantity of uranium that seeped from the tailings impoundment and is potentially available as a continuing contaminant source is greater or less than 1 million pounds. | Data are not available to determine the actual amount of uranium that seeped from the tailings impoundment or how much entered the aquifers. The primary conclusion that a large mass of uranium is available as a continuing source of groundwater contamination is not impacted by the uncertainty associated with this estimate. |
| Seepage from the tailings impoundment during milling operations affected the aquifer characteristics (potentiometric surface elevations, flow directions, flow rates, and quality) under the site. | Because aquifer monitoring did not begin until near the end of milling operations, the quantitative impacts on the aquifers during the time that the greatest volumes of fluids seeped from the tailings impoundments are unknown. | Due to the absence of data, whatever happened in the aquifers prior to monitoring cannot be recreated. Because monitoring results during the later stages of milling showed that the highest concentrations were near the tailings impoundment and that groundwater and entrained contaminants were drawn to the production wells, the uncertainties have no effect on the conclusion. | Literature and monitoring have shown that the aquifers are contaminated above background concentrations, that water levels have dropped significantly in the past 20 years, and that flow directions have apparently returned to pre-milling conditions. A quantitative understanding of aquifer changes during milling would not add value to our understanding of current or projected conditions. |
| Seepage continues to occur at an unknown rate through the bottom of the disposal cell, and is expected to continue indefinitely. It is assumed that seepage is occurring at a minimal rate, but will increase as the tailings become more saturated. | Seepage rates are dependent in part on the degree of saturation within the tailings, which is unknown. | Regardless of the degree of tailings saturation or the seepage rate, seepage is still occurring, thus contributing to a continuous source of contamination in the aquifers. However, the contaminant mass available from present day seepage to impact groundwater concentrations is extremely small compared to the mass associated with the fluids that seeped during milling. | Measuring actual saturation and seepage would require extensive sampling and testing of tailings materials. The source term released during milling is much greater than the source term being released from the disposal cell. Therefore, knowing the actual seepage rate would not have a significant bearing on conclusions relating to the behavior of contaminant migration in the aquifers. |


| Conclusion | Uncertainty | Effect on Conclusion | Significance of Uncertainty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unless the cell cover develops into an evapotranspiration cover, precipitation will percolate through the cover, and the tailings materials will approach a saturated condition. Under long-term steady state conditions, seepage through the bottom of the cell is expected to equal the rate of precipitation that percolates through the cover. Under those conditions, the rate of seepage is expected to equal 25 to 50 percent of the rate of precipitation. Even using these values, the estimated annual seepage would be much less than the seepage that occurred prior to encapsulation of the disposal cell. | Current and potential percolation rates are unknown because cover soil hydraulic properties have not been tested and will likely continue to change. The potential length of time needed for the full thickness of the cell to become saturated is unknown because the existing depth of saturation in the tailings is unknown, and the rate of precipitation percolating through the cover is unknown. | Regardless of the rate of seepage from the disposal cell, it is estimated to be significantly less than the seepage that occurred during milling operations. Over time, cover percolation and tailings seepage rates would likely continue to decline if vegetation is allowed or encouraged to establish on the cover. | Soil tests of cover materials and the tailings and monitoring of cover percolation rates would be required to refine the estimates. Contaminant concentrations in the aquifers have remained essentially unchanged. Therefore, knowing the actual seepage rate would not have a significant bearing on conclusions relating to the behavior of contaminant migration in the aquifers. |
| Based on historical groundwater monitoring results near the tailings impoundment, the fluids that seeped through the bottom of the tailings impoundment are assumed to have formed a mineralized zone in the materials under the disposal cell and along fault zones. | Sampling of materials has never been conducted to confirm the presence or extent of the mineralized zone. | Continued elevated uranium concentrations in wells near the disposal cell indicate the presence of a continuing source of contamination. Because ARCO did not observe changes in groundwater chemistry during dewatering activities of the tailings impoundment, there is a high probability that a mineralized zone is present and that it is the primary source of continuing contamination. | Extensive borehole material analysis and groundwater quality measurements under the disposal cell and along the fault zones would be required to define the postulated mineral zone. It is most likely the characterization would confirm the presence of the mineralized zone and that it should be considered to be a continuing source of contamination to the aquifers. |
| Contaminant concentrations within the fluids currently seeping from the disposal cell were not estimated. However, it is assumed that the quality of the seeping fluid is not as degraded as during milling operations because of dewatering activities conducted by ARCO and infiltration of clean precipitation water (i.e., current disposal cell fluids are a mixture of residual mill fluids and fresh water from precipitation infiltration). | The current chemistry of the tailings fluids is unknown. | Because the current quality of the tailings fluid has not been tested, the conclusion may or may not be valid. | Tailings fluid characterization, which would require numerous monitoring wells completed in the disposal cell, would be required to determine tailings fluid quality. However, if contaminant concentrations in the aquifers were to increase, determination of the source of increased contamination (cell seepage versus mineralized zone) would be difficult, if not impossible, even if the tailings fluid quality was known. |


| Conclusion | Uncertainty | Effect on Conclusion | Significance of Uncertainty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Based on cell construction, regional evaporation rates, and the lack of radon emission in the area where ponding occurs on the disposal cell cover (over a small area of the slimes portion of the disposal cell), the ponding does not contribute to additional seepage from the disposal cell. | Site-specific evaporation rates and hydraulic properties of the cover and underlying materials in the area of depressions have not been measured. Therefore, actual percolation rates through the approximate 15 -ft-thick compacted clay layer over the slimes are unknown. | If some portion of the ponded water is percolating through the thick clay layer, then it would combine with the residual tailings fluids in the slimes and eventually seep through the bottom of the disposal cell. | Evaporation monitoring and characterization of the hydraulic properties of the cover and compacted clay layer materials would be required to determine if or how much precipitation is percolating into and through the slimes. Because seepage is likely occurring through the more permeable sand portions of the tailings, any contribution from the ponded water through the very-low permeability slimes would be insignificant. |
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## Appendix A

## Bluewater Main Tailings Impoundment Seepage and Fluid Chemistry
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Table A-1. Estimated Seepage Rates and Quantities from the Main Tailings Impoundment

| Year | Estimated Seepage Rates (gpm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Estimated Seepage Quantities (gallons) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sand Tailings ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Slime Tailings ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Total | Annual Quantity | Cumulative Quantity |
| 1956 | NE | NE | 1.717 | 902.455.200 | 902,455,200 |
| 1957 | NE | NE | 1,350 | 709,560,000 | 1,612,015,200 |
| 1958 | NE | NE | 1.000 | 525,600,000 | 2,137,615,200 |
| 1959 | NE | NE | 700 | 367,920,000 | 2,505,535,200 |
| 1960 | NE | NE | 400 | 210,240,000 | 2,715,775,200 |
| 1961 | 236.8 | $4.6{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 241.4 | 126,879,840 | 2,842,655,040 |
| 1962 | 236.8 | 4.6 | 241.4 | 126,879,840 | 2,969,534,880 |
| 1963 | 236.8 | 4.6 | 241.4 | 126,879,840 | 3,096,414,720 |
| 1964 | 236.8 | 4.6 | 241.4 | 126,879,840 | 3,223,294,560 |
| 1965 | 236.8 | 4.6 | 241.4 | 126,879,840 | 3,350,174,400 |
| 1966 | 236.8 | 4.6 | 241.4 | 126,879,840 | 3,477,054,240 |
| 1967 | 236.8 | 4.6 | 241.4 | 126,879,840 | 3,603,934,080 |
| 1968 | 236.8 | 4.6 | 241.4 | 126,879,840 | 3,730,813,920 |
| 1969 | 236.8 | 4.6 | 241.4 | 126,879,840 | 3,857,693,760 |
| 1970 | 236.8 | 4.6 | 241.4 | 126,879,840 | 3,984,573,600 |
| 1971 | 236.8 | 4.6 | 241.4 | 126,879,840 | 4,111,453,440 |
| 1972 | 236.8 | 4.6 | 241.4 | 126,879,840 | 4,238,333,280 |
| 1973 | 236.8 | 4.6 | 241.4 | 126,879,840 | 4,365.213.120 |
| 1974 | 236.8 | 4.6 | 241.4 | 126,879,840 | 4,492,092,960 |
| 1975 | 236.8 | 4.6 | 241.4 | 126,879,840 | 4,618,972.800 |
| 1976 | 236.8 | 4.6 | 241.4 | 126,879,840 | 4,745,852,640 |
| 1977 | 236.8 | 4.6 | 241.4 | 126,879,840 | 4,872,732,480 |
| 1978 | 236.8 | 4.6 | 241.4 | 126,879,840 | 4,999,612,320 |
| 1979 | 236.8 | 4.6 | 241.4 | 126,879,840 | 5,126,492,160 |
| 1980 | 236.8 | 4.6 | 241.4 | 126,879,840 | 5,253,372,000 |
| 1981 | 236.8 | 4.6 | 241.4 | 126,879,840 | 5.380,251,840 |
| 1982 | 191.8 | 4.6 | 196.4 | 103,227,840 | 5,483,479,680 |
| 1983 | 146.8 | 4.6 | 151.4 | 79,575,840 | 5,563,055,520 |
| 1984 | 101.8 | 4.6 | 106.4 | 55,923,840 | 5,618,979,360 |
| 1985 | 56.8 | 4.6 | 61.4 | 32,271,840 | 5,651,251,200 |
| 1986 | $11.8{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 4.6 | 16.4 | 8,619,840 | 5,659,871,040 |
| 1987 | 11.8 | 4.6 | 16.4 | 8,619,840 | 5,668,490,880 |
| 1988 | 11.8 | 4.6 | 16.4 | 8,619,840 | 5,677,110,720 |
| 1989 | 11.8 | 4.6 | 16.4 | 8,619,840 | 5,685,730,560 |
| 1990 | 11.8 | 4.6 | 16.4 | 8,619,840 | 5.694,350,400 |
| 1991 | 11.8 | 11.6 | 23.4 | 12,299,040 | 5,706,649,440 |
| 1992 | 11.8 | $11.6{ }^{9}$ | 23.4 | 12,299,040 | 5,718,948,480 |
| 1993 | 11.8 | 4.6 | 16.4 | 8.619,840 | 5,727,568,320 |
| 1994 | 11.8 | 4.6 | 16.4 | 8,619,840 | 5,736,188,160 |
| 1995 | 11.8 | 4.6 | 16.4 | 8,619,840 | 5,744,808,000 |

Key: gpm = gallons per minute; $\mathrm{NE}=$ not estimated
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Derived from Table 2-2, Applied Hydrology Associates, Inc. (1995).
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Area of sand tailings assumed to be 191 acres.
"Area of slime tailings assumed to be 74 acres.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Vertical hydraulic conductivity assumed to be $2 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{yr}$.
${ }^{\text {e }}$ Vertical hydraulic conductivity assumed to be $0.1 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{yr}$.
funsaturated hydraulic conductivity assumed to be $0.1 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{yr}$.
${ }^{9}$ Estimated rate due to loading the slimes area with a consolidation layer,
totaling approximately 7.4 million gallons.

Table A-2. Tailings Liquor Chemistry

| Parameter | Concentration ${ }^{\text {a.b }}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Aluminum | 1,020 |
| Arsenic | 0.60 |
| Boron | 1.7 |
| Barium | $<0.1$ |
| Calcium | 576 |
| Cadmium | 1.03 |
| Cobalt | 1.13 |
| Chromium | 1.9 |
| Copper | 3.17 |
| Iron | 2,430 |
| Magnesium | 63.3 |
| Manganese | 75.1 |
| Molybdenum | 1.33 |
| Sodium | 1,100 |
| Nickel | 1.43 |
| Lead | 4.3 |
| Silicon | 442 |
| Strontium | 16.6 |
| Zinc | 5.7 |
| Nitrate $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)$ | 31 |
| Sulfate $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ | 24,400 |
| Chloride | 1,630 |
| Selenium | 4.0 |
| Ammonia $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)$ | 35.67 |
| pH | 1.2 standard units |
| Lead-210 | $24,224 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| Uranium-238 | $6,565 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| Thorium-230 | $149,302 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| Radium-226 | $3,334 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| Key: |  |

Key: $\mathrm{DL}=$ detection limit; $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}=$ picocuries per liter
${ }^{\text {a }}$ From Table 3, Dames \& Moore (1981b).
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Reported in milligrams per liter unless noted otherwise.
${ }^{c}$ Equivalent to 19.54 milligrams per liter.

Appendix B
Bluewater Main Tailings Disposal Cell Configuration
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Figure B-1. Main Tailings Disposal Cell Radon Barrier As-Built Surface



Figure B-3. Main Tailings Disposal Cell As-Built Sections E 23,800 and E 24,700

## Appendix C

## Bluewater Main Tailings Disposal Cell Material Hydraulic Properties
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M: \LTS $\backslash 111 \backslash 0024 \backslash 11 \backslash 000 \backslash S 10675 \backslash S 1067500$.DWG $09 / 17 / 13$ 10:00am j50191
Figure C-1. Water Content Versus Pressure Head for the Coarse Tailings


Figure C-2. Water Content Versus Pressure Head for the Fine Tailings


M: \LTS\111 \0024\11\000\S10677\S10677\S1067700.DWG 09/18/13 08: $27 a m$ j50191

Figure C-3. Hydraulic Conductivity Versus Water Content for the Coarse Tailings

## Appendix B

## Well Information
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## Preface

This appendix documents the locations of the wells in the Grants-Bluewater Valley study regionaddressed in the Site Status Report. Well locations are shown on Plate 7 and Figures 16 through 19 of the main report. Because the monitoring locations were drawn from a variety of studies, several of the wells are identified by more than one label. Therefore, cross-reference information is provided where available.

Data sources used to develop these tables include:

- DOE/Bluewater site database
- Homestake annual reports (mainly HMC and Hydro-Engineering 2013)
- Hydro-Search 1981a
- NMED (New Mexico Environment Department) 2010
- State of New Mexico Drinking Water Branch database
- U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) National Water Information System (Mapper)

Well construction information for wells monitored at the Bluewater site is provided in Table 2 of the main report. Well construction information developed by Hydro-Search (1981a), based on their detailed well inventory of the Grants-Bluewater area, is also provided here. Although some of the wells listed in their inventory have since been decommissioned, and some information may no longer apply (e.g., well ownership), the inventory was a fundamental component of the early site characterization work, and much is still relevant today. For wells monitored by Homestake, well construction information is documented in their annual reports; only coordinates and depths (when available) are listed here. For wells not currently monitored at the Bluewater site, some of the well information provided here may need to be verified, and some locations are uncertain. Limited information is available for domestic wells.

Plate 7 includes close to 570 unique locations; the majority consist of Homestake's alluvial wells. Although only a subset of these wells had water level measurements or water chemistry data for the time periods evaluated in this report, location information for all HMC alluvial wells is provided in Tables B-6 and B-7. Because the focus of the site status report is on the San Andres and alluvial aquifers, information for HMC wells screened in the Chinle aquifer is not provided in this appendix.

Only 9 of the 27 unique SMC sample locations referenced in NMED's study were utilized in the Site Status Report: SMC-03, SMC-04, SMC-05, SMC-08, SMC-10, SMC-11, SMC-12, SMC-13, and SMC-14. The remaining SMC locations were not used because they are outside the study region in the report.

## Tables

Table B-1 Well Information for Wells Screened in San Andres Aquifer: Bluewater Site Monitoring Wells
Table B-2 Well Information for Homestake, Domestic, and Other San Andres Wells
Table B-3 Well Information for Bluewater Site Alluvial Wells
Table B-4 Information for San Andres Aquifer Wells Adapted from Hydro-Search (1981)
Table B-5 Information for Alluvial Aquifer Wells Adapted from Hydro-Search (1981)
Table B-6 Well Location Information for Homestake Alluvial Tailings Area Wells
Table B-7 Well Location Information for Homestake Alluvial Regional Wells

|  | Abbreviations |
| :--- | :--- |
| amsl | above mean sea level |
| ARCO | Atlantic Richfield Company |
| bgs | below ground surface |
| BW | Bluewater (prefix used by NMED [2010]) for sample IDs) |
| DOE | U.S. Department of Energy |
| ft | feet |
| HMC | Homestake Mining Company |
| HSI | Hydro-Search Inc. |
| NM DWB | State of New Mexico Drinking Water Branch (Drinking Water Watch) website |
| NMED | New Mexico Environment Department |
| OSE | (New Mexico) Office of State Engineer |
| SMC | San Mateo Creek |
| TD | Total Depth |
| USGS | U.S. Geological Survey |

Table B-1. Well Information for Wells Screened in San Andres Aquifer: Bluewater Site Monitoring Wells

| Well ID |  | Easting | Northing | TD (ft bgs) | Well Owner | Alternate Label(s) | OSE ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11(SG) | '* | 469874.79 | 1558335.18 | 305.7 | DOE |  | B-410-POD31 |
| 13(SG) | ** | 472765.77 | 1546949.48 | 314.4 | \|DOE |  |  |
| 14(SG) | * | 463598.97 | 1548886.18 | 335.2 | DOE |  |  |
| 15(SG) | \|* | 469224.43 | 1550341.01 | 388 | \|DOE |  |  |
| 16(SG) | * | 468714.92 | 1553798.89 | 235 | DOE |  |  |
| 18(SG) | 1* | 468136.08 | 1547203.31 | 305 | \|DOE |  |  |
| Anaconda \#1 |  | 465602.60 | 1548242.67 |  |  | 800003 |  |
| Anaconda \#2 |  | 465493.49 | 1548344.17 | 386 |  |  |  |
| Anaconda \#3 | ** | 465673.99 | 1544494.28 | 200 | ARCO |  |  |
| Anaconda \#4 | \|** | 466076.36 | 1544171.56 | 210 | \|ARCO |  |  |
| Anaconda \#5 | ** | 465855.10 | 1547998.03 | 440.5 | ARCO |  |  |
| B00050A | 1 | 476604.01 | 1540222.92 |  |  | \|BW-20, HMC-545 | \|B-50A |
| B00518 |  | 471519.37 | 1542081.56 |  | non-DOE |  |  |
| B01614 |  | 468584.63 | 1541060.23 |  |  |  |  |
| Berryhill Sec5 |  | 473358.86 | 1561753.67 | 725 |  |  |  |
| Bowlins |  | 457941.80 | 1550791.37 | 518 |  | \|BW-24 | \|B-637 |
| C(SG) | ** | 466600.99 | 1551103.67 | 423 | ARCO |  |  |
| D(SG) | \|** | 468324.35 | 1552464.62 | 259 | \|ARCO |  |  |
| DM-7 | ** | 471121.62 | 1552758.22 | 142.3 | ARCO |  |  |
| DM-8 | \|** | 475535.94 | 1551456.63 | 131 | \|ARCO |  |  |
| G(SG) | ** | 468771.45 | 1552696.30 | 278 | ARCO |  |  |
| HMC-951 |  | 473124.09 | 1545335.99 | 272 | HMC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NMED BW-34, SMC-01 } \\ & \text { USGS } 7 \\ & \text { (former Sabre Piñon) } \end{aligned}$ | \| $8-28-5-847$ |
| 1(SG) |  | 478106.06 | 1552131.20 | 330 | DOE | BW-28 |  |
| L(SG) |  | 462856.43 | 1553977.39 | 610 |  | \|BW-25 |  |
| M(SG) | ** | 465579.44 | 1559328.51 | 575 | ARCO |  |  |
| Mexican Camp | \|** | 468627.95 | 1545079.99 | 280 | \|ARCO |  |  |
| Monitor | ** | 473949.24 | 1557023.81 | 628 | ARCO |  |  |
| North | \|** | 469489.85 | 1558726.23 | 250 | \|ARCO |  |  |
| OBS-2 | ** | 468766.00 | 1551594.10 | 319 | ARCO |  |  |
| OBS-3 |  | 468776.17 | 1554101.25 | 363 | \|DOE | \|BW-27 |  |
| Payne |  | 462186.79 | 1547042.46 | 315 |  |  |  |
| Roundy House | 1 | 460119.10 | 1548590.66 | 300 |  | \|BW-11 | \|B-1608 |
| S(SG) |  | 468775.21 | 1553097.93 | 336 | DOE | BW-26 |  |
| W(SG) | \|** | 472820.05 | 1549373.29 | 355 | \|ARCO |  |  |

* Well installed summer 2012 (see Table 2 of main report)
** Well decommissioned in February 1997
BW Bluewater well location prefix, as referenced in NMED 2010, Tables 6 and 8
OSE New Mexico Office of State Engineer
TD Total depth


## Notes:

Domestic wells B00050A, B00518, and B01614 are listed in this table because they were recently sampled by DOE (locations shown on Figure 18 and Plate 7).

Table B-2. Well Information for Homestake, Domestic, and Other San Andres Wells

| Well ID | Easting | Northing | TD (ft bgs) | Data Source(s) | NMED ID | Alternate Label(s) | OSE ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#1 Deepwell | 493633.00 | 1543307.00 | 1000 | HMC | BW-29 |  | B-28 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 490972.00 | 1542424.00 | 870 | HMC | BW-30 |  | B-28S |
| 0951R | 484163.26 | 1544589.39 |  | HMC |  |  |  |
| 0806 | 486320.00 | 1541120.00 | 584 | HMC |  |  |  |
| 0806R | 486264.00 | 1541177.00 | 600 | HMC |  |  |  |
| 0534 | 476549.00 | 1534589.00 | 1000 | HMC |  |  |  |
| 0535 | 478450.00 | 1530100.00 | 198 | HMC |  |  |  |
| 0545 | 476600.00 | 1540200.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0822 | 488630.00 | 1538920.00 | 980 |  |  |  |  |
| 0907 | 480800.00 | 1534250.00 | 360 | HMC |  | USGS 10 |  |
| 0911 | 476800.00 | 1534350.00 | 188 | HMC | BW-15 |  | B-49 |
| 0923 | 477900.00 | 1552400.00 | 330 | HMC |  |  |  |
| 0928 | 491700.00 | 1548250.00 | 864 | HMC | BW-32 | USGS 13 |  |
| 0938 | 473040.00 | 1539500.00 | 253 | HMC | BW-06 | USGS 6 |  |
| 0943 | 487407.00 | 1537222.00 | 978 | HMC | BW-33 | USGS 12 | B-285-329 |
| 0949 | 483600.00 | 1540350.00 | 551 | HMC | BW-23 |  | B-44 |
| 0955 | 483699.00 | 1537338.00 | 498 | HMC | BW-02* | Vasquez |  |
| 0986 | 483690.00 | 1537894.00 | 467 | HMC | BW-03 | Bachman |  |
| 0987 | 483357.00 | 1538226.00 | 500 | HMC |  |  |  |
| 0991 | 483630.00 | 1538873.00 | 500 | HMC | BW-04 | Gebeau |  |
| B00018 | 477798.96 | 1529434.73 |  | NMED 2010 | BW-21 |  | B-18 |
| B00019 | 475656.46 | 1529453.89 |  | NMED 2010 | BW-22 |  | B-19 |
| B00518-2 | 470586.51 | 1541289.80 |  | NMED 2010 | BW-19 |  | B00518-2 |
| BW-07 | 452010.17 | 1551895.36 |  | NMED 2010 | BW-07 |  | B-1521 |
| BW-08 | 457637.03 | 1552088.01 |  | NMED 2010 | BW-08 |  | B-1541 |
| BW-09 | 457395.34 | 1545737.16 |  | NMED 2010 | BW-09 |  | B-1662 |
| BW-10 | 460452.44 | 1548662.82 |  | NMED 2010 | BW-10 | J Elkins-1 |  |
| BW-12 | 461245.55 | 1543574.28 |  | NMED 2010 | BW-12 |  | B-1637 |
| BW-13 | 461907.28 | 1542452.78 |  | NMED 2010 | BW-13 |  | B-1663 |
| BW-14 | 456089.10 | 1547347.44 |  | NMED 2010 | BW-14 |  | B-1688 |
| BW-17 | 467073.80 | 1540123.75 |  | NMED 2010 | BW-17 | Anderson |  |
| BW-35 | 500601.79 | 1557138.35 |  | NMED 2010 | BW-35 |  | B-1458 |
| Bluewater Well \#1 | 457396.82 | 1545730.98 |  | NMDWB |  | Water \& San. District |  |
| Bowlins Bluewater DQ Well \#1 | 458701.53 | 1550395.88 |  | NM DWB |  |  |  |
| Bowlins Bluewater DQ Well \#2 | 458936.99 | 1549481.99 |  | NM DWB | BW-05 |  | B-461 |
| Grants Cibola Sands KOA Well \#2 | 488374.91 | 1508123.63 |  | NMDWB |  |  |  |
| Grants Well \#1 | 490053.74 | 1512328.52 |  | NMDWB |  | B-38 |  |
| Grants Well \#3 | 486771.00 | 1514626.76 |  | NMDWB |  | B-40 |  |
| Milan Well \#1 | 482352.49 | 1518873.19 |  | NMDWB |  | Village of Milan Well B-2 |  |
| Milan Well \#3 | 480171.81 | 1524218.39 | 214 | NMDWB |  | B-35 |  |
| Milan Well \#4 | 476601.36 | 1533091.41 |  | NMDWB | BW-16 | HMC-998, Golden Acres | B-50 |
| USGS 1 | 447590.18 | 1559965.42 | 523 | USGS |  |  |  |
| USGS 2 | 453979.00 | 1551753.83 | 457 | USGS |  |  |  |
| USGS 4 | 462782.49 | 1541821.20 | 365 | USGS |  |  |  |
| USGS 5 | 471782.90 | 1542498.68 | 245 | USGS |  |  |  |
| USGS 8 | 474768.99 | 1524533.55 | 100 | USGS |  |  |  |
| USGS 11 | 483047.57 | 1529397.54 | 480 | USGS |  |  |  |
| USGS 14 | 487852.60 | 1512343.18 | 158 | USGS |  |  |  |

## Notes:

Wells listed in this table have not been sampled by DOE-data sources include HMC annual reports, NMED (2010), the State of New Mexico Drinking Water Branch website, and the USGS (links provided below). Figure 26 of the main report shows the USGS wells within the Bluewater study region. Well depth listed for HMC 938 is based on USGS' reporting (as this datum not provided in HMC's annual reports). *NMED (2010) Table 6 cross-reference to HMC well 0965 was incorrect ( 0955 is the correct location).
https://eidea.nmenv. state.nm.us/DWW; http://maps.waterdata.usgs.gov/mapper/index.html

Table B-3. Well Information for Bluewater Site Alluvial Wells

| Well ID |  | Easting | Northing | TD (ft bgs) | Well Owner | Alternate Label(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20(M) | * | 463734.80 | 1551924.38 | 129.5 | DOE |  |
| 21(M) | * | 472680.71 | 1546974.11 | 157 | DOE |  |
| 22(M) | * | 470929.88 | 1548706.26 | 153 | DOE |  |
| 23(M) | * | 472920.01 | 1545333.70 | 121 | DOE |  |
| Aragon |  | 457501.92 | 1552609.66 | 130 | non-DOE |  |
| B(M) | \|** | 463807.78 | 1553223.79 | 161 | \|ARCO |  |
| B00050B |  | 477981.74 | 1541294.26 |  | non-DOE |  |
| B00168 |  | 458687.93 | 1556148.12 | 150 | \|non-DOE | \|Berryhill House |
| C(M) | ** | 466654.99 | 1551083.34 | 356 | ARCO |  |
| E(M) | 1 | 463534.80 | 1548937.62 | 100 | \|DOE |  |
| Engineers |  | 460121.05 | 1554977.95 | 115 | non-DOE | USGS 3 |
| F(M) |  | 468854.40 | 1547617.57 | 136 | \|DOE |  |
| K(M) | **. | 467051.67 | 1556177.73 | 67 | ARCO |  |
| OW-8 | 1 | 478083.39 | 1552708.83 |  | \|ARCO |  |
| SIMPSON |  | 472965.00 | 1543628.71 | 160 | non-DOE | 'BW-18; HMC-936 |
| T(M) |  | 469141.12 | 1550460.89 | 142 | DOE |  |
| U(M) | ** | 470946.36 | 1548625.72 | 150 | ARCO |  |
| $V(M)$ | \|** | 472903.82 | 1550533.80 | 90 | ARCO | 1 |
| $X(\mathrm{M})$ |  | 472906.86 | 1547948.81 | 134.5 | DOE |  |
| Y1(M) | \|** | 466892.00 | 1548053.88 |  | ARCO |  |
| Y2(M) |  | 467531.78 | 1548289.19 | 130 | DOE |  |

* Well recently installed: July 2011 or June-July 2012 (see Table 2 of main report)
** Well decommissioned in February 1997
TD Total depth
Additional well construction information for existing Bluewater site wells provided in Table 2 of the main report.

Table B-4. Information for San Andres Aquifer Wells Adapted from Hydro-Search (1981)

| HSI Map No. / ID | Well | Source | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { TD } \\ & \text { ( } \mathrm{ft}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Generalized Geologic Log (all depths in ft) |  |  |  |  |  | Type of Data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Qal | Qb | QToa | TRc | Psg | Psy | Water Level | Chemistry |
| 12 | Berryhill Sec. 5 | NMSE | 725 | -- | -- | -- | 0.620 | 620-TD | -- |  | X |
| 13 | Spencer | G | 378 |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| 14 | North Well | G | 250 |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x |
| 15 | Monitor Well | NMSE | 628 | 0-35 | -- | -- | 35-308 | 308-TD | -- | X | x |
| 16 | Bowlins | NMSE | 518 | 0-15 | 15-72 | 72-110 | 110-455 | 455-TD | -- | x | x |
| 17 | C(SG) | HSI | 423 | -- | 0-125 | 125-146 | 146-358 | 358-TD | -- | X | X |
| 18 | D(SG) | HSI | 259 | -- | -- | -- | -- | O-TD | -. | x |  |
| 19 | G(SG) | HSI | 278 | -- | -- | -- | -- | O-TD | -- | x | x |
| 20 | Payne (Allen Payne) | NMSE | 315 | 0-1.5 | 1.5-46 | 46-100 | -- | 100-TD | -- |  | x |
| 21 | Anaconda \#1 | ACC | 356 | -- | 0-91 | 91-94 | 94-252 | 252-TD | -- | x |  |
| 22 | Anaconda \#2 | ACC | 386 | - | 0-86 | 86-115 | 115-263 | 263-TD | - | X | $x$ |
| 23 | Bluewater Municipal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |
| 24 | Roundy Corral | G | 199 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| 25 | Anaconda \#3 | ACC | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x |
| 26 | Anaconda \#4 | ACC | 210 |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | - |
| 27 | Mexican Camp | G | 280 |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | X |
| 28 | Sabre-Piñon (current HMC-951) | NMSE | 275 | -- | 0-96 | 96-110 | 110-227 | 227-TD | -- | x | x |
| 29 | Sturges Irrigation | G | 225 |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x |
| 30 | Dalton |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | x |
| 31 | Hardenburg Commissary | ACC | 238 | 0-40 | -- | -- | 40-118 | 118-TD | -- | x | X |
| AN-5 | Anaconda \#5 | HSI | 440.5 | -- | 0-90 | 90-106 | 106-267 | 267-TD | -. | x | x |
| AN-5 P.H. | Anaconda \#5 Pilot Hole | HSI | 511 | -- | 0-94 | 94-110 | 110-270 | 270-TD | -- | x |  |
| I(SG) | I(SG) | HSI | 330 | 0-60 | -- | .- | 60-229 | 229-TD | -- | x | $x$ |
| LSG) | L(SG) | HSI | 610 | -- | 0-110 | 110-122 | 122-412 | 412-TD | -- | x | x |
| M(SG) | M(SG) | HSI | 575 | 0-15 | -- | -. | 15-432 | 432-TD | -- | X | x |
| S(SG) | 5(SG) | HSI | 337 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 0-280 | 280-TD | x | x |
| W(SG) | W(SG) | HSI | 355 | - | 0-94 | 94-120 | 120-252 | 252-TD | -- | x | x |
| Obs-2 | OBS-2 | HSI | 319 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 0-269 | 269-TD | x | X |
| Obs-3 | OBS-3 | HSI | 355 | -- | -- | -- | 0-50 | 50-317 | 317-TD | x | x |
| 5-1 | Roundy Sec. 23 | G | 865 | 0-138 | -- | -- | 138-804 | 804-TD | -- | x | X |
| S-2 | Murray Ac. Irrigation | G | 584 |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |
| S-3 | Card Gas | G | 551 |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |
| S-5 | Siemons | NMSE | 980 | $0-$ ? | -- | -- | ?-790 | 790-TD | -- |  | x |
| S-6 | Gallup Stake Irrigation Sec. 4A | G | 315 |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |
| S-8 | Dow | NMSE | 198 | 0-30 | 30-65 | 65-106 | -- | 10G-TD | -- |  | $\times$ |
| S-10 | Gallup Stake Irrigation Sec. 5 | G | 225 |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |
| S-11 | United Nuclear Sec. 8A | G | 165 |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| S-12 | United Nuclear Sec. 88 | G | 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x |
| S-13 | United Nuclear Sec. 8 CC | G | 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |
| S-14 | Gallup Stake Irrigation Sec. 48 | G | 480 |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x |
| 5-22 | N.M. Highway Department | NMSE | 286 | 0-5.5 | -- | -- | -- | 5.5-245 | 245-TD | x | X |
| 5-24 | United Nuclear Sec. 17 | G | 125 |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |
| 5-34 | Bell | NMSE | 320 | 0-5 | -- | - | - | 5-TD | - |  | x |
| S-35 | Jack Freas | G | 135 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-36 | Cottonwood Well | G | 253 |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |
| 5-39 | Dan's Feed Store | NMSE | 164 | 0-16 | -- | -- | -- | 16-TD | -- | x |  |
| 5-42 | Sturges Irrigation | Same well as ti2 above. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x |
| 5-43 | Harding Irrigation | G | 245 |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |
| S-49 | Hanosh |  | 250(?) |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x |
| s-50 | Thornton | NMSE | 195 | 0-21 | -- | -- | 21-75 | 75-TD | -- | x | x |
| S-51 | Guthrie | NMSE | 498 | 0-40 | -- | -- | 40-130 | 130-TD | -. |  | x |
| s-53 | Harding Domestic | NMSE | 220 | -- | -- | -- | -- | $0-T D$ | -- |  |  |
| 5-54 | Keel | NMSE | 165 | -- | -- | .- | -- | O-TD | -. | x |  |
| S-65 | Grants \#1 | NMSE | 300 | 0-11 | 11-44 | 44-120 | -- | 120-TD | -- |  | x |
| 5-66 | Grants\#3 | NMSE | 367 | 0-129 | - | -- | 129-192 | 192-TD | - |  | $\times$ |
| S-68 | Bell HQ |  | 150(?) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |
| S-70 | Bluewater (Auro's) Motel | G | 502 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |
| S-71 | UN-HP \#2 | NMSE | 1000 | 0-120 | -- | .- | 120-955 | 955-TD | -- |  | x |
| S-72 | UN-HP \#1 | G | 870 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |
| 5-73 | Bluewater Cemetary | NMSE | 320 | 0-1 | -- | -- | -- | 1-270 | 270-TD | x |  |
| S-74 | Roundy (Harmon) House | G | 300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |
| S-75 | Blue Well | G | 450 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| DM-7 | DM-7 | D\&M | 142.3 | 0-5 | -- | .- | 5-60 | 60-TD | -- | x |  |
| DM-8 | DM-8 | D\&M | 131 | 0-16 | -- | -- | 16-82 | 82-TD | -- | x |  |

Site Status Report, Bluewater, New Mexico

Sources of information and notes:
The preceding table was adapted almost entirely from Appendix A (Well inventory), Table A-1, "Wells in GrantsBluewater Area Included in Current Investigations" provided in the following Hydro-Search (HSI 1981) report:

Regional Ground-Water Hydrology and Water Chemistry, Grants-Bluewater Area, Valencia County, New Mexico, prepared for Anaconda Copper Company, June 30, 1981.

Corresponding well locations are shown in Figures B-1 and B-2, adapted from Plate IV, "Well Locations" of the above-cited Hydro-Search report. Locations for most existing and decommissioned wells are also shown on Plate 7 and Figures 16 through 19 of the main report. Corresponding water level and uranium data for 1980-1981 are plotted in Figures 23 and 55 of the Site Status Report.

Table B-4 abbreviations:
The following abbreviations regarding sources of information and geological terms are also taken directly from HSI's tables:

HSI Hydro-Search, Inc., hydrogeologic investigations, 1977-1978 and 1980-1981
ACC Anaconda Copper Company
NMSE New Mexico State Engineer
G Gordon, 1961
UN-HP United Nuclear-Homestake Partners
D \& M Dames \& Moore (1981)
TD Total depth
Qal Recent alluvium including eolian and lacustrine deposits
Qb Quaternary basalt flows from either El Tintero or the Zuni Canyon centers
QToa Quaternary-Tertiary older alluvium
TRc Chinle Formation
Psg San Andres-Glorieta aquifer
Psy San Ysidro Member of Yeso Formation

Table B-5. Information for Alluvial Aquifer Wells Adapted from Hydro-Search (1981)

| HSI Map <br> No. /ID | Well | Source | Total <br> Depth (ft) | Generalized Geologic Log (all depths in ft) |  |  |  |  |  | Type of Data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Qal | Qb | QToa | TRC | Psg | Psy | Water Level | Chemistry |
| 1 | Berryhill House (now B00168) | G | 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X |
| 2 | Engineer's Well | G | 115 |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | X |
| 3 | Aragon | HSI | 130(?) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| 4 | Roundy-Up |  | 134 | -- | 0-72 | 72-134 | 134-TD | -- | -- | x | x |
| 5 | $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{M})$ | HSI | 161 |  | 0-121 | 121-147 | 147-TD | -- | -- | x | x |
| 6 | C(M) | HSI | 356 | -- | 0-128 | 128-149 | 149-353 | 353-TD | -- | x | X |
| 7 | OW-5 | ACC | 71 | -- | 0-65 | 65-70 | 70-TD | -- | -- | X |  |
| 8 | E(M) | HSI | 100 | -- | 0-73 | 73-82 | 82-TD | -- | -- | x | x |
| 9 | F(M) | HSI | 135 | -- | 0-95 | 95-112 | 112-TD | -- | -- | x | x |
| 10 | Simpson | G | 160 |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | $x$ |
| 11 | Card Abandoned | G | 152 |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X |
| J(M) | J(M) | HSI | 57 | 0-11 | 11-41 | 41-65 | 65-TD | -- | -- | x | x |
| K(M) | K(M) | HSI | 67 | 0-15 | 15-37 | 37-60 | 60-TD | -- | -- | x | X |
| T(M) | T(M) | HSI | 142 | -- | 0-128 | 128-133 | 133-TD | -- | -- | x | X |
| U(M) | U(M) | HSI | 150 | -- | 0-125 | 125-140 | 140-TD | -- | -- | X |  |
| $V(M)$ | V(M) | HSI | 90 | -- | 0-70 | 70-73 | 73-TD | -- | -- | X |  |
| X(M) | X(M) | HSI | 134 | -- | 0-121 | 121-132 | 132-TD | -- | -- | X | x |
| 5-9 | Gallup Stake Abandoned | NMSE | 100(?) |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| 5-25 | Evans Abandoned | NMSE | 135 | 0-14 | 14-98 | 98-118 | 118-TD | -- | -- | X |  |
| S-27 | Gallup Stake Domestic | NMSE | 138 | 0-12 | 12-95 | 95-132 | 132-TD | -- | -- | x | x |
| S-28 | Milan B-23 | NMSE | 214 | 0-179 | 179-193 | 193-TD | -- | -- | -- |  | X |
| S-41, S-41A | Holmes | NMSE | 120 | 0-8 | 8-102 | 102-TD | -- | -- | -- | X | X |
| 5-46 | Pittard | NMSE | 102 | 0-70 | -- | 70-TD | -- | -- | -- | X | X |
| S-47 | Roundy Sec. 14 | G | 105 (?) | O-TD | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | x | x |
| S-56 | Cibola Sands | NMSE | 90(?) |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | x |
| S-63 | Milan B-24 | NMSE | 160 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| S-64 | Milan B-35 | NMSE | 180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |
| 5-76 | Urie | NMSE | 85 | O-TD | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |  | x |
| S-77 | Crow | NMSE | 140 | O-TD | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |  | X |
| S-78 | Clevenger | NMSE | 100 (?) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| S-79 | Swierc | NMSE | 110 (?) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| 5-81 | Caudill | NMSE | 116 | O-TD | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |  | x |
| S-82 | Roundy Sec. 12 | G | 100 | O-TD | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |  | X |
| BC | BC | UN-HP | 83 | O-TD | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | X |  |
| D | D | UN-HP | 91 | O-TD | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | x |  |
| P | P | UN-HP | 113 | O-TD | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | x |  |
| Q | Q | UN-HP | 104 | O-TD | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | X |  |
| R | R | UN-HP | 96 | O-TD | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | X |  |

Source: Hydro-Search (HSI 1981) Appendix A (Well Inventory), Table A-1, "Wells in Grants-Bluewater Area Included in Current Investigations." Corresponding well locations are shown in Figures B-1 and B-3, adapted from Plate IV, "Well Locations" of HSI's report. Locations for most existing and decommissioned alluvial wells are also shown on Plate 7 and Figures 16 through 19 of the Site Status report. Locations for most existing and decommissioned nondomestic alluvial wells are shown on Plate 7 and Figures 16 through 19 of the main report. Corresponding water level and uranium data for 1980-1981 are plotted in Figures 20 and 51 of the Site Status Report.

See notes and abbreviations following Table B-4.


Figure B-1. Hydro-Search 1981 San Andres and Alluvial Well Locations
(Wells labeled with corresponding HSI map number)


Figure B-2. Hydro-Search 1981 San Andres Well Locations


Figure B-3. Hydro-Search 1981 Alluvial Well Locations

Table B-6. Well Location Information for Homestake Alluvial Tailings Area Wells (Source: 2012 HMC Annual Report, Table 4.1-1)

| Well ID | Easting : Northing |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1A | 493768.001543790 .00 |
| 1B | 494412.00 '1544502.00 |
| 1 C | 494799.001545018 .00 |
| 1D | ,494752.00 1544142.00 |
| 1E | 494116.001544481 .00 |
| 1F | :493831.00 1544952.00 |
| 1G | 494170.001545034 .00 |
| 1H | $494266.00 \mid 1543363.00$ |
| 11 | 493928.001542627 .00 |
| $1 J$ | ' $493695.00 \mid 1541986.00$ |
| 1K | 493275.001541992 .00 |
| 1L | 493416.001541256 .00 |
| 1M | 493133.001541327 .00 |
| 1N | 494396.00 1543100.00 |
| 10 | 494175.001542592 .00 |
| 1P | $493924.00 \quad 1541902.00$ |
| 1Q | 493619.001541993 .00 |
| 1R | . 493623.001542071 .00 |
| 1S | 493614.001541920 .00 |
| 1 T | $493656.00 \mid 1541990.00$ |
| 1 U | 493542.001542001 .00 |
| 1 V | ¢ $493579.00 \mid 1541982.00$ |
| 690 | 493465.001540279 .00 |
| 691 | '493860.00 1540276.00 |
| 891 | 493751.001540904 .00 |
| 892 | -494317.00 1540954.00 |
| A1 | 491539.001542365 .00 |
| A2 | 491539.001542356 .00 |
| B | 489311.001541684 .00 |
| B1 | $489370.00 \quad 1542071.00$ |
| B10 | 491133.001542517 .00 |
| B11 | 491329.001542517 .00 |
| B12 | 488915.001542524 .00 |
| B13 | $490223.00 \quad 1541841.00$ |
| B2 | 489515.001542475 .00 |
| B3 | 489731.001542480 .00 |
| B4 | 489942.001542471 .00 |
| B5 | 490141.00 : 1542474.00 |
| B6 | 490341.001542478 .00 |
| B7 | 490540.00,1542488.00 |
| B8 | 490734.001542488 .00 |
| B9 | $490935.00 \cdot 1542514.00$ |
| BA | 489440.001541835 .00 |
| BB2 | 486213.001543791 .00 |
| BC | 487910.001543655 .00 |
| BP | 489841.001541882 .00 |
| C | 490854.001541762 .00 |
| C1 | 490780.001541533 .00 |
| C10 | 491629.001542182 .00 |
| C11 | 491844.001542376 .00 |


| Well ID | Easting Northing |
| :---: | :---: |
| C12 | 492029.001542375 .00 |
| C13 | 490655.00 ' 1541394.00 |
| C14 | 490713.001541413 .00 |
| C2 | 490566.00 \| 1541630.00 |
| C3 | 490481.001541344 .00 |
| C3R | 490472.00 1541338.00 |
| C4 | 490675.001541348 .00 |
| C5 | 490869.00; 1541344.00 |
| C6 | 491142.001541533 .00 |
| C7 | 491280.00 1541734.00 |
| C8 | 491415.001541906 .00 |
| C9 | 491545.00:1542075.00 |
| D | 490118.001542127 .00 |
| D1 | 489615.00 ; 1542140.00 |
| D2 | 492107.001542641 .00 |
| D3 | 491917.00:1542646.00 |
| D4 | 491724.001542652 .00 |
| DA | 489488.00 ! 1542864.00 |
| DA2 | 489656.001542881 .00 |
| DA3 | 489390.00 1542664.00 |
| DA4 | 489756.001542598 .00 |
| DAA | 492411.00 1542733.00 |
| DAB | 492399.001542633 .00 |
| DAC | 492851.001543218 .00 |
| DB | 489842.001542874 .00 |
| DBR | $489855.00 \cdot 1542877.00$ |
| DC | 487060.001543646 .00 |
| DD | 488943.00 ! 1546989.00 |
| DD2 | 489251.001547439 .00 |
| DE | 490193.00 ' 1542877.00 |
| DF | 490869.001542839 .00 |
| DG | 491157.001542839 .00 |
| DH | 491365.001542835 .00 |
| DIA | 491793.00! 1542821.00 |
| DJ | 491793.001542821 .00 |
| DK | 492094.001542799 .00 |
| DI | 491788.001542821 .00 |
| DL | 492398.00 1542813.00 |
| DM | 490035.001542628 .00 |
| DN | $490020.00 \cdot 1542776.00$ |
| DNR | 490031.001542779 .00 |
| DO | 490049.001542874 .00 |
| DP | 491012.001542754 .00 |
| DQ | 491006.001542592 .00 |
| DR | 489966.001542884 .00 |
| DS | 490118.001542876 .00 |
| DT | 489293.001542871 .00 |
| DU | 490380.00 : 1542879.00 |
| DV | 490702.001542826 .00 |
| DW | $492029.00{ }^{\prime} 1542818.00$ |


| Well ID | Easting ! Northing |
| :---: | :---: |
| DX | 491074.001542838 .00 |
| DY | 492271.00 1542737.00 |
| DZ | 491501.001542834 .00 |
| E | 490187.00 : 1540553.00 |
| EE | 490523.001542853 .00 |
| F | , 489554.00 1539908.00 |
| FB | 488857.001540417 .00 |
| FF | \| 490017.00; 1542878.00 |
| G | 488890.001538672 .00 |
| GA | 489255.00 1538657.00 |
| GB | 489456.001538654 .00 |
| GC | 489654.001538650 .00 |
| GE | 489972.001538637 .00 |
| GF | 490097.00, 1538632.00 |
| GG | 489055.001538662 .00 |
| GH | , 489509.001538807 .00 , |
| GJ | 490382.001538629 .00 |
| GK | $490482.00 \mid 1538622.00$ |
| GI | 490218.001538631 .00 |
| GL | , 490701.00 1538614.00 |
| GM | 490824.001538605 .00 |
| GN | 490944.00 1538602.00 |
| GO | 489855.001538646 .00 |
| GO | 488973.00 1538663.00 |
| GP | 489752.001538649 .00 |
| GQ | 491067.00 '1538599.00 |
| GR | 490619.001538619 .00 |
| GS | 491408.00, 1538597.00; |
| GT | 491565.001538534 .00 |
| GU | 491854.001538367 .00 |
| GV | 491428.001537701 .00 |
| GW1 | 490530.00 : 1539755.00 |
| GW2 | 490497.001539471 .00 |
| GW3 | 490835.001539532 .00 |
| H | 490582.001538703 .00 |
| 1 | 490954.00 ; 1539319.00 |
| J | 491302.001540174 .00 |
| J1 | '491585.00'1540082.00 |
| $J 10$ | 491436.001540138 .00 |
| 111 | 490909.001540545 .00 |
| 112 | 490466.001540827 .00 |
| $J 13$ | $492218.00{ }^{\prime} 1540451.00$ |
| J14 | 492367.001540585 .00 |
| J15 | 492521.001540719 .00 |
| 12 | 491013.001540271 .00 |
| J3 | 490499.00. 1540414.00 |
| 14 | 489974.001540643 .00 |
| J5 | 489747.001540728 .00 |
| J6 | 489221.001540919 .00 |
| J7 | $491892.00 \quad 1540168.00$ |

Table B-6 (continued). Well Location Information for Homestake Alluvial Tailings Area Wells
(Source: 2012 HMC Annual Report, Table 4.1-1)

| Well ID | Easting | Northing | Well ID | Easting | Northin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J8 | 492064.00 | 1540318.00 | MD | 487050.00 | 1541311.00 |
| J9 | 491759.00 | 1540101.00 | ME | 486934.00 | 1541537.00 |
| JC | 491240.00 | 1540215.00 | MF | 486808.00 | 1541757.00 |
| K | \| 491590.00 ' | 1540730.00 | Mg | 486694.00 | 1541972.00 |
| K10 | 491638.00 | 1541305.00 | MH | 486569.00 | 1542208.00 |
| K11 | -491490.00 \| | 1541325.00 | Ms | 486350.00 | 1542682.00 |
| K2 | 491587.00 | 1540736.00 | MJR | 489078.00 | 1542926.00 |
| K3 | '491571.00' | 1540744.00 | MK | 486324.00 | 3373.00 |
| K4 | 492371.00 | 1541211.00 | MI | 486413.00 | 1542486.00 |
| K5 | 491935.00 ! | 1541269.00 | ML | 486691.00 | 543902.00 |
| K6 | 491459.00 | 1540689.00 | M | 486324.00 | 1544154.00 |
| K7 | 492237.00 | 1541232.00 | M | 486325.00 | 544613.00 |
| K8 | 492081.00 | 1541250.00 | mo | 485518.00 | 1543620.00 |
| K9 | 491787.00 | 1541287.00 | MP | 485492.00 ! | 544164.00 |
| KA | 491331.00 | 1540959.00 | MO | 486326.00 | 1543173.00 |
| KB | 491406.00 | 1540893.00 | MR | [ 483574.00 \| | 1542609.00 |
| KC | 491477.00 | 1540826.00 | MS | 485570.00 | 542607.00 |
| KD | 491701.00 \| | 1540627.00 | MT | [483531.00 | 1543221.00 |
| KE | 491776.00 | 1540566.00 | mu | 487143.00 | 1544461.00 |
| KEB | \| 491487.0 | 1540570.00 | MV | 484418.00 | 542618.00 |
| KF | 491169.00 | 1540870.00 | M | 486346.00 | 1543802.00 |
| KM | 491444.00 ! | 1540671.00 | MX | 486244.00' | 1541287.00 |
| KN | 491492.00 | 1540734.00 | MY | 486213.00 | 1542200.00 |
| KZ | 491183.00 | 1541100.00 | mz | 4867 | 543485.00 |
| L | 492150.00 | 1538970.00 | N | 489665.00 | 1545101.00 |
| L10 | 492310.00 | 1539250.00 | NA | 491488.00 ' | 1545000.00 |
| L5 | 492730.00 | 1539946.00 | NB | 491296.00 | 1545000.00 |
| L6 | 493110.00 | 1540526.00 | NC | 491282.00 ' | 545220.00 |
| L7 | 492842.00 | 1540113.00 | ND | 494872.00 | 1545927.00 |
| L8 | 492621.00 | 1539773.00 | NES | 492332.00 | 1544279.00 |
| 19 | 492463.00 | 1539509.00 | NW5 | 489433.00 | 1544408.00 |
| M1 | 489157.00 | 1542797.00 | - | 492725.00 | 1545060.00 |
| M10 | 486723.00 | 1543677.00 | P | 491058.00 | 1546691.00 |
| M11 | 486486.00 | 1542358.00 | P1 | 491060.00 ! | 1547017.00 |
| M12 | 487209.00 | 1542174.00 | P2 | 490912.00 | 1546555.00 |
| M13 | 487336.00 | 1542450.00 | P3 | 490785.00 | 1546159.00 |
| M14 | 487216.00 | 1542661.00 | P4 | 491899.00 | 1546504.00 |
| M15 | - 487094.00 | 1542872.00 | PM | 490292.00 | 1541426.00 |
| M16 | 485112.00 | 1543252.00 | a | 492153.00 | 1548693.00 |
| M2 | , 489159.00 | 1542785.00 | R | 494514.00 | 1550372.00 |
| M3 | 489151.00 | 1542805.00 | s | 488816.00 | 1543871.00 |
| M4 | \| 489134.00 | 1542804.00 | S1 | 488401.00 | 1543288.00 |
| M5 | 489080.00 | 1542360.00 | S11 | 488150.00 | 1544793.00 |
| M6 | 486674.00 | 1543097.00 | S12 | 488628.00 | 1543297.00 |
| M7 | 486523.00 | 1542790.00 | S2 | 488299.00 | 1543127.00 |
| M8 | 486567.00 | 1542960.00 | S3 | 488714.00 | 1542857.00 |
| M9 | 486699.00 | 1543310.00 | S4 | 488359.00 | 1543344.00 |
| MA | 487767.00 | 1541290.00 | S5R | 488938.00 ; | 1543150.00 |
| MB | 487512.00 | 1541296.00 | S6 | 488874.00 | 1543515.00 |
| MC | 487264.00 | 1541304.00 | S7 | 488874.00 | 1543763.00 |


| Well ID | Easting | Northing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S8 | 488879.00 | 1543968.00 |
| SA | ${ }^{\prime} 488811.00$ ! | 1543122.00 |
| SB | 488811.00 | 1543371.00 |
| SC | 488815.00! | 1543617.00 |
| SD | 488564.00 | 1543490.00 |
| SD4 | 488556.00 | 1543497.00 |
| SE | 488550.00 | 1543301.00 |
| SE4 | 488560.00 | 1543308.00 |
| SE6 | 488615.00 | 1543244.00 |
| SM | 488566.00 | 1543748.00 |
| SN | 488716.00 | 1543752.00 |
| so | 488381.00 | 1543652.00 |
| SP | 488531.00 | 1543630.00 |
| sQ | 488814.00 | 1543507.00 |
| SR | 488669.00 | 1543611.00 |
| SS | 488666.00 | 1543374.00 |
| SSR | 488650.00 | 1543370.00 |
| ST | -488688.00 | 1543215.00 |
| SUR | 488968.00 | 1542991.00 |
| sv | 488813.00 | 1543676.00 |
| sw | 488812.00 | 1543783.00 |
| sx | -489025.00 | 1544510.00 |
| Sz | 488833.00 | 1544367.00 |
| T | 492260.00 | 1542536.00 |
| T1 | 490027.00 | 1543285.00 |
| T10 | \| 492791.00 | 1543434.00 |
| T11 | 489887.00 | 1544585.00 |
| T12 | \| 490317.00| | 1544583.00 |
| T13 | 490619.00 | 1544534.00 |
| T14 | \| 491071.00 | 1544565.00 |
| T15 | 491953.00 | 1544480.00 |
| T16 | 492718.00 | 1544276.00 |
| T17 | 489430.00 | 1544008.00 |
| T18 | 490333.00 | 1543977.00 |
| T19 | 490722.00 | 1543958.00 |
| T2 | -489303.00 | 1543538.00 |
| T20 | 491048.00 | 1543935.00 |
| T21 | '491882.00 | 1543951.00 |
| T22 | 492311.00 | 1543876.00 |
| T23 | \| 492805.00 | 1543901.00 |
| T36 | 489688.00 | 1543735.00 |
| т39 | 491669.00 \| | 1544498.00 |
| T4 | 489699.00 | 1543340.00 |
| T40 | 491466.00 | 1543819.00 |
| T41 | 491079.00 | 1543278.00 |
| T5 | 490289.00 | 1543307.00 |
| T6 | 490655.00 | 1543282.00 |
| 7 | 491484.00 | 1543272.00 |
| T8 | 491914.00 | 1543296.00 |
| T9 | 492337.00 | 1543347.00 |

Table B-6 (continued). Well Location Information for Homestake Alluvial Tailings Area Wells (Source: 2012 HMC Annual Report, Table 4.1-1)

| Well ID | Easting | Northing |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| TA | 492426.00 | 1542471.00 |
| TB | 492616.00 | 1542351.00 |
| W | 487297.00 | 1542302.00 |
| W2 | 486654.00 | 1542251.00 |
| WN4 | 489961.00 | 1543958.00 |
| WR1 | $488529: 00$ | 1541280.00 |
| WR10 | 487961.00 | 1542389.00 |
| WR11 | 487728.00 | 1542586.00 |
| WR12 | 488277.00 | 1541280.00 |
| WR13 | 488861.00 | 1541068.00 |
| WR14 | 488863.00 | 1540638.00 |
| WR15 | 488016.00 | 1541280.00 |
| WR16 | 487495.00 | 1543051.00 |
| WR17 | 487485.00 | 1543328.00 |
| WR18 | 487465.00 | 1543597.00 |
| WR19 | 487458.00 | 1543873.00 |
| WR1R | 488536.00 | 1541302.00 |
| WR2 | 488678.00 | 1541290.00 |
| WR20 | 487449.00 | 1544059.00 |
| WR21 | 487449.00 | 1544241.00 |
| WR22 | 487462.00 | 1544434.00 |
| WR23 | 487445.00 | 1544632.00 |
| WR24 | 487438.00 | 1544938.00 |
| WR3 | 488671.00 | 1541490.00 |
| WR4 | 488678.00 | 1541788.00 |
| WR5 | 488683.00 | 1541813.00 |
| WR6 | 488566.00 | 1541902.00 |
| WR7 | 488456.00 | 1541997.00 |
| WR8 | 488328.00 | 1542095.00 |
| WR9 | 488217.00 | 1542185.00 |
| $X$ | 491892.00 | 1540512.00 |
| X1 | 492129.00 | 1540671.00 |


| Well ID | Easting | Northing |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| X10 | 492835.00 | 1542352.00 |
| X11 | 492782.00 | 1542553.00 |
| X12 | 492852.00 | 1542861.00 |
| X13 | 493665.00 | 1543640.00 |
| X14 | 493777.00 | 1544002.00 |
| X15 | 493800.00 | 1544222.00 |
| X16 | 493795.00 | 1544473.00 |
| X17 | 493793.00 | 1544356.00 |
| X18 | 493569.00 | 1544593.00 |
| X19 | 493437.00 | 1544753.00 |
| X2 | 492363.00 | 1540836.00 |
| X20 | 493256.00 | 1544855.00 |
| X21 | 493894.00 | 1543606.00 |
| X22 | 493946.00 | 1543874.00 |
| X23 | 494012.00 | 1544064.00 |
| X24 | 494011.00 | 1544244.00 |
| X25 | 494042.00 | 1544445.00 |
| X26 | 493702.00 | 1544693.00 |
| X27 | 493374.00 | 1544953.00 |
| X28 | $\vdots 491971.00$ | 1540545.00 |
| X29 | 492256.00 | 1540735.00 |
| X3 | 492599.00 | 1540992.00 |$|$

Table B-7. Well Location Information for Homestake Alluvial Regional Wells (Source: 2012 HMC Annual Report, Tables 4.1-2 through 4.1-4)

| Well ID | Easting : Northing |
| :---: | :---: |
| 427 | 490410.001538450 .00 |
| 482 | 489579.001536981 .00 |
| 483 | 489753.001536586 .00 |
| 490 | 489752.00 1536553.00 |
| 491 | 489658.001537031 .00 |
| 496 | 489603.00 \| 1534650.00 |
| 497 | 489503.001535039 .00 |
| 498 | 488953.001534661 .00 |
| CW44 | 488891.001535048 .00 |
| Sub1 | 489100.00 1537620.00 |
| Sub2 | 490370.001537392 .00 |
| Sub3 | 489420.001538280 .00 |
| 688 | 483955.001541257 .00 |
| 802 | , 488277.00 1540765.00 |
| 844 | 487002.001538376 .00 |
| 845 | 487833.00 .1537280 .00 |
| AW | 488015.001540235 .00 |
| 520 | 492935.00 1538934.00 |
| 521 | 492588.001539104 .00 |
| 522 | 492437.00 1538640.00 |
| 523 | 492896.001538680 .00 |
| 531 | 478262.00 1541086.00 |
| 532 | 482400.001518700 .00 |
| 538 | \| $486899.00 \mid 1533486.00$ : |
| 539 | 487596.001534014 .00 |
| 540 | 488091.00 ' 1534125.00 : |
| 541 | 477236.001539831 .00 |
| 551 | 479881.00'1536272.00 |
| 553 | 480563.001534923 .00 |
| 554 | 479107.00\|1534967.00 |
| 555 | 486236.001538572 .00 |
| 556 | $486184.00\|1538006.00\|$ |
| 557 | 486000.001537809 .00 |
| 631 | $483756.00 \quad 1532234.00$ |
| 632 | $483767.00 \quad 1531850.00$ |
| 634 | $480362.00: 1541652.00$ |
| 636 | 476038.001545374 .00 |
| 637 | ! 474710.00 1545409.00 |
| 638 | 493265.001539628 .00 |
| 639 | \| 492961.00|1539370.00 |
| 640 | 491961.001537790 .00 |
| 644 | , 485450.00'1533481.00 |
| 646 | 484953.001533246 .00 |
| 647 | 478308.00-1536623.00 |
| 648 | 478343.001534730 .00 |
| 649 | $479798.00 \quad 1534730.00$ |
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## Appendix C

## Water Level and Water Chemistry Data
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## Preface

This appendix documents historical water level and water quality data for wells in the GrantsBluewater Valley study region addressed in the Site Status Report. Data sources used to develop these tables include:

- DOE/Bluewater site database
- Homestake annual reports for years 1996 through 2013
- Hydro-Search 1981a
- NMED (New Mexico Environment Department) 2010
- State of New Mexico Drinking Water Branch (https://eidea.nmenv.state.nm.us/DWW)

The following tables document historical data for the Bluewater site and conceptual model study region used to develop the Site Status Report. The database is extensive, including not only Bluewater site wells, but Homestake site and other regional wells (see references in Section 11 of the Site Status Report). The site database is being updated and refined and will undergo DOE's quality control and data validation procedures to the extent possible. Because the database is still under development, there may be gaps in the early historical record documented here. Also, this appendix does not include data for all parameters historically analyzed. Rather, the focus is on the key Bluewater site contaminants (e.g., uranium) and water quality parameters. Data for other parameters not regularly monitored or not considered germane to the study are not reported here. Quality assurance/quality control (e.g., duplicate sample) results are also not documented in this appendix.

Investigators evaluated every available Hydro-Engineering report prepared for the Homestake site between 1996 and 2013. All available historical Homestake information and data pertaining to San Andres wells are included here, as this aquifer is the primary focus of the Site Status Report. However, because the data set for HMC alluvial wells is very large (hundreds of wells), only the data supporting the 2012 alluvial potentiometric and uranium plume snapshots are included. The reader is referred to Homestake's annual reports for data and information regarding historical trends in alluvial wells in this region.

## List of Tables

## Water Level Data

Table C.1-1 Water Level Data for Bluewater Site San Andres Aquifer Wells
Table C.1-2 Water Level Data for Bluewater Site Alluvial Aquifer Wells
Table C.1-3 Water Level Data for Homestake Site and Distal San Andres Aquifer Wells
Table C.1-4 Water Level Used to Derive 2012 Potentiometric Surface for Alluvial Aquifer
Table C.1-5 Water Level Data for Bluewater Site San Andres Aquifer Wells: Fall/Winter 1980
Table C.1-6 Water Level Data for Bluewater Site Alluvial Aquifer Wells: Fall/Winter 1980

## Water Quality Data Tables

Table C.2-1 Water Quality Data for Bluewater Site San Andres Wells
Table C.2-2 Water Quality Data for Bluewater Site Alluvial and Chinle Aquifer Wells
Table C.2-3 Uranium Isotope Results for Bluewater Site Region Based on DOE and NMED Sampling
Table C.2-4 Water Quality Data for San Andres Aquifer Wells from Hydro-Search 1981
Table C.2-5 Water Quality Data for Alluvial Aquifer Wells from Hydro-Search 1981
Table C.2-6 Water Quality Data for Homestake Site and Distal San Andres Aquifer Wells
Table C.2-7 Water Quality Data from NMED 2010
Table C.2-8 Uranium and Uranium Isotope Results from New Mexico Drinking Water Branch

|  | Abbreviations |
| :--- | :--- |
| AL | alluvium or alluvial aquifer |
| amsl | above mean sea level |
| AR | activity ratio (U-234/U-238) |
| ARCO | Atlantic Richfield Company |
| As | arsenic |
| bgs | below ground surface |
| BW | Bluewater (prefix used by NMED [2010]) for sample IDs) |
| $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ | calcium |
| CaCO | calcium carbonate |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ | chloride |
| $\mathrm{DO}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ | dissolved oxygen |
| DOE | U.S. Department of Energy |
| EC | electrical conductivity |
| Fe | iron |
| Fm | formation |
| ft | feet |
| HMC | Homestake Mining Company |
| $\mathrm{K} \mathrm{(mg/L)}$ | potassium |
| Mg | magnesium |

## Abbreviations (continued)

| $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | milligrams per liter |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L})$ | molybdenum |
| $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | micrograms per liter |
| $\mu \mathrm{mhos} / \mathrm{cm}$ | micromhos per centimeter |
| $\mu \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{cm}$ | microsiemens per centimeter |
| N | nitrogen |
| $\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ | sodium |
| NM DWB | State of New Mexico Drinking Water Branch (Drinking Water Watch) website |
| NMED | New Mexico Environment Department |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | nitrite |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{3}$ | nitrate |
| $\mathrm{ORP}(\mathrm{mV})$ | oxidation-reduction potential (millivolts) |
| OSE | (New Mexico) Office of State Engineer |
| $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | picocuries per liter |
| $\mathrm{s} . \mathrm{u}$. | standard units |
| SA | San Andres |
| SC | specific conductance |
| $\mathrm{Se}(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L})$ | selenium |
| SMC | San Mateo Creek |
| $\mathrm{SO}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ | sulfate |
| $\mathrm{T}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | temperature (degrees Celsius) |
| U | uranium |
| $\mathrm{U}-234$ | uranium-234 |
| $\mathrm{U}-238$ | uranium-238 |
| USGS | U.S. Geological Survey |
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Table C.1-1. Water Level Data for Bluewater Site San Andres Aquifer Wells

| Well ID | Date | Water Elevation (ft amsl) | TOC Elevation (ft amsi) | Depth from <br> Top of Casing (ft) | Depth from Surface (ft) | Data Source/Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11(SG) | 11/14/2012 | 6434.12 | 6639.19 | 205.07 | 202.38 |  |
| 11(SG) | \|1/30/2013 | 6434.08 | \|6639.19 | \|205.11 | \|202.42 |  |
| 11(SG) | : 5/14/2013 | 6433.89 | 6639.19 | '205.3 | 202.61 |  |
| 11(SG) | \|11/19/2013 | 6433.49 | \|6639.19 | \|205.7 | \|203.01 |  |
| 11(SG) | 4/29/2014 | 6432.18 | 6639.19 | 207.01 | 204.32 |  |
| 13(SG) | \|11/15/2012 | 6427 | \|6593.57 | \|166.57 | 164.03 |  |
| 13(SG) | :1/28/2013 | 6427.24 | 6593.57 | :166.33 | 163.79 |  |
| 13(SG) | \|5/15/2013 | \|6426.07 | \|6593.57 | 167.5 | \|164.96 |  |
| 13(SG) | 11/19/2013 | 6426.49 | 6593.57 | 167.08 | 164.54 |  |
| 13(SG) | 4/29/2014 | $\mid 6426.09$ | $\mid 6593.57$ | \|167.48 | \|164.94 |  |
| 14(SG) | 11/14/2012 | '6428.98 | 6617.2 | ! 188.22 | 185.77 |  |
| 14(SG) | \|1/30/2013 | 6429.14 | 6617.2 | \|188.06 | 185.61 |  |
| 14(SG) | 5/14/2013 | 6428.2 | 6617.2 | 189 | 186.55 |  |
| 14(SG) | \|11/19/2013 | \|6428.2 | 6617.2 | $\mid 189$ | \|186.55 | , |
| 14(SG) | . $4 / 30 / 2014$ | 6427.79 | 6617.2 | :189.41 | 186.96 |  |
| 15(SG) | \|11/13/2012 | \|6427.74 | 6612.53 | \|184.79 | 182.38 |  |
| 15(SG) | 1/29/2013 | 6427.9 | 6612.53 | :184.63 | 182.22 |  |
| 15(SG) | \|5/14/2013 | \|6425.85 | 6612.53 | \|186.68 | 184.27 |  |
| 15(SG) | .11/19/2013 | 6427.13 | 6612.53 | 185.4 | 182.99 |  |
| 15(SG) | \|4/29/2014 | 6426.71 | 6612.53 | \|185.82 | 183.41 |  |
| 16(SG) | 11/13/2012 | 6433.97 | 6618.25 | 184.28 | 181.58 |  |
| 16(SG) | \|1/30/2013 | \|6433.94 | 6618.25 | \|184.31 | \|181.61 |  |
| 16(SG) | 5/16/2013 | . 6433.67 | 6618.25 | '184.58 | - 181.88 |  |
| 16(SG) | 11/19/2013 | \|6432.23 | 6618.25 | \|186.02 | \|183.32 |  |
| 16(SG) | 4/29/2014 | ¢6432 | 6618.25 | 186.25 | 183.55 |  |
| 18(SG) | 11/14/2012 | \|6427.83 | 6601.32 | \|173.49 | 170.89 |  |
| 18(SG) | 1/30/2013 | 6427.96 | 6601.32 | 173.36 | 170.76 |  |
| 18(SG) | \|5/14/2013 | \|6426.98 | \|6601.32 | 174.34 | \|171.74 |  |
| 18(SG) | 11/19/2013 | 6427.3 | 6601.32 | '174.02 | 171.42 |  |
| 18(SG) | \|4/30/2014 | \|6426.74 | 6601.32 | \|174.58 | \|171.98 |  |
| Anaconda \#3 | 4/12/1990 | :6473.04 |  | -6473.04 | -6473.04 |  |
| Anaconda \#4 | \| $4 / 26 / 1984$ | \|6470.41 |  | \|-6470.41 | \|-6470.41 |  |
| Anaconda \#4 | 4/22/1986 | 6473.77 |  | -6473.77 | ${ }^{-6473.77}$ |  |
| Anaconda \#4 | \|10/6/1986 | \|6476.46 | 1 | -6476.46 | \|-6476.46 |  |
| Anaconda \#4 | 4/13/1987 | ,6475.84 |  | -6475.84 | -6475.84 |  |
| Anaconda \#4 | \|10/8/1987 | \|6478.16 | 1 | \|-6478.16 | \|-6478.16 |  |
| Anaconda \#4 | 4/13/1988 | 6475.14 |  | -6475.14 | -6475.14 |  |
| Anaconda \#4 | \|10/11/1988 | \|6477.67 | 1 | \|-6477.67 | \|-6477.67 |  |
| Anaconda \#4 | 4/19/1989 | 6474.81 |  | -6474.81 | -6474.81 |  |
| Anaconda \#5 | \|5/17/1984 | \|6472.92 | 1 | \|-6472.92 | \|-6472.92 |  |
| Anaconda \#5 | 4/22/1986 | 6475.52 |  | -6475.52 | -6475.52 |  |
| Anaconda \#5 | \|10/6/1986 | \|6478.27 | 1 | \|-6478.27 | \|-6478.27 |  |
| Anaconda \#5 | 11/19/1986 | :6477.85 |  | -6477.85 | -6477.85 |  |
| Anaconda \#5 | \|4/6/1987 | \|6476.37 | 1 | \|-6476.37 | \|-6476.37 |  |
| Anaconda \#5 | 10/8/1987 | 6478.81 |  | -6478.81 | -6478.81 |  |
| Anaconda \#5 | \|4/5/1988 | \|6476.9 | 1 | \|-6476.9 | \|-6476.9 |  |
| Anaconda \#5 | 10/5/1988 | ,6479.74 |  | -6479.74 | -6479.74 |  |
| Anaconda \#5 | \|4/18/1989 | \|6477.22 | 1 | \|-6477.22 | \|-6477.22 |  |
| Anaconda \#5 | 4/12/1990 | 6473.51 |  | -6473.51 | -6473.51 |  |
| C(SG) | \|4/16/1984 | \|6471.47 | 1 | \|-6471.47 | \|-6471.47 |  |
| C(SG) | 5/5/1986 | 6476.14 |  | -6476.14 | -6476.14 |  |
| C(SG) | \|4/9/1987 | $\mid 6474.43$ | 1 | \|-6474.43 | \|-6474.43 |  |
| C(SG) | 4/13/1988 | 6476.87 |  | -6476.87 | -6476.87 |  |
| C(SG) | \|4/18/1989 | \|6477.24 | 1 | \|-6477.24 | \|-6477.24 |  |
| C(SG) | 4/11/1990 | 6470.53 |  | -6470.53 | -6470.53 |  |
| C(SG) | \|4/16/1990 | \|6473.52 | 1 | \|-6473.52 | \|-6473.52 |  |

Table C.1-1. Water Level Data for Bluewater Site San Andres Aquifer Wells

| Well ID | Date | Water Elevation (ft amsl) | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { TOC Elevation } \\ \text { (ft amsI) } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Depth from Top of Casing ( ft ) | Depth from Surface (ft) | Data Source/Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HMC-951 | 4/26/1984 | [6469.54 | 6576.79 | 107.25 | 107.41 |  |
| HMC-951 | 6/20/1986 | \|6473.22 | \|6576.79 | 103.57 | 103.73 |  |
| HMC-951 | 4/9/1987 | 6474.44 | 6576.79 | :102.35 | 102.51 |  |
| HMC-951 | \|4/13/1988 | 6473.42 | \|6576.79 | \|103.37 | 103.53 |  |
| HMC-951 | 4/19/1989 | 6473.9 | -6576.79 | 102.89 | 103.05 |  |
| \|HMC-951 | \|8/18/1998 | \|6464.90 | \|6573.7 | \|108.80 |  | \|HMC 1999 (see Note) |
| HMC-951 | 8/19/1999 | 6466.20 | 6573.7 | 107.50 |  | HMC 2000 |
| HMC-951 | 8/30/1999 | 6462.85 | 6573.7 | 110.85 |  | HMC 2000 |
| HMC-951 | 9/7/1999 | 6462.77 | 6573.7 | 110.93 |  | HMC 2000 |
| HMC-951 | 10/5/1999 | 6462.91 | 6573.7 | 110.79 |  | HMC 2000 |
| HMC-951 | 11/1/1999 | 6463.78 | 6573.7 | 109.92 |  | HMC 2000 |
| HMC-951 | 11/29/1999 | 6466.09 | 6573.7 | 107.61 |  | HMC 2000 |
| HMC-951 | 1/3/2000 | 6463.82 | 6573.7 | 109.88 |  | HMC 2001 |
| HMC-951 | 1/31/2000 | 6462.72 | 6573.7 | 110.98 |  | HMC 2001 |
| HMC-951 | 3/6/2000 | 6464.10 | 6573.7 | 109.60 |  | HMC 2001 |
| HMC-951 | 4/3/2000 | 6463.45 | 6573.7 | 110.25 |  | HMC 2001 |
| HMC-951 | 5/2/2000 | 6463.50 | 6573.7 | 110.20 |  | HMC 2001 |
| HMC-951 | 8/9/2000 | 6458.70 | 6573.7 | 115.00 |  | HMC 2001 |
| HMC-951 | 4/12/2001 | 6457.76 | 6573.7 | 115.94 |  | HMC 2002 |
| HMC-951 | 12/11/2001 | 6452.38 | 6573.7 | 121.32 |  | HMC 2002 |
| HMC-951 | 3/4/2002 | 6447.74 | 6573.7 | 125.96 |  | HMC 2003 |
| HMC-951 | 4/1/2002 | 6450.94 | 6573.7 | 122.76 |  | HMC 2003 |
| HMC-951 | 4/29/2002 | 6445.2 | 6573.7 | 128.5 |  | HMC 2003 |
| HMC-951 | 6/4/2002 | 6443.58 | 6573.7 | 130.12 |  | HMC 2003 |
| HMC-951 | 7/1/2002 | 6442.22 | 6573.7 | 131.48 |  | HMC 2003 |
| HMC-951 | 8/5/2002 | 6441.09 | 6573.7 | 132.61 |  | HMC 2003 |
| HMC-951 | 9/3/2002 | 6440.82 | 6573.7 | 132.88 |  | HMC 2003 |
| HMC-951 | 9/30/2002 | 6440.92 | 6573.7 | 132.78 |  | HMC 2003 |
| HMC-951 | 10/17/2002 | 6441.34 | 6573.7 | 132.36 |  | HMC 2003 |
| HMC-951 | 11/5/2002 | 6442.65 | 6573.7 | 131.05 |  | HMC 2003 |
| HMC-951 | 12/2/2002 | 6442.85 | 6573.7 | 130.85 |  | HMC 2003 |
| HMC-951 | 12/18/2002 | 6443.16 | 6573.7 | 130.54 |  | HMC 2003 |
| HMC-951 | 12/30/2002 | 6443.19 | 6573.7 | 130.51 |  | HMC 2003 |
| HMC-951 | 2/3/2003 | 6442.38 | 6573.7 | 131.32 |  | HMC 2004 |
| HMC-951 | 3/3/2003 | 6442.42 | 6573.7 | 131.28 |  | HMC 2004 |
| HMC-951 | 3/31/2003 | 6440.90 | 6573.7 | 132.80 |  | HMC 2004 |
| HMC-951 | 5/5/2003 | 6439.09 | 6573.7 | 134.61 |  | HMC 2004 |
| HMC-951 | 6/30/2003 | 6386.26 | 6573.7 | 187.44 |  | HMC' 2004 |
| HMC-951 | 8/2/2003 | 6389.00 | 6573.7 | 184.70 |  | HMC 2004 |
| HMC-951 | 8/14/2003 | 6437.60 | 6573.7 | 136.10 |  | HMC 2004 |
| HMC-951 | 9/2/2003 | 6436.10 | 6573.7 | 137.60 |  | HMC 2004 |
| HMC-951 | 9/29/2003 | 6437.25 | 6573.7 | 136.45 |  | HMC 2004 |
| HMC-951 | 10/27/2003 | 6437.89 | 6573.7 | 135.81 |  | HMC 2004 |
| HMC-951 | 11/3/2003 | 6438.20 | 6573.7 | 135.50 |  | HMC 2004 |
| HMC-951 | 12/1/2003 | 6389.00 | 6573.7 | 184.70 |  | HMC 2004 |
| HMC-951 | 12/29/2003 | 6403.30 | 6573.7 | 170.40 |  | HMC 2004 |
| HMC-951 | 2/2/2004 | 6438.39 | 6573.7 | 135.31 |  | HMC 2005 |
| HMC-951 | 3/1/2004 | 6438.92 | 6573.7 | 134.78 |  | HMC 2005 |
| HMC-951 | 3/29/2004 | 6437.15 | 6573.7 | 136.55 |  | HMC 2005 |
| HMC-951 | 5/3/2004 | 6435.76 | 6573.7 | 137.94 |  | HMC 2005 |
| HMC-951 | 6/1/2004 | 6435.92 | 6573.7 | 137.78 |  | HMC 2005 |
| HMC-951 | 6/28/2004 | 6434.83 | 6573.7 | 138.87 |  | HMC 2005 |
| HMC-951 | 8/2/2004 | 6434.70 | 6573.7 | 139.00 |  | HMC 2005 |
| HMC-951 | 8/30/2004 | 6433.46 | 6573.7 | 140.24 |  | HMC 2005 |
| HMC-951 | 10/4/2004 | 6433.42 | 6573.7 | 140.28 |  | HMC 2005 |
| HMC-951 | 11/1/2004 | 6434.46 | 6573.7 | 139.24 |  | HMC 2005 |


| Well ID | Date | Water Elevation (ft amsl) | TOC Elevation (ft amsl) | Depth from Top of Casing (ft) | Depth from Surface (ft) | Data Source/Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HMC-951 | 11/29/2004 | 6438.51 | 6573.7 | 135.19 |  | HMC 2005 |
| HMC-951 | 12/8/2004 | 6438.80 | 6573.7 | 134.90 |  | HMC 2005 |
| HMC-951 | 1/4/2005 | 6434.62 | 6573.7 | 139.08 | . | HMC 2006 |
| HMC-951 | 1/31/2005 | 6433.88 | 6573.7 | 139.82 |  | HMC 2006 |
| HMC-951 | 2/28/2005 | 6434.32 | 6573.7 | 139.38 |  | HMC 2006 |
| HMC-951 | 4/4/2005 | 6433.85 | 6573.7 | 139.85 |  | HMC 2006 |
| HMC-951 | 4/25/2005 | 6433.82 | 6573.7 | 139.88 |  | HMC 2006 |
| HMC-951 | 5/2/2005 | 6433.03 | 6573.7 | 140.67 |  | HMC 2006 |
| HMC-951 | 5/31/2005 | 6431.78 | 6573.7 | 141.92 |  | HMC 2006 |
| HMC-951 | 7/5/2005 | 6431.45 | 6573.7 | 142.25 |  | HMC 2006 |
| HMC-951 | 8/1/2005 | 6436.92 | 6573.7 | 136.78 |  | HMC 2006 |
| HMC-951 | 8/29/2005 | 6433.35 | 6573.7 | 140.35 |  | HMC 2006 |
| HMC-951 | 10/3/2005 | 6432.78 | 6573.7 | 140.92 |  | HMC 2006 |
| HMC-951 | 10/31/2005 | 6432.80 | 6573.7 | 140.90 |  | HMC 2006 |
| HMC-951 | 11/28/2005 | 6432.79 | 6573.7 | 140.91 |  | HMC 2006 |
| HMC-951 | 12/5/2005 | 6432.89 | 6573.7 | 140.81 |  | HMC 2006 |
| HMC-951 | 1/3/2006 | 6432.75 | 6573.7 | 140.95 |  | HMC 2006 |
| HMC-951 | 1/3/2006 | 6432.75 | 6573.7 | 140.95 |  | HMC 2007 |
| HMC-951 | 1/30/2006 | 6432.56 | 6573.7 | 141.14 |  | HMC 2007 |
| HMC-951 | 2/27/2006 | 6432.30 | 6573.7 | 141.40 |  | HMC 2007 |
| HMC-951 | 3/16/2006 | 6431.34 | 6573.7 | 142.36 |  | HMC 2007 |
| HMC-951 | 4/3/2006 | 6431.34 | 6573.7 | 142.36 |  | HMC 2007 |
| HMC-951 | 5/1/2006 | 6431.83 | 6573.7 | 141.87 |  | HMC 2007 |
| HMC-951 | 5/30/2006 | 6430.90 | 6573.7 | 142.80 |  | HMC 2007 |
| HMC-951 | 6/26/2006 | 6430.58 | 6573.7 | 143.12 |  | HMC 2007 |
| HMC-951 | 7/31/2006 | 6429.47 | 6573.7 | 144.23 |  | HMC 2007 |
| HMC-951 | 8/28/2006 | 6433.80 | 6573.7 | 139.90 |  | HMC 2007 |
| HMC-951 | 9/25/2006 | 6429.40 | 6573.7 | 144.30 |  | HMC 2007 |
| HMC-951 | 10/30/2006 | 6430.62 | 6573.7 | 143.08 |  | HMC 2007 |
| HMC-951 | 11/27/2006 | 6430.55 | 6573.7 | 143.15 |  | HMC 2007 |
| HMC-951 | 12/27/2006 | 6431.15 | 6573.7 | 142.55 |  | HMC 2007 |
| HMC-951 | 1/29/2007 | 6435.10 | 6573.7 | 138.60 |  | HMC 2008 |
| HMC-951 | 2/26/2007 | 6435.10 | 6573.7 | 138.60 |  | HMC 2008 |
| HMC-951 | 3/9/2007 | 6431.32 | 6573.7 | 142.38 |  | HMC 2008 |
| HMC-951 | 4/2/2007 | 6430.45 | 6573.7 | 143.25 |  | HMC 2008 |
| HMC-951 | 4/30/2007 | 6428.78 | 6573.7 | 144.92 |  | HMC 2008 |
| HMC-951 | 5/29/2007 | 6427.80 | 6573.7 | 145.90 |  | HMC 2008 |
| HMC-951 | 7/2/2007 | 6426.90 | 6573.7 | 146.80 |  | HMC 2008 |
| HMC-951 | 7/30/2007 | 6426.80 | 6573.7 | 146.90 |  | HMC 2008 |
| HMC-951 | 9/4/2007 | 6426.65 | 6573.7 | 147.05 |  | HMC 2008 |
| HMC-951 | 10/1/2007 | 6425.70 | 6573.7 | 148.00 |  | HMC 2008 |
| HMC-951 | 10/29/2007 | 6426.40 | 6573.7 | 147.30 |  | HMC 2008 |
| HMC-951 | 12/3/2007 | 6427.10 | 6573.7 | 146.60 |  | HMC 2008 |
| HMC-951 | 1/2/2008 | 6427.33 | 6573.7 | 146.37 |  | HMC 2009 |
| HMC-951 | 2/4/2008 | 6427.04 | 6573.7 | 146.66 |  | HMC 2009 |
| HMC-951 | 3/3/2008 | 6427.55 | 6573.7 | 146.15 |  | HMC 2009 |
| HMC-951 | 3/5/2008 | 6427.51 | 6573.7 | 146.19 |  | HMC 2009 |
| HMC-951 | 3/31/2008 | 6426.60 | 6573.7 | 147.10 |  | HMC 2009 |
| HMC-951 | 5/5/2008 | 6425.40 | 6573.7 | 148.30 |  | HMC 2009 |
| HMC-951 | 6/2/2008 | 6424.97 | 6573.7 | 148.73 |  | HMC 2009 |
| HMC-951 | 6/30/2008 | 6423.40 | 6573.7 | 150.30 |  | HMC 2009 |
| HMC-951 | 9/2/2008 | 6422.10 | 6573.7 | 151.60 |  | HMC 2009 |
| HMC-951 | 9/4/2008 | 6422.65 | 6573.7 | 151.05 |  | HMC 2009 |
| HMC-951 | 9/29/2008 | 6422.90 | 6573.7 | 150.80 |  | HMC 2009 |
| HMC-951 | 10/27/2008 | 6426.60 | 6573.7 | 147.10 |  | HMC 2009 |
| HMC-951 | 12/1/2008 | 6421.60 | 6573.7 | 152.10 |  | HMC 2009 |

Table C.1-1. Water Level Data for Bluewater Site San Andres Aquifer Wells

| Well ID | Date | Water Elevation (ft amsl) | TOC Elevation (ft amsl) | Depth from Top of Casing (ft) | Depth from Surface (ft) | Data Source/Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HMC-951 | 12/1/2008 | 6423.70 | 6573.7 | 150.00 |  | HMC 2009 |
| HMC-951 | 12/29/2008 | 6423.42 | 6573.7 | 150.28 |  | HMC 2009 |
| HMC-951 | 2/2/2009 | 6424.05 | 6573.7 | 149.65 |  | HMC Electronic, prov. to DOE |
| HMC-951 | 3/2/2009 | 6423.75 | 6573.7 | 149.95 |  | HMC Electronic, prov. to DOE |
| HMC-951 | 3/20/2009 | 6421.44 | 6573.7 | 152.26 |  | HMC Electronic, prov. to DOE |
| HMC-951 | 3/30/2009 | 6422.38 | 6573.7 | 151.32 |  | HMC Electronic, prov. to DOE |
| HMC-951 | 5/4/2009 | 6422.6 | 6573.7 | 151.1 |  | HMC Electronic, prov. to DOE |
| HMC-951 | 6/1/2009 | 6423.3 | 6573.7 | 150.4 |  | HMC Electronic, prov. to DOE |
| HMC-951 | 6/29/2009 | 6419.7 | 6573.7 | 154 |  | HMC Electronic, prov. to DOE |
| HMC-951 | 8/3/2009 | 6422.75 | 6573.7 | 150.95 |  | HMC Electronic, prov. to DOE |
| HMC-951 | 8/31/2009 | 6420.3 | 6573.7 | 153.4 |  | HMC Electronic, prov. to DOE |
| HMC-951 | 9/28/2009 | 6421.95 | 6573.7 | 151.75 |  | HMC Electronic, prov. to DOE |
| HMC-951 | 11/2/2009 | 6422.8 | 6573.7 | 150.9 |  | HMC Electronic, prov. to DOE |
| HMC-951 | 11/30/2009 | 6422.43 | 6573.7 | 151.27 |  | HMC Electronic, prov. to DOE |
| HMC-951 | 12/7/2009 | 6422.7 | 6573.7 | 151 |  | HMC Electronic, prov. to DOE |
| HMC-951 | 12/28/2009 | 6423.5 | 6573.7 | 150.2 |  | HMC Electronic, prov. to DOE |
| HMC-951 | 2/1/2010 | 6423.25 | 6573.7 | 150.45 |  | HMC 2011 |
| HMC-951 | 3/1/2010 | 6422.95 | 6573.7 | 150.75 |  | HMC 2011 |
| HMC-951 | 3/3/2010 | 6424.9 | 6573.7 | 148.8 |  | HMC 2011 |
| HMC-951 | 3/29/2010 | 6422.87 | 6573.7 | 150.83 |  | HMC 2011 |
| HMC-951 | 5/3/2010 | 6422.95 | 6573.7 | 150.75 |  | HMC 2011 |
| HMC-951 | 6/1/2010 | 6421.3 | 6573.7 | 152.4 |  | HMC 2011 |
| HMC-951 | 6/22/2010 | 6421.17 | 6573.7 | 152.53 |  | HMC 2011 |
| HMC-951 | 6/28/2010 | 6421.19 | 6573.7 | 152.51 |  | HMC 2011 |
| HMC-951 | 8/2/2010 | 6420.58 | 6573.7 | 153.12 |  | HMC 2011 |
| HMC-951 | 8/30/2010 | 6420.8 | 6573.7 | 152.9 |  | HMC 2011 |
| HMC-951 | 9/7/2010 | 6420.3 | 6573.7 | 153.4 |  | HMC 2011 |
| HMC-951 | 9/13/2010 | 6420.49 | 6573.7 | 153.21 |  | HMC 2011 |
| HMC-951 | 9/20/2010 | 6420.77 | 6573.7 | 152.93 |  | HMC 2011 |
| HMC-951 | 9/27/2010 | 6421.5 | 6573.7 | 152.2 |  | HMC 2011 |
| HMC-951 | 10/11/2010 | 6422.3 | 6573.7 | 151.4 |  | HMC 2011 |
| HMC-951 | 10/18/2010 | 6422.72 | 6573.7 | 150.98 |  | HMC 2011 |
| HMC-951 | 10/25/2010 | 6381.1 | 6573.7 | 192.6 |  | HMC 2011 |
| HMC-951 | 11/1/2010 | 6423.4 | 6573.7 | 150.3 |  | HMC 2011 |
| HMC-951 | 11/8/2010 | 6423.4 | 6573.7 | 150.3 |  | HMC 2011 |
| HMC-951 | 11/15/2010 | 6423.25 | 6573.7 | 150.45 |  | HMC 2011 |
| HMC-951 | 11/29/2010 | 6423.75 | 6573.7 | 149.95 |  | HMC 2011 |
| HMC-951 | 12/6/2010 | 6423.8 | 6573.7 | 149.9 |  | HMC 2011 |
| HMC-951 | 12/27/2010 | 6424.17 | 6573.7 | 149.53 |  | HMC 2011 |
| HMC-951 | 1/31/2011 | 6423.7 | 6573.7 | 150 |  | HMC 2012 |
| HMC-951 | 2/28/2011 | 6423.5 | 6573.7 | 150.2 |  | HMC 2012 |
| HMC-951 | 4/13/2011 | 6421.85 | 6573.7 | 151.85 |  | HMC 2012 |
| HMC-951 | 4/25/2011 | 6423.21 | 6573.7 | 150.49 |  | HMC 2012 |
| HMC-951 | 5/23/2011 | 6422.5 | 6573.7 | 151.2 |  | HMC 2012 |
| HMC-951 | 6/27/2011 | 6420.1 | 6573.7 | 153.6 |  | HMC 2012 |
| HMC-951 | 7/25/2011 | 6419.73 | 6573.7 | 153.97 |  | HMC 2012 |
| HMC-951 | 8/29/2011 | 6420.35 | 6573.7 | 153.35 |  | HMC 2012 |
| HMC-951 | 9/26/2011 | 6420.9 | 6573.7 | 152.8 |  | HMC 2012 |
| HMC-951 | 10/12/2011 | 6453.7 | 6573.7 | 120 |  | HMC 2012 |
| HMC-951 | 10/24/2011 | 6421.35 | 6573.7 | 152.35 |  | HMC 2012 |
| HMC-951 | 11/21/2011 | 6421.1 | 6573.7 | 152.6 |  | HMC 2012 |
| HMC-951 | 12/19/2011 | 6421.3 | 6573.7 | 152.4 |  | HMC 2012 |
| HMC-951 | 1/23/2012 | 6386.3 | 6573.7 | 187.4 |  | HMC 2013 |
| HMC-951 | 2/27/2012 | 6422.72 | 6573.7 | 150.98 |  | HMC 2013 |
| HMC-951 | 3/9/2012 | 6423.02 | 6573.7 | 150.68 |  | HMC 2013 |
| HMC-951 | 3/26/2012 | 6426 | 6573.7 | 147.7 |  | HMC 2013 |

Table C.1-1. Water Level Data for Bluewater Site San Andres Aquifer Wells

| Well ID | Date | Water Elevation (ft amsl) | TOC Elevation (ft amsl) | Depth from <br> Top of Casing (ft) | Depth from Surface (ft) | Data Source/Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HMC-951 | 11/20/2013 | 6424.89 | 6576.79 | 151.9 | 152.06 | DOE sampling |
| HMC-951 | 4/30/2014 | 6424.5 | 6576.79 | 152.29 | 152.45 | DOE sampling |
| I(SG) | 3/12/1984 | 6467.06 | 6625.93 | 158.87 | 157.78 |  |
| I(SG) | \|5/23/1984 | \|6467.46 | 6625.93 | \|158.47 | \|157.38 |  |
| I(SG) | 4/17/1986 | 6470.61 | 6625.93 | 155.32 | 154.23 |  |
| I(SG) | \|7/7/1986 | 6471.25 | \|6625.93 | \|154.68 | \|153.59 |  |
| I(SG) | 10/6/1986 | 6472.8 | 6625.93 | 153.13 | 152.04 |  |
| I(SG) | \|2/3/1987 | \|6471.66 | 6625.93 | \|154.27 | \|153.18 |  |
| I(SG) | 4/8/1987 | 6470.12 | 6625.93 | 155.81 | 154.72 |  |
| I(SG) | 7/7/1987 | \|6472.55 | 6625.93 | \|153.38 | \|152.29 |  |
| I(SG) | 10/7/1987 | 6474.52 | 6625.93 | 151.41 | 150.32 |  |
| I(SG) | \|1/11/1988 | \|6472.75 | \|6625.93 | \|153.18 | \|152.09 |  |
| I(SG) | 4/6/1988 | 6471.25 | 6625.93 | 154.68 | 153.59 |  |
| I(SG) | \|7/11/1988 | \|6462.42 | 6625.93 | 163.51 | \|162.42 |  |
| I(SG) | 10/10/1988 | 6473.62 | 6625.93 | 152.31 | 151.22 |  |
| I(SG) | \|1/18/1989 | \|6473.9 | 6625.93 | 152.03 | \|150.94 |  |
| I(SG) | 4/18/1989 | 6471.78 | 6625.93 | 154.15 | 153.06 |  |
| I(SG) | \|4/11/1990 | \|6478.59 | 6625.93 | 147.34 | \|146.25 |  |
| I(SG) | 11/4/2008 | 6431.44 | 6625.93 | 194.49 | 193.4 |  |
| I(SG) | \|11/10/2009 | \|6430.22 | 6625.93 | 195.71 | \|194.62 |  |
| I(SG) | 11/11/2010 | 6430.3 | 6625.93 | 195.63 | 194.54 |  |
| I(SG) | 7/27/2011 | 6426.74 | 6625.93 | \|199.19 | 198.1 |  |
| I(SG) | '11/16/2011 | 6428.23 | 6625.93 | 197.7 | 196.61 |  |
| I(SG) | \|5/15/2012 | \|6429.11 | 6625.93 | 196.82 | \|195.73 |  |
| I(SG) | 11/14/2012 | 6426.71 | 6625.93 | '199.22 | 198.13 |  |
| I(SG) | \|1/29/2013 | 6426.74 | 6625.93 | \|199.19 | \| 198.1 | , |
| I(SG) | 5/15/2013 | 6425.72 | 6625.93 | 200.21 | 199.12 |  |
| I(SG) | 5/15/2013 | 6425.75 | \|6625.93 | \|200.18 | \|199.09 | I |
| I(SG) | 5/15/2013 | 6425.72 | 6625.93 | 200.21 | 199.12 |  |
| I(SG) | 11/19/2013 | \|6426.19 | 6625.93 | \|199.74 | \|198.65 |  |
| I(SG) | 11/19/2013 | 6426.19 | 6625.93 | 199.74 | 198.65 |  |
| I(SG) | 11/19/2013 | \|6426.19 | 6625.93 | 199.74 | 198.65 |  |
| I(SG) | 11/19/2013 | 6426.19 | 6625.93 | 199.74 | 198.65 |  |
| II(SG) | \|4/30/2014 | \|6425.74 | 6625.93 | 200.19 | 199.1 |  |
| L(SG) | 2/9/1984 | 6492.8 | 6606.09 | 113.29 | 112.01 |  |
| L(SG) | \|6/7/1984 | \|6494.57 | 6606.09 | \|111.52 | \|110.24 |  |
| L(SG) | 4/15/1986 | 6496.17 | 6606.09 | -109.92 | 108.64 |  |
| L(SG) | \|7/7/1986 | \|6497.75 | 6606.09 | \|108.34 | 107.06 |  |
| L.(SG) | 10/13/1986 | 6498.65 | 6606.09 | 107.44 | 106.16 |  |
| L(SG) | 2/3/1987 | \|6497.11 | 6606.09 | \|108.98 | 107.7 |  |
| L(SG) | 4/6/1987 | 6495.27 | 6606.09 | 110.82 | 109.54 |  |
| L(SG) | \|8/31/1987 | \|6499.83 | 6606.09 | \|106.26 | 104.98 |  |
| L.(SG) | 10/5/1987 | 6500.58 | 6606.09 | 105.51 | 104.23 |  |
| L(SG) | 1/11/1988 | \|6499.07 | \|6606.09 | \|107.02 | 105.74 |  |
| L(SG) | 4/5/1988 | 6497.65 | '6606.09 | . 108.44 | 107.16 |  |
| L.(SG) | \|6/14/1988 | 6499.3 | \|6606.09 | \|106.79 | 105.51 |  |
| L.(SG) | 7/11/1988 | 6500.84 | 6606.09 | 105.25 | 103.97 |  |
| L(SG) | \|9/8/1988 | \|6500.81 | \|6606.09 | 105.28 | \|104 | 1 |
| L(SG) | 10/10/1988 | 6500.11 | 6606.09 | 105.98 | 104.7 |  |
| L(SG) | \|12/6/1988 | \|6499.42 | 6606.09 | 106.67 | 105.39 |  |
| L(SG) | 12/8/1988 | 6499.42 | 6606.09 | 106.67 | 105.39 |  |
| L(SG) | 1/18/1989 | \|6499.97 | 6606.09 | \|106.12 | \|104.84 |  |
| L(SG) | 3/7/1989 | 6497.61 | 6606.09 | 108.48 | 107.2 |  |
| L(SG) | \|4/10/1989 | \|6496.84 | \|6606.09 | \|109.25 | 107.97 | 1 |
| L(SG) | 6/15/1989 | 6497.99 | 6606.09 | 108.1 | 106.82 |  |
| L(SG) | \|9/20/1989 | \|6496.21 | \|6606.09 | 109.88 | \|108.6 | 1 |

Table C.1-1. Water Level Data for Bluewater Site San Andres Aquifer Wells

| Well ID | Date | Water Elevation (ft amsl) | TOC Elevation (ft amsl) | Depth from <br> Top of Casing (ft) | Depth from Surface (ft) | Data Source/Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L(SG) | 12/18/1989 | 6494.35 | 6606.09 | 111.74 | 110.46 |  |
| L(SG) | 3/13/1990 | 6493.2 | 6606.09 | \|112.89 | \|111.61 |  |
| L(SG) | 4/10/1990 | 6492.66 | 6606.09 | 113.43 | 112.15 |  |
| L(SG) | \|7/11/1990 | 6488.98 | \|6606.09 | \|117.11 | \|115.83 |  |
| L(SG) | 9/18/1990 | 6487.3 | 6606.09 | 118.79 | 117.51 |  |
| L(SG) | \|10/2/1990 | 6487.87 | 6606.09 | \|118.22 | \|116.94 |  |
| L(SG) | 12/18/1990 | 6487.16 | 6606.09 | !118.93 | 117.65 |  |
| L(SG) | \|1/9/1991 | 6487.16 | 6606.09 | \|118.93 | 117.65 |  |
| L(SG) | 4/3/1991 | 6486.14 | 6606.09 | 119.95 | 118.67 |  |
| L(SG) | 7/10/1991 | \|6488.46 | 6606.09 | $\mid 117.63$ | 116.35 |  |
| L(SG) | 10/2/1991 | 6491.95 | 6606.09 | . 114.14 | 112.86 |  |
| L(SG) | \|1/15/1992 | 6490.11 | \|6606.09 | \|115.98 | 114.7 |  |
| L(SG) | 4/8/1992 | 6488.95 | 6606.09 | . 117.14 | 115.86 |  |
| L(SG) | 7/21/1992 | 6490.39 | 6606.09 | \|115.7 | \|114.42 |  |
| L(SG) | 10/8/1992 | 6489.7 | 6606.09 | 116.39 | 115.11 |  |
| L(SG) | \|1/12/1993 | \|6488.57 | \|6606.09 | \|117.52 | \|116.24 |  |
| L(SG) | 4/5/1993 | 6487.93 | 6606.09 | - 118.16 | 116.88 |  |
| L(SG) | \|7/7/1993 | \|6488.27 | \|6606.09 | \|117.82 | 116.54 |  |
| L(SG) | 10/5/1993 | 6492.15 | 6606.09 | 113.94 | 112.66 |  |
| L(SG) | 1/5/1994 | 6491.65 | \|6606.09 | \|114.44 | \|113.16 |  |
| L(SG) | 4/5/1994 | 6487.93 | -6606.09 | 118.16 | , 116.88 |  |
| L(SG) | \|7/7/1994 | 6493.8 | \|6606.09 | \|112.29 | \|111.01 |  |
| L(SG) | 10/13/1994 | 6494.8 | 6606.09 | 111.29 | 110.01 |  |
| L(SG) | 1/16/1995 | \|6493.57 | 6606.09 | \|112.52 | 111.24 |  |
| L(SG) | 4/10/1995 | 6492.69 | -6606.09 | , 113.4 | 112.12 |  |
| L(SG) | \|7/18/1995 | 6496.69 | \|6606.09 | \|109.4 | \|108.12 |  |
| L(SG) | 10/18/1995 | 6497.14 | 6606.09 | 108.95 | 107.67 |  |
| L(SG) | \|1/15/1996 | 6494.78 | \|6606.09 | 111.31 | \|110.03 |  |
| L(SG) | 4/3/1996 | 6493.39 | 6606.09 | 112.7 | 111.42 |  |
| L(SG) | \|11/16/1998| | 6486.59 | \|6606.09 | \|119.5 | \|118.22 |  |
| L(SG) | 11/3/2001 | -6471.89 | 6606.09 | 134.2 | 132.92 |  |
| L(SG) | 11/18/2004 | 6455.28 | \|6606.09 | \|150.81 | 149.53 |  |
| L(SG) | 11/6/2007 | 6448.96 | 6606.09 | - 157.13 | 155.85 |  |
| L(SG) | \|11/4/2008 | 6449.35 | \|6606.09 | \|156.74 | \|155.46 |  |
| L(SG) | 11/10/2009 | 6444.62 | . 6606.09 | 161.47 | 160.19 |  |
| L(SG) | \|11/11/2010 | 6451.53 | \|6606.09 | \|154.56 | 153.28 |  |
| L(SG) | 7/27/2011 | 6445.27 | 6606.09 | ; 160.82 | 159.54 |  |
| L(SG) | \|11/17/2011 | 6446.17 | \|6606.09 | \|159.92 | \|158.64 |  |
| L(SG) | 5/15/2012 | 6446.75 | 6606.09 | 159.34 | 158.06 |  |
| L(SG) | \|11/14/2012 | 6445.94 | \|6606.09 | 160.15 | \|158.87 |  |
| L(SG) | 1/30/2013 | 6445.7 | 6606.09 | ; 160.39 | 159.11 |  |
| L(SG) | \|5/15/2013 | 6445.24 | \|6606.09 | \|160.85 | \|159.57 |  |
| L(SG) | 5/16/2013 | 6445.24 | 6606.09 | 160.85 | 159.57 |  |
| L(SG) | \|5/16/2013 | \|6445.24 | \|6606.09 | \|160.85 | \|159.57 |  |
| L(SG) | 11/19/2013 | '6442.09 | . 6606.09 | 164 | 162.72 |  |
| L(SG) | \|4/29/2014 | 6440.81 | \|6606.09 | \|165.28 | 164 |  |
| M(SG) | 16/7/1984 | 6482.72 |  | -6482.72 | -6482.72 |  |
| $M(S G)$ | \|4/15/1986 | 6484.9 | 1 | \|-6484.9 | \|-6484.9 |  |
| M(SG) | 10/13/1986 | 6486.8 |  | -6486.8 | -6486.8 |  |
| M(SG) | \|4/8/1987 | 6485.68 | 1 | \|-6485.68 | -6485.68 |  |
| M(SG) | 10/8/1987 | . 6487.58 |  | -6487.58 | -6487.58 |  |
| M(SG) | \|4/13/1988 | 6486.41 | 1 | \|-6486.41 | \|-6486.41 |  |
| M(SG) | 10/11/1988 | . 6487.99 |  | -6487.99 | -6487.99 |  |
| $M(S G)$ | \| 4/19/1989 | 6486.17 | 1 | \|-6486.17 | \|-6486.17 |  |
| M(SG) | 4/11/1990 | 6482.9 |  | -6482.9 | -6482.9 |  |
| \|Mexican Camp | \|4/26/1984 | \|6469.31 | 1 | \|-6469.31 | \|-6469.31 |  |

Table C.1-1. Water Level Data for Bluewater Site San Andres Aquifer Wells

| Well ID | Date | Water Elevation (ft amsl) | TOC Elevation (ft amsl) | Depth from <br> Top of Casing ( ft ) | Depth from Surface (ft) | Data Source/Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mexican Camp | 4/22/1986 | 6472.72 | - | -6472.72 | -6472.72 |  |
| Mexican Camp | 4/9/1987 | \|6484 |  | \|-6484 | \|-6484 |  |
| Mexican Camp | 4/7/1988 | 6473.65 | , | '-6473.65 | -6473.65 |  |
| Monitor | \|5/16/1984 | \|6473.17 |  | \|-6473.17 | \|-6473.17 |  |
| Monitor | 4/17/1986 | 6476.36 |  | -6476.36 | -6476.36 |  |
| Monitor | \|10/6/1986 | \|6478.91 | 1 | \|-6478.91 | \|-6478.91 |  |
| Monitor | 4/13/1987 | 6477.51 |  | -6477.51 | -6477.51 |  |
| Monitor | \|10/12/1987 | \|6479.04 | 1 | \|-6479.04 | \|-6479.04 |  |
| Monitor | 4/5/1988 | 6477.39 |  | -6477.39 | -6477.39 |  |
| Monitor | \|10/10/1988 | 6479.06 | 1 | \|-6479.06 | \|-6479.06 |  |
| Monitor | . 4/11/1990 | :6474.62 |  | -6474.62 | -6474.62 |  |
| North | \|5/15/1984 | \|6479.65 |  | -6479.65 | \|-6479.65 |  |
| North | 4/17/1986 | 6482.68 |  | -6482.68 | -6482.68 |  |
| North | \|10/6/1986 | \|6484.13 | 1 | \|-6484.13 | \|-6484.13 |  |
| North | 4/8/1987 | 6483.14 |  | -6483.14 | -6483.14 |  |
| North | \|10/8/1987 | 6485.51 | 1 | \|-6485.51 | \|-6485.51 |  |
| North | 4/13/1988 | 6484 |  | -6484 | -6484 |  |
| North | \|10/10/1988 | \|6485.32 | 1 | \|-6485.32 | \|-6485.32 |  |
| North | 4/18/1989 | 6483.88 |  | -6483.88 | -6483.88 |  |
| North | \|4/11/1990 | \|6480.84 |  | \|-6480.84 | \|-6480.84 |  |
| OBS-2 | 4/19/1989 | 6481.9 |  | -6481.9 | -6481.9 |  |
| OBS-2 | \|4/10/1990 | \|6478.89 |  | -6478.89 | \|-6478.89 |  |
| OBS-3 | 5/7/1984 | 6474.56 | 6617.22 | 142.66 | 138.83 |  |
| OBS-3 | \|4/17/1986 | \|6479.95 | \|6617.22 | 137.27 | \|133.44 |  |
| OBS-3 | 10/13/1986 | '6480.86 | 6617.22 | 136.36 | 132.53 |  |
| OBS-3 | \|4/6/1987 | \|6480.45 | \|6617.22 | 136.77 | \|132.94 |  |
| OBS-3 | 10/7/1987 | 6482.37 | 6617.22 | 134.85 | 131.02 |  |
| OBS-3 | \|4/5/1988 | \|6481.2 | \|6617.22 | 136.02 | \|132.19 |  |
| OBS-3 | 6/14/1988 | 6481.5 | 6617.22 | 135.72 | 131.89 |  |
| OBS-3 | \|9/8/1988 | \|6482.81 | \|6617.22 | 134.41 | 130.58 |  |
| OBS-3 | 10/11/1988 | 6482.5 | 6617.22 | 134.72 | 130.89 |  |
| OBS-3 | \|12/6/1988 | \|6482.61 | \|6617.22 | 134.61 | \|130.78 |  |
| OBS-3 | 12/8/1988 | 6482.61 | 6617.22 | 134.61 | 130.78 |  |
| OBS-3 | \|3/7/1989 | \|6482.61 | \|6617.22 | 134.61 | 130.78 |  |
| OBS-3 | 4/11/1989 | 6481.44 | 6617.22 | 135.78 | 131.95 |  |
| OBS-3 | \|6/15/1989 | \|6481.4 | \|6617.22 | 135.82 | \|131.99 |  |
| OBS-3 | 9/21/1989 | 6479.53 | 6617.22 | 137.69 | 133.86 |  |
| OBS-3 | \|3/14/1990 | \|6478.33 | \|6617.22 | 138.89 | \|135.06 |  |
| OBS-3 | 4/10/1990 | 6477.85 | 6617.22 | 139.37 | 135.54 |  |
| OBS-3 | \|5/24/1990 | \|6477.37 | \|6617.22 | \|139.85 | \|136.02 |  |
| OBS-3 | 7/12/1990 | 6476.69 | 6617.22 | 140.53 | 136.7 |  |
| OBS-3 | \|9/18/1990 | \|6474.7 | \|6617.22 | 142.52 | \|138.69 |  |
| OBS-3 | 10/2/1990 | 6474.52 | 6617.22 | 142.7 | 138.87 |  |
| OBS-3 | 12/18/1990 | \|6474.3 | $\mid 6617.22$ | 142.92 | \|139.09 |  |
| OBS-3 | 1/10/1991 | 6474.07 | 6617.22 | 143.15 | 139.32 |  |
| OBS-3 | \|4/2/1991 | \|6473.33 | \|6617.22 | 143.89 | \|140.06 |  |
| OBS-3 | 7/9/1991 | 6473.29 | 6617.22 | 143.93 | 140.1 |  |
| OBS-3 | \|10/2/1991 | \|6474.8 | \|6617.22 | \|142.42 | \|138.59 |  |
| OBS-3 | 1/20/1992 | 6474.81 | 6617.22 | 142.41 | 138.58 |  |
| OBS-3 | \|4/7/1992 | \|6474.25 | \|6617.22 | \|142.97 | \|139.14 |  |
| OBS-3 | 7/20/1992 | 6473.9 | 6617.22 | 143.32 | 139.49 |  |
| OBS-3 | \|10/19/1992 | \|6473.77 | \|6617.22 | \|143.45 | \|139.62 |  |
| OBS-3 | 2/12/1993 | 6473.3 | 6617.22 | 143.92 | 140.09 |  |
| OBS-3 | \| 4/12/1993 | \|6473.15 | \|6617.22 | \|144.07 | \|140.24 |  |
| OBS-3 | 7/7/1993 | 6473.33 | 6617.22 | 143.89 | 140.06 |  |
| OBS-3 | 10/13/1993 | \|6474.16 | \|6617.22 | \|143.06 | \|139.23 |  |

Table C.1-1. Water Level Data for Bluewater Site San Andres Aquifer Wells
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| Well ID | Date | Water Elevation (ft amsl) | TOC Elevation ( ft amsl) | Depth from Top of Casing (ft) | Depth from Surface (ft) | Data Source/Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obs-3 | 1/5/1994 | 6474.93 | 6617.22 | 142.29 | 138.46 |  |
| OBS-3 | 4/12/1994 | 6473.15 | 6617.22 | \|144.07 | \|140.24 |  |
| OBS-3 | 7/6/1994 | 6475.13 | 6617.22 | 142.09 | 138.26 |  |
| OBS-3 | 10/11/1994 | 6476.62 | 6617.22 | 140.6 | \|136.77 |  |
| OBS-3 | 1/16/1995 | :6476.84 | 6617.22 | -140.38 | 136.55 |  |
| Obs-3 | \|4/18/1995 | \|6476.06 | \|6617.22 | \|141.16 | \|137.33 |  |
| ObS-3 | 7/18/1995 | 6477.11 | 6617.22 | 140.11 | 136.28 |  |
| Obs-3 | \|10/23/1995 | \|6478.73 | 6617.22 | \|138.49 | \|134.66 |  |
| ObS-3 | 1/15/1996 | 6478.13 | 6617.22 | 139.09 | 135.26 |  |
| OBS-3 | \|4/2/1996 | \|6477.86 | \|6617.22 | \|139.36 | \|135.53 |  |
| OBS-3 | 11/13/1998 | 6476.84 | 6617.22 | 140.38 | 136.55 |  |
| ObS-3 | 11/3/2001 | 6463.72 | \|6617.22 | 153.5 | \|149.67 |  |
| OBS-3 | 11/18/2004 | 6448.99 | 16617.22 | 168.23 | 164.4 |  |
| OBS-3 | 11/6/2007 | \|6441.98 | 6617.22 | \|175.24 | \|171.41 |  |
| OBS-3 | 11/4/2008 | 6439.45 | 6617.22 | 177.77 | 173.94 |  |
| OBS-3 | 11/10/2009 | 6436.51 | 6617.22 | \|180.71 | \|176.88 |  |
| OBS-3 | 11/10/2010 | \|6437.28 | 6617.22 | 179.94 | 176.11 |  |
| ObS-3 | \|11/10/2010 | \|6435.5 | 6617.22 | \|181.72 | \|177.89 |  |
| OBS-3 | 7/28/2011 | '6435.22 | 6617.22 | 182 | 178.17 |  |
| ObS-3 | \|11/16/2011 | \|6435.08 | 6617.22 | 182.14 | \|178.31 |  |
| ObS-3 | 11/13/2012 | 6434.13 | 6617.22 | 183.09 | 179.26 |  |
| Obs-3 | 1/30/2013 | 6434.12 | 6617.22 | \|183.1 | \|179.27 |  |
| OBS-3 | 5/14/2013 | 6433.7 | 6617.22 | 183.52 | 179.69 |  |
| ObS-3 | 11/20/2013 | \|6432.32 | 6617.22 | 184.9 | \|181.07 |  |
| Obs-3 | 4/29/2014 | 6431.23 | 6617.22 | 185.99 | 182.16 |  |
| Payne | \|5/9/1984 | \|6475.1 |  | \|-6475.1 | \|-6475.1 |  |
| Payne | 4/24/1986 | 6478.52 |  | -6478.52 | -6478.52 |  |
| Payne | 11/19/1986 | 6480.69 |  | \|-6480.69 | \|-6480.69 |  |
| Payne | 4/9/1987 | 6479.56 |  | -6479.56 | -6479.56 |  |
| Payne | 10/12/1987 | \|6482.43 |  | \|-6482.43 | \|-6482.43 |  |
| Payne | 4/11/1988 | 6476.34 |  | -6476.34 | -6476.34 |  |
| Payne | 10/11/1988 | 6282.99 |  | \|-6282.99 | \|-6282.99 |  |
| S(SG) | 2/15/1984 | 6476.98 | 6625.25 | 148.27 | 146.84 |  |
| S(SG) | \| 5/7/1984 | \|6476.9 | 6625.25 | \|148.35 | \|146.92 |  |
| S(SG) | 4/17/1986 | 6480.41 | 6625.25 | 144.84 | 143.41 |  |
| S(SG) | \|7/7/1986 | 6480.66 | \|6625.25 | \|144.59 | \|143.16 | 1 |
| S(SG) | 10/13/1986 | :6481.28 | 6625.25 | 143.97 | 142.54 |  |
| S(SG) | \|2/3/1987 | \|6481.32 | \|6625.25 | \|143.93 | \|142.5 | 1 |
| S(SG) | 4/6/1987 | 6480.85 | 6625.25 | 144.4 | -142.97 |  |
| S(SG) | 7/7/1987 | 6481.49 | 6625.25 | \|143.76 | $\mid 142.33$ |  |
| S(SG) | 10/5/1987 | 6482.9 | 6625.25 | 142.35 | 140.92 |  |
| S(SG) | \|1/4/1988 | \|6482.59 | 6625.25 | 142.66 | 141.23 |  |
| S(SG) | 4/5/1988 | 6481.47 | 6625.25 | 143.78 | 142.35 |  |
| S(SG) | \|6/14/1988 | \|6481.89 | \|6625.25 | \|143.36 | \|141.93 |  |
| S(SG) | 7/11/1988 | '6482.07 | 6625.25 | 143.18 | 141.75 |  |
| S(SG) | \|9/8/1988 | \|6482.32 | \|6625.25 | \|142.93 | \|141.5 |  |
| S(SG) | 10/10/1988 | 6482.94 | 6625.25 | 142.31 | 140.88 |  |
| S(SG) | \|12/6/1988 | \|6483.1 | \|6625.25 | \|142.15 | \|140.72 |  |
| S(SG) | 12/8/1988 | 6483.1 | 6625.25 | 142.15 | 140.72 |  |
| S(SG) | \|1/17/1989 | \|6483.09 | \|6625.25 | \|142.16 | \|140.73 |  |
| S(SG) | 3/7/1989 | 6482.19 | 6625.25 | 143.06 | 141.63 |  |
| S(SG) | \|4/10/1989 | \|6481.72 | \|6625.25 | 143.53 | \|142.1 |  |
| S(SG) | 6/15/1989 | 6481.34 | 6625.25 | 143.91 | 142.48 |  |
| S(SG) | \|9/21/1989 | \|6480.08 | \|6625.25 | \|145.17 | \|143.74 |  |
| S(SG) | 12/18/1989 | 6478.95 | 6625.25 | 146.3 | 144.87 |  |
| S(SG) | \|3/14/1990 | \|6478.89 | \|6625.25 | \|146.36 | \|144.93 |  |

Table C.1-1. Water Level Data for Bluewater Site San Andres Aquifer Wells

| Well ID | Date | Water Elevation (ft amsl) | TOC Elevation (ft amsl) | Depth from Top of Casing ( ft ) | Depth from Surface (ft) | Data Source/Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S(SG) | 4/10/1990 | 6478.34 | 6625.25 | 146.91 | 145.48 |  |
| S(SG) | \|5/24/1990 | \|6477.84 | \|6625.25 | \|147.41 | \|145.98 |  |
| S(SG) | 7/11/1990 | . 6476.48 | '6625.25 | 148.77 | 147.34 |  |
| S(SG) | \|9/17/1990 | \|6475.25 | \|6625.25 | \|150 | \|148.57 |  |
| S(SG) | 10/1/1990 | 6474.99 | 6625.25 | 150.26 | 148.83 |  |
| S(SG) | \|12/18/1990 | 6475.14 | \|6625.25 | \|150.11 | \|148.68 |  |
| S(SG) | 1/10/1991 | 6474.58 | 6625.25 | :150.67 | 149.24 |  |
| S(SG) | \|4/2/1991 | \|6473.92 | \|6625.25 | \|151.33 | \|149.9 |  |
| S(SG) | 7/9/1991 | 6473.89 | 6625.25 | 151.36 | 149.93 |  |
| S(SG) | \|10/2/1991 | \|6475.51 | \|6625.25 | \|149.74 | \|148.31 |  |
| S(SG) | 1/15/1992 | 6475.39 | 6625.25 | :149.86 | 148.43 |  |
| S(SG) | \|4/6/1992 | \|6474.79 | \|6625.25 | \|150.46 | \|149.03 |  |
| S(SG) | 7/20/1992 | 6475.1 | 6625.25 | 150.15 | 148.72 |  |
| S(SG) | \|10/6/1992 | \|6474.56 | \|6625.25 | \|150.69 | \|149.26 |  |
| S(SG) | 1/12/1993 | 6474.02 | 6625.25 | 151.23 | 149.8 |  |
| S(SG) | \|4/8/1993 | \|6473.57 | \|6625.25 | \|151.68 | \|150.25 |  |
| S(SG) | 7/7/1993 | '6474.01 | '6625.25 | 151.24 | 149.81 |  |
| S(SG) | 10/6/1993 | \|6474.89 | \|6625.25 | \|150.36 | \|148.93 |  |
| S(SG) | 1/5/1994 | 6475.57 | 6625.25 | 149.68 | 148.25 |  |
| S(SG) | \|4/8/1994 | \|6473.57 | \|6625.25 | \|151.68 | \|150.25 |  |
| S(SG) | 7/6/1994 | 6477.65 | 6625.25 | 147.6 | 146.17 |  |
| S(SG) | \|10/11/1994 | \|6477.06 | \|6625.25 | \|148.19 | \|146.76 |  |
| S(SG) | 4/10/1995 | 6476.54 | 6625.25 | 148.71 | 147.28 |  |
| S(SG) | \|4/16/1995 | \|6477.42 | \|6625.25 | \|147.83 | \|146.4 |  |
| S(SG) | 7/18/1995 | . 6477.57 | 6625.25 | 147.68 | 146.25 |  |
| S(SG) | \|10/16/1995 | \|6479.3 | \|6625.25 | \|145.95 | \|144.52 |  |
| S(SG) | 1/16/1996 | 6479.23 | , 6625.25 | 146.02 | 144.59 |  |
| S(SG) | \|4/2/1996 | $\mid 6478.26$ | \|6625.25 | \|146.99 | \|145.56 |  |
| S(SG) | 11/13/1998 | 6476.8 | '6625.25 | 148.45 | 147.02 |  |
| S(SG) | 11/3/2001 | \|6462.94 | \|6625.25 | \|162.31 | \|160.88 |  |
| S(SG) | 11/18/2004 | , 6448.64 | 6625.25 | 176.61 | 175.18 |  |
| S(SG) | \|11/6/2007 | \|6442.23 | \|6625.25 | \|183.02 | \|181.59 |  |
| S(SG) | 11/4/2008 | 6439.22 | 6625.25 | 186.03 | 184.6 |  |
| S(SG) | \|11/10/2009| | \|6436.39 | \|6625.25 | \|188.86 | \|187.43 |  |
| S(SG) | 11/9/2010 | 6437.14 | 6625.25 | 188.11 | 186.68 |  |
| S(SG) | \|11/16/2011| | \|6435.14 | \|6625.25 | \|190.11 | $\mid 188.68$ |  |
| S(SG) | 11/13/2012 | '6434.14 | 6625.25 | 191.11 | 189.68 |  |
| S(SG) | \|1/30/2013 | \|6434.04 | \|6625.25 | \|191.21 | \|189.78 |  |
| S(SG) | 5/16/2013 | 6432.94 | 6625.25 | 192.31 | 190.88 |  |
| S(SG) | \|11/20/2013| |  | \|6625.25 |  |  | \|Not enough water to sample |
| S(SG) | 4/29/2014 | 6432.05 | 6625.25 | 193.2 | 191.77 |  |
| W(SG) | \|6/7/1984 | \|6461.58 |  | \|-6461.58 | \|-6461.58 |  |
| W(SG) | 4/17/1986 | 6472.54 |  | -6472.54 | -6472.54 |  |
| W(SG) | \|10/6/1986 | \|6475 | 1 | \|-6475 | \|-6475 |  |
| W(SG) | 4/8/1987 | 6471.91 |  | -6471.91 | -6471.91 |  |
| W(SG) | \|10/5/1987 | \|6476.48 | 1 | \|-6476.48 | \|-6476.48 |  |
| W(SG) | 4/6/1988 | 6473.45 |  | -6473.45 | -6473.45 |  |
| W(SG) | \|10/10/1988 | \|6475.63 | 1 | \|-6475.63 | \|-6475.63 |  |
| W(SG) | 4/17/1989 | 6473.92 |  | -6473.92 | -6473.92 |  |

Note:
Water level data listed here for HMC-951 were obtained mostly from Homestake (HMC) annual reports. For these records, the measuring point (MP) elevation differs slightly from the top of casing (TOC) elevation used in the DOE database.
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Table C.1-2. Water Level Data for Bluewater Site Alluvial Aquifer Wells

| Well ID | Date | Water Elevation (ft amsl) | TOC Elevation (ft amsl) | Depth from <br> Top of Casing ( ft ) | Depth from Surface (ft) | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20(M) | 11/14/2012 | 6508.44 | 6613.38 | , 104.94 | 102.1 |  |
| 20(M) | 1/30/2013 | \|6508.37 | 6613.38 | \|105.01 | \|102.17 |  |
| 20(M) | 5/14/2013 | 6508.13 | 6613.38 | 105.25 | 102.41 |  |
| 20(M) | 11/19/2013 | 6507.74 | 6613.38 | 105.64 | 102.8 |  |
| 20(M) | 4/29/2014 | 6507.23 | 6613.38 | 106.15 | 103.31 |  |
| 21(M) | [7/27/2011 | \|6466.26 | 6593.8 | 127.54 | \|124.59 |  |
| 21(M) | 11/15/2011 | 6466.19 | 6593.8 | 127.61 | 124.66 |  |
| 21(M) | [5/15/2012 | \|6465.87 | 6593.8 | 127.93 | 124.98 |  |
| 21(M) | 11/15/2012 | 6465.66 | 6593.8 | 128.14 | 125.19 |  |
| 21(M) | \|1/29/2013 | \|6465.52 | 6593.8 | \|128.28 | 125.33 |  |
| 21(M) | 5/15/2013 | 6465.71 | 6593.8 | 128.09 | 125.14 |  |
| 21(M) | \|11/19/2013 | \|6465.52 | 6593.8 | \|128.28 | 125.33 |  |
| 21(M) | 4/29/2014 | 6465.57 | 6593.8 | 128.23 | 125.28 |  |
| 22(M) | \|7/27/2011 | \|6470.02 | \|6606.48 | 136.46 | \|133.59 |  |
| 22(M) | 11/15/2011 | 6469.94 | :6606.48 | 136.54 | 133.67 |  |
| 22(M) | \|5/15/2012 | \|6470.1 | \|6606.48 | 136.38 | 133.51 |  |
| 22(M) | 11/15/2012 | 6469.57 | 6606.48 | 136.91 | 134.04 |  |
| 22(M) | \|1/29/2013 | \|6469.49 | \|6606.48 | 136.99 | 134.12 |  |
| 22(M) | 5/14/2013 | 6469.48 | 6606.48 | 137 | 134.13 |  |
| 22(M) | \|11/19/2013 | 6469.25 | 6606.48 | 137.23 | 134.36 |  |
| 22(M) | 4/29/2014 | 6469.12 | 6606.48 | 137.36 | 134.49 |  |
| 23(M) | \|11/13/2012 |  | \|6579.22 |  |  | \|Dry |
| 23(M) | 1/28/2013 | 6468.61 | 6579.22 | 110.61 | 107.99 |  |
| 23(M) | [5/15/2013 | \|6468.6 | 6579.22 | \|110.62 | \|108 |  |
| 23(M) | 11/19/2013 | 6469.02 | 6579.22 | 110.2 | 107.58 |  |
| 23(M) | \|4/30/2014 | 6469.04 | 6579.22 | 110.18 | 107.56 |  |
| Aragon | 5/9/1984 | 6531.77 |  | -6531.77 | -6531.77 |  |
| Aragon | \|4/24/1986 | \|6534.59 | 1 | \|-6534.59 | \|-6534.59 |  |
| Aragon | 11/19/1986 | 6535.98 |  | -6535.98 | -6535.98 |  |
| Aragon | \|4/13/1987 | 6531.93 | 1 | \|-6531.93 | \|-6531.93 |  |
| Aragon | 10/12/1987 | 6533.37 |  | -6533.37 | -6533.37 |  |
| Aragon | \|4/11/1988 | \|6534.75 |  | \|-6534.75 | \|-6534.75 |  |
| Aragon | 10/11/1988 | 6538.3 |  | -6538.3 | -6538.3 |  |
| B(M) | \|2/13/1984 | 6520.51 |  | -6520.51 | \|-6520.51 |  |
| $B(M)$ | 5/7/1984 | 6519.12 |  | -6519.12 | -6519.12 |  |
| $B(M)$ | \|4/15/1986 | $\bigcirc 6522.12$ |  | -6522.12 | \|-6522.12 |  |
| $B(M)$ | 7/7/1986 | 6522.27 |  | -6522.27 | -6522.27 |  |
| $B(M)$ | \|10/13/1986 | 6523.13 |  | \|-6523.13 | \|-6523.13 |  |
| $B(M)$ | 2/3/1987 | 6521.96 |  | -6521.96 | -6521.96 |  |
| $B(M)$ | \|4/6/1987 | \|6521.71 |  | \|-6521.71 | \|-6521.71 |  |
| $B(M)$ | 7/7/1987 | 6521.54 |  | -6521.54 | -6521.54 |  |
| $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{M})$ | \|10/5/1987 | \|6521.59 |  | \|-6521.59 | \|-6521.59 |  |
| $B(M)$ | 1/4/1988 | 6521.81 |  | -6521.81 | -6521.81 |  |
| $B(M)$ | \|4/5/1988 | \|6521.79 |  | \|-6521.79 | \|-6521.79 |  |
| $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{M})$ | 7/11/1988 | 6521.7 |  | -6521.7 | -6521.7 |  |
| $B(M)$ | \|10/6/1988 | \|6522.14 |  | \|-6522.14 | \|-6522.14 |  |
| $B(M)$ | 1/17/1989 | 6522.24 |  | -6522.24 | -6522.24 |  |
| $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{M})$ | \|4/10/1989 | \|6521.59 | 1 | \|-6521.59 | \|-6521.59 |  |
| $B(M)$ | 4/12/1990 | 6520.5 |  | -6520.5 | -6520.5 |  |
| Berryhill House | \|5/9/1984 | 6522.13 | 1 | \|-6522.13 | \|-6522.13 |  |
| Berryhill House | 4/22/1986 | 6523.68 |  | -6523.68 | -6523.68 |  |
| Berryhill House | \|11/19/1986 | 6523.48 | 1 | \|-6523.48 | \|-6523.48 |  |
| Berryhill House | 4/13/1987 | 6523.29 |  | -6523.29 | -6523.29 |  |
| Berryhill House | \|10/12/1987 | 6522.36 | 1 | \|-6522.36 | \|-6522.36 |  |
| Berryhill House | . $/ 111 / 1988$ | 6522.51 |  | , -6522.51 | . 6522.51 |  |

Table C.1-2. Water Level Data for Bluewater Site Alluvial Aquifer Wells page 2 of 7

| Well ID | Date | Water Elevation ( ft amsl) | TOC Elevation (ft amsl) | Depth from <br> Top of Casing (ft) | Depth from Surface ( ft ) | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Berryhill House | 10/12/1988 | 6523.32 |  | \|-6523.32 | -6523.32 |  |
| C(M) | '3/12/1984 | 6512.61 |  | -6512.61 | -6512.61 |  |
| C(M) | \|4/16/1984 | 6512.24 |  | -6512.24 | \|-6512.24 |  |
| C(M) | 4/15/1986 | 6514.11 |  | -6514.11 | -6514.11 |  |
| C(M) | \|7/7/1986 | 6514.29 |  | -6514.29 | \|-6514.29 |  |
| $C(M)$ | 10/13/1986 | 6514.44 |  | -6514.44 | -6514.44 |  |
| $C(M)$ | \|2/3/1987 | \|6513.96 |  | \|-6513.96 | \|-6513.96 |  |
| C(M) | 4/6/1987 | 6513.64 |  | -6513.64 | -6513.64 |  |
| $C(M)$ | \|7/8/1987 | \|6512.96 |  | \|-6512.96 | \|-6512.96 |  |
| C(M) | 10/7/1987 | 6513.57 |  | -6513.57 | -6513.57 |  |
| C(M) | \|1/11/1988 | \}6513.84 |  | \|-6513.84 | \|-6513.84 |  |
| C(M) | 4/5/1988 | 6513.47 |  | -6513.47 | -6513.47 |  |
| $C(M)$ | 7/11/1988 | 6513.44 |  | \|-6513.44 | \|-6513.44 |  |
| C(M) | 10/6/1988 | 6514.22 |  | -6514.22 | -6514.22 |  |
| C(M) | \|1/18/1989 | \|6514.36 |  | \|-6514.36 | \|-6514.36 |  |
| C(M) | 4/17/1989 | 6513.35 |  | :-6513.35 | -6513.35 |  |
| C(M) | /4/9/1990 | 6511.72 |  | \|-6511.72 | \|-6511.72 |  |
| E(M) | 4/12/1984 | 6546.44 | 6616.32 | 69.88 | 68.35 |  |
| E(M) | \|4/22/1986 | 6548.41 | \|6616.32 | \|67.91 | 66.38 |  |
| $E(M)$ | 10/13/1986 | 6547.72 | 6616.32 | . 68.6 | 67.07 |  |
| E(M) | \|4/8/1987 | 6543.32 | \|6616.32 | \|73 | \|71.47 |  |
| $E(M)$ | 10/8/1987 | 6543.66 | 6616.32 | 72.66 | 71.13 |  |
| E(M) | \|4/13/1988 | 6541.53 | \|6616.32 | 174.79 | 73.26 |  |
| E(M) | 6/14/1988 | 6540.8 | 6616.32 | 175.52 | 73.99 |  |
| E(M) | \|9/7/1988 | 6541.93 | \|6616.32 | 174.39 | 72.86 |  |
| E(M) | 10/6/1988 | ,6541.94 | 6616.32 | . 74.38 | 72.85 |  |
| $E(\mathrm{M})$ | 12/6/1988 | \|6541.25 | 6616.32 | $\mid 75.07$ | \|73.54 |  |
| E(M) | 3/7/1989 | 6540.25 | 6616.32 | 76.07 | 74.54 |  |
| E(M) | \|4/17/1989 | 6540.04 | 6616.32 | $\mid 76.28$ | \|74.75 |  |
| E(M) | 6/15/1989 | 6439.72 | 6616.32 | :176.6 | 175.07 |  |
| E(M) | \|9/20/1989 | 6539.7 | \|6616.32 | \|76.62 | $\bigcirc 75.09$ |  |
| $E(M)$ | 12/18/1989 | 6539.13 | 6616.32 | 77.19 | 75.66 |  |
| E(M) | \|3/12/1990 | 6538.98 | \|6616.32 | $\mid 77.34$ | 75.81 |  |
| $E(M)$ | 4/9/1990 | 6538.88 | 6616.32 | 77.44 | 75.91 |  |
| E(M) | \|7/12/1990 | \|6539.58 | \|6616.32 | $\mid 76.74$ | 75.21 |  |
| E(M) | 9/18/1990 | 6538.43 | 6616.32 | 77.89 | 76.36 |  |
| E(M) | 10/3/1990 | \|6538.38 | \|6616.32 | \|77.94 | 76.41 |  |
| E(M) | 12/18/1990 | 6538.18 | 6616.32 | 178.14 | 76.61 |  |
| E(M) | \|1/8/1991 | \|6538.03 | \|6616.32 | $\mid 78.29$ | \|76.76 |  |
| E(M) | 4/3/1991 | ¢6537.67 | 6616.32 | 78.65 | 77.12 |  |
| E(M) | \|7/10/1991 | \|6537.63 | \|6616.32 | 78.69 | 77.16 |  |
| E(M) | 10/3/1991 | 6537.12 | 6616.32 | 79.2 | 77.67 | , |
| E(M) | \|1/14/1992 | 6536.97 | \|6616.32 | \|79.35 | \|77.82 |  |
| $E(M)$ | 4/14/1992 | . 6537.27 | 6616.32 | 79.05 | $\cdot 77.52$ |  |
| E(M) | \|7/21/1992 | \|6537.24 | \|6616.32 | \|79.08 | \|77.55 |  |
| E(M) | 10/6/1992 | 6537.46 | 6616.32 | 78.86 | 77.33 |  |
| E(M) | \|1/11/1993 | \|6537.78 | \|6616.32 | $\mid 78.54$ | $\mid 77.01$ |  |
| $E(M)$ | 4/5/1993 | . 6538.04 | 6616.32 | 78.28 | 76.75 |  |
| E(M) | \|7/8/1993 | \|6538.47 | \|6616.32 | $\mid 77.85$ | \|76.32 |  |
| E(M) | 10/5/1993 | '6538 | -6616.32 | 78.32 | 76.79 |  |
| E(M) | \|1/6/1994 | \|6538.18 | \|6616.32 | \|78.14 | \|76.61 |  |
| E(M) | 4/12/1994 | 6538.43 | 6616.32 | 77.89 | 76.36 |  |
| E(M) | \|7/6/1994 | \|6539.18 | \|6616.32 | $\mid 77.14$ | \|75.61 | $\because$ |
| $E(M)$ | 10/6/1994 | 6541.9 | 6616.32 | 74.42 | 72.89 |  |
| E(M) | \|1/16/1995 | \|6542.31 | \|6616.32 | 174.01 | $\mid 72.48$ |  |

Table C.1-2. Water Level Data for Bluewater Site Alluvial Aquifer Wells

| Well ID | Date | Water Elevation (ft amsl) | TOC Elevation (ft amsl) | Depth from <br> Top of Casing (ft) | Depth from Surface (ft) | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E(M) | .4/10/1995 | 6541.11 | 6616.32 | :75.21 | 73.68 |  |
| E(M) | \|7/17/1995 | \|6538.53 | 6616.32 | \|77.79 | \|76.26 |  |
| E(M) | 10/23/1995 | 6538.16 | 6616.32 | 78.16 | 76.63 |  |
| E(M) | \|1/16/1996 | \|6537.84 | \|6616.32 | \|78.48 | \|76.95 |  |
| E(M) | 4/3/1996 | 6537.43 | 6616.32 | 78.89 | 77.36 |  |
| E(M) | \|11/19/1997 | \|6538.26 | 6616.32 | \|78.06 | \|76.53 |  |
| E(M) | 11/14/1998 | 6537.41 | 6616.32 | 78.91 | 77.38 |  |
| E(M) | \|12/18/1998 | \|6537.37 | 6616.32 | \|78.95 | $\mid 77.42$ |  |
| E(M) | 11/11/1999 | 6537.36 | '6616.32 | 78.96 | 77.43 |  |
| E(M) | \|11/11/2000 | 6538.2 | \|6616.32 | \|78.12 | 76.59 |  |
| $E(M)$ | 11/3/2001 | 6537.97 | 6616.32 | 78.35 | 76.82 |  |
| E(M) | \|10/17/2002 | 6536.62 | \|6616.32 | \|79.7 | 78.17 |  |
| E(M) | 9/19/2003 | 6536.23 | 6616.32 | 80.09 | 78.56 |  |
| $E(\mathrm{M})$ | \|11/18/2004 | 6530.21 | 6616.32 | \|86.11 | \|84.58 |  |
| E(M) | 11/15/2005 | 6535.67 | 6616.32 | . 80.65 | 79.12 |  |
| E(M) | \|11/28/2006 | 6535.43 | 6616.32 | \|80.89 | \|79.36 |  |
| E(M) | 11/6/2007 | 6535.37 | 6616.32 | :80.95 | 79.42 |  |
| E(M) | \|11/4/2008 | 6535.1 | 6616.32 | ¢1.22 | 79.69 |  |
| E(M) | 5/13/2009 | 6535.16 | 6616.32 | 81.16 | 79.63 |  |
| E(M) | \|11/11/2009 | 6530.08 | 6616.32 | \|86.24 | \|84.71 |  |
| E(M) | 11/11/2010 | 6534.88 | 6616.32 | 81.44 | 79.91 |  |
| $E(M)$ | \|7/27/2011 | 6534.86 | 6616.32 | 81.46 | 79.93 |  |
| $E(M)$ | 11/16/2011 | 6534.86 | :6616.32 | 81.46 | 79.93 |  |
| E(M) | \|5/15/2012 | 6534.79 | 6616.32 | \|81.53 | 80 |  |
| E(M) | 11/14/2012 | 6534.84 | 6616.32 | 81.48 | ;79.95 |  |
| E(M) | \|1/30/2013 | 6534.71 | 6616.32 | 81.61 | \|80.08 |  |
| $E(\mathrm{M})$ | 5/14/2013 | 6534.77 | 6616.32 | 81.55 | 80.02 |  |
| $E(M)$ | \|11/19/2013 | 6534.93 | \|6616.32 | \|81.39 | 79.86 |  |
| E(M) | 4/30/2014 | 6534.63 | . 6616.32 | 81.69 | 80.16 |  |
| Engineers | \|2/9/1984 | 6522.17 |  | \|-6522.17 | \|-6522.17 |  |
| Engineers | 5/9/1984 | 6522.13 |  | -6522.13 | -6522.13 |  |
| Engineers | \|4/22/1986 | 6523.68 |  | \|-6523.68 | \|-6523.68 |  |
| Engineers | 7/7/1986 | 6523.46 |  | -6523.46 | -6523.46 |  |
| Engineers | \|11/19/1986 | ¢ 6523.55 |  | \|-6523.55 | \|-6523.55 |  |
| Engineers | 2/3/1987 | 6523.14 |  | -6523.14 | -6523.14 |  |
| Engineers | \|4/13/1987 | \|6522.89 |  | \|-6522.89 | \|-6522.89 |  |
| Engineers | 8/31/1987 | 6522.69 |  | -6522.69 | -6522.69 |  |
| Engineers | \|10/12/1987 | 6522.79 |  | \|-6522.79 | \|-6522.79 |  |
| Engineers | 1/11/1988 | 6523.11 |  | -6523.11 | -6523.11 |  |
| Engineers | \|4/11/1988 | \|6522.77 |  | \|-6522.77 | \|-6522.77 |  |
| Engineers | 7/11/1988 | 6522.73 |  | -6522.73 | -6522.73 |  |
| Engineers | \|10/12/1988 | \|6523.24 |  | \|-6523.24 | -6523.24 |  |
| Engineers | 1/18/1989 | 6522.56 |  | -6522.56 | -6522.56 |  |
| F(M) | \|4/17/1984 | \|6494.29 | \|6603.59 | \|109.3 | 107.93 |  |
| F(M) | 4/17/1986 | 6496.19 | 6603.59 | 107.4 | 106.03 |  |
| F(M) | \|10/6/1986 | \|6496.36 | \|6603.59 | \|107.23 | \|105.86 |  |
| F(M) | 4/6/1987 | 6495.88 | 6603.59 | 107.71 | 106.34 |  |
| $F(\mathrm{M})$ | \|10/7/1987 | \|6495.23 | \|6603.59 | $\mid 108.36$ | \|106.99 |  |
| F(M) | 4/6/1988 | 6495.88 | 6603.59 | 107.71 | 106.34 |  |
| F(M) | \|6/14/1988 | 6495 | \|6603.59 | \|108.59 | \|107.22 | 1 |
| F(M) | 9/7/1988 | 6494.71 | 6603.59 | 108.88 | 107.51 |  |
| $F(M)$ | \|10/5/1988 | 6494.8 | \|6603.59 | \|108.79 | \|107.42 |  |
| F(M) | 12/6/1988 | 6494.77 | 6603.59 | 108.82 | 107.45 |  |
| $F(M)$ | \|3/7/1989 | 6494.65 | \|6603.59 | \|108.94 | \|107.57 |  |
| [F(M) | 4/11/1989 | 6494.59 | '6603.59 | 109 | 107.63 |  |
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| Well ID | Date | Water Elevation (ft amsl) | TOC Elevation (ft amsl) | Depth from <br> Top of Casing (ft) | Depth from Surface (ft) | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F(M) | \|6/15/1989 | 6494.38 | \|6603.59 | 109.21 | 107.84 |  |
| $F(\mathrm{M})$ | 19/20/1989 | 6494.38 | 6603.59 | 109.21 | 107.84 |  |
| $F(M)$ | \|12/18/1989 | 6494.1 | 6603.59 | 109.49 | 108.12 |  |
| $F(M)$ | 3/12/1990 | 6493.63 | 6603.59 | 109.96 | 108.59 |  |
| F(M) | 4/9/1990 | \|6493.57 | \|6603.59 | 110.02 | \|108.65 |  |
| $F(M)$ | 5/23/1990 | 6493.36 | ;6603.59 | 110.23 | , 108.86 |  |
| F(M) | 9/18/1990 | 6492.68 | 6603.59 | 110.91 | 109.54 |  |
| F(M) | 10/2/1990 | 6492.71 | , 6603.59 | 110.88 | 109.51 |  |
| F(M) | 12/18/1990 | \|6492.19 | \|6603.59 | \|111.4 | 110.03 |  |
| $F(M)$ | 1/8/1991 | 6492.11 | 6603.59 | 111.48 | 110.11 |  |
| $F(M)$ | \|4/3/1991 | 6491.68 | \|6603.59 | \|111.91 | \|110.54 |  |
| $F(M)$ | 7/9/1991 | 6491.27 | 6603.59 | 112.32 | 110.95 |  |
| F(M) | \|10/3/1991 | 6490.82 | \|6603.59 | \|112.77 | \|111.4 |  |
| F(M) | 1/15/1992 | 6490.61 | 16603.59 | 112.98 | 111.61 |  |
| F(M) | \|4/7/1992 | 6490.54 | \|6603.59 | \|113.05 | \|111.68 |  |
| F(M) | 7/21/1992 | 6490.37 | 6603.59 | 113.22 | 111.85 |  |
| $F(\mathrm{M})$ | 10/5/1992 | \|6490.27 | \|6603.59 | \|113.32 | \|111.95 |  |
| F(M) | :1/11/1993 | 6490.25 | -6603.59 | 113.34 | 111.97 |  |
| F(M) | \|4/5/1993 | 6490.28 | \|6603.59 | \|113.31 | \|111.94 |  |
| F(M) | 7/6/1993 | 6490.32 | 6603.59 | 113.27 | 111.9 |  |
| F(M) | \|10/11/1993 | 6490.36 | 6603.59 | 113.23 | 111.86 |  |
| F(M) | 1/4/1994 | 6490.55 | 6603.59 | -113.04 | 111.67 |  |
| F(M) | /4/5/1994 | \|6490.28 | \|6603.59 | \|113.31 | \|111.94 |  |
| F(M) | 7/7/1994 | 6490.92 | 6603.59 | 112.67 | 111.3 |  |
| F(M) | 10/10/1994 | 6490.98 | \|6603.59 | 112.61 | 111.24 |  |
| F(M) | 1/17/1995 | 6491.58 | 6603.59 | 112.01 | 110.64 |  |
| F(M) | 4/10/1995 | \|6491.92 | 6603.59 | \|111.67 | 110.3 |  |
| F(M) | 7/18/1995 | 6492.03 | . 6603.59 | 111.56 | 110.19 |  |
| $F(M)$ | \|10/23/1995 | 6492.02 | \|6603.59 | \|111.57 | 110.2 |  |
| $F(M)$ | 1/15/1996 | 6492.38 | 6603.59 | 111.21 | 109.84 |  |
| $F(M)$ | 4/10/1996 | \|6492.54 | -6603.59 | \|111.05 | 109.68 |  |
| F(M) | 11/19/1997 | 6493.87 | '6603.59 | 109.72 | 108.35 |  |
| F(M) | \|11/14/1998 | \|6492.78 | \|6603.59 | \|110.81 | \|109.44 |  |
| F(M) | 12/18/1998 | 6492.76 | 6603.59 | 110.83 | 109.46 |  |
| F(M) | \|11/11/1999 | 6492.43 | \|6603.59 | \|111.16 | \|109.79 |  |
| $F(\mathrm{M})$ | 11/11/2000 | 6492.23 | 6603.59 | 111.36 | 109.99 |  |
| F(M) | \|11/3/2001 | \|6492.13 | \|6603.59 | \|111.46 | \|110.09 |  |
| F(M) | 10/17/2002 | 6491.59 | 6603.59 | 112 | 110.63 |  |
| F(M) | \|9/19/2003 | \|6491.05 | \|6603.59 | \|112.54 | $\mid 111.17$ |  |
| $F(M)$ | 9/26/2003 | 6491.05 | 6603.59 | $\cdot 112.54$ | 111.17 |  |
| $F(M)$ | \|11/18/2004 | \|6490.77 | \|6603.59 | \|112.82 | \|111.45 |  |
| F(M) | 5/24/2005 | 6490.54 | 6603.59 | 113.05 | 111.68 |  |
| F(M) | \|11/15/2005 | \|6490.45 | \|6603.59 | \|113.14 | \|111.77 |  |
| F(M) | 11/28/2006 | 6490.26 | 6603.59 | 113.33 | 111.96 |  |
| F(M) | \|11/6/2007 | \|6490.24 | \|6603.59 | \|113.35 | \|111.98 |  |
| $F(M)$ | 11/4/2008 | 6490.1 | 6603.59 | 113.49 | 112.12 |  |
| F(M) | \|5/13/2009 | \|6490.2 | \|6603.59 | \|113.39 | \|112.02 |  |
| $F(M)$ | 11/10/2009 | 6490.18 | 6603.59 | 113.41 | 112.04 |  |
| F(M) | \|11/10/2010 | \|6490.15 | \|6603.59 | \|113.44 | \|112.07 |  |
| $F(M)$ | 7/28/2011 | 6490.12 | 6603.59 | 113.47 | 112.1 |  |
| F(M) | \|11/15/2011 | \|6490.29 | \|6603.59 | 113.3 | \|111.93 |  |
| F(M) | 5/15/2012 | 6490.09 | 6603.59 | 113.5 | 112.13 |  |
| F(M) | \|11/14/2012 | \|6490.17 | \|6603.59 | \|113.42 | \|112.05 |  |
| F(M) | 1/30/2013 | 6490.11 | 6603.59 | 113.48 | 112.11 |  |
| F(M) | \|5/14/2013 | \|6489.33 | \|6603.59 | \|114.26 | \|112.89 |  |

Table C.1-2. Water Level Data for Bluewater Site Alluvial Aquifer Wells

| Well ID | Date | Water Elevation (ft amsl) | TOC Elevation (ft amsl) | Depth from Top of Casing (ft) | Depth from Surface (ft) | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F(M) | 11/19/2013 | 6490.24 | 6603.59 | 113.35 | 111.98 |  |
| F(M) | \|4/30/2014 | \|6490.14 | 6603.59 | \|113.45 | \|112.08 |  |
| K(M) | 5/16/1984 | 6549.14 |  | -6549.14 | -6549.14 |  |
| K(M) | \|4/22/1986 | \|6546.58 |  | \|-6546.58 | -6546.58 |  |
| $K(M)$ | 10/13/1986 | 6545.97 |  | - -6545.97 | -6545.97 |  |
| K(M) | \|4/9/1987 | 6545.4 |  | \|-6545.4 | \|-6545.4 |  |
| K(M) | 10/8/1987 | 6544.18 |  | -6544.18 | -6544.18 |  |
| K(M) | \|4/11/1988 | \|6543.44 |  | \|-6543.44 | \|-6543.44 |  |
| K(M) | 10/11/1988 | 6543.03 |  | -6543.03 | -6543.03 |  |
| K(M) | \|4/20/1989 | \|6542.39 |  | -6542.39 | -6542.39 |  |
| K(M) | 4/12/1990 | 6541.25 |  | -6541.25 | -6541.25 |  |
| SIMPSON | \|4/18/1989 | 6482.23 |  | -6482.23 | \|-6482.23 |  |
| SIMPSON | 4/12/1990 | 6478.59 |  | -6478.59 | -6478.59 |  |
| T(M) | \|4/17/1984 | 6492.52 | \|6612.65 | 120.13 | \|119.58 |  |
| T(M) | 4/17/1986 | 6494.88 | 6612.65 | 117.77 | 117.22 |  |
| T(M) | \|10/13/1986 | 6495.02 | \|6612.65 | \|117.63 | \|117.08 |  |
| T(M) | 4/6/1987 | 6494.15 | 6612.65 | 118.5 | 117.95 |  |
| T(M) | 10/7/1987 | 6494.35 | \|6612.65 | \|118.3 | \|117.75 |  |
| T(M) | 4/6/1988 | 6494.11 | 6612.65 | , 118.54 | 117.99 |  |
| T(M) | \|6/14/1988 | 6493.85 | \|6612.65 | \|118.8 | \|118.25 |  |
| T(M) | 9/7/1988 | 6494.8 | 6612.65 | ${ }^{\prime} 117.85$ | 117.3 |  |
| T(M) | 10/6/1988 | 6494.83 | \|6612.65 | \|117.82 | \|117.27 |  |
| T(M) | 12/6/1988 | 6494.92 | 6612.65 | 117.73 | 117.18 |  |
| T(M) | \|3/7/1989 | 6494.42 | \|6612.65 | 118.23 | 117.68 |  |
| T(M) | 4/17/1989 | 6494.2 | '6612.65 | 118.45 | :117.9 |  |
| T(M) | \|6/15/1989 | 6493.72 | \|6612.65 | 118.93 | 118.38 |  |
| T(M) | 9/20/1989 | 6493.59 | 6612.65 | 119.06 | 118.51 |  |
| T(M) | \|12/18/1989 | 6486.83 | \|6612.65 | \|125.82 | 125.27 |  |
| T(M) | 3/14/1990 | 6492.32 | '6612.65 | 120.33 | 119.78 |  |
| T(M) | \|4/10/1990 | \|6491.88 | \|6612.65 | 120.77 | 120.22 |  |
| T(M) | 5/24/1990 | 6491.58 | 6612.65 | 121.07 | '120.52 |  |
| T(M) | \|7/11/1990 | \|6490.75 | \|6612.65 | \|121.9 | 121.35 |  |
| T(M) | 9/18/1990 | 6489.95 | 6612.65 | 122.7 | 122.15 |  |
| $T(M)$ | \|10/1/1990 | \|6490.02 | \|6612.65 | \|122.63 | \|122.08 |  |
| T(M) | 12/18/1990 | 6489.4 | 6612.65 | 123.25 | 122.7 |  |
| T(M) | \|1/9/1991 | 6489.17 | \|6612.65 | \|123.48 | \|122.93 |  |
| T(M) | '4/2/1991 | 6488.38 | -6612.65 | 124.27 | . 123.72 |  |
| $T(M)$ | \|7/10/1991 | 6487.38 | \|6612.65 | \|125.27 | \|124.72 |  |
| T(M) | 10/2/1991 | 6487.3 | 6612.65 | 125.35 | 124.8 |  |
| T(M) | 1/16/1992 | 6487.26 | 6612.65 | 125.39 | 124.84 |  |
| T(M) | 4/6/1992 | 6486.89 | 6612.65 | 125.76 | 125.21 |  |
| T(M) | \|7/20/1992 | 6486.03 | \|6612.65 | \|126.62 | 126.07 |  |
| T(M) | 10/8/1992 | 6486.35 | 6612.65 | 126.3 | 125.75 |  |
| T(M) | \|1/12/1993 | 6486.43 | \|6612.65 | \|126.22 | \|125.67 |  |
| T(M) | 4/6/1993 | 6486.27 | 6612.65 | 126.38 | 125.83 |  |
| T(M) | \|7/7/1993 | \|6485.96 | \|6612.65 | 126.69 | \|126.14 |  |
| T(M) | 10/13/1993 | 6487.29 | 6612.65 | 125.36 | 124.81 |  |
| T(M) | \|1/5/1994 | 6487.55 | \|6612.65 | \|125.1 | 124.55 |  |
| T(M) | 4/6/1994 | 6486.27 | 6612.65 | 126.38 | 125.83 |  |
| T(M) | \|7/6/1994 | 6489.17 | \|6612.65 | \|123.48 | \|122.93 |  |
| T(M) | 10/18/1994 | 6490.74 | 6612.65 | 121.91 | 121.36 |  |
| T(M) | 1/17/1995 | 6491.93 | \|6612.65 | \|120.72 | \|120.17 |  |
| T(M) | 4/10/1995 | 6491.41 | 6612.65 | 121.24 | 120.69 |  |
| T(M) | \|7/17/1995 | \|6491.26 | \|6612.65 | \|121.39 | \|120.84 |  |
| T(M) | 10/16/1995 | 6492.23 | . 6612.65 | 120.42 | 119.87 |  |
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| Well ID | Date | Water Elevation (ft amsl) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { TOC Elevation } \\ \text { (ft amsl) } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Depth from Top of Casing (ft) | Depth from Surface (ft) | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T(M) | \|1/15/1996 | 6492.43 | \|6612.65 | \|120.22 | \|119.67 |  |
| T(M) | 4/6/1996 | 6492.03 | 6612.65 | 120.62 | 120.07 |  |
| T(M) | \|11/19/1997 | 6489.54 | 6612.65 | 123.11 | 122.56 |  |
| T(M) | 11/16/1998 |  | -6612.65 | . |  |  |
| T(M) | \|11/11/1999 | \|6487.79 | \|6612.65 | \|124.86 | \|124.31 |  |
| T(M) | :11/11/2000 | 6487.71 | '6612.65 | 124.94 | 124.39 |  |
| T(M) | \|11/3/2001 |  | 6612.65 |  |  |  |
| T(M) | 10/17/2002 |  | 6612.65 |  |  |  |
| T(M) | \|11/18/2004 | 6480.06 | 6612.65 | \|132.59 | \|132.04 |  |
| T(M) | 5/24/2005 | 6479.89 | 6612.65 | 132.76 | 132.21 |  |
| T(M) | \|11/15/2005 | \|6479.7 | \|6612.65 | \|132.95 | \|132.4 |  |
| T(M) | 11/28/2006 | 6479.34 | 6612.65 | :133.31 | 132.76 |  |
| T(M) | \|11/4/2008 | \|6478.96 | 6612.65 | \|133.69 | \|133.14 |  |
| T(M) | 5/13/2009 | 16478.87 | 6612.65 | 133.78 | 133.23 |  |
| T(M) | \|10/27/2009 | \|6478.77 | \|6612.65 | \|133.88 | \|133.33 |  |
| T(M) | 11/10/2009 | 6478.94 | 6612.65 | 133.71 | 133.16 |  |
| T(M) | 11/9/2010 | \|6478.83 | \|6612.65 | \|133.82 | \|133.27 |  |
| T(M) | 4/12/2011 | 6478.74 | 6612.65 | 133.91 | 133.36 |  |
| T(M) | \|7/26/2011 | \|6478.72 | \|6612.65 | \|133.93 | \|133.38 |  |
| T(M) | 11/16/2011 | 6478.76 | '6612.65 | 133.89 | 133.34 |  |
| T(M) | \|5/15/2012 | 6478.57 | \|6612.65 | 134.08 | 133.53 |  |
| T(M) | 11/13/2012 |  | 6612.65 |  |  | Dry |
| T(M) | \|5/14/2013 |  | \|6612.65 |  |  | \| Dry |
| T(M) | 11/19/2013 |  | ,6612.65 |  |  | Dry |
| U(M) | \|3/12/1984 | \|6485.83 |  | \|-6485.83 | -6485.83 |  |
| U(M) | 4/17/1984 | '6485.76 |  | -6485.76 | -6485.76 |  |
| U(M) | \|4/17/1986 | \|6488.64 | \| | \|-6488.64 | \|-6488.64 |  |
| U(M) | 7/7/1986 | 6488.67 |  | -6488.67 | -6488.67 |  |
| U(M) | \|10/13/1986 | \|6488.77 | 1 | \|-6488.77 | \|-6488.77 |  |
| U(M) | 2/3/1987 | 6488.61 |  | -6488.61 | -6488.61 |  |
| U(M) | \|4/8/1987 | \|6488.5 |  | \|-6488.5 | \|-6488.5 |  |
| U(M) | 7/7/1987 | 6488.22 |  | -6488.22 | -6488.22 |  |
| U(M) | \|10/7/1987 | \|6488.38 |  | \|-6488.38 | \|-6488.38 |  |
| U(M) | 1/11/1988 | 6488.72 |  | -6488.72 | -6488.72 |  |
| U(M) | \|4/6/1988 | \|6488.21 |  | \|-6488.21 | \|-6488.21 |  |
| U(M) | 7/11/1988 | 6487.99 |  | -6487.99 | -6487.99 |  |
| U(M) | \|10/5/1988 | \|6488.46 | 1 | \|-6488.46 | \|-6488.46 |  |
| U(M) | 1/18/1989 | 6488.49 |  | -6488.49 | -6488.49 |  |
| U(M) | \|4/11/1989 | 6488.08 | 1 | \|-6488.08 | \|-6488.08 |  |
| U(M) | 4/9/1990 | 6486.53 |  | -6486.53 | -6486.53 |  |
| X(M) | \|3/12/1984 | \|6478.33 | \|6598.91 | \|120.58 | \|118.71 |  |
| X(M) | 4/17/1984 | 6477.29 | 6598.91 | 121.62 | 119.75 |  |
| $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{M})$ | \|4/17/1986 | \|6481.86 | \|6598.91 | \|117.05 | \|115.18 |  |
| $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{M})$ | 7/7/1986 | 6482.14 | 6598.91 | 116.77 | 114.9 |  |
| X(M) | \|10/6/1986 | $\mid 6482.7$ | \|6598.91 | \|116.21 | $\mid 114.34$ |  |
| X(M) | 2/3/1987 | 6482.07 | 6598.91 | 116.84 | 114.97 |  |
| X(M) | \| 4/8/1987 | \|6481.96 | \|6598.91 | \|116.95 | \|115.08 | 1 |
| X(M) | 7/7/1987 | 6481.63 | 6598.91 | 117.28 | 115.41 |  |
| X(M) | \|10/5/1987 | \|6482.03 | \|6598.91 | \|116.88 | \|115.01 | 1 |
| X(M) | 1/11/1988 | 6482.26 | 6598.91 | 116.65 | 114.78 |  |
| X(M) | \|4/6/1988 | \|6481.66 | \|6598.91 | \|117.25 | \|115.38 | 1 |
| X(M) | 7/11/1988 | 6481.49 | 6598.91 | 117.42 | 115.55 |  |
| X(M) | \|10/5/1988 | \|6482.01 | \|6598.91 | \|116.9 | \|115.03 | $\mid$ |
| X(M) | 1/18/1989 | 6481.96 | 6598.91 | 116.95 | 115.08 |  |
| X(M) | \|4/17/1989 | \|6481.57 | \|6598.91 | \|117.34 | \|115.47 | 1 |

Table C.1-2. Water Level Data for Bluewater Site Alluvial Aquifer Wells

| Well ID | Date | Water Elevation (ft amsl) | TOC Elevation (ft amsi) | Depth from <br> Top of Casing ( ft ) | Depth from <br> Surface (ft) | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X(M) | 4/11/1990 | 6479.67 | 6598.91 | 119.24 | 117.37 |  |
| X(M) | \|11/9/2010 |  | \|6598.91 |  |  | \|Dry |
| $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{M})$ | 7/28/2011 |  | 6598.91 |  |  | Dry |
| X(M) | \|11/15/2011 |  | \|6598.91 | , |  | Dry |
| X(M) | 5/15/2012 |  | 6598.91 |  |  | Dry |
| X(M) | \|11/15/2012 | 6467.17 | 6598.91 | 131.74 | 129.87 |  |
| X(M) | 1/29/2013 | 6467.2 | 6598.91 | 131.71 | 129.84 |  |
| X(M) | 5/15/2013 |  | 6598.91 |  |  | Dry |
| $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{M})$ | 11/19/2013 | 6466.89 | 6598.91 | 132.02 | 130.15 |  |
| X(M) | \|4/29/2014 | \|6466.76 | 6598.91 | \|132.15 | 130.28 |  |
| Y2(M) | 11/19/1997 | 6506.23 | 6614.13 | 107.9 | 105.73 |  |
| Y2(M) | \|11/16/1998 |  | \|6614.13 |  |  |  |
| Y2(M) | 11/11/1999 | '6501.67 | 6614.13 | 112.46 | 110.29 |  |
| Y2(M) | \|11/11/2000 | 6501.55 | \|6614.13 | \|112.58 | \|110.41 |  |
| Y2(M) | 11/3/2001 | 6499.95 | 6614.13 | 114.18 | 112.01 |  |
| Y2(M) | \|10/17/2002 | \|6498.54 | \|6614.13 | \|115.59 | \|113.42 |  |
| Y2(M) | 9/19/2003 | 6497.35 | 6614.13 | 116.78 | 114.61 |  |
| Y2(M) | \|9/26/2003 | 6497.65 | \|6614.13 | \|116.48 | \|114.31 |  |
| Y2(M) | 11/18/2004 | 6497.08 | 6614.13 | 117.05 | 114.88 |  |
| Y2(M) | \|11/15/2005 | \|6496.77 | \|6614.13 | \|117.36 | 115.19 |  |
| Y2(M) | 11/28/2006 | 6496.55 | 6614.13 | 117.58 | 115.41 |  |
| Y2(M) | 11/6/2007 | 6488.13 | \|6614.13 | 126 | 123.83 |  |
| Y2(M) | 11/4/2008 | 6496.81 | 6614.13 | 117.32 | 115.15 |  |
| Y2(M) | \|5/13/2009 | \|6496.83 | \|6614.13 | 117.3 | \|115.13 |  |
| Y2(M) | 11/10/2009 | 6496.92 | 6614.13 | 117.21 | 115.04 |  |
| Y2(M) | \|11/11/2010 | \|6496.65 | \|6614.13 | \|117.48 | 115.31 |  |
| Y2(M) | 7/28/2011 | 6496.62 | 6614.13 | 117.51 | 115.34 |  |
| Y2(M) | \|11/15/2011 | \|6496.79 | \|6614.13 | \|117.34 | \|115.17 |  |
| Y2(M) | 5/15/2012 | 6496.7 | 6614.13 | 117.43 | 115.26 |  |
| Y2(M) | \|11/14/2012 | 6496.79 | \|6614.13 | \|117.34 | \|115.17 |  |
| Y2(M) | 1/30/2013 | 6496.74 | 6614.13 | 117.39 | 115.22 |  |
| Y2(M) | \|5/14/2013 | \|6496.88 | \|6614.13 | \|117.25 | \|115.08 |  |
| Y2(M) | 11/19/2013 | 6496.93 | 6614.13 | 117.2 | 115.03 |  |
| Y2(M) | \|4/30/2014 | -6496.79 | \|6614.13 | \|117.34 | 115.17 |  |
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Table C.1-3. Water Level Data for Homestake Site and Distal
San Andres Aquifer Wells

| Well ID | Date | Water Elevation (ft amsl) | Water Level (ft-MP) | MP Elevation (ft amsl) | Data Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#1 Deepwell | 5/13/2003 | 6441.701 | ' 142.06 | ${ }^{1} 6583.76$ | HMC 2004 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 12/20/2004 | 6435.58 | 148.18 | 6583.76 | HMC 2005 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 5/4/2005 | 6391.06 | 192.7 | 6583.76 | HMC 2006 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 12/1/2005 | 6388.16 | 195.6 | 6583.76 | HMC 2006 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 2/26/2007 | '6480.76 | 103 | 6583.76 | HMC 2008 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 11/13/2007 | 6485.16 | 98.6 | 6583.76 | HMC 2008 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 12/12/2007 | 6484.68 | 99.08 | 6583.76 | HMC 2008 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 5/5/1998 | 6411.16 | 164.5 | 6575.66 | HMC 1999 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 2/3/1999 | 6407.66 | . 168 | 6575.66 | HMC 2000 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 12/1/2000 | 6458.06 | 117.6 | 6575.66 | HMC 2001 |
| \#2 Deepwell | :5/2/2001 | 6397.8 | 177.86 | 6575.66 | HMC 2002 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 5/13/2003 | 6441.6 | 134.06 | 6575.66 | HMC 2004 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 5/4/2005 | 6366.86 | . 208.8 | 6575.66 | HMC 2006 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 12/12/2009 | 6423.4 | 152.26 | 6575.66 | HMC electronic |
| \#2 Deepwell | 11/4/2013 | 6368.55 | 207.11 | 6575.66 | HMC 2014 |
| 534 | 4/12/2001 | 6462.81 | 89.76 | 6552.57 | HMC 2002 |
| 534 | 12/11/2001 | 6458.78 | 93.79 | 6552.57 | HMC 2002 |
| 534 | 12/18/2002 | 6453.67 | 98.9 | 6552.57 | HMC 2003 |
| 534 | 12/23/2003 | 6449.47 | 103.1 | 6552.57 | HMC 2004 |
| 534 | 12/14/2004 | 6445.87 | 106.7 | 6552.57 | HMC 2005 |
| 534 | 12/4/2008 | 6434.45 | 118.12 | 6552.57 | HMC 2009 |
| 534 | 12/16/2010 | 6432.56 | 120.01 | 6552.57 | HMC 2011 |
| 535 | 4/12/2001 | 6454.76 | 85.24 | 6540 | HMC 2002 |
| 535 | 12/11/2001 | 6449.51 | 90.49 | 6540 | HMC 2002 |
| 535 | 12/18/2002 | 6444.12 | 95.88 | 6540 | HMC 2003 |
| 535 | 12/23/2003 | 6409.9 | 130.1 | 6540 | HMC 2004 |
| 535 | 12/14/2004 | 6436.32 | 103.68 | 6540 | HMC 2005 |
| 535 | 11/13/2007 | 6429.03 | 110.97 | 6540 | HMC 2008 |
| 535 | 12/4/2008 | 6425.2 | 114.8 | 6540 | HMC 2009 |
| 535 | 12/17/2010 | 6422.15 | 117.85 | 6540 | HMC 2011 |
| 822 | 2/13/2008 | 6432.4 | :135.6 | 6568 | HMC 2009 |
| 907 | 4/12/2001 | 6457.9 | 87.7 | 6545.6 | HMC 2002 |
| 907 | 12/11/2001 | 6452.52 | 93.08 | 6545.6 | HMC 2002 |
| 907 | 3/4/2002 | 6451.94 | 93.66 | 6545.6 | HMC 2003 |
| 907 | 10/17/2002 | 6445.4 | 100.2 | 6545.6 | HMC 2003 |
| 907 | 12/18/2002 | 6447.5 | 98.1 | 6545.6 | HMC 2003 |
| 907 | 6/3/2003 | 6444 | 101.6 | 6545.6 | HMC 2004 |
| 907 | 10/27/2003 | 6442.32 | 103.28 | 6545.6 | HMC 2004. |
| 907 | 12/23/2003 | 6443.22 | 102.38 | 6545.6 | HMC 2004 |
| 907 | 3/3/2004 | 6443.6 | 102 | 6545.6 | HMC 2005 |
| 907 | 12/14/2004 | 6439.42 | ; 106.18 | 6545.6 | HMC 2005 |
| 907 | 12/14/2004 | 6439.36 | 106.24 | 6545.6 | HMC 2005 |
| 907 | 4/12/2005 | 6438.16 | 107.44 | 6545.6 | HMC 2006 |
| 907 | 12/1/2005 | 6437.3 | 108.3 | 6545.6 | HMC 2006 |
| 907 | 3/16/2006 | 6435.6 | -110 | 6545.6 | HMC 2007 |
| 907 | 12/20/2006 | 6435.7 | 109.9 | 6545.6 | HMC 2007 |
| 907 | 3/9/2007 | 6435.1 | 110.5 | . 6545.6 | HMC 2008 |
| 907 | [11/13/2007] | 6432.37 | 113.23 | 6545.6 | HMC 2008 |
| 907 | 3/5/2008 | 6432.44 | 113.16 | 6545.6 | HMC 2009 |
| 907 | 12/4/2008 | 6428.7 | 116.9 | 6545.6 | HMC 2009 |
| 907 | 3/3/2010 | 6425.97 | 119.63 | 6545.6 | HMC 2011 |
| 907 | 12/15/2010 | 6426.9 | 118.7 | 6545.6 | HMC 2011 |
| 907 | 4/13/2011 | 6425.7 | 119.9 | 6545.6 | HMC 2012 |
| 907 | 5/9/2012 | 6424.6 | 121 | 6545.6 | HMC 2013 |

Table C.1-3. Water Level Data for Homestake Site and Distal
San Andres Aquifer Wells

| Well ID | Date | Water Elevation (ft amsl) | Water Level (ft-MP) | MP Elevation (ft amsl) | Data Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 907 | 11/20/2012 | ; 6418.181 | 127.42 | 6545.6 | HMC 2013 |
| 907 | 12/11/2013 | 6423.85 | 121.75 | 6545.6 | HMC 2014 |
| 928 | 8/27/1998 | 6462.2 | 135.4 | 6597.6 | HMC 1999 |
| 928 | 8/9/2000 | 6458.66 | 138.94 | 6597.6 | HMC 2001 |
| 928 | 8/29/2001 | 6453.46 | 144.14 | 6597.6 | HMC 2002 |
| 928 | 12/11/2001 | 6451.06 | 146.54 | 6597.6 | HMC 2002 |
| 928 | 3/4/2002 | 6452.03 | 145.57 | 6597.6 | HMC 2003 |
| 928 | 10/21/2002 | 6444.8 | 152.8 | 6597.6 | HMC 2003 |
| 928 | 12/18/2002 | 6445.9 | 151.7 | 6597.6 | HMC 2003 |
| 928 | 6/3/2003 | 6443.12 | 154.48 | 6597.6 | HMC 2004 |
| 928 | 10/27/2003 | '6440.86 | 156.74 | 6597.6 | HMC 2004 |
| 928 | 12/23/2003 | 6442.45 | 155.15 | 6597.6 | HMC 2004 |
| 928 | 3/3/2004 | 6442.85 | 154.75 | 6597.6 | HMC 2005 |
| 928 | 12/9/2004 | 6438.8 | 158.8 | 6597.6 | HMC 2005 |
| 928 | 12/14/2004 | 6438.72 | 158.88 | 6597.6 | HMC 2005 |
| 928 | 4/12/2005 | 6438.33 | 159.27 | 6597.6 | HMC 2006 |
| 928 | 12/1/2005 | 6436.7 | 160.9 | :6597.6 | HMC 2006 |
| 928 | 12/5/2005 | 6436.8 | 160.8 | 6597.6 | HMC 2006 |
| 928 | 3/16/2006 | 6436.12 | 161.48 | 6597.6 | HMC 2007 |
| 928 | 12/10/2006 | 6434.7 | 162.9 | 6597.6 | HMC 2007 |
| 928 | 12/20/2006 | 6434.81 | 162.79 | :6597.6 | HMC 2007 |
| 928 | 3/9/2007 | 6435.7 | 161.9 | 6597.6 | HMC 2008 |
| 928 | 11/13/2007 | 6432.24 | 165.36 | 6597.6 | HMC 2008 |
| 928 | 12/3/2007 | 6432.04 | 165.56 | 6597.6 | HMC 2008 |
| 928 | 3/5/2008 | 6433.20 | 164.40 | 6597.6 | HMC 2009 |
| 928 | 9/17/2008 | 6425.67 | 171.93 | 6597.6 | HMC 2009 |
| 928 | 12/4/2008 | 6442.45 | 155.15 | '6597.6 | HMC 2009 |
| 928 | 12/22/2008 | 6428.30 | 169.30 | 6597.6 | HMC 2009 |
| 928 | 3/20/2009 | 6427.84 | 169.76 | '6597.6 | HMC electronic |
| 928 | 10/12/2009 | 6457.80 | 139.80 | 6597.6 | HMC electronic |
| 928 | 12/7/2009 | 6427.89 | 169.71 | 6597.6 | 'HMC electronic |
| 928 | 12/9/2009 | 6427.49 | 170.11 | 6597.6 | HMC electronic |
| 928 | 3/3/2010 | 6428.60 | 169.00 | '6597.6 | HMC 2011 |
| 928 | 5/3/2010 | 6430.16 | 167.44 | 6597.6 | HMC 2011 |
| 928 | 6/14/2010 | 6423.60 | ;174.00 | 6597.6 | HMC 2011 |
| 928 | 12/6/2010 | 6455.32 | 142.28 | 6597.6 | HMC 2011 |
| 928 | 12/16/2010 | 6432.70 | 164.90 | 6597.6 | HMC 2011 |
| 928 | 4/13/2011 | 6441.25 | 156.35 | 6597.6 | HMC 2012 |
| 928 | 11/11/2011 | 6455.73 | 141.87 | 6597.6 | HMC 2012 |
| 928 | 12/6/2011 | 6447.85 | 149.75 | 6597.6 | HMC 2012 |
| 928 | 5/30/2012 | 6459.04 | 138.56 | 6597.6 | HMC 2013 |
| 928 | 11/14/2012 | 6463.22 | 134.38 | 6597.6 | HMC 2013 |
| 928 | 12/11/2013 | '6467.85 | 129.75 | 6597.6 | HMC 2014 |
| 938 | 4/12/2001 | 6458.39 | 110.41 | 6568.8 | HMC 2002 |
| 938 | 12/11/2001 | 6453.06 | 115.74 | 6568.8 | HMC 2002 |
| 938 | 3/4/2002 | 6452.36 | 116.44 | 6568.8 | HMC 2003 |
| 938 | 10/17/2002 | 6445.96 | 122.84 | 6568.8 | HMC 2003 |
| 938 | 12/18/2002 | 6447.85 | 120.95 | 6568.8 | HMC 2003 |
| 938 | 6/3/2003 | 6443.97 | 124.83 | 6568.8 | HMC 2004 |
| 938 | 10/27/2003 | 6442.4 | 126.4 | 6568.8 | HMC 2004 |
| 938 | 12/23/2003 | 6443.57 | 125.23 | 6568.8 | HMC 2004 |
| 938 | 3/4/2004 | 6443.70 | 125.10 | 6568.8 | HMC 2005 |
| 938 | 12/14/2004 | 6439.27 | 129.53 | 6568.8 | HMC 2005 |
| 938 | 4/12/2005 | 6438.42 | 130.38 | 6568.8 | HMC 2006 |

Table C.1-3. Water Level Data for Homestake Site and Distal
San Andres Aquifer Wells

| Well ID | Date | Water Elevation (ft ams) | Water Level (ft-MP) | MP Elevation (ft amsl) | Data Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 938 | 12/5/2005 | 6437.69 | , 131.11 | , 6568.8 | HMC 2006 |
| 938 | 3/16/2006 | 6435.80 | 133.00 | 6568.8 | HMC 2007 |
| 938 | 12/20/2006 | 6434.89 | 133.91 | 6568.8 | HMC 2007 |
| 938 | 3/9/2007 | 6435.80 | 133.00 | 6568.8 | HMC 2008 |
| 938 | 12/14/2007 | 6432.30 | 136.50 | . 6568.8 | HMC 2008 |
| 938 | 3/5/2008 | 6432.50 | 136.30 | 6568.8 | HMC 2009 |
| 938 | 12/5/2008 | 6428.34 | 140.46 | 6568.8 | HMC 2009 |
| 938 | 12/17/2008 | 6432.30 | 136.50 | 6568.8 | HMC 2009 |
| 938 | 3/3/2010 | '6426.17 | 142.63 | 6568.8 | HMC 2011 |
| 938 | 12/16/2010 | 6426.91 | 141.89 | 6568.8 | HMC 2011 |
| 938 | 4/13/2011 | 6425.92 | 142.88 | 6568.8 | HMC 2012 |
| 938 | 10/18/2011 | 6423.62 | 145.18 | 6568.8 | HMC 2012 |
| 938 | 10/24/2012 | ; 6421.04 | . 147.76 | 6568.8 | HMC 2013 |
| 938 | 11/20/2012 | 6550.20 | 18.60 | 6568.8 | HMC 2013 |
| 938 | 12/11/2013 | 6421.15 | 147.65 | 6568.8 | HMC 2014 |
| 943 | 8/18/1998 | 6489.11 | 66.80 | 6555.91 | HMC 1999 |
| 943 | 9/21/1999 | '6492.71 | 63.20 | 6555.91 | HMC 2000 |
| 943 | 8/23/2000 | 6494.98 | 60.93 | 6555.91 | HMC 2001 |
| 943 | 8/29/2001 | 6487.91 | 68.00 | 6555.91 | HMC 2002 |
| 943 | 12/11/2001 | 6483.91 | 72.00 | 6555.91 | HMC 2002 |
| 943 | 11/13/2002 | ,6477.91 | 78.00 | '6555.91 | HMC 2003 |
| 943 | 12/18/2002 | 6477.51 | 78.40 | 6555.91 | HMC 2003 |
| 943 | 10/27/2003 | 6475.93 | 79.98 | 6555.91 | 'HMC 2004 |
| 943 | 12/23/2003 | 6477.03 | 78.88 | 6555.91 | HMC 2004 |
| 943 | 3/1/2004 | 6437.27 | 118.64 | 6555.91 | HMC 2005 |
| 943 | 3/9/2004 | 6437.41 | 118.50 | 6555.91 | HMC 2005 |
| 943 | 3/29/2004 | 6435.76 | 120.15 | 6555.91 | HMC 2005 |
| 943 | 5/3/2004 | 6435.13 | 120.78 | 6555.91 | HMC 2005 |
| 943 | .6/1/2004 | 6434.29 | 121.62 | 6555.91 | HMC 2005 |
| 943 | 6/28/2004 | 6433.01 | 122.90 | 6555.91 | HMC 2005 |
| 943 | 7/23/2004 | -6431.81 | 124.10 | 6555.91 | HMC 2005 |
| 943 | 8/2/2004 | 6430.43 | 125.48 | 6555.91 | HMC 2005 |
| 943 | 8/30/2004 | 6430.21 | 125.70 | 6555.91 | HMC 2005 |
| 943 | 10/4/2004 | 6430.33 | 125.58 | 6555.91 | HMC 2005 |
| 943 | 11/1/2004 | ; 6431.37 | 124.54 | 6555.91 | HMC 2005 |
| 943 | 11/29/2004 | 6431.40 | 124.51 | 6555.91 | HMC 2005 |
| 943 | 12/8/2004 | 6432.17 | 123.74 | 6555.91 | HMC 2005 |
| 943 | 1/4/2005 | 6432.69 | 123.22 | 6555.91 | HMC 2006 |
| 943 | 1/31/2005 | 6431.73 | 124.18 | 6555.91 | HMC 2006 |
| 943 | 2/28/2005 | 6435.81 | 120.10 | 6555.91 | HMC 2006 |
| 943 | 4/4/2005 | 6432.26 | 123.65 | . 6555.91 | HMC 2006 |
| 943 | 4/19/2005 | 6432.41 | 123.50 | 6555.91 | HMC 2006 |
| 943 | 5/2/2005 | 6431.08 | 124.83 | 6555.91 | HMC 2006 |
| 943 | 5/31/2005 | 6430.96 | 124.95 | 6555.91 | HMC 2006 |
| 943 | 7/5/2005 | 6430.61 | 125.30 | 6555.91 | HMC 2006 |
| 943 | 8/1/2005 | 6375.91 | 180.00 | 6555.91 | HMC 2006 |
| 943 | 8/29/2005 | 6431.81 | 124.10 | 6555.91 | HMC 2006 |
| 943 | 10/3/2005 | 6431.66 | 124.25 | 6555.91 | HMC 2006 |
| 943 | 10/31/2005 | '6431.41 | 124.50 | 6555.91 | HMC 2006 |
| 943 | 11/28/2005 | 6432.05 | 123.86 | 6555.91 | HMC 2006 |
| 943 | 12/5/2005 | 6432.08 | 123.83 | 6555.91 | HMC 2006 |
| 943 | 1/3/2006 | 6431.31 | 124.60 | 6555.91 | HMC 2006 |
| 943 | 1/3/2006 | 6431.31 | 124.60 | 6555.91 | HMC 2007 |
| 943 | [1/30/2006 | 6430.91 | 125.00 | 6555.91 | HMC 2007 |

Table C.1-3. Water Level Data for Homestake Site and Distal San Andres Aquifer Wells

| Well id | Date | Water Elevation (ft amsl) | Water Level <br> (ft-MP) | MP Elevation (ft amsl) | Data Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 943 | 2/27/2006 | 6433.61 | 122.30 | 6555.91 | HMC 2007 |
| 943 | 3/16/2006 | 6431.29 | 124.62 | 6555.91 | HMC 2007 |
| 943 | 4/3/2006 | 6430.41 | 125.50 | '6555.91 | HMC 2007 |
| 943 | 5/1/2006 | 6432.71 | 123.20 | 6555.91 | THMC 2007 |
| 943 | 5/30/2006 | 6430.46 | 125.45 | 6555.91 | HMC 2007 |
| 943 | 6/26/2006 | 6430.41 | 125.50 | 6555.91 | HMC 2007 |
| 943 | 7/31/2006 | 6431.79 | 124.12 | '6555.91 | HMC 2007 |
| 943 | 8/28/2006 | 6430.40 | 125.51 | 6555.91 | HMC 2007 |
| 943 | 9/25/2006 | 6431.36 | 124.55 | '6555.91 | HMC 2007 |
| 943 | 10/30/2006 | 6431.81 | 124.10 | 6555.91 | HMC 2007 |
| 943 | 11/27/2006 | 6433.61 | 122.30 | 6555.91 | HMC 2007 |
| 943 | 1/29/2007 | 6430.46 | 125.45 | 6555.91 | HMC 2008 |
| 943 | 2/26/2007 | 6430.49 | 125.42 | 6555.91 | HMC 2008 |
| 943 | 3/8/2007 | 6430.66 | 125.25 | \|6555.91 | HMC 2008 |
| 943 | 4/2/2007 | 6429.51 | 126.40 | 6555.91 | HMC 2008 |
| 943 | 4/30/2007 | 6428.54 | 127.37 | 6555.91 | HMC 2008 |
| 943 | 5/29/2007 | 6427.31 | 128.60 | 6555.91 | 'HMC 2008 |
| 943 | 7/2/2007 | 6427.11 | 128.80 | 6555.91 | HMC 2008 |
| 943 | 7/30/2007 | 6426.89 | :129.02 | 6555.91 | HMC 2008 |
| 943 | 9/4/2007 | 6426.41 | 129.50 | 6555.91 | HMC 2008 |
| 943 | 10/1/2007 | 6425.61 | 130.30 | 6555.91 | HMC 2008 |
| 943 | 10/29/2007 | 6425.31 | 130.60 | 6555.91 | HMC 2008 |
| 943 | 12/3/2007 | 6425.74 | 130.17 | 6555.91 | HMC 2008 |
| 943 | 1/2/2008 | 6426.71 | 129.2 | 6555.91 | \|HMC 2009 |
| 943 | 2/4/2008 | 6426.12 | 129.79 | 6555.91 | HMC 2009 |
| 943 | 3/3/2008 | 6427.08 | 128.83 | 6555.91 | HMC 2009 |
| 943 | 3/5/2008 | 6427.27 | '128.64 | . 6555.91 | HMC 2009 |
| 943 | 3/31/2008 | 6426.21 | 129.7 | 6555.91 | HMC 2009 |
| 943 | 5/5/2008 | 6424.81 | 131.1 | 6555.91 | HMC 2009 |
| 943 | 6/2/2008 | 6424.31 | 131.6 | 6555.91 | HMC 2009 |
| 943 | 6/30/2008 | 6423.06 | 132.85 | 6555.91 | HMC 2009 |
| 943 | 8/4/2008 | 6422.31 | 133.6 | 6555.91 | [HMC 2009 |
| 943 | 9/29/2008 | 6424.81 | 131.1 | 6555.91 | HMC 2009 |
| 943 | 10/27/2008 | 6362.31 | 193.6 | 6555.91 | HMC 2009 |
| 943 | 12/1/2008 | 6422.61 | 133.3 | 6555.91 | HMC 2009 |
| 943 | 12/1/2008 | 6417.11 | 138.8 | 6555.91 | HMC 2009 |
| 943 | 12/29/2008 | : 6422.61 | 133.3 | 6555.91 | HMC 2009 |
| 943 | 2/2/2009 | 6422.51 | 133.4 | 6555.91 | HMC electronic |
| 943 | 3/2/2009 | 6421.39 | 134.52 | 6555.91 | HMC electronic |
| 943 | 3/20/2009 | 6424.03 | 131.88 | 6555.91 | JHMC electronic |
| 943 | 3/30/2009 | 6424.71 | 131.2 | 6555.91 | HMC electronic |
| 943 | 4/7/2009 | 6424.87 | 131.04 | 6555.91 | HMC electronic |
| 943 | 5/4/2009 | 6388.61 | 167.3 | 6555.91 | HMC electronic |
| 943 | 6/1/2009 | 6421.56 | 134.35 | 6555.91 | HMC electronic |
| 943 | 6/15/2009 | 6421.47 | 134.44 | 6555.91 | HMC electronic |
| 943 | 6/29/2009 | 6421.51 | 134.4 | 6555.91 | HMC electronic |
| 943 | 8/3/2009 | 6423.11 | 132.8 | 6555.91 | HMC electronic |
| 943 | 8/31/2009 | 6419.6 | 136.31 | 6555.91 | HMC electronic |
| 943 | 9/28/2009 | 6419.96 | 135.95 | 6555.91 | HMC electronic |
| 943 | 11/2/2009 | 6420.56 | 135.35 | 6555.91 | HMC electronic |
| 943 | 11/30/2009 | 6421.65 | 134.26 | 6555.91 | HMC electronic |
| 943 | 12/7/2009 | 6421.78 | 134.13 | 6555.91 | HMC electronic |
| 943 | 12/28/2009 | 6421.31 | 134.6 | 6555.91 | HMC electronic |
| 943 | 2/1/2010 | 6421.45 | 134.46 | 6555.91 | HMC 2011 |

Table C.1-3. Water Level Data for Homestake Site and Distal
San Andres Aquifer Wells

| Well ID | Date | Water Elevation (ft amsl) | Water Level (ft-MP) | MP Elevation (ft amsl) | Data Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 943 | 3/1/2010 | 6421.39 | 134.52 | -6555.91 | HMC 2011 |
| 943 | 3/3/2010 | 6421.08 | 134.83 | 6555.91 | HMC 2011 |
| 943 | 3/29/2010 | 6423.18 | 132.73 | 6555.91 | HMC 2011 |
| 943 | 5/3/2010 | 6421.63 | 134.28 | 6555.91 | HMC 2011 |
| 943 | 6/1/2010 | 6420.61 | 135.3 | 6555.91 | HMC 2011 |
| 943 | 6/22/2010 | 6421.91 | 133 | 6555.91 | HMC 2011 |
| 943 | 6/28/2010 | 6420.36 | 135.55 | 6555.91 | HMC 2011 |
| 943 | 8/2/2010 | 6409.31 | 146.6 | 6555.91 | HMC 2011 |
| 943 | 8/30/2010 | 6418.91 | 137 | 6555.91 | HMC 2011 |
| 943 | 9/7/2010 | 6421.61 | 134.3 | 6555.91 | HMC 2011 |
| 943 | 9/13/2010 | 6421.41 | 134.5 | 6555.91 | HMC 2011 |
| 943 | 9/20/2010 | 6417.46 | 138.45 | 6555.91 | HMC 2011 |
| 943 | 9/27/2010 | 6418.01 | 137.9 | 6555.91 | HMC 2011 |
| 943 | 10/4/2010 | 6421.56 | 134.35 | 6555.91 | HMC 2011 |
| 943 | 10/11/2010 | 6422.16 | 133.75 | 6555.91 | HMC 2011 |
| 943 | 10/18/2010 | 6418.91 | 137 | 6555.91 | HMC 2011 |
| 943 | 10/25/2010 | 6419.71 | 136.2 | 6555.91 | HMC 2011 |
| 943 | 10/27/2010 | 6419.37 | 136.54 | 6555.91 | HMC 2011 |
| 943 | 11/1/2010 | . 6419.61 | 136.3 | 6555.91 | HMC 2011 |
| 943 | 11/8/2010 | 6418.17 | 137.74 | 6555.91 | HMC 2011 |
| 943 | 11/15/2010 | 6420.21 | 135.7 | 6555.91 | HMC 2011 |
| 943 | 11/29/2010 | 6420.36 | 135.55 | 6555.91 | HMC 2011 |
| 943 | 12/6/2010 | 6420.63 | 135.28 | 6555.91 | HMC 2011 |
| 943 | 12/27/2010 | 6420.63 | 135.28 | 6555.91 | \|HMC 2011 |
| 943 | 1/31/2011 | '6420.51 | 135.4 | 6555.91 | HMC 2012 |
| 943 | 2/28/2011 | 6420.01 | 135.9 | 6555.91 | \|HMC 2012 |
| 943 | 4/4/2011 | 6419.93 | 135.98 | 6555.91 | HMC 2012 |
| 943 | 4/13/2011 | 6420.03 | 135.88 | 6555.91 | HMC 2012 |
| 943 | 4/25/2011 | 6419.91 | 136 | 6555.91 | HMC 2012 |
| 943 | 5/23/2011 | 6422.27 | 133.64 | 6555.91 | HMC 2012 |
| 943 | 6/27/2011 | 6421.01 | 134.9 | 6555.91 | HMC 2012 |
| 943 | 7/25/2011 | 6420.5 | 135.41 | 6555.91 | HMC 2012 |
| 943 | 8/29/2011 | 6416.01 | 139.9 | 6555.91 | HMC 2012 |
| 943 | 9/26/2011 | 6416.31 | 139.6 | 6555.91 | HMC 2012 |
| 943 | 10/24/2011 | '6415.51 | 140.4 | 6555.91 | HMC 2012 |
| 943 | 11/7/2011 | 6416.61 | 139.3 | 6555.91 | HMC 2012 |
| 943 | 11/21/2011 | 6415.91 | 140 | 6555.91 | HMC 2012 |
| 943 | 12/19/2011 | 6421.21 | 134.7 | 6555.91 | HMC 2012 |
| 943 | 1/23/2012 | 6421.61 | 134.3 | 6555.91 | HMC 2013 |
| 943 | 2/27/2012 | 6422.43 | 133.48 | 6555.91 | HMC 2013 |
| 943 | 3/26/2012 | 6417.11 | 138.8 | 6555.91 | HMC 2013 |
| 943 | 4/23/2012 | 6409.01 | 146.9 | 6555.91 | HMC 2013 |
| 943 | 5/9/2012 | 6421.81 | 134.1 | 6555.91 | HMC 2013 |
| 943 | 15/29/2012 | 6397.11 | 158.8 | 6555.91 | HMC 2013 |
| 943 | 6/25/2012 | 6414.33 | 141.58 | 6555.91 | HMC 2013 |
| 943 | 7/23/2012 | 6420.09 | 135.82 | 6555.91 | HMC 2013 |
| 943 | 9/24/2012 | 6414.14 | 141.77 | 6555.91 | HMC 2013 |
| 943 | 10/22/2012 | 6415.61 | 140.3 | \|6555.91 | HMC 2013 |
| 943 | 11/26/2012 | 6419.76 | 136.15 | 6555.91 | HMC 2013 |
| 943 | 12/6/2012 | 6419.76 | 136.15 | 6555.91 | HMC 2013 |
| 943 | 1/28/2013 | 6419.41 | 136.5 | 6555.91 | HMC 2014 |
| 943 | 2/25/2013 | 6419.23 | 136.68 | 6555.91 | HMC 2014 |
| 943 | 3/6/2013 | 6419.11 | 136.8 | 6555.91 | HMC 2014 |
| 943 | 13/25/2013 | 6418.41 | 137.5 | 6555.91 | HMC 2014 |

Table C.1-3. Water Level Data for Homestake Site and Distal
San Andres Aquifer Wells

| Well ID | Date | Water Elevation (ft amsl) | Water Level (ft-MP) | (MP Elevation (ft amsl) | Data Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 943 | 4/29/2013 | 6413.11 | 142.8 | 6555.91 | HMC 2014 |
| 943 | 5/28/2013 | 6412.86 | 143.05 | 6555.91 | HMC 2014 |
| 943 | 6/24/2013 | 6411.93 | 143.98 | 6555.91 | HMC 2014 |
| 949 | 2/13/2008 | 6431.7 | 130.6 | 6562.3 | HMC 2009 |
| 951 | 8/18/1998 | 6464.9 | 108.8 | 6573.7 | HMC 1999 |
| 951 | 8/30/1999 | 6462.85 | 110.85 | 6573.7 | HMC 2000 |
| 951 | 9/7/1999 | 6462.77 | 110.93 | 6573.7 | HMC 2000 |
| 951 | 10/5/1999 | 6462.91 | 110.79 | 6573.7 | HMC 2000 |
| 951 | 11/1/1999 | 6463.78 | 109.92 | 6573.7 | HMC 2000 |
| 951 | 11/29/1999 | 6466.09 | 107.61 | 6573.7 | HMC 2000 |
| 951 | 1/3/2000 | 6463.82 | 109.88 | 6573.7 | HMC 2001 |
| 951 | 1/31/2000 | 6462.72 | 110.98 | 6573.7 | HMC 2001 |
| 951 | 3/6/2000 | 6464.1 | 109.6 | ; 6573.7 | HMC 2001 |
| 951 | 4/3/2000 | 6463.45 | 110.25 | 6573.7 | HMC 2001 |
| 951 | 5/2/2000 | 6463.5 | 110.2 | 6573.7 | HMC 2001 |
| 951 | 8/9/2000 | 6458.7 | 115 | 6673.7 | HMC 2001 |
| 951 | 4/12/2001 | '6457.76 | 115.94 | 6573.7 | HMC 2002 |
| 951 | 12/11/2001 | 6452.38 | 121.32 | 6573.7 | HMC 2002 |
| 951 | 3/4/2002 | 6447.74 | 125.96 | 6573.7 | HMC 2003 |
| 951 | 4/1/2002 | 6450.94 | 122.76 | 6573.7 | HMC 2003 |
| 951 | 4/29/2002 | 6445.2 | 128.5 | 6573.7 | HMC 2003 |
| 951 | 6/4/2002 | 6443.58 | 130.12 | 6573.7 | HMC 2003 |
| 951 | 7/1/2002 | :6442.22 | 131.48 | :6573.7 | HMC 2003 |
| 951 | 8/5/2002 | 6441.09 | 132.61 | 6573.7 | HMC 2003 |
| 951 | 9/3/2002 | 6440.82 | 132.88 | 6573.7 | HMC 2003 |
| 951 | 9/30/2002 | $\underline{6440.92}$ | 132.78 | 6573.7 | HMC 2003 |
| 951 | 10/17/2002 | 6441.34 | 132.36 | 6573.7 | ; HMC 2003 |
| 951 | 11/5/2002 | 6442.65 | 131.05 | 6573.7 | HMC 2003 |
| 951 | 12/2/2002 | 6442.85 | 130.85 | 6573.7 | HMC 2003 |
| 951 | 12/18/2002 | 6443.16 | 130.54 | 6573.7 | HMC 2003 |
| 951 | 12/30/2002 | 6443.19 | 130.51 | 6573.7 | HMC 2003 |
| 951 | 2/3/2003 | 6442.38 | 131.32 | 66573.7 | HMC 2004 |
| 951 | 3/3/2003 | 6442.42 | 131.28 | 6573.7 | HMC 2004 |
| 951 | 3/31/2003 | 6440.9 | 132.8 | 6573.7 | HMC 2004 |
| 951 | 5/5/2003 | 6439.09 | 134.61 | 6573.7 | HMC 2004 |
| 951 | 6/30/2003 | 6386.26 | 187.44 | 6573.7 | HMC 2004 |
| 951 | 8/2/2003 | . 6389 | 184.7 | 6573.7 | HMC 2004 |
| 951 | 8/14/2003 | 6437.6 | 136.1 | 6573.7 | HMC 2004 |
| 951 | 9/2/2003 | 6436.1 | 137.6 | 6573.7 | HMC 2004 |
| 951 | 19/29/2003 | 6437.25 | 136.45 | 6573.7 | HMC 2004 |
| 951 | 10/27/2003 | 6437.89 | 135.81 | 6573.7 | HMC 2004 |
| 951 | 11/3/2003 | 16438.2 | 135.5 | 6573.7 | HMC 2004 |
| 951 | 12/1/2003 | 6389 | 184.7 | 6573.7 | HMC 2004 |
| 951 | 12/29/2003 | 6403.3 | 170.4 | 6573.7 | HMC 2004 |
| 951 | 2/2/2004 | 6438.39 | 135.31 | 6573.7 | HMC 2005 |
| 951 | 3/1/2004 | 6438.92 | 134.78 | 6573.7 | HMC 2005 |
| 951 | 3/29/2004 | 6437.15 | 136.55 | 6573.7 | HMC 2005 |
| 951 | 5/3/2004 | 6435.76 | 137.94 | 16573.7 | HMC 2005 |
| 951 | 6/1/2004 | 6435.92 | 137.78 | 6573.7 | HMC 2005 |
| 951 | 6/28/2004 | 6434.83 | 1388.87 | 6573.7 | HMC 2005 |
| 951 | 8/2/2004 | 6434.7 | 139 | 6573.7 | HMC 2005 |
| 951 | 8/30/2004 | 6433.46 | 140.24 | 6573.7 | HMC 2005 |
| 951 | 10/4/2004 | 6433.42 | 140.28 | 6573.7 | HMC 2005 |
| 951 | 11/1/2004 | 6434.46 | 139.24 | 6573.7 | HMC 2005 |

Table C.1-3. Water Level Data for Homestake Site and Distal
San Andres Aquifer Wells

| Well ID | Date | Water Elevation (ft amsi) | Water Level (ft-MP) | MP Elevation (ft amsl) | Data Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 951 | 11/29/2004 | 6438.51 | 135.19 | '6573.7 | HMC 2005 |
| 951 | 12/8/2004 | 6438.8 | 134.9 | 6573.7 | HMC 2005 |
| 951 | 1/4/2005 | 6434.62 | 139.08 | '6573.7 | HMC 2006 |
| 951 | 1/31/2005 | 6433.88 | 139.82 | 6573.7 | HMC 2006 |
| 951 | 2/28/2005 | 6434.32 | 139.38 | 6573.7 | HMC 2006 |
| 951 | 4/4/2005 | 6433.85 | 139.85 | 6573.7 | HMC 2006 |
| 951 | 4/25/2005 | 6433.82 | 139.88 | ; 6573.7 | HMC 2006 |
| 951 | 5/2/2005 | 6433.03 | 140.67 | 6573.7 | HMC 2006 |
| 951 | 5/31/2005 | 6431.78 | 141.92 | 6573.7 | HMC 2006 |
| 951 | 1/5/2005 | 6431.45 | 142.25 | 6573.7 | HMC 2006 |
| 951 | 8/1/2005 | 6436.92 | 136.78 | 6573.7 | HMC 2006 |
| 951 | 8/29/2005 | 6433.35 | 140.35 | 6573.7 | HMC 2006 |
| 951 | 10/3/2005 | 6432.78 | 140.92 | 6573.7 | HMC 2006 |
| 951 | 10/31/2005 | 6432.8 | 140.9 | 6573.7 | HMC 2006 |
| 951 | 11/28/2005 | 6432.79 | 140.91 | 6573.7 | HMC 2006 |
| 951 | 12/5/2005 | 6432.89 | 140.81 | 6573.7 | HMC 2006 |
| 951 | 1/3/2006 | 6432.75 | 140.95 | 6573.7 | HMC 2006 |
| 951 | 1/3/2006 | 6432.75 | 140.95 | 6573.7 | HMC 2007 |
| 951 | 1/30/2006 | 6432.56 | 141.14 | 6573.7 | HMC 2007 |
| 951 | 2/27/2006 | 6432.3 | 141.4 | 6573.7 | HMC 2007 |
| 951 | 3/16/2006 | 6431.34 | 142.36 | 6573.7 | HMC 2007 |
| 951 | 4/3/2006 | 6431.34 | 142.36 | 6573.7 | HMC 2007 |
| 951 | 5/1/2006 | 6431.83 | 141.87 | 6573.7 | HMC 2007 |
| 951 | 5/30/2006 | 6430.9 | 142.8 | 6573.7 | HMC 2007 |
| 951 | 6/26/2006 | 6430.58 | 143.12 | 6573.7 | HMC 2007 |
| 951 | 7/31/2006 | 6429.47 | 144.23 | 6573.7 | HMC 2007 |
| 951 | 8/28/2006 | 6433.8 | 139.9 | 6573.7 | HMC 2007 |
| 951 | 9/25/2006 | 6429.4 | 144.3 | 6573.7 | HMC 2007 |
| 951 | 10/30/2006 | 6430.62 | 143.08 | 6573.7 | HMC 2007 |
| 951 | 11/27/2006 | 6430.55 | 143.15 | 6573.7 | HMC 2007 |
| 951 | 12/27/2006 | 6431.15 | 142.55 | 6573.7 | HMC 2007 |
| 951 | 1/29/2007 | 6435.1 | 138.6 | 6573.7 | HMC 2008 |
| 951 | 2/26/2007 | 6435.1 | 138.6 | 6573.7 | HMC 2008 |
| 951 | 3/9/2007 | 6431.32 | 142.38 | 6573.7 | HMC 2008 |
| 951 | 4/2/2007 | 6430.45 | 143.25 | 6573.7 | HMC 2008 |
| 951 | 4/30/2007 | 6428.78 | 144.92 | 6573.7 | HMC 2008 |
| 951 | 5/29/2007 | $\bigcirc 6427.8$ | 145.9 | 6573.7 | HMC 2008 |
| 951 | 7/2/2007 | 6426.9 | 146.8 | 6573.7 | HMC 2008 |
| 951 | 7/30/2007 | 6426.8 | 146.9 | 6573.7 | HMC 2008 |
| 951 | 9/4/2007 | 56426.65 | 147.05 | 6573.7 | HMC 2008 |
| 951 | 10/1/2007 | 6425.7 | 148 | 6573.7 | HMC 2008 |
| 951 | 10/29/2007 | 6426.4 | 147.3 | 6573.7 | HMC 2008 |
| 951 | 12/3/2007 | 6427.1 | 146.6 | 6573.7 | HMC 2008 |
| 951 | 1/2/2008 | 6427.33 | 146.37 | 6573.7 | HMC 2009 |
| 951 | 2/4/2008 | 6427.04 | 146.66 | 6573.7 | HMC 2009 |
| 951 | 3/3/2008 | 6427.55 | 146.15 | 6573.7 | HMC 2009 |
| 951 | 3/5/2008 | 6427.51 | 146.19 | 6573.7 | HMC 2009 |
| 951 | 3/31/2008 | 6426.6 | 147.1 | 16573.7 | HMC 2009 |
| 951 | 5/5/2008 | 6425.4 | 148.3 | 6573.7 | HMC 2009 |
| 951 | 6/2/2008 | 6424.97 | 148.73 | 6573.7 | HMC 2009 |
| 951 | 6/30/2008 | 6423.4 | 150.3 | 6573.7 | HMC 2009 |
| 951 | 9/2/2008 | 6422.1 | 151.6 | 16573.7 | HMC 2009 |
| 951 | 9/4/2008 | 6422.65 | 151.05 | 6573.7 | HMC 2009 |
| 951 | 9/29/2008 | 6422.9 | 150.8 | 6573.7 | HMC 2009 |

Table C.1-3. Water Level Data for Homestake Site and Distal San Andres Aquifer Wells

| Well ID | Date | Water Elevation (ft amsl) | Water Level (ft-MP) | MP Elevation (ft amsl) | Data Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 951 | 10/27/2008 | '6426.6 | 147.1 | 6573.7 | HMC 2009 |
| 951 | 12/1/2008 | 6421.6 | 152.1 | 6573.7 | HMC 2009 |
| 951 | 12/1/2008 | 6423.7 | 150 | 6573.7 | HMC 2009 |
| 951 | 12/29/2008 | 6423.42 | 150.28 | 6573.7 | HMC 2009 |
| 951 | 2/2/2009 | 6424.05 | 1149.65 | 6573.7 | HMC electronic |
| 951 | 3/2/2009 | 6423.75 | 149.95 | 6573.7 | HMC electronic |
| 951 | 3/20/2009 | 6421.44 | '152.26 | 6573.7 | HMC electronic |
| 951 | 3/30/2009 | 6422.38 | 151.32 | 6573.7 | HMC electronic |
| 951 | 5/4/2009 | 6422.6 | 151.1 | '6573.7 | HMC electronic |
| 951 | 6/1/2009 | 6423.3 | 150.4 | 6573.7 | HMC electronic |
| 951 | 6/29/2009 | 6419.7 | 1154 | 6573.7 | HMC electronic |
| 951 | 8/3/2009 | 6422.75 | 150.95 | 6573.7 | HMC electronic |
| 951 | 8/31/2009 | 6420.3 | 153.4 | 6573.7 | HMC electronic |
| 951 | 9/28/2009 | 6421.95 | 151.75 | 6573.7 | HMC electronic |
| 951 | 11/2/2009 | 6422.8 | 150.9 | 6573.7 | HMC electronic |
| 951 | 11/30/2009 | 6422.43 | 151.27 | 6573.7 | HMC electronic |
| 951 | 12/7/2009 | 6422.7 | 151 | 6573.7 | [HMC electronic |
| 951 | 12/28/2009 | 6423.5 | 150.2 | 6573.7 | HMC electronic |
| 951 | 2/1/2010 | 6423.25 | 150.45 | 6573.7 | HMC 2011 |
| 951 | 3/1/2010 | 6422.95 | 150.75 | 6573.7 | HMC 2011 |
| 951 | 3/3/2010 | 6424.9 | 148.8 | 6573.7 | HMC 2011 |
| 951 | 3/29/2010 | 6422.87 | 150.83 | 6573.7 | HMC 2011 |
| 951 | 5/3/2010 | 6422.95 | 150.75 | 6573.7 | HMC 2011 |
| 951 | 6/1/2010 | 6421.3 | 152.4 | 6573.7 | HMC 2011 |
| 951 | 6/22/2010 | :6421.17 | 152.53 | 6573.7 | HMC 2011 |
| 951 | 6/28/2010 | 6421.19 | 152.51 | 6573.7 | HMC 2011 |
| 951 | 8/2/2010 | 6420.58 | 153.12 | 6573.7 | HMC 2011 |
| 951 | 8/30/2010 | 6420.8 | 152.9 | 6573.7 | HMC 2011 |
| 951 | 9/7/2010 | 6420.3 | 153.4 | 6573.7 | HMC 2011 |
| 951 | 9/13/2010 | 6420.49 | 153.21 | 6573.7 | HMC 2011 |
| 951 | 9/20/2010 | 6420.77 | 152.93 | 6573.7 | HMC 2011 |
| 951 | 9/27/2010 | 6421.5 | 152.2 | 6573.7 | HMC 2011 |
| 951 | 10/11/2010 | :6422.3 | 151.4 | 66573.7 | HMC 2011 |
| 951 | 10/18/2010 | 6422.72 | 150.98 | 6573.7 | HMC 2011 |
| 951 | 10/25/2010 | 6381.1 | 192.6 | 6573.7 | HMC 2011 |
| 951 | 11/1/2010 | 6423.4 | 150.3 | 6573.7 | HMC 2011 |
| 951 | 11/8/2010 | 6423.4 | 150.3 | 6573.7 | HMC 2011 |
| 951 | 11/15/2010 | 6423.25 | 150.45 | \|6573.7 | HMC 2011 |
| 951 | 11/29/2010 | ; 6423.75 | 149.95 | :6573.7 | HMC 2011 |
| 951 | 12/6/2010 | 6423.8 | 149.9 | \|6573.7 | HMC 2011 |
| 951 | 12/27/2010 | :6424.17 | 149.53 | 6573.7 | HMC 2011 |
| 951 | 1/31/2011 | 6423.7 | 150 | 6573.7 | HMC 2012 |
| 951 | 2/28/2011 | 6423.5 | 150.2 | 6573.7 | HMC 2012 |
| 951 | 4/13/2011 | 6421.85 | 151.85 | 6573.7 | ]HMC 2012 |
| 951 | 4/25/2011 | 6423.21 | 150.49 | 6573.7 | HMC 2012 |
| 951 | 5/23/2011 | 6422.5 | 151.2 | 6573.7 | HMC 2012 |
| 951 | 6/27/2011 | 6420.1 | 153.6 | 6573.7 | HMC 2012 |
| 951 | 7/25/2011 | 6419.73 | 153.97 | 6573.7 | HMC 2012 |
| 951 | 8/29/2011 | 6420.35 | 153.35 | 6573.7 | HMC 2012 |
| 951 | 9/26/2011 | 6420.9 | 152.8 | 6573.7 | HMC 2012 |
| 951 | 10/12/2011 | 6453.7 | 120 | 6573.7 | HMC 2012 |
| 951 | 10/24/2011 | 6421.35 | 152.35 | 6573.7 | HMC 2012 |
| 951 | 11/21/2011 | 6421.1 | 152.6 | 6573.7 | HMC 2012 |
| 951 | 12/19/2011 | 6421.3 | 152.4 | 6573.7 | HMC 2012 |

Table C.1-3. Water Level Data for Homestake Site and Distal
San Andres Aquifer Wells

| Well ID | Date | Water Elevation (ft amsl) | Water Level (ft-MP) | MP Elevation (ft amsl) | Data Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 951 | 1/23/2012 | 6386.3 | 187.4 | 6573.7 | HMC 2013 |
| 951 | 2/27/2012 | 6422.72 | 150.98 | 6573.7 | HMC 2013 |
| 951 | 3/9/2012 | 6423.02 | 150.68 | 6573.7 | HMC 2013 |
| 951 | 3/26/2012 | 6426 | 147.7 | 6573.7 | HMC 2013 |
| 951 | 8/19/199 | 6466.2 | 107.5 | 6573.7 | 'HMC 2000 |
| 986 | 8/22/2008 | 6426 | 124 | 6550 | HMC 2009 |
| 986 | 8/23/2008 | 6426 | 124 | 6550 | HMC 2009 |
| 991 | 8/26/2008 | 6424.18 | 126.82 | 6551 | HMC 2009 |
| 0806R | 3/5/2008 | 6432.29 | 134.71 | 6567 | HMC 2009 |
| 0806R | 4/13/2011 | 6418.4 | 148.6 | 6567 | HMC 2012 |
| 0951R | 5/29/2012 | 6419.13 | 157.65 | 6576.78 | HMC 2013 |
| 0951R | 6/25/2012 | 6416.18 | 160.6 | 6576.78 | HMC 2013 |
| 0951R | 7/23/2012 | 6416.41 | 160.37 | 6576.78 | HMC 2013 |
| 0951R | 9/24/2012 | 6416.92 | 159.86 | 6576.78 | HMC 2013 |
| 0951R | 10/22/2012 | 6416.3 | 160.48 | 6576.78 | HMC 2013 |
| 0951R | 11/26/2012 | 6416.48 | 160.3 | 6576.78 | HMC 2013 |
| 0951R | 12/26/2012 | 6416.03 | 160.75 | '6576.78 | HMC 2013 |
| 0951 R | 1/28/2013 | 6422.01 | 154.77 | 6576.78 | HMC 2014 |
| 0951R | 2/25/2013 | 6415.98 | 160.8 | 6576.78 | HMC 2014 |
| 0951R | 3/6/2013 | 6416.78 | 160 | 6576.78 | HMC 2014 |
| 0951R | 3/25/2013 | 6416.11 | 160.67 | 6576.78 | HMC 2014 |
| 0951R | 4/29/2013 | 6417.38 | 159.4 | 6576.78 | HMC 2014 |
| 0951R | 5/28/2013 | 6429.38 | 1147.4 | 6576.78 | HMC 2014 |
| 0951R | 6/24/2013 | 6444.03 | 132.75 | 6576.78 | HMC 2014 |

MP Measuring Point
Note:
Water level data listed here for HMC-951, also provided in Table C.1-1, are duplicated here, as HMC annual reports have been the primary source for these data. HMC did not issue an annual report in 2010 (reporting data for the year 2009). Water level data from 2009 are from an electronic file provided to DOE.
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Table C.1-4. Water Level Data Used to Derive 2012 Potentiometric Surface for Alluvial Aquifer

| Well ID | Date | Water Elevation (ft amsl) | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 427 | 9/20/2012 | 6536.39 |  |
| 490 | 11/14/2012 | 6526.15 |  |
| 491 | 11/15/2012 | 6524.95 |  |
| 496 | 10/9/2012 | 6510.43 |  |
| 497 | 11/14/2012 | 6511.72 |  |
| 498 | 11/14/2012 | 6507.47 |  |
| 520 | 11/13/2012 | 6537.52 |  |
| 521 | 10/15/2012 | 6532.86 |  |
| 522 | 10/16/2012 | 6532.34 | - |
| 538 | 11/13/2012 | 6477.29 |  |
| 540 | 11/13/2012 | 6496.08 |  |
| 541 | 11/14/2012 | 6465.15 |  |
| 551 | 11/13/2012 | 6447.5 |  |
| 553 | 11/13/2012 | 6443.02 |  |
| 554 | 11/13/2012 | 6440.65 |  |
| 555 | 11/27/2012 | 6514.16 |  |
| 556 | 11/27/2012 | 6503.41 |  |
| 557 | 11/27/2012 | 6510.26 |  |
| 631 | 11/13/2012 | 6450.28 |  |
| 632 | 11/13/2012 | 6450.51 |  |
| 634 | 11/19/2012 | 6487.82 |  |
| 636 | 10/17/2012 | 6468.65 |  |
| 637 | 10/17/2012 | 6463.26 |  |
| 638 | 11/13/2012 | 6544.38 |  |
| 639 | 10/18/2012 | 6536.88 |  |
| 640 | 11/13/2012 | 6529.38 |  |
| 644 | 11/13/2012 | 6468.99 |  |
| 646 | 10/22/2012 | 6462.91 |  |
| 647 | 11/13/2012 | 6446.08 |  |
| 648 | 5/9/2012 | 6427.79 | Fall 2012 measurement not taken |
| 649 | 11/13/2012 | 6440.24 |  |
| 650 | 11/15/2012 | 6463.53 |  |
| 653 | 11/13/2012 | '6474.32 |  |
| 654 | 11/19/2012 | 6478.4 |  |
| 657 | 11/13/2012 | 6450.46 |  |
| 658 | 11/13/2012 | 6441.99 |  |
| 659 | 11/19/2012 | 6489.37 |  |
| 683 | 10/19/2012 | 6465.63 |  |
| 684 | 10/19/2012 | 6467.33 |  |
| 686 | 10/17/2012 | 6465.19 |  |
| 688 | 11/15/2012 | 6502.97 |  |
| 690 | 11/13/2012 | 6546.59 |  |
| 691 | 11/13/2012 | 6546.46 |  |
| 802 | 11/19/2012 | 6474.44 |  |
| 844 | 11/27/2012 | 6520.47 |  |
| 845 | 11/27/2012 | 6522.43 |  |
| 846 | 11/15/2012 | 6503.9 |  |
| 862 | 11/13/2012 | 6499.53 |  |
| 867 | 11/13/2012 | 6494.79 |  |
| 869 | 11/13/2012 | 6471.85 |  |
| 881 | 11/19/2012 | 6491.54 |  |
| 882 | 11/1/2012 | 6496.32 |  |
| 883 | 10/23/2012 | 6496.66 |  |
| 884 | 11/1/2012 | 6493.34 |  |

Table C.1-4. Water Level Data Used to Derive 2012 Potentiometric Surface for Alluvial Aquifer

| Well ID | Date | Water Elevation (ft amsl) | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 885 | 11/19/2012 | 6500.19 |  |
| 886 | 11/19/2012 | 6496.33 |  |
| 888 | 11/19/2012 | 6482.23 |  |
| 890 | 11/19/2012 | 6483.18 |  |
| 893 | 11/19/2012 | 6495.71 |  |
| 895 | 10/19/2012 | 6469.11 |  |
| 896 | 10/19/2012 | 6469.68 |  |
| 899 | 10/19/2012 | 6470.38 |  |
| 921 | 10/17/2012 | 6584.1 |  |
| 922 | 10/17/2012 | 6571.55 |  |
| 935 | 10/19/2012 | 6466.04 |  |
| 994 | 10/1/2012 | 6461.82 |  |
| 1G | 11/14/2012 | 6547.79 |  |
| 1 K | 11/14/2012 | 6548.93 |  |
| 1M | 11/14/2012 | 6549.41 |  |
| 1 V | 11/26/2012 | 6548.87 |  |
| 20(M) | 11/14/2012 | 6508.44 | DOE well/result |
| 21(M) | 11/15/2012 | 6465.66 | DOE well/result |
| 22(M) | 11/15/2012 | 6469.57 | DOE well/result |
| 23(M) | 11/15/2012 | 6468.61 | DOE well/result |
| AW | 11/12/2012 | 6530.73 |  |
| B | 11/26/2012 | 6537.1 |  |
| 81 | 11/13/2012 | 6536.55 |  |
| B12 | 11/15/2012 | 6537.42 |  |
| B13 | 11/13/2012 | 6537.28 |  |
| BA | 11/26/2012 | 6538.47 |  |
| $B C$ | 11/15/2012 | 6540.84 |  |
| BP | 11/14/2012 | 6533.87 |  |
| C1 | 11/13/2012 | 6540.95 |  |
| C10 | 11/30/2012 | 6530.48 |  |
| ${ }^{\text {C11 }}$ | 11/30/2012 | 6568.64 |  |
| C12 | 11/30/2012 | 6559.65 |  |
| C2 | 11/13/2012 | 6539.34 |  |
| C5 | 11/14/2012 | 6542.15 |  |
| C6 | 11/30/2012 | 6533.89 |  |
| C7 | 11/30/2012 | 6537.04 |  |
| C8 | 11/30/2012 | 6519.89 |  |
| C9 | 11/30/2012 | 6525.1 |  |
| CW44 | 11/14/2012 | 6506.23 |  |
| DC | 11/15/2012 | 6535.27 |  |
| DD | 11/26/2012 | 6542.77 |  |
| DD2 | 11/26/2012 | 6544.68 |  |
| DT | 11/26/2012 | 6539.12 |  |
| DZ | 11/26/2012 | 6542.78 |  |
| E(M) | 11/14/2012 | 6534.84 | DOE well/result |
| F | 11/14/2012 | 6534.48 |  |
| F(M) | 11/14/2012 | 6490.17 | DOE well/result |
| FB | 9/17/2012 | 6533.76 |  |
| GA | 11/13/2012 | 6529.95 |  |
| GF | 11/13/2012 | 6531.81 |  |
| GH | 11/13/2012 | 6530.91 |  |
| GV | 11/27/2012 | 6529.18 |  |
| 1 | 10/20/2012 | 6535.37 |  |
| $\underline{K} 10$ | 11/30/2012 | 6542.63 |  |

Table C.1-4. Water Level Data Used to Derive 2012 Potentiometric Surface for Alluvial Aquifer

| Well ID | Date | Water Elevation (ft amsl) | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K11 | 11/30/2012 | ; 6539.24 |  |
| K4 | 11/30/2012 | 6533.72 |  |
| K5 | 11/30/2012 | :6539.73 |  |
| $K 7$ | 11/30/2012 | 6541.63 |  |
| K8 | 11/30/2012 | 6540.39 |  |
| K9 | 11/30/2012 | 6538.32 |  |
| KEB | 11/30/2012 | 6548.01 |  |
| KF | 11/30/2012 | 6544.23 |  |
| KZ | 11/26/2012 | 6543.75 |  |
| M11 | 11/17/2012 | 6513.35 |  |
| M16 | 10/22/2012 | 6508.16 |  |
| M5 | 11/13/2012 | 6537.59 |  |
| M6 | 11/19/2012 | :6514.91 |  |
| M7 | 11/19/2012 | 6517.04 |  |
| M9 | 11/15/2012 | 6509.81 |  |
| MA | 11/15/2012 | 6532.42 |  |
| MC | 11/15/2012 | 6529.76 |  |
| MF | 11/15/2012 | 6526.43 |  |
| MH | 11/15/2012 | 6523.67 |  |
| MJ | 11/15/2012 | 6518.84 |  |
| ML | 11/15/2012 | 6524.66 |  |
| MO | 10/2/2012 | 6508.71 |  |
| MQ | 11/15/2012 | 6505.60 |  |
| MR | 11/19/2012 | 6501.11 |  |
| MS | 11/19/2012 | : 6511.12 |  |
| MT | 10/23/2012 | 6506.87 |  |
| MU | 11/15/2012 | 6538.80 |  |
| MV | 11/19/2012 | 6505.34 |  |
| MW | 11/15/2012 | 6514.81 |  |
| MX | 11/27/2012 | 6519.03 |  |
| MY | 11/27/2012 | 6518.78 |  |
| MZ | 11/19/2012 | 6512.78 |  |
| N | 11/20/2012 | 6545.33 |  |
| NC | 11/19/2012 | 6543.48 |  |
| 0 | 11/20/2012 | :6546.19 |  |
| P | 10/1/2012 | 6543.33 |  |
| P2 | 11/19/2012 | 6538.60 |  |
| P3 | 11/19/2012 | 6538.54 |  |
| P4 | 11/19/2012 | 6539.50 |  |
| 5 | 11/13/2012 | 6541.57 |  |
| S11 | 11/13/2012 | 6546.35 |  |
| 52 | 11/19/2012 | 6538.02 |  |
| 53 | 11/13/2012 | 6537.39 |  |
| 54 | 11/13/2012 | 6538.91 |  |
| S5R | 11/19/2012 | 6533.20 |  |
| SE6 | 11/19/2012 | 6537.73 |  |
| SM | 11/19/2012 | 6540.51 |  |
| SN | 11/19/2012 | 6540.31 |  |
| So | 11/19/2012 | 6539.22 |  |
| SP | 11/19/2012 | 6539.51 |  |
| Sub1 | 10/5/2012 | 6527.00 |  |
| Sub3 | 10/5/2012 | 6528.21 |  |
| SW | 12/6/2012 | 6540.94 |  |
| SZ | 11/13/2012 | 6545.72 |  |

Table C.1-4. Water Level Data Used to Derive 2012 Potentiometric Surface for Alluvial Aquifer

| Well ID | Date | Water Elevation <br> $(\mathrm{ft}$ amsl $)$ | Comment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| T | $12 / 10 / 2012$ | 6510.80 |  |
| T(M) | $5 / 5 / 2012$ | 6478.57 |  |
| T2 | $12 / 10 / 2012$ | 6548.02 |  |
| TA | $10 / 15 / 2012$ | 6543.92 |  |
| TB | $10 / 18 / 2012$ | 6547.09 |  |
| $W$ | $11 / 13 / 2012$ | 6529.55 |  |
| WR12 | $11 / 13 / 2012$ | 6561.19 |  |
| $X$ | $11 / 26 / 2012$ | 6548.41 |  |
| $X(M)$ | $11 / 15 / 2012$ | 6467.17 |  |
| $Y 2(M)$ | $11 / 14 / 2012$ | 6496.79 | DOE well/result |

## Note:

Source: HMC 2013 (annual report for 2012) combined with 2012 water level measurements at Bluewater site alluvial wells. These data are plotted in the figure presenting the Fall 2012 potentiometric surface in the Site Status Report.

Table C.1-5. Water Level Data for Bluewater Site San Andres Aquifer Wells: Fall/Winter 1980 (Source: Hydro-Search 1981)

| Well ID | Hydro-Search 1981 Map No. | Water Elevation (ft amsl) | Well <br> Depth (ft) | Easting | Northing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anaconda \#1 | 21 | 6457.5 | 356 | 465476.78 | 1547734.58 |
| Anaconda \#2 | 22 | 6457.9 | 386 | 465513.60 | 1548353.14 |
| Anaconda \#3 | 25 | 6456.7 | 200 | 465276.18 | 1544848.79 |
| Anaconda \#4 | 26 | 6456.8 | 210 | 466181.82 | 1543967.85 |
| Bluewater Muni. | 23 | 6491.1 |  | 457386.61 | 1545486.08 |
| C(SG) | 17 | 6458.1 | 423 | 466480.31 | 1551114.60 |
| Card Gas | S-3 | 6455.9 | 551 | 483605.02 | 1540548.97 |
| Cottonwood Well | S-36 | 6456.9 | 253 | 473098.36 | 1539641.71 |
| D(SG) | 18 | 6467.8 | 259 | 468344.23 | 1552571.51 |
| Dalton | 30 | 6456.4 |  | 466478.21 | 1541201.52 |
| Dan's Feed Store | S-39 | 6456.2 | 164 | 469880.36 | 1539803.72 |
| G(SG) | 19 | 6466.8 | 278 | 468833.93 | 1552562.36 |
| Gallup Stake Irrigation Sec 4A | S-6 | 6456.1 | 315 | 481170.74 | 1534517.07 |
| Gallup Stake Irrigation Sec 5 | S-10 | 6456.3 | 225 | 476695.52 | 1534582.09 |
| Gallup Stake Irrigation Sec. 4B | S-14 | 6452 | 480 | 482359.90 | 1529411.69 |
| Hanosh | S-49 | 6445.8 | 250(?) | 484713.42 | 1505803.90 |
| Hardenburg Commissary | 31 | 6456.9 | 238 | 468805.24 | 1541210.21 |
| Harding | S-43 | 6456.9 | 245 | 472052.69 | 1542624.07 |
| I(SG) | I(SG) | 6456.3 | 330 | 477984.38 | 1552334.88 |
| Keel | S-54 | 6445.9 | 165 | 486549.36 | 1506845.56 |
| L(SG) | L(SG) | 6481.7 | 610 | 462856.43 | 1553977.39 |
| M(SG) | M(SG) | 6471.4 | 575 | 465741.77 | 1559495.77 |
| Mexican Camp | 27 | 6457.8 | 280 | 468618.83 | 1545136.95 |
| Monitor | 15 | 6460.4 | 628 | 473819.29 | 1557094.45 |
| North Well | 14 | 6466.1 | 250 | 469523.70 | 1558775.19 |
| OBS-2 | OBS-2 | 6467.5 | 319 | 468797.32 | 1551656.17 |
| OBS-3 | OBS-3 | 6467.1 | 355 | 468865.97 | 1554081.82 |
| Roundy Sec. 23 | S-1 | 6453.7 | 865 | 491741.69 | 1548221.65 |
| S(SG) | S(SG) | 6467.9 | 337 | 468820.20 | 1552987.99 |
| Sabre-Piñon (now HMC-951) | 28 | 6456.7 | 275 | 473179.36 | 1545356.06 |
| Spencer | 13 | 6515.7 | 378 | 454133.39 | 1556147.67 |
| Thornton | S-50 | 6456.2 | 195 | 475493.48 | 1532564.98 |
| UNC Sec. 17 | S-24 | 6453.5 | 125 | 478150.92 | 1523772.65 |
| UNC Sec. 8A | S-11 | 6455 | 165 | 477946.95 | 1529279.96 |
| UNC Sec. 8B | S-12 | 6456 | 150 | 473150.92 | 1528902.85 |
| UNC Sec. 8C | S-13 | 6455.2 | 150 | 475616.98 | 1529312.89 |
| W(SG) | W(SG) | 6456.9 | 355 | 472847.99 | 1549280.99 |

Source:
Hydro-Search (HSI), 1981. Regional Ground-Water Hydrology and Water Chemistry, Grants- Bluewater area, Valencia County, New Mexico, prepared for Anaconda Copper Company, June 30.

## Note:

Water elevation data for the Fall/Winter 1980 time-frame obtained from Plate V (Hydraulic Potential, San Andres-Glorieta) of HSI's report. Coordinates listed above were georeferenced from Plate V. These results were used to derive the potentiometric surface provided in Figure 23 in the Site Status Report.

Table C.1-6. Water Level Data for Bluewater Site Alluvial Aquifer Wells: Fall/Winter 1980 (Source: Hydro-Search 1981)

| Well ID | Hydro-Search 1981 Map ID | Water Elevation ( ft amsl) | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B(M) | 5 | 6518.7 |  |
| BC | BC | 6520.2 |  |
| Berryhill House (now B00168) | 1 | 6520.3 |  |
| C(M) | 6 | 6505.7 |  |
| Card Abandoned | 11 | 6466.7 |  |
| Cibola Sands | S-56 | 6445.3 |  |
| D | D | 6520.3 |  |
| E(M) | 8 | 6543.2 |  |
| Engineer's Well | 2 | 6520.4 |  |
| Evans Abandoned | S-25 | 6461.6 |  |
| F(M) | 9 | 6490.9 |  |
| Gallup Stake Abandoned | S-9 | 6455.0 |  |
| Gallup Stake Domestic | S-27 | 6455.1 |  |
| Gallup Stake Irr. Sec. 4B | S-14 | 6452.0 | ** |
| Holmes | S-41A/41A | 6463.7 |  |
| K(M) | K(M) | 6553.5 | Could be J(M), not clear from HSI maps |
| P | P | 6538.4 |  |
| Pittard | S-46 | 6509.7 |  |
| Q | Q | 6545.6 |  |
| R | R | 6556.2 |  |
| Roundy Sec. 14 | S-47 | 6564.0 |  |
| Roundy-Up | 4 | 6527.0 |  |
| T(M) | T(M) | 6485.5 |  |
| U(M) | U(M) | 6478.2 |  |
| United Nuclear Sec. 17 | S-24 | 6453.5 | ** |
| United Nuclear Sec. 8A | S-11 | 6455.0 | ** |
| United Nuclear Sec. 8B | S-12 | 6456.0 | ** |
| United Nuclear Sec. 8C | S-13 | 6455.2 | ** |
| X(M) | $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{M})$ | 6474.6 |  |

## Source:

Hydro-Search (HSI), 1981. Regional Ground-Water Hydrology and Water Chemistry, Grants-Bluewater area, Valencia County, New Mexico, prepared for Anaconda Copper Company, June 30.

## Note:

Water elevation data for the Fall/Winter 1980 time-frame obtained from Plate VII (Hydraulic Potential, Alluvium Aquifer) of HSI's report. These results were used to derive the potentiometric surface provided in Figure 20 in the Site Status Report.
** Denotes location identified as San Andres well in HSI's report.
The reason data from these wells were used to derive the potentiometric surface for the alluvial aquifer may be explained as follows (Indented language below quoted from page 19 of HSI's 1981 report):

In the Milan-Grants area, the San Andres-Glorieta and Alluvium aquifers are interconnected and at the same hydraulic potential. Hydraulic head information and water chemistry data indicate that the San Andres-Glorieta loses a substantial volume of water to the alluvium in this area.

Table C.2-1. Water Quality Data for Bluewater Site San Andres Aquifer Wells



## Table C.2-1. Water Quality Data for Bluewater Site San Andres Aquifer Wells



| Sample ID | Date Sampled | $\begin{aligned} & U \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Se} \\ & (\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Mo} \\ & (\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { As } \\ (\mu \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{L}) \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{SO}_{4} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{cl} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NO}_{3}+\mathrm{NO}_{2} \\ & \text { as } \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nitrate as } \mathrm{NO}_{3} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{SC} \\ & (\mu \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{pH} \\ & \text { (s.u.) } \end{aligned}$ | Alkalinity, Bicarbonate (as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ ) | Alkalinity, Carbonate (as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ ) | Alkalinity, Total (as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ca} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Mg} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | Na (mg/L) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{DO} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { ORP } \\ (\mathrm{mV}) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I(SG) | 7/7/1987 | 0.48 |  |  |  | 1090 | 426 | 5.4 | 24 | 4000 | 6.87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1(SG) | 10/7/1987 | 0.48 |  |  |  | 976 | 430 | 4.7 | 21 | 3800 | 6.73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IISG) | 11/11/1988 | 0.451 |  |  |  | 1080 | 430 | 5 | $\underline{22}$ | 3600 | 6.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1($ SG) | 4/6/1988 | 0.422 | <5 |  |  | 974 | 399 | 6.1 | 27 | 3550 | 6.86 |  |  |  | 290 | 100 | 360 | 12 |  |  |
| [(SG) | T7/11/1988 | 10.437 |  |  |  | 1010 | 450 | 4.3 | 19 | 3450 | 6.98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I(SG) | 10/10/1988 | 0.451 |  |  |  | 1005 | 412 | 5.2 | 23 | 3550 | 6.85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (15G) | 1/18/1989 | 0.655 |  |  |  | 1100 | 420 | 4.3 | 19 | 3400 | 6.98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1(SG) | 4/18/1989 | 0.597 | <5 | $\leq 5$ |  | 1100 | 500 | 4.99 | 22.1 | 3200 | 6.87 |  |  |  | 1340 | 120 | 460 | 17 |  |  |
| $1(56)$ | [4/11/1990 | 0.422 | [8.0 | 6 |  | 1760 | 450 | 6.1 | 27 | 3300 | 6.55 |  |  |  | 300 | 110 | 340 | 12 |  |  |
| I(SG) | 7/2/1996 | 0.425 | 10.0 |  |  | 1950 | 342 | 3.3 | ${ }^{1} 14.6$ |  | 7.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1(1)$ | 11/4/2008 | 0.0014 | ]<0.11 |  | 10.58 |  |  | $<0.05$ | K<0.2 | 947 | 9.37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -125 |
| II(SG) | 11/10/2009 | 0.0013 | <0.038 | <1.3 | 0.11 | 87 | 210 | $<0.05$ | <0.2 | 894 | 8.74 |  | 16 |  | 19.2 | 6.5 | 140 | 14.5 |  | 174.4 |
| I(SG) | [11/11/2010] | T0.0027 | T<1.0 | 0.777 | 12.24 | 124 | 179 | $<0.05$ | <0.2 | 1236 | 8.45 | 102 | 20.2 |  | 17.3 | 12.4 | 184 | 5.6 | 4.86 | -139.7 |
| 1 L(SG) | 7/27/2011 | 0.0011 | $<0.032$ |  | 10.35 | 79 | 190 | $<0.01$ | $1<0.04$ | 960 | 8.96 |  | <10 |  | 11 | 8.9 | 150 | 6.1 |  | -130 |
| I(SG) | [11/16/2011 | 0.00636 | <1.5 | 0.663 | \|<1.7 | 200 | 190 | $<0.01$ | <0.04 | 1267 | 8.02 | 161 | <0.725 |  | 35.4 | 21.6 | 209 | 7.1 | 0.3 | -243.2 |
| 1(SG) | 5/15/2012 | 0.0 | $<0.1$ | 0.78 |  | 230 | 170 | $<0.01$ | <0.04 | 1435 | 7.87 |  | i<20 |  | 39 | 28 | 180 | 9.7 | 0.38 | -221.8 |
| 1(SG) | 5/15/2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $<0.01$ | <0.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 (SG) | 11/14/2012 | 0.00276 | $<1.5$ | 0.532 | ; 1.7 | 1215 | 195 | $<0.017$ | <0.08 | 1454 | 8.14 | 140 | <0.725 |  | 24.4 | 24.4 | 194 | 6.98 | 0.27 | -227.4 |
| H(SG) | 1/29/2013 | 0.00202 | <1.5 | 0.58 | <1.7 | 199 | 195 | $<0.017$ | <0.08 | 1176 | 8.31 | 134 | <1.05 |  | 18.9 | 20.6 | 197 | 6.29 | 0.45 | -241.4 |
| L(SG) - 438.72 ft | 5/15/2013 | 0.003 | $<1.5$ | 0.419 | ${ }^{\text {i }} 1.7$ | 580 | 192 | $<0.017$ | <0.08 | 2579 | 6.61 | -565 | <0.725 |  | 153 | 82.3 | 378. | 8.57 | 0.38 | -72.7 |
| L(SG) - 508.72 ft | 5/16/2013 | 0.00301 | <1.5 | 0.57 | <1.7 | 585 | 188 | <0.017 | <0.08 | 2531 | 6.61 | 565 | $<0.725$ |  | 142 | 78.2 | 361 | 8.37 | 0.78 | -9.5 |
| L(SG) - 578.72 ft | 5/16/2013 | 0.00301 | $<1.5$ | 0.443 | $<1.7$ | 1571 | 186 | $<0.017$ | <0.08 | 2560 | 16.6 | 572 | $1<0.725$ |  | 153 | 78.8 | 362 | 8.7 | 0.6 | -3.7 |
| L(SG) | 2/9/1984 |  |  |  |  | 1622 | 238 | 0.34 | 1.5 | 2200 | 6.99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L(SG) | 6/7/1984 | 0.005 | $<5$ |  |  | 1624 | 1277 | 0.41 | 1.8 | 2230 | :7.28 |  |  |  | 183 | 82 | :380 | 10.9 |  |  |
| L(SG) | 4/15/1986 | 0.0053 | $<10$ |  |  | 603 | 218 | $<0.2$ | ] 1 | 2590 | 16.9 |  |  |  | 156 | 90 | 366 | 14 |  |  |
| L(SG) | 7/7/1986 | 0.00466 |  |  |  | 609 | 218 | $<0.2$ | $<1$ | ,2450 | 7.06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L(SG) | 10/13/1986 | 0.00611 |  |  |  | 650 | 218 | $<0.2$ | [1 | 2700 | 6.88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L(SG) | 2/3/1987 | 0.00584 |  |  |  | 602 | 200 | $<0.2$ | $1<1$ | [2650 | 6.88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L(SG) | [4/6/1987 | 0.00771 | < |  |  | 560 | 192 | $<0.2$ | $1<1$ | $2650^{\circ}$ | 6.78 |  |  |  | 120 | 82 | 400 | 4 |  |  |
| L(SG) | 8/31/1987 | 0.00408 |  |  |  | 603 | 223 | $<0.2$ | $<1$ | 2700 | 6.96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L(SG) | 10/5/1987 | 0.00291 |  |  |  | 645 | 206 | <0.2 | ] 1 | 2800 | 6.85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L(SG) | 1/11/1988 | 0.00291 |  |  |  | 596 | 1202 | $<0.2$ | $\leq 1$ | 2650 | 6.92 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L(SG) | 4/5/1988 | 0.00422 | $1<5$ |  |  | 627 | 171 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 2590 | 6.9 |  |  |  | 130 | 70 | 380 | 8 |  |  |
| L(SG) | 6/14/1988 | 0.003 | < |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.97 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L(SG) | 万7/11/1988 | 0.0114 |  |  |  | 629 | 180 | <0.2 | [1 | 2500 | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L(SG) | 9/8/1988 | 0.0047 | < | $<5$ | <5 |  |  |  |  |  | 6.96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L(SG) | 10/10/1988 | 0.00771 |  |  |  | 605 | 189 | 0.9 | 4 | 2620 | 6.81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L(SG) | 12/6/1988 | 0.004 | $<5$ | <5 | <5 |  |  |  |  |  | 6.96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L(SG) | 12/8/1988 | 0.004 | <5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L(SG) | 1/18/1989 | 0.00757 |  |  |  | 610 | 210 | $<0.2$ | <1 | 2450 | 7.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L(SG) | ]3/7/1989 | 0.004 | $<5$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L(SG) _ | 4/10/1989 | 0.00233 | $<5$ | < 2 |  | 580 | 220 | 0.05 | 10.2 | 2450 | 7.05 |  |  |  | 140 | 70 | 380 | 7.9 |  |  |
| L(SG) | 6/15/1989 | 0.003 | $<5$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L(SG) | 9/20/1989 | 0.002 | < |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.77 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L(SG) | 「12/18/1989 | 10.003 | $1<5$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table C.2-1. Water Quality Data for Bluewater Site San Andres Aquifer Wells



| Sample ID | Date Sampled | $\begin{aligned} & U \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Se} \\ & (\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Mo} \\ & (\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | Jas ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{SO}_{4} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cl} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NO}_{3}+\mathrm{NO}_{2} \\ & \text { as } \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | Nitrate as $\mathrm{NO}_{3}$ ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { SC } \\ (\mu \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{cm}) \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{pH} \\ & \text { (s.u.) } \end{aligned}\right.$ | Alkalinity, Bicarbonate (as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ ) | Alkalinity, Carbonate (as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ ) | Alkalinity, Total (as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ca} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | Mg ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Na} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{DO} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { ORP } \\ (m V) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M (SG) | 10/13/1986 | 0.0121 |  |  |  | 516 | 95 | 0.7 | 3 | 1750 | 6.98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M(SG) | 4/8/1987 | 0.0138 |  |  |  | 380 | 90 | 0.9 | 4 | 1700 | 7.1 |  |  |  | 180 | 50 | 130 | 9 |  |  |
| M(SG) | 10/8/1987 | 0.00655 |  |  |  | 430 | 95 | 0.9 | 4 | 1720 | 7.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M ${ }^{\text {(SG) }}$ | 4/13/1988 | 0.00568 | <5 |  |  | 435 | 90 | 0.79 | 3.5 | 1650 | 7.07 |  |  |  | 180 | 45 | 120 | 9.2 |  |  |
| M(SG) | 10/11/1988 | 0.0146 |  |  |  | 1425 | 93 | 0.9 | 14 | 1650 | 7.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M(SG) - | 4/19/1989 | 0.00655 |  | <5 |  | 470 | 93 | 0.79 | 3.5 | 1600 | 17.07 |  |  |  | 190 | 50 | 120 | 9.4 |  |  |
| MM(SG) | [4/11/1990 | [0.00713] | $1<5$ | < $\leq 5$ |  | 1400 | 195 | 0.77 | 3.4 | 1550 | 6.86 |  |  |  | 170 | 147 | 140 | 11 |  |  |
| M(SG) | 10/16/1996 | 0.008 | 5.0 |  |  |  | 78 | 1.0 | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mexican Camp | 1-1/14/1977 |  | 8.0 | <50 | $1<10$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mexican Camp | 4/26/1984 | 0.006 |  |  |  | 119 | 17.3 | 0.32 | 1.4 | 490 | 7.54 |  |  |  | 181 | 24.4 |  | 4 |  |  |
| Mexican - ${ }^{\text {Camp }}$ | \| $4 / 2 / 22 / 1986$ | 10.0067 | $\rfloor<10$ |  |  | 181 | 12 | $1<0.2$ | <1 | 750 | 7.3 |  |  |  | 78 | 34 |  | 4 |  |  |
| Mexican Camp | 4/9/1987 | 0.00563 |  |  |  |  | 12 | <0.2 | <1 | 725 | 7.26 |  |  |  | 84 | 29 | 46 | 3 |  |  |
| Mexican Camp | $1 \overline{4 / 7 / 1988}$ | 10.00786 | $1<5$ |  |  | 149 | 13 | 0.41 | 1.8 | 700 | 7.32 |  |  |  | 77 | 126 | 146 | 0.06 |  |  |
| Mexican Camp | 10/17/1996 | 0.009 | $<5$ | $<5$ |  | 145 | 19.2 | 0.9 | 4.0 |  | 6.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monitor | [1/14/1977 |  | 13 | $<50$ | [<10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monitor | 5/16/1984 | 0.239 | < 5 |  |  | 971 | 355 | 0.79 | 3.5 | 12560 | 6.9 |  |  |  | 290 | 1105 | 342 | 15.5 |  |  |
| Monitor | \|4/17/1986 | ]0.2643 | <10 |  |  | 950 | 325 | 0.2 | 1 | 3100 | 6.7 |  |  |  | 296 | 122 | 342 | 22 |  |  |
| Monitor | 10/6/1986 | 0.284 |  |  |  | 1907 | 315 | 0.2 | 1 | 3150 | 6.73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monitor | \| $4 / 13 / 1987$ | 0.231 | <5 |  |  | 790 | 340 | 0.7 | 3 | 3000 | 6.69 |  |  |  | 260 | 98 | $\underline{310}$ | 13 |  |  |
| Monitor | 10/12/1987 | 0.306 |  |  |  | \%996 | 308 | $1<0.2$ | <1 | 3100 | 6.82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monitor | 14/5/1988. | 0.291 | < $<$ |  |  | 840 | 295 | 0.9 | 14 | 3000 | 6.88 |  |  |  | 270 | 87 | 290 | 11 |  |  |
| Monitor | 10/10/1988 | 0.32 |  |  |  | 865 | 330 | 0.7 | 13 | 3000 | 6.89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monitor | 4/11/1989 | [0.349 | ${ }_{6}$ | $<5$ |  | 920 | 320 | 1.1 | 14.9 |  |  |  |  |  | $\underline{280}$ | 199 | 350 | 16 |  |  |
| Monitor | 4/11/1990 | 0.32 | $<5$ | $<5$ |  | 920 | 330 | 0.41 | 1.8 | 2900 | 7.09 |  |  |  | 270 | 88 | 350 | 13 |  |  |
| North | [1/14/1977 |  | 19 | <50 | $]<10$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 5/15/1984 | 0.012 | < |  |  | 499 | 196 | 12.1 | 9.3 | 1600 | 6.92 |  |  |  | 107 | 161 | 238 | 12.4 |  |  |
| North | [4/17/1986 | T0.0155 | $1<10$ |  |  | 554 | 182 | <0.2 | <1 | 2000 | 7 |  |  |  | 125 | 75 | 228 | 16 |  |  |
| North | 10/6/1986 | 0.021 |  |  |  | 593 | 183 | 0.2 | 1 | 2150 | 6.99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | [4/8/1987 | 0.0179 | $\leq 5$ |  |  | 550 | 164 | 0.2 | 1 | 2050 | 7.03 |  |  |  | 120 | 72 | 230 | 11 |  |  |
| North | 10/8/1987 | 0.0083 |  |  |  | 497 | 177 | 0.2 | 1 | 2050 | 7.24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 4/13/1988 | 10.00844 | <5 |  |  | 530 | 171 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 1900 | 7.13 |  |  |  | 92 | 63 | 220 | 13 |  |  |
| North | 10/10/1988 | 0.032 |  |  |  | 525 | 168 | 0.2 | 1 | 1900 | 7.15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | J4/18/1989 | ]0.032 | 8.0 | < 5 |  | 630 | 200 | 0.47 | 2.1 | 2000 | 7.03 |  |  |  | 190 | 81 | 260 | 14 |  |  |
| North | 4/11/1990 | 0.0105 | $<5$ | . 7 |  | 470 | 1200 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1800 | 6.92 |  |  |  | 96 | 58 | 250 | 11 |  |  |
| North | 10/16/1996 | 10.021 | 19.0 | $1<5$ |  | 530 | 178 | 0.2 | 0.89 |  | 7.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OBS-2 | 4/19/1989 | 1.75 | $<5$ | 17 |  | 1100 | 510 | 9.98 | 44.2 | 3500 | 6.87 |  |  |  | 280 | 150 | 420 | 10 |  |  |
| OBS-2 | 4/10/1990 | 1.34 | 14.0 | 33.0 |  | 950 | 400 | 9.98 | 44.2 | 3300 | 6.71 |  |  |  | 240 | 120 | 420 | 11 |  |  |
| OBS-2 | 10/17/1996 | 1.02 | 33.0 | 12.0 |  | 672 | 1245 | 7.1 | 31.5 |  | 6.61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OBS-3 | [5/7/1984 | 10.807 |  |  |  | 1722 | 910 | 5.83 | 25.8 | 4260 | 6.92 |  |  |  | 406 | 215 | 629 | 16.8 |  |  |
| OBS-3 | 4/17/1986 | 0.5362 | $<10$ |  |  | 1676 | 1829 | 4.5 | 20 | 5100 | 6.9 |  |  |  | 380 | 230 | 620 | 22 |  |  |
| OBS-3 | 10/13/1986 | 10.611 |  |  |  | 1677 | 772 | 4.1 | 18 | 5200 | 6.94 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OBS-3 | 4/6/1987 | 0.378 | <5 |  |  | 1570 | 921 | 2.7 | 12 | 5300 | 6.92 |  |  |  | 310 | 210 | 640 | 14 |  |  |
| OBS-3 | 10/7/1987 | ]0.262 |  |  |  | 1670 | 934 | 12.0 | 8.0 | 5700 | 7.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OBS-3 | 4/5/1988 | 0.859 | <10 |  |  | 1490 | '750 | 13.4 | 15 | 5000 | 6.98 |  |  |  | 1300 | 1190 | 580 | 16 |  |  |
| OBS-3 | 6/14/1988 | 70.51 | [<8 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | [7.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |





| Sample ID | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date } \\ & \text { Sampled } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & U \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Se } \\ & (\mu \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\overline{M o}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { As } \\ & (\mathrm{Lg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{SO}_{4} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{cl} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NO}_{3}+\mathrm{NO}_{2} \\ & \text { as } \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Nitrate} \text { as } \mathrm{NO}_{3} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{SC} \\ & (\mu \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{pH}}(\mathrm{~s} . \mathrm{s})$ | Alkalinity, Bicarbonate (as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ ) | Alkalinity, Carbonate (as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ ) | Alkalinity, Total (as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{c}, \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{Mg} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{array}$ | $\left.\right\|_{(\mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{L})}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline K \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{DO} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{ORP}}(\mathrm{mV})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W(SG) | 10/6/1986 | 0.0886 |  |  |  | 981 | 406 | 17 | 76 | 3450 | 6.93 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| W(S6) | 4/8/1987 | 0.104 | 10 |  |  | 910 | 449 | 19 | 84 | 3400 | 6.92 |  |  |  | 310 |  | , 360 |  |  |  |
| W(SG) | 10/5/1987 | 0.0961 |  |  |  | 926 | 1387 | 17 | 175 | 3400 | 6.96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| W(SG) | 4/6/1988 | 0.124 | $<5$ |  |  | 859 | 1364 | 20 | 88 | 13200 | 7.02 |  |  |  | 1270 | 86 | 1320 | 19.3 |  |  |
| W(SG) | 10/10/1988 | 0.0917 |  |  |  | 895 | 392 | 18 | 80 | 3200 | 7.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| W(SG) | 4/17/1989 | 0.146 | 9 | $<5$ |  | 1000 | 420 | 18 | 79.6 | 13000 | 7.02 |  |  |  | 300 | 193 | 1390 | 112 |  |  |

## Notes:

Data for S(SG) and OBS-3 from 1996 through the present are suspect for reasons discussed in the Site Status Report. Results for more recently installed well $16(\mathrm{SG})$ are considered more representative of groundwater quality in this region of the site.
For well HMC-951, refer to Appendix C, Table C.2-6 for the complete historical record.

Table C.2-2. Water Quality Data for Bluewater Site Alluvial and Chinle Aquifer Wells


November 2014


Table C.2-2. Water Quality Data for Bluewater Site Alluvial and Chinle Aquifer Wells


November 2014





| Sample ID | Date Sampled | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{U} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Se} \\ & (\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Mo} \\ & (\mathrm{\mu g} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { As } \\ & (\mu \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \mathrm{SO}_{4} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cl} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{NO}_{3}+\mathrm{NO}_{2} \\ \text { as } \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nitrate as } \mathrm{NO}_{3} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{SC} \\ & (\mu \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ |  | Alkalinity, Bicarbonate (as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ ) | Alkalinity, Carbonate (as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alkalinity, Total } \\ & \text { (as } \mathrm{CaCO}_{3} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ca} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Mg} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Na} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ORP } \\ & (\mathrm{mV}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X(M) | 7/11/1988 | 0.262 |  |  |  | 672 | 320 | 12 | 52.0 | 2700 | 7.35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| X(M) | 10/5/1988 | 0.364 |  |  |  | 678 | 330 | 17 | 74.0 | 12650 | 7.57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| X (M) | 1/18/1989 | 0.393 |  |  |  | 680 | 280 | 13 | 57.0 | 2500 | 7.55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| X $(\mathrm{M})$ | 4/17/1989 | 0.32 | 5 | <5 |  | 620 | 280 | 14 | 61.8 | 2400 | 7.33 |  |  |  | 190 | 38 | 360 | 6.6 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{M})$ | 4/11/1990 | 0.146 | 11 | ! $<5$ |  | 950 | , 380 | 17 | 75 | 12400 | 7.23 |  |  |  | 270 | 82 | 370 | 11 |  |  |
| X $(\mathbf{M})$ | 11/15/2012 | 0.134 | 17.32 | 0.702 | <1.7 | 499 | 192 | 9.8 | 43.4 | 1795 | 7.43 | 230 | 1<0.725 |  | 163 | 45.9 | 186 | 5.49 | 4.22 | 142.8 |
| X(M) | 1/29/2013 | 0.139 | 6.35 | 0.754 | 2.03 | 495 | 199 | 11.1 | 49.2 | 1836 | 7.56 | 1224 | - 0.725 |  | 159 | 144.5 | 197 | 5.41 | '2.99 | 185.4 |
| X(M) | 11/19/2013 | 0.145 | 6.59 | 1.81 | <1.7 | 504 | 195 | 8.71 | 38.6 | 1920 | 7.69 |  |  | 1200 | 165 | 46.7 | 183 | 5.49 | 3.07 | 18.9 |
| Y2(M) | 11/19/1997. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 678 | 7.14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Y2(M) | 11/11/1999] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 700 | 17.84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Y2(M) | 11/11/2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 724 | 7.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Y2(M) | 11/3/2001] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 681 | 7.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Y2(M) | 10/17/2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 734 | 7.61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Y2(M) | 9/19/2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 588 | 7.43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Y2(M) | 9/26/2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 586 | 7.42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Y2(M) | 11/18/2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1620 | 7.46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 227 |
| Y2(M) | 11/15/2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 635 | 7.43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -13.4 |
| Y2(M) | 11/28/2006] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 641 | 7.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -60.4 |
| Y2(M) | 11/6/2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 599 | 7.63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 150.3 |
| Y2(M) | 11/4/2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | 5.3 | 641 | 7.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 83.5 |
| Y2(M) | 5/13/2009 | 0.005 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.1 | 4.9 | 587 | 7.35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18.1 |
| Y2(M) | 11/10/2009 | 0.005 | 10.64 | 3 | 11.2 | 110 | 7 | 0.62 | $\sqrt{2.7}$ | 1552 | 18.14 |  | $1<20$ |  | 77 | 18 | 14 | 2.6 |  | 79.4 |
| Y2(M) | 11/11/2010 | 0.005 | 1.31 | 1.57 | 3.64 | 96.1 | 15.8 | 1.42 | 6.3 | 740 | 7.67 | 194 | <0.725 |  | 59.3 | 16.2 | 56.5 | 3.33 | 5.48 | -104 |
| Y2(M) | [7/28/2011] | 10.005 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 98 | 17 | 1.4 | 56.2 | 630 | 7.52 |  | $1<20$ |  | $[61$ | 17 | 47 | 3.1 |  | 80 |
| Y2(M) | 11/15/2011 | 0.005 | 1.75 | 1.68 | $\leq 1.7$ | 92.2 | 13.6 | 0.494 | 2.2 | 642 | 7.59 | 201 | <0.725 |  | 65 | :17.8 | 153 | 3.67 | 5.57 | 140.5 |
| Y2(M) | 5/15/2012 | 10.005 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 92 | 14 | 1.3 | 5.8 | 648 | 7.57 |  | $1<20$ |  | 62 | 17 | 48 | 13.1 | 5.61 | 160.6 |
| Y2 (M) | 11/14/2012 | 0.005 | $<1.5$ | 1.71 | <1.7 | 99.9 | 15.2 | 1.52 | \%.7 | ; 718 | 7.45 | 206 | $<0.725$ |  | 58.2 | 16.6 | 52.3 | 3.21 | 5 | 33.3 |
| Y2 (M) | 1/30/2013 | 0.005 | $1<1.5$ | 1.61 | <1.7 | 197 | 14.4 | 1.39 | 6.2 | 1617 | 7.54 | 208 | <0.725 |  | 62.1 | 17.8 | 55 | 3.24 | 6.23 | 11.1 |
| Y2(M) | 5/14/2013 | 0.005 | 2.17 | 1.76 | $<1.7$ | 100 | 15.6 | 1.54 | 6.8 | 640 | :7.25 | ;201 | < $<0.725$ |  | 63.1 | 18 | 55.8 | 3.17 | 5.42 | 107.1 |
| Y2(M) | 11/19/2013] | 0.005 | T<1.5 | 2.55 | 1<1.7 | T101 | 17.3 | 1.66 | 7.4 | 643 | 7.63 |  |  | 1205 | 56.5 | 16.6 | 54.1 | 2.92 | 5.89 | -29 |

## Note:

Berryhill House and location B00168 correspond to the same location; original nomenclature used in historical records.

Table C.2-3. Uranium Isotope Results for Bluewater Site Region Based on DOE and NMED Sampling

Bluewater Site Wells

| Fm | Well ID | NMED ID | Data Source | Date Sampled | Uranium (mg/L) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{U}-234 \\ & (\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{U}-235 / 236 \\ & (\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{U}-238 \\ & \text { (pCi/L) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | U-234/U-238 <br> Activity Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AL | 20(M) |  | DOE | 11/19/2013 | 0.0139 | 6.58 | 0.418 | 4.66 | 1.41 |
| AL | 21(M) |  | DOE | 7/27/2011 | 0.13 | 46.5 | 2 | 43 | 1.08 |
| AL | 21(M) |  | DOE | 11/19/2013 | 0.137 | 46.1 | 1.9 | 40.4 | 1.14 |
| AL | 22(M) |  | DOE | 7/27/2011 | 0.33 | 117 | 5.4 | 116 | 1.01 |
| AL | 22(M) |  | DOE | 11/19/2013 | 0.388 | 122 | 4.77 | 118 | 1.03 |
| AL | 23(M) |  | DOE | 11/19/2013 | 0.0209 | 8.95 | 0.587 | 6.24 | 1.43 |
| AL | E(M) |  | DOE | 11/11/2010 | <0.00005 | $<0.234$ | <0.0647 | <0.167 | -- |
| AL | E(M) |  | DOE | 7/27/2011 | 0.00038 | $<0.0673$ | $<0.034$ | <0.045 | -- |
| AL | $E(M)$ |  | DOE | 11/19/2013 | <0.000067 | $<0.113$ | <0.139 | <0.113 | -- |
| AL | F(M) |  | DOE | 11/10/2010 | 0.00806 | 4.19 | $<0.15$ | 2.95 | 1.42 |
| AL | F(M) |  | DOE | 7/28/2011 | 0.0074 | 3.4 | 0.123 | 2.44 | 1.39 |
| AL | F(M) |  | DOE | 11/19/2013 | 0.00734 | 3.2 | <0.189 | 2.53 | 1.26 |
| AL | T(M) |  | DOE | 11/9/2010 | 0.557 | 161 | 7.61 | 169 | 0.95 |
| AL | T(M) |  | DOE | 7/26/2011 | 0.53 | 176 | 9.7 | 182 | 0.97 |
| AL | $X(M)$ |  | DOE | 11/19/2013 | 0.145 | 47.8 | 1.77 | 44.8 | 1.07 |
| AL | Y2(M) |  | DOE | 11/11/2010 | 0.00519 | 2.56 | $<0.162$ | 1.7 | 1.51 |
| AL | Y2(M) |  | DOE | 7/28/2011 | 0.0048 | 2.61 | 0.101 | 1.63 | 1.60 |
| AL | Y2(M) |  | DOE | 11/19/2013 | 0.0053 | 2.97 | $<0.288$ | 1.94 | 1.53 |
| SA | 11(SG) |  | DOE | 11/19/2013 | 0.0117 | 5.73 | <0.19 | 3.61 | 1.59 |
| SA | 13(SG) |  | DOE | 11/19/2013 | 0.0985 | 37.8 | 1.86 | 35.4 | 1.07 |
| SA | 14(SG) |  | DOE | 11/19/2013 | 0.0741 | 26.9 | 0.971 | 23.8 | 1.13 |
| SA | 15(SG) |  | DOE | 11/19/2013 | 0.174 | 60.2 | 2.71 | 55.8 | 1.08 |
| SA | 16(SG) |  | DOE | 11/19/2013 | 1.4 | 381 | 19.6 | 401 | 0.95 |
| SA | 18(SG) |  | DOE | 11/19/2013 | 0.127 | 44.7 | 1.45 | 44.1 | 1.01 |
| SA | HMC-951 | BW-34 | NMED 2010 | 8/27/2008 | 0.053 | 13.5 | 0.5 | 12.3 | 1.10 |
| SA | HMC-951 |  | DOE | 11/20/2013 | 0.031 | 12 | 0.518 | 11 | 1.09 |
| SA | I(SG) | BW-28 | NMED 2010 | 8/27/2008 | <0.002 | 0.4 | -0.01 | 0.04 | -- |
| SA | I(SG) |  | DOE | 11/11/2010 | 0.0027 | 1.48 | <0.0682 | 1.4 | 1.06 |
| SA | I(SG) |  | DOE | 7/27/2011 | 0.0011 | 0.476 | <0.054 | 0.449 | 1.06 |
| SA | I(SG) |  | DOE | 11/19/2013 | 0.346 | 53.4 | 3.06 | 54 | 0.99 |
| SA | I(SG) |  | DOE | 11/19/2013 | 0.149 | 49.9 | 2.48 | 49.1 | 1.02 |
| SA | I(SG) |  | DOE | 11/19/2013 | 0.334 | 110 | 4.98 | 106 | 1.04 |
| SA | I(SG) |  | DOE | 11/19/2013 | 0.324 | 105 | 5.74 | 103 | 1.02 |
| SA | L(SG) | BW-25 | NMED 2010 | 8/27/2008 | <0.002 | 0.01 | 0.0008 | -0.03 | -- |
| SA | L(SG) |  | DOE | 11/11/2010 | $<0.00005$ | <0.133 | <0.165 | $<0.0523$ | -- |
| SA | L(SG) |  | DOE | 7/27/2011 | 0.0032 | 1.85 | 0.108 | 1.11 | 1.67 |
| SA | L(SG) |  | DOE | 11/19/2013 | 0.00294 | 1.33 | <0.141 | 1.2 | 1.11 |
| SA | OBS-3 | BW-27 | NMED 2010 | 8/27/2008 | <0.002 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 7.61 |  |
| SA | OBS-3 (255-ft) |  | DOE | 11/10/2010 | 0.0011 | 0.422 | <0.2 | 0.456 | 0.93 |
| SA | OBS-3 (325-ft) |  | DOE | 11/10/2010 | 0.000648 | 0.526 | $<0.17$ | 0.558 | 0.94 |
| SA | OBS-3 |  | DOE | 7/28/2011 | 0.12 | 37.2 | 2.35 | 39.8 | 0.93 |
| SA | OBS-3 |  | DOE | 11/20/2013 | 0.00931 | 3.05 | <0.273 | 2.56 | 1.19 |
| SA | S(SG) | BW-26 | NMED 2010 | 8/27/2008 | <0.002 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 0.2 |  |
| SA | S(SG) |  | DOE | 11/9/2010 | $<0.00005$ | $<0.134$ | <0.065 | $<0.0876$ |  |
| SA | S(SG) |  | DOE | 7/26/2011 | 0.26 | 71 | 4.5 | 77.4 | 0.92 |
| SA | S(SG) |  | DOE | 11/20/2013 | 0.525 | 163 | 7.52 | 176 | 0.93 |

Fm Formation
AL Alluvium
SA San Andres
Note:
As discussed in the Site Status Report, uranium concentrations reported for S(SG) (BW-26), OBS-3 (BW-27), and I(SG) (BW-28) are suspect, as uranium concentrations in San Andres aquifer wells in this region are known to be higher. Results for well $16(\mathrm{SG})$, averaging $\approx 1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ uranium, are considered more characteristic of this region.

Table C.2-3. Uranium Isotope Results for Bluewater Site Region Based on DOE and NMED Sampling

Other NMED Results

| Fm | Well ID | NMED ID | Data Source: | Date Sampled | Uranium <br> $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ | U-234 <br> $(\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L})$ | U-235/236 <br> $(\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L})$ | U-238 <br> (pCi/L) | U-234/U-238 <br> Activity Ratio |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AL | HMC-914 | SMC-10 | NMED 2010 | $3 / 30 / 2009$ | 0.0309 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 2.5 |
| AL | HMC-920 | SMC-11 | NMED 2010 | $3 / 31 / 2009$ | 0.228 | 78.1 | 2.8 | 63 | 1.24 |
| AL | HMC-950 | SMC-12 | NMED 2010 | $3 / 31 / 2009$ | 0.163 | $61.9[54.6]$ | 2.3 | $52[44.8]$ | 1.19 |
| AL | HMC-921 | SMC-13 | NMED 2010 | $4 / 2 / 2009$ | 0.24 | 75.8 | 3.2 | 64.3 | 1.18 |
| SA | BW-05 | BW-05 | NMED 2010 | $8 / 25 / 2008$ | 0.0105 | 6.4 | 0.07 | 3.0 | 2.13 |
| SA | BW-14 | BW-14 | NMED 2010 | $8 / 27 / 2008$ | 0.0105 | 13.8 | 0.08 | 3.4 | 4.06 |
| SA | HMC-911 | BW-15 | NMED 2010 | $8 / 25 / 2008$ | 0.012 | 4.5 | 0.1 | 2.8 | 1.61 |
| SA | HMC-949 | BW-23 | NMED 2010 | $8 / 25 / 2008$ | 0.0138 | 7.1 | 0.4 | 4.3 | 1.65 |
| SA | BW-24 | BW-24 | NMED 2010 | $8 / 25 / 2008$ | 0.0109 | 14.4 | 0.1 | 3.2 | 4.50 |
| SA | HMC \#1 Deepwell | BW-29 | NMED 2010 | $8 / 27 / 2008$ | 0.0089 |  |  | 0.3 |  |
| SA HMC-928 | BW-32 | NMED 2010 | $9 / 16 / 2008$ | 0.029 | 22.9 | 0.5 | 11.0 | 2.08 |  |
| UNK SMC-04 | SMC-04 | NMED 2010 | $3 / 31 / 2009$ | 0.0206 | $[11.1]$ |  | $[5.61]$ | 1.98 |  |
| UNK SMC-08 | SMC-08 | NMED 2010 | $3 / 30 / 2009$ | $<0.002$ | 3.9 | 0.2 | 2.8 | -- |  |

fm Formation
AL Alluvium
SA San Andres
UNK Unknown
NMED results for SMC samples in brackets are SLD radiochemical data (NMED 2010; Table 10)

Table C.2-4. Water Quality Data for San Andres Aquifer Wells from Hydro-Search 1981

| Well ID | HS Map No. | Date | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{U} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{pH} \\ \text { (s.u.) } \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{TDS} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \mathrm{EC} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{HCO}_{3} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{3} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{Cl} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{SO}_{4} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \mathrm{NO}_{3} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{array}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{Na}}$ $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{Ca} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \mathrm{Mg} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { As } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Fe} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{Mo} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{Se} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Berryhill Sec. 5 | 12 | 10/1/1957 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1226 | 621 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 'Berryhill Sec. 5 | 12 | 5/28/1960 |  | 7.7 | -2269 | 2500 | 682 | Nil | 241 | 686 | 4.0 | 1350 |  | 230 | 76.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Berryhill Sec. 5 | 12 | 7/26/1980 | $<0.01$ | 7.3 | 2270 | 2910 | 745 | Nil | -250 | 625 | <0.1 | 1330 | :17.0 | 230 | 62.0 | IND | 11 | \|ND | ND |
| North Well | 14 | Jun-56 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 126 | 553 | 3.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Well | 14 | May-60 |  |  | 1768 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Weil | 14 | 7/29/1980 | 0.02 | 7.2 | 1871 | 2460 | 509 | Nil | 186 | 680 | 0.9 | 175 | 11.0 | 200 | 95 | ND | 0.5 | ND | ND |
| Monitor Well | 15 | 7/23/1980 | ¢0.30 | 7.4 | 2532 | 3290 | 510 | Nil | 350 | 895 | 4.0 | 315 | 17 | 330 | 96 | ND | 0.14 | ND | ND |
| Bowlins | 16 | 7/17/1980 | $<0.01$ | 6.9 | 2207 | 2530 | 472 | Nil | 123 | 983 | 3.5 | 250 | 12.0 | 269 | 180.0 | ND | 0.03 | NO | ND |
| C(SG) | 17 | 1/10/1981 | 0.08 | 7.8 | 1344 | 1600 | 255 | Nil | 150 | 530 | 13.3 | 180 | 14 | 135 | 55 | ND | 10.01 | ND | ND |
| G(5G) | 19 | 1/10/1981 | 3.5 | 6.9 | 5734 | 6550 | 500 | Nil | 1050 | 2400 | 53.1 | 840 | 29.0 | 560 | 275 | ND | 1.4 | ND | ND |
| Allen Payne | 20 | 7/22/1980 | <0.01 | 7.5 | 926 | 1140 | 287 | Nil | 89 | 275 | 8.9 | 59 | 3.3 | 134 | 44 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Anaconda \#1 | 21 | Apr-52 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70 | 380 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anaconda \#1 | 21 | May-57 |  |  | 1167 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anaconda \#2 | 122 | 7/18/1956 |  | 17.4 | 1086 | 1330 | 351 | Nil | 60 | 351 | 19 |  | 105.0 | 142 | 42 |  |  |  |  |
| Anacondä\#2 | . 22 | 11/20/1980 | 0.24 | 7.2 | 1776 | 1960 | 535 | - $\mathrm{Ni}{ }^{-}$ | 135 | 570 | 137.2 | 210 | 10 | 195 | 66 | ND | 0.02 | IND | ND |
| Bluewater Municipal | 23 | May-61 |  | 7.2 | 1150 | 1400 | 320 | Nil | 57 | 379 | 80 | 80 |  | 185 | 49 |  |  |  |  |
| Bluewater Municipal | 23 | 7/23/1980 | <0.01 | 7.4 | 1007 | 1210 | 331 | Nil | 40 | 350 | 14.2 | 50 | 3.3 | 155 | 45 | 0.01 | ND | ND | ND |
| Roundy Corral | , 24 | 7/25/1980 | <0.01 | 7.4 | 1087 | 1310 | 363 | Nil | 48 | 360 | 14.2 | 66 | 13.7 | 165 | 49 | ND | 0.01 | IND | ND |
| Anaconda \#3 | 25 | 7/11/1946 |  |  | 1100 | 1320 | 366 | Nil | 57 | 356 | 29 |  | 95 | 147 | 49 |  |  |  |  |
| Anaconda \#3 | 25 | 7/22/1980 | $<0.01$ | 7.6 | 883 | 1100 | 306 | Nil | 36 | 280 | 11.5 | 63 | 3.3 | 125 | 40 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Anaconda \#4 | :26 | 9/27/1961 |  | 7.4 | 988 | 1325 | 340 | Nil | 48.0 | 321 | 27 | 160 |  | 150 | 42 |  |  |  |  |
| Anaconda \#4 | 26 | 10/22/1980 | <0.01 | 7.5 | - 893 | 1120 | 307 | Nil | 30 | 1287 | 26.6 | 160 | '3.2 | 126 | 35 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Mexican Camp | 27 | IJul-56 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | 134 | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mexican Camp | 27 | May-60 |  |  | 585 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mexican Camp | 27 | 10/22/1980 | <0.01 | 7.5 | 649 | 798 | 289 | Nil | 18 | 162 | 7.1 | 45 | 2.7 | 89 | 26 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Sabre-Piñon (now HMC-951) | 128 | Mar-59 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 89 | 232 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sabre-Piñon (now HMC-951) | 28 | 7/25/1980 | <0.01 | 7.5 | 965 | 1220 | 306 | Nil | 66 | 300 | 20.4 | ;52.0 | 3.0 | :155 | 44 |  |  | ND | ND |
| Sturges Irrigation | 29 | 1945 |  |  | 997 |  | 386 | Nil | 46 | 300 | 26 |  | 6 | 158 | 75 |  |  |  |  |
| Sturges Irrigation | 29 | 11/18/1980 |  | 7.6 | 1053 | 1200 | 380 | Nil | 41 | 318 | 36 | 33 | 2 | 180 | 45 |  |  |  |  |
| Dalton | 30 | 7/25/1980 | <0.01 | 7.4 | 716 | 864 | 287 | Nil | 16 | 200 | 21.7 | 39 | 2.0 | ¡96 | 38 | ND | 0.03 | ND | ND |
| Hardenburg Commissary | 31 | 7/23/1980 | <0.01 | 7.6 | 712 | 826 | 268 | Nil | 12 | 230 | 7.5 | 49 | 2.0 | 88 | 39 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| AN-5 | 'AN-5 | 1/9/1981 | 0.33 | 7.5 | 2071 | ; 2420 | 335 | Nil | 270 | 790 | 57.5 | 275 | 17.0 | 240 | 68 | ND | '0.14 | ND | ND |
| 1(5G) | II(SG) | 10/24/1980 | 0.35 | 7.1 | 3066 | 3730 | 491 | Nil | 365 | 1290 | 28.8 | 380 | 128 | 1320 | 146 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| L(SG) | L(SG) | $11 / 20 / 1981$ |  | 7.2 | 1952 | 12380 | 600 | Nil | 180 | 605 | 1.3 | 270 | 21.0 | 190 | '71 | ND | 0.05 | ND | ND |
| M(SG) | M(SG) | 3/26/1981 |  | 7.7 | 1640 | 1810 | 420 | Nil | 105 | 640 | 4.4 | 180 | 18.0 | 205 | 51 | ND | 0.04 | TND | ND |
| OBS-2 | OBS-2 | 2/6/1981 |  | 7.7 | 5293 | 6490 | 450 | iNil | 1140 | 2040 | 41.2 | 1805 | 28 | 515 | 250 | ND | 0.07 | ND | ND |
| OBS-3 | OBS-3 | 2/7/1981 |  | 7.3 | 4413 | 6690 | 415 | Nil | 810 | 1880 | 28.8 | 540 | 24 | 505 | 190 | ND | 0.07 | ND | ND |
| S(SG) | S(SG) | 1/28/1981 |  | 7.8 | ; 5077 | 6270 | 490 | NNil | 895 | 2110 | 48.7 | 700 | 29 | 560 | 220 | ND | 0.06 | ND | ND |
| Roundy Sec. 23 | S-1 | 7/12/1946 |  |  | 2523 | 3040 | 702 | Nil | 270 | 829 | 0.6 |  | 379 | 254 | 88 |  |  |  |  |
| Roundy Sec. 23 | S-1 | 7/9/1980 | 0.05 | 7.7 | 1399 | 1930 | 333 | Nil | 49 | 567 | 1.3 | 390 | 9.0 | 31 | 5.4 | ND | 0.01 | ND | 0.002 |
| Roundy Sec. 23 | 5-1 | 1/12/1981 |  | 7.0 | 2171 | 2460 | 520 | Nil | 180 | 810 | 0.4 | 430 | 16 | 155 | 44 | ND | 0.06 | ND | ND |
| United Nuclear Sec. 88 | S-12 | .7/11/1946 |  |  | 1468 | 581 | +225 | \|Nil | 8.0 | 122 | 0.7 | 20 |  | ; 60 | -32 |  |  |  |  |
| United Nuclear Sec. 8B | ${ }_{5} \mathrm{~S}-12$ | 7/24/1980 | $1<0.01$ | 7.5 | 468 | 556 | !223 | - Nil | 5.0 | 115 | 2.2 | 23.0 | 1.7 | . 46 | 130 | ND | ND | ND | ND |

November 2014

| Well ID | HS Map No. | Date | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{u} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mid \mathrm{pH} \\ & (\mathrm{~s} . \mathrm{u} .) \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { TDS } \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{EC} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{HCO}_{3} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{array}\right.} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{3} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cl} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{SO}_{4} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NO}_{3} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{Na} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \mathrm{Ca} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Mg} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | As $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \mathrm{Fe} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Mo} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}\right.$ | Se $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gallup Stake Irrigation Sec. 4 B | S-14 | 7/22/1980 | <0.01 | 7.5 | 1052 | 1270 | 299 | Nil | 39.0 | 400 | 14.2 | 66 | 3.3 | 142 | 54 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Murray Ac. Irr. | S-2 | 7/10/1980 | <<0.01 | 7.3 | 1582 | 1900 | 393 | Nil | 1109 | 600 | 10.6 | 190 | 11 | 195 | 157 | ND | 0.01 | ND | 0.004 |
| N.M. Highway Department | 5-22 | 7/17/1980 | <0.01 | 7.4 | 532 | 671 | 224 | Nil | 7.7 | 153 | $<0.1$ | 25.0 | 2.0 | 67 | 34 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Jack Freas | S-35 | 7/12/1980 | '<0.01 | 7.4 | '664 | 836 | 248 | Nil | 25 | 197 | 9.3 | 39 | 2.7 | 93 | 35 | ND | 0.01 | ND | 0.002 |
| Hanosh | 15-49 | 7/12/1980 | <0.01 | 17.4 | 1471 | 576 | 248 | Nil | 8.4 | 80 | 14.4 | 21 | 1.7 | 61 | 26 | ND | 0.02 | ND | ND |
| Siemons | S-5 | 7/12/1980 | 0.02 | 17.0 | 1614 | 1950 | 448 | Nil | 111 | 575 | 8.4 | 190 | 12 | 198 | 55 | ND | 0.04 | ND | 0.003 |
| Thornton | 5-50 | 7/16/1980 | <0.01 | 7.6 | 721 | 838 | $\underline{254}$ | Nil | 19 | 240 | 8.4 | 38 | 2.7 | 105 | 34 | ND | 0.02 | ND | ND |
| Guthrie | S-51 | 7/10/1980 | <0.01 | 7.2 | 1140 | 1420 | 333 | Nil | ${ }^{61}$ | 414 | 5.8 | 91 | 7.0 | 166 | 47 | ND | 0.02 | ND | ND |
| Grants \#1 | S-65 | 9/27/1977 | <0.01 | 7.7 | 816 | 1068 | 298 | Nil | 36.2 | 260.3 | 6.1 | 64.4 | 4.3 | 111.2 | 35.1 | 0.01 | 0.01 | ND | 0.01 |
| Grants \#1 | S-65 | 7/24/1980 | <0.01 | 7.5 | 947 | 1180 | 338 | Nil | 50 | 280 | 3.1 | 80 | 3.7 | 130 | 40 | ND | 0.01 | ND | ND |
| Grants \#3 | 'S-66 | 11/15/1978 |  | 7.7 | 776 | 998 | . 291.3 | Nil | -30.5 | 241.5 | 6.1 | !57.5 | 13.5 | 110.4 | '34.9 | 0.01 | IND | ¢ND | 0.03 |
| Grants \#3 | S-66 | 7/24/1980 | 0.14 | 7.5 | 820 | 999 | 293 | Nil | i30 | 260 | 13.5 | 159 | 12.7 | 110 | 140 | ND | ND | ND | NO |
| Bell HQ | S-68 | 7/25/1980 | <0.01 | 7.4 | \|476 | 552 | 229 | Nil | <3 | 115 | 0.9 | 23 | 1.7 | 55 | 31 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Bluewater (Auro's) Motel | S-70 | 10/23/1980 | <0.01 | 7.6 | 1708 | 848 | 327 | Nil | 10 | 167 | 4 | 139 | 2 | 1112 | 21 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| UN-HP \#2 | S-71 | 10/23/1980 | <0.01 | 7.4 | 1927 | 2340 | 558 | Nil | 139 | 669 | 3.5 | 259 | 13 | 207 | 62 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 'UN-HP \#1 | S-72 | 10/23/1980 | 0.02 | 7.0 | 2217 | 2680 | 614 | Nil | 358 | 569 | 2.2 | 330 | 24 | 244 | 59 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Roundy (Harmon) House | S-74 | 6/4/1947 |  |  | 653 | 794 | 305 | Nil | 12 | 158 | 18 |  | 9.4 | 121 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Roundy (Harmon) House | S-74 | 10/30/1980 | <0.01 | 7.3 | 1489 | 1560 | 421 | Nil | 63 | 490 | 32.3 | 63 | 3.2 | 251 | 43 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 'Blue Well | S-75 | 11/14/1980 | <0.01 | 7.4 | 1605 | 1800 | 414 | Nil | 91 | 627 | 4.4 | 190 | :14 | 215 | 37 | ND | 0.05 | ND | ND |
| Dow | S-8 | 7/16/1980 | <0.01 | 7.3 | 944 | 1220 | 387 | Nil | 18 | 261 | 7.5 | 39 | 3.3 | 169 | 129 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| W(SG) | W(SG) | 1/17/1981 | 0.04 | 7.3 | ,2184 | 2510 | 355 | [Nil | 205 | 940 | 53.1 | 265 | :16 | '250 | 82 | ND | 0.02 | ND | ND |

ND Not Detected

## Source:

Tables 2 through 4 of Hydro-Search (HSI), 1981. Regional Ground-Water Hydrology and Water Chemistry, Grants- Bluewater area, Valencia County, New Mexicc, prepared for Anaconda Copper Company, June 30. EC units reported by HSI as $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ but assumed here to be $\mu \mathrm{mhos} / \mathrm{cm}$.
These data are tabulated separetely because they were used as the basis for characterizations of early (1980-1981) contaminant (uranium) distributions in the Site Status Report.

## Table C.2-5. Water Quality Data for Alluvial Aquifer Wells from Hydro-Search 1981

| Well ID | HS Map No. | Date | $\left[\begin{array}{l} U \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{pH} \\ & \text { (s.u.) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { TDS } \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{EC} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{array}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{HCO}_{3} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{3} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cl} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{SO}_{4} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NO}_{3} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Na} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ca} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Mg} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | As $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{Fe} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Mo} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Se} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Berryhill House | 1 | Jun-56 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Berryhill House | 1 | May-60 |  |  | 949 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | .. . - |  |  |
| Berryhill House | 1 | 7/23/1980 | $<0.01$ | 7.5 | 1048 | 1370 | 287 | Nil | 68 | 375 | 11.1 | 165 | 13.3 | 150 | , 54 | .ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Engineers | 2 | 6/7/1957 |  | 7.5 | 692 | 1020 | 264 | Nil | 17 | 211 | 6.6 | 33 |  | 102 | 34 |  |  |  |  |
| Engineers | 2 | 7/23/1980 | 0.06 | 7.7 | 1009 | 1190 | 287 | Nil | 64 | 350 | 12 | 80 | 3.7 | 145 | 135 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Aragon | 3 | 7/23/1980 | <0.01 | 7.4 | 945 | 1130 | 312 | Nil | 54 | 300 | 8.9 | 38 | 2.7 | 160 | 46 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Roundy-Up | 4 | 7/17/1980 | <0.01 | 7.4 | 940 | 1170 | 278 | Nil | 40 | 346 | 8.4 | 43 | 3.3 | 159 | 40 | 10.01 | 0.02 | ND | ND |
| B(M) | 5 | 3/3/1977 | 3.3 | 7.7 | 9265 | 112500 | ; 551 | Nil | 2802 | 2779 | '79.3 | :1710 | 25.3 | . 790 | 498 | ND | 0.01 | ND | 0.034 |
| $B(M)$ | 5 | 7/22/1980 | 3.1 | 7.2 | 10762 | 15000 | 656 | iNil | 3000 | 3550 | 44.3 | 12000 | 50 | 920 | 512 | ND | 0.04 | ND | ND |
| C(M) | 6 | 11/20/1980 | 0.22 | 7.0 | 1274 | 1540 | 315 | $\mathrm{Nil}^{1}$ | 115 | 450 | 8.0 | 140 | 7 | 170 | 37 | ND | 0.08 | ND | 0.002 |
| E(M) | 8 | 7/22/1980 | 0.04 | 7.5 | 884 | 1170 | '236 | Nil | 100 | 280 | 8.9 | 56 | 3.0 | 115 | 59 | ND | ND | ND | 'ND |
| F(M) | $\sqrt{9}$ | T7/22/1980 | $<0.01$ | 7.5 | 707 | 880 | 236 | Nil | 36 | 220 | 9.7 | 20 | 2.3 | 110 | 139 | ND | 0.2 | ND | ND |
| Simpson | . 10 | Jun-56 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 | 178 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Simpson | 10 | :Nov-56 |  |  | 1707 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Simpson | 10 | 10/22/1980 | <0.01 | 7.5 | 1101 | 1330 | '298 | Nil | 46 | 419 | 136.7 | 43 | '2.7 | 197 | 38 | ND | ND | ND | 0.003 |
| Card Abandoned | 11 | 10/24/1980 | 0.02 | 7.5 | 1487 | 1870 | 231 | Nil | 58 | 727 | 34.5 | 181 | 6.5 | 180 | 43 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| K(M) | 9 | 11/6/1980 |  | 7.5 | 5283 | 8260 | 245 | Nil | 1320 | 1960 | 79.7 | 1910 | 18 | 549 | 178 | ND | 0.07 | ND | ND |
| T(M) | T(M) | 12/19/1980 | 1.62 | 7.0 | 8264 | 11500 | 320 | Nil | 1620 | 3450 | 106.2 | 1900 | 100 | 600 | 140 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.02 | 0.003 |
| U(M) | U(M) | 12/19/1980 | 0.36 | 7.5 | 1495 | 1740 | , 315 | Nil | 115 | 565 | 162 | 155 | 10 | 215 | 30 | ND | ;0.02 | ND | ND |
| X(M) | X X (M) | 1/18/1981 | 0.06 | 7.6 | 2387 | 13050 | 300 | Nil | 390 | 850 | 133.0 | 335 | 16 | 280 | 56 | ND | 0.03 | ND | ND |
| Gallup Stake Domestic | S-27 | 7/11/1980 | ; <0.01 | 7.3 | 997 | 1190 | '303 | Nil | 32 | 1350 | 20.4 | 54 | 4.0 | 167 | 35 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Milan B-23 | S-28 | 3/28/1979 |  | 7.8 | 505 | 652 | 236 | Nil | 12 | 117.4 | 13.9 | 28 | 1.56 | 68.6 | 28 |  |  |  |  |
| Milan B-23 | S-28 | 7/16/1980 | <0.01 | 7.4 | 610 | 730 | 242 | Nil | 19 | 167 | 8.4 | 33 | 2.7 | 93 | 126 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Holmes | S-41A | 7/12/1980 | <0.01 | 7.4 | 1079 | 1290 | '272 | Nil | 41 | 437 | 19 | 85 | , 6 | 154 | 35 | ND | 0.01 | 'ND | 0.003 |
| Pittard | S-46 | 11/12/1980 | 0.02 | 7.5 | 2230 | 2670 | 221 | Nil | 100.5 | 1210 | 30.6 | 330 | 8.5 | 249.5 | 56 | ND | 0.02 | ND | ND |
| Cibola Sands | S-56 | .7/24/1980 | <0.01 | 7.5 | 1838 | 2380 | 586 | Nil | 180 | 530 | <0.1 | 220 | 10 | 210 | '77 | ND | 0.03 | ND | ND |
| Milan B-24 | S-63 | 6/7/1957 |  | 7.6 | 581 | 898 | 256 | Nil | 15 | 147 | 8.2 | 39 |  | 39 | 51 |  |  |  |  |
| Milan B-24 | S-63 | 2/1/1978 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ND |  | ND | 0.005 |
| Milan B-24 | S-63 | 7/16/1980 | $<0.01$ | 7.4 | 556 | 695 | 236 | Nil | 14 | 138 | 9.7 | 28 | 2.3 | 77 | 128 | ND | 0.03 | ND | ND |
| Milan B-35 | ${ }^{5} 564$ | 2/1/1978 |  | 7.87 | 701 | 924 | 261 | Nil | 20.3 | 215 | 18.3 | 36.8 | 13.12 | 103.6 | 42 |  |  |  |  |
| Milan B-35 | 'S-64 | 7/16/1980 | <0.01 | '7.4 | 778 | 902 | 260 | 'Nil | 23 | 260 | 16.8 | 41 | 3.0 | 120 | 130 | IND | 10.04 | ND | ND |
| Urie | S.76 | 11/15/1980 | 1.16 | 7.5 | 3195 | 3360 | 414 | Nil | 144 | 1660 | 35.4 | 460 | 10 | 361 | 87 | ND | 0.06 | ND | 0.007 |
| Crow | S-77 | 11/15/1980 | 0.10 | 7.4 | 2460 | 3080 | 315 | Nil | 140 | 1240 | 12.4 | 430 | 12 | 245 | 46 | iND | ND | ND | ND |
| Clevenger | 5-78 | 11/21/1980 | 0.03 | 7.6 | 1553 | 1940 | 315 | Nil | 55 | 700 | 17.3 | 210 | 6.5 | 195 | 30 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Swierc | S-79 | 11/21/1980 | 0.05 | 7.7 | 1773 | 12120 | 325 | Nil | 69 | 820 | 26.6 | 310 | 7.0 | 155 | 136 | :ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Caudill | 5-81 | 11/24/1980 | 1.26 | 7.5 | 2465 | 3100 | 365 | Nil | 120 | 1240 | 9.3 | 390 | 9.0 | 255 | 64 | ND | 0.02 | ND | 0.011 |
| Roundy Sec. 12 | ${ }_{-}^{5-82}$ | May-60 |  | '7.7. | 1847 | 2000 | 243 | Nil | 57 | 1006 | 26 | 200 |  | 269 | 146 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Roundy Sec. 12 | S-82 | 12/11/1980 | 0.09 | 7.4 | 3012 | 12940 | :225 | \|Nil | 84 | 1740 | 106 | 305 | \|15 | 440 | :76 | IND | 0.04 | ND | \|ND |

ND Not Detected

## Source:

Tables 2 through 4 of Hydro-Search (HSI), 1981. Regional Ground-Water Hydrology and Water Chemistry, Grants-Bluewater area, Valencia County, New Mexicc,
prepared for Anaconda Copper Company, June 30. EC units reported by HSI as mg/L but assumed here to be $\mu \mathrm{mhos} / \mathrm{cm}$.
These data are tabulated separetely because they were used as the basis for characterizations of early (1980-1981) contaminant (uranium) distributions in the Site Status Report.
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Table C.2-6. Water Quality Data for Homestake Site and Distal San Andres Aquifer Wells

| Well ID | Date | U (mg/l) | Mo (mg/l) | Se (mg/l) | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{NO}_{3}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | pH (s.u.) | TDS (mg/l) | $\mathrm{SC}(\mu \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{cm})$ | Ca (mg/l) | $\mathbf{M g}$ ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ ) | $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | Cl (mg/l) | Ra-226 (pCi/l) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#1 Deepwell | 5/22/1958 |  |  |  | 671 | 1.2 |  | 1790 |  | 214 | 74.0 | 0.0 |  | 617 | <0.10 | 205 |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 4/20/1979 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.03 | 649 | 0.86 |  | 1500 |  |  |  |  |  | 569 |  | 149 |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 5/8/1980 | $<0.01$ | 0.02 | 0.02 | 801 | 1.3 | 7.1 | 1575 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 191 | 1.5 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 5/8/1980 | $<0.01$ | 0.02 | 0.02 | 734 | 1.1 | 7.0 | 1800 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 206 | 0.9 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 7/2/1980 | $<0.01$ | 0.02 | $<0.01$ | 714 | <0.1 | 7.4 |  | 1261 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 651 |  |  | 1.9 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 10/23/1980 | 0.02 | $<0.05$ | $<0.00$ | 569 | 2.2 | 7.0 | 2217 |  | 244 | 59.0 | 24.0 | 330 | 614 |  | 358 | 0.31 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 5/11/1983 | <0.01 | 0.01 | <0.01 | 708 | 0.7 | 7.0 | 1920 | 2273 |  |  | 13.0 | 315 | 622 |  | 248 | 0.5 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 12/20/1983 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 714 | 2.5 | 7.5 | 1780 | 2581 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 509 |  | 191 | 2.3 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 3/21/1984 | 0.01 | <0.00 | 0.01 | 779 | 12 | 7.2 | 1950 | 2778 | 305 | 61.0 | 16.0 | 310 | 633 |  | 213 | 2.4 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 7/31/1984 |  |  |  | 730 |  |  | 2130 | 2607 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 9/28/1984 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 807 | 8.4 | 7.1 | 1990 | 2613 | 301 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 340 | 511 | $<0.00$ | 206 | 0.2 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 12/29/1984 |  |  |  | 734 |  |  | 2670 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 3/13/1985 |  | $<0.01$ | 0.01 | 755 | 4.6 | 7.1 | 1520 |  | 284 | 31.0 | 10.0 | 260 | 540 | $<0.00$ | 156 | $<0.01$ |
| \#1 Deepwell | 6/27/1985 |  |  |  | 709 |  |  | 3080 | 2378 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 9/13/1985 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | 782 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 1770 |  | 271 | 24.0 | 14.0 | 313 | 566 | $<0.00$ | 184 | 1.5 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 12/20/1985 |  |  |  | 730 |  |  | 2920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 6/26/1986 |  |  |  | 742 |  |  | 1170 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 9/17/1986 | $<0.01$ | 0.01 | 0.01 | 713 | 2.9 | 7.6 | 1680 | 2582 | 269 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 325 | 523 | 0.00 | 191 | 0.8 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 1/8/1987 |  |  |  | 712 |  |  | 2920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 149 |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 3/30/1987 | $<0.01$ | 0.01 | 0.01 | 743 | 0.9 | 7.7 | 1710 |  | 297 | 17.0 | 16.0 | 320 | 547 | $<10.00$ | 213 | 1 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 7/15/1987 |  | 0.01 |  | 802 |  |  | 1720 | 2938 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 9/30/1987 | $<0.01$ | 0.01 | $<0.01$ | 818 | 1.4 | 7.0 | 1890 | 2744 | 319 | 10.0 | 14.0 | 335 | 558 | $<10.00$ | 206 | 2.3 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 12/22/1987 |  |  |  | 963 |  |  | 3230 | 2470 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 1/21/1988 |  |  |  | 802 |  |  | 1890 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 3/29/1988 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 777 | 1.0 | 7.6 | 1730 |  | 271 | 6.0 | 15.0 | 358 | 488 | <10.0 | 248 | 0.2 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 6/15/1988 |  | 0.01 |  | 710 |  |  | 3330 | 2470 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 9/27/1988 | 0.04 | 0.01 | <0.01 | 771 | 2.0 | 7.5 | 1880 |  | 284 | 10.0 | 15.0 | 338 | 412 | $<10.0$ | 206 | 0.2 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 12/8/1988 |  |  |  | 754 |  |  | 3300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 6/21/1989 |  |  |  | 820 |  |  | 3670 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 11/29/1989 |  |  |  | 821 |  |  | 1940 | 2768 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 12/19/1989 | 0.02 | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | 773 | 0.2 | 7.0 | 1950 | 2756 | 318 | 37.0 | 14.0 | 373 | 650 |  | 213 | $<0.1$ |
| \#1 Deepwell | 2/15/1990 |  |  |  | 886 |  |  | 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 5/9/1990 | <0.01 | $<0.01$ | 0.01 | 808 | 1.8 | 7.3 | 1990 |  | 314 | 9.0 | 7.0 | 352 | 603 |  | 199 | 0.4 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 8/7/1990 |  |  |  | 754 |  |  | 1780 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 2/25/1991 |  |  |  | 1005 |  |  | 2070 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 5/22/1991 | 0.08 | $<0.01$ | <0.01 | 717 | 2 | 7.1 | 1900 |  | 297 | 18.0 | 14.0 | 317 | 561 | <0.1 | 213 |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 8/22/1991 |  |  |  | 701 |  |  | 1810 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.1 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 11/6/1991 |  |  |  | 753 |  |  | 2010 | 2673 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 2/5/1992 |  |  |  | 711 |  |  | 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 5/4/1992 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 844 | 1.7 | 7.2 | 1890 |  | 310 | 16.0 | 18.0 | 337 | 620 |  | 199 |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 8/12/1992 |  |  |  | 708 |  |  | 1860 | 2572 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.7 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 11/12/1992 |  |  |  | 795 |  |  | 1940 | 2464 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 3/2/1993 |  |  |  | 876 |  |  | 2020 | 2577 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 5/14/1993 | 0.02 | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | 709 | 1.7 | 7.4 | 1950 | 2481 | 269 | 22.0 | 14.0 | 337 | 575 | $<0.01$ | 199 | 0.8 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 9/1/1993 | 0.01 |  | <0.00 | 736 |  |  | 1795 | 2526 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table C.2-6. Water Quality Data for Homestake Site and Distal San Andres Aquifer Wells

| Well ID | Date | U ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | Mo (mg/l) | Se (mg/l) | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$ (mg/l) | $\mathrm{NO}_{3}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | pH (s.u.) | TDS (mg/l) | $\mathrm{SC}(\mu \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{cm})$ | Ca (mg/l) | Mg (mg/l) | $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | Ra-226 (pCi/l) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#1 Deepwell | 11/8/1993 |  |  |  | 660 |  |  | 1940 | 2552 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 2/9/1994 |  |  |  | 591 |  | . | 1653 | 2226 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 5/5/1994 | 0.02 | $<0.03$ | $<0.01$ | 823 | $<0.1$ | 7.17 | 1890 | 2609 | 209 | 58.4 | 10.0 | 309 | 529 | <0.1 | 200 | 1.3 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 8/1/1994 | 0.02 |  | $<0.01$ | 723 |  |  | 1806 | 2525 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 11/16/1994 | 0.01 |  | $<0.01$ | 696 |  |  | 1948 | 2631 | 219 | 72.0 | 12.0 | 317 |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 2/9/1995 | 0.01 |  | $<0.01$ | 689 |  |  | 1970 | 2814 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 5/10/1995 | 0.03 | $<0.1$ | $<0.01$ | 580 | <0.1 | 8.01 | 1716 | 2623 | 165 | 74.0 | 11.5 | 307 | 459 | <0.10 | 215 | $<0.2$ |
| \#1 Deepwell | 8/16/1995 | $<0.01$ |  | <0.01 | 742 |  |  | 999 | 1822 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 11/15/1995 | <0.01 |  | 0.01 | 390 |  |  | 1071 | 1711 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 2/15/1996 | <0.01 | $<0.03$ | 0.01 | 727 | 0.16 | 7.67 | 1999 | 3203 | 218 | 73.2 | 12.2 | 310 | 645 | <0.10 | 222 | 0.7 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 5/15/1996 | 0.014 | $<0.10$ | $<0.01$ | 751 | <0.10 | 7.98 | 1720 | 2497 | 125 | 38.6 | 6.6 | 393 | 464 | $<0.10$ | 148 | 6.4 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 8/12/1996 | 0.011 | $<0.03$ | <0.005 | 733 | 0.35 | 7.77 | 2030 |  | 232 | 73.1 | 12.3 | 322 | 627 | $<0.10$ | 235 | 0.3 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 10/30/1996 | 0.008 | $<0.03$ | $<0.005$ | 701 | 0.24 | 7.85 | 1810 | 2648 | 207 | 65.3 | 10.4 | 309 | 582 | <0.10 | 210 | 1.4 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 2/27/1997 |  |  |  | 440 |  |  | 1140 | 1822 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 4/29/1997 | 0.012 | $<0.1$ | <0.001 | 630 | 0.19 | 7.71 | 1910 |  | 193 | 61.7 | 10.4 | 303 | 608 | 0 | 183 | 0.8 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 7/24/1997 |  |  |  | 641 |  |  | 1650 | 2367 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 11/3/1997 | 0.012 |  |  | 748 |  |  | 2010 | 2802 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 2/4/1998 | 0.013 |  | <0.005 | 647 |  |  | 1860 | 2652 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 5/5/1998 | 0.01 | $<0.03$ | <0.005 | 681 | 0.33 | 7.91 | 1940 |  | 206 | 66.7 | 11.6 | 310 | 605 | <1.0 | 214 | 0.4 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 8/3/1998 |  |  |  | 641 |  |  | 1730 | 2443 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 10/28/1998 |  |  |  | 755 |  |  | 1970 | 2709 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 2/3/1999 |  |  |  | 811 |  |  | 1820 | 3081 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 5/11/1999 |  |  |  | 752 |  |  | 2070 | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 8/17/1999 |  |  |  | 722 |  |  | 1980 | 2969 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 11/2/1999 | 0.0087 | $<0.03$ | $<0.0010$ | 763 | 0.38 | 8.25 | 2040 | 3160 | 164 | 65.9 | 12.6 | 267 | 469 | <1.0 | 224 | <0.200 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 2/1/2000 |  |  |  | 744 |  |  | 2000 | 2759 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 4/27/2000 | 0.0101 | $<0.03$ | <0.005 | 716 | 0.41 | 7.62 | 2030 | 3013 | 225 | 74.2 | 13.1 | 302 | 635 | <1.0 | 256 | 1.3 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 8/2/2000 |  |  |  | 736 |  |  | 1780 | 2850 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 11/21/2000 |  |  |  | 718 |  |  | 1910 | 2846 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 5/16/2001 | 0.007 | $<0.03$ | $<0.005$ | 523 | 0.24 | 7.88 | 1660 |  | 169 | 65.6 | 11.8 | 232 | 445 | $<1.0$ | 182 | $<0.200$ |
| \#1 Deepwell | 5/7/2002 | 0.011 | $<0.03$ | 0.009 | 706 | 0.5 | 8 | 2000 | 2958 | 225 | 73.8 | 12.8 | 300 |  |  | 229 | 0.6 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 5/13/2003 | 0.01 | $<0.03$ | 0.007 | 713 | 0.5 | 7.87 | 1800 | 2898 | 232 | 78.5 | 12.5 | 281 |  |  | 228 | 1.4 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 5/10/2004 | 0.0088 | $<0.03$ | <0.005 | 809 | 0.390 | 7.37 | 2130 | 2851 | 244 | 82.8 | 14.5 | 313 |  |  | 267 | 0.700 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 4/5/2005 | 0.0072 | $<0.03$ | 0.005 | 746 | 0.500 | 7.48 | 2000 | 2821 | 222 | 72.8 | 13.7 | 309 |  |  | 249 | 0.800 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 10/10/2005 | 0.0090 | $<0.03$ | <0.005 | 703 |  |  | 2040 | 2815 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 5/23/2006 | 0.0095 | $<0.03$ | 0.0050 | 759 | 0.800 | 8.19 | 2140 | 2870 | 234 | 76.0 | 14.2 | 320 |  |  | 307 | 1.50 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 10/10/2006 | 0.0081 | $<0.03$ | 0.0050 | 726 |  |  | 1950 | 2852 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 5/7/2007 | 0.0082 | $<0.03$ | 0.0050 | 763 | 0.800 | 7.16 | 1980 | 2755 | 243 | 82.0 | 12.8 | 304 |  |  | 232 | 0.500 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 10/1/2007 | 0.0100 | $<0.03$ | 0.0060 | 682 |  |  | 1950 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 5/5/2008 | 0.0078 | $<0.03$ | $<0.005$ | 769 | 0.930 | 7.22 | 1900 | 2689 | 249 | 83.7 | 13.0 | 320 |  |  | 229 | 0.280 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 8/27/2008 | 0.0073 | $<0.03$ | 0.0130 | 738 | 1.000 | 7.47 | 1970 | 2751 | 244 | 80.6 | 12.8 | 318 | 585 | $<1.0$ | 224 | 0.0400 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 5/4/2009 | 0.0072 | $<0.03$ | $<0.005$ | 705 | 1.09 | 6.99 | 1980 |  | 226 | 74.1 | 11.4 | 293 |  |  | 221 | 0.41 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 10/5/2009 | 0.0071 | $<0.03$ | $<0.005$ | 744 |  |  | 1990 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 3/30/2010 | 0.0089 | $<0.03$ | $<0.005$ | 730 | 1.10 |  | 1940 | 2795 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 223 |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 5/3/2010 | 0.0076 | <0.03 | 0.0070 | 758 | 1.10 | 7.20 | 1980 | 2792 | 229 | 75.7 | 13.3 | 323 |  |  | 238 | 0.230 |

Table C.2-6. Water Quality Data for Homestake Site and Distal San Andres Aquifer Wells

| Well ID | Date | U (mg/l) | Mo ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ ) | Se (mg/l) | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{NO}_{3}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | pH (s.u.) | TDS (mg/l) | $\mathrm{SC}(\mu \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{cm})$ | $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathbf{M g}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $K(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | Cl (mg/l) | Ra-226 (pCi/I) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#1 Deepwell | 10/6/2010 | 0.0086 | $<0.03$ | <0.005 | 736 |  |  | 2020 | 2807 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 5/9/2011 | 0.008 | $<0.03$ | 0.006 | 747 | 1.1 | 7.43 | 1960 | 2758 | 233 | 75.3 | 13.1 | 312 |  |  | 236 | 0.44 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 10/10/2011 | 0.0120 | <0.03 | <0.005 | 758 |  |  | 1930 | 2726 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 5/7/2012 | 0.0075 | $<0.03$ | <0.005 | 744 | 1.1 | 7.34 | 1970 | 2762 | 237 | 74.4 | 12.8 | 284 |  |  | 226 | 0.46 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 10/2/2012 | 0.0090 | $<0.03$ | 0.0050 | 777 |  |  | 2030 | 2769 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#1 Deepwell | 5/6/2013 | 0.0095 | $<0.03$ | 0.005 | 754 | 1.1 | 7.28 | 2040 | 2840 | 241 | 79.7 | 12.4 | 307 |  |  | 238 | 11 |
| \#1 Deepwell | 11/5/2013 | 0.008 | $<0.03$ | $<0.005$ | 748 |  |  | 1990 | 2770 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 10/15/1956 |  |  |  | 467 | 3.2 | 7.0 | 1170 |  | 65.0 | 128 | 0.0 |  | 466 | <0.10 | 106 |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 9/16/1977 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 634.9 | 1.6 | 7.1 | 1275 |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | 141.8 | 5.6 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 9/28/1977 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 644 | 1.9 | 7.1 | 1500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 141.8 | 0.8 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 10/14/1977 | 0.03 | 0.02 | $<0.01$ | 659 | 1.6 | 6.9 | 1500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 127.65 | 2.1 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 10/28/1977 | 0.03 | 0.01 | $<0.01$ | 641 | 1.9 | 7.5 | 1350 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 134.71 | 0.2 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 11/10/1977 | 0.05 | <0.10 | $<0.01$ | 609 | 1.6 | 7.5 | 1275 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 145 | 0.6 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 11/23/1977 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 621 | 1.4 | 7.3 | 1575 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 145 | 1.0 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 12/8/1977 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 650 | 1.1 | 7.2 | 1200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 135 | 0.6 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 12/29/1977 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 608 | 1.2 | 8.2 | 1350 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 145 | 1.2 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 1/11/1978 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 634 | 1.2 | 7.6 | 1275 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 142 | 2.0 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 3/20/1978 | 0.03 | 0.01 | $<0.01$ | 609 | 1.5 | 7.5 | 1500 |  | 135 |  |  |  |  |  | 163 | 1.6 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 5/22/1978 | 0.19 | <0.01 | $<0.01$ | 614 | 2.1 | 7.2 | 1200 |  |  |  | 0.0 |  | 823.2 |  | 135 | 2.9 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 7/24/1978 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 608 | 1.2 | 7.35 | 1350 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 142 | 1.6 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 9/15/1978 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 652 | 1.2 | 7.8 | 1500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 149 | 1.6 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 11/10/1978 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 656 | 1.8 | 7.4 | 1425 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 92 | 2.9 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 1/12/1979 | <0.01 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 641 | 2.1 | 7.7 | 1350 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 142 | 1.5 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 3/5/1979 | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 654 | 1.8 | 8.2 | 1425 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 177 | 2.2 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 5/4/1979 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 541 | 1.4 | 8.1 | 1397 |  |  |  |  |  | 553 |  | 135 | 1.6 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 7/3/1979 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 602 | 1.35 | 8.0 | 975 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 148.9 | 1.3 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 9/4/1979 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 617.7 | 1.35 | 7.7 | 1200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 149 | 1.8 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 11/2/1979 | $<0.01$ | 0.06 | 0.01 | 642 | 1.2 | 7.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 490 | 0.2 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 1/3/1980 | $<0.01$ | 0.09 | $<0.01$ | 616.8 | 1.2 | 7.5 | 1575 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 199 | 0.7 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 3/3/1980 | $<0.01$ | 0.05 | $<0.01$ | 807 | 1.1 | 7.75 | 1500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 160 | 1.1 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 9/4/1980 | $<0.01$ | 0.02 | 0.02 | 668 | 1.2 | 7.9 | 1050 |  |  |  |  |  | 549 |  | 135 | 0.6 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 10/23/1980 | $<0.01$ | <0.05 | $<0.00$ | 669 | 3.5 | 7.4 | 1927 |  | 207 | 62 | 13.0 | 259 | 558 |  | 139 | 0.36 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 11/6/1980 | $<0.01$ | 0.03 | 0.02 | 650 | 1.1 | 7.8 | 1050 |  |  |  | 0.0 |  | 523 |  | 156 | 1.1 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 1/6/1981 | $<0.01$ | 0.02 | $<0.01$ | 659 | 5.6 | 7.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 149 | 1.0 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 3/16/1981 | $<0.01$ | <0.01 | 0.02 | 653 | 1.0 | 7.7 | 1640 |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  | 149 | 1.7 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 5/4/1981 | $<0.01$ | 0.02 | $<0.01$ | 646 | 1.05 | 7.6 | 1680 |  |  |  | 0.0 |  | 602 |  | 57 | 1.4 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 7/1/1981 | $<0.01$ | 0.02 | $<0.01$ | 656 | 1.1 | 7.4 | 1600 |  |  |  | 0.0 |  | 561 |  | 178 | 0.5 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 9/16/1981 | $<0.01$ | 0.03 | $<0.01$ | 638 | 5.4 | 8.0 | 1510 |  |  |  | 0.0 |  | 563 |  | 170 | 3.8 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 12/23/1981 | <0.01 | 0.02 | $<0.01$ | 662 | 1.2 | 8.0 | 1620 |  |  |  | 0.0 |  | 374 |  | 163 | 1.2 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 3/1/1982 | $<0.01$ | 0.03 | $<0.01$ | 713 | 1.1 | 7.8 | 1690 |  |  |  | 0.0 |  | 553 |  | 163 | 1.3 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 7/29/1982 | $<0.01$ | 0.04 | $<0.01$ | 713 | 1.0 | 8.5 | 1620 |  |  |  | 0.0 |  | 558 |  | 156 | 4.6 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 1/25/1983 | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | 0.03 | 650 | 1.3 | 7.9 | 1660 |  | 240 | 26.0 | 15.4 | 250 | 549 |  | 92 | 1.0 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 4/7/1983 | $<0.01$ | 0.02 | 0.03 | 664 | 0.7 | 7.8 | 1670 |  |  |  | 0.0 |  | 531 |  | 104 | 2.4 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 6/16/1983 | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | 0.01 | 666 | 1.3 | 7.0 | 1590 |  |  |  | 0.0 |  | 573 |  | 77 | 0.9 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 12/21/1983 | <0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 670 | 2.1 | 7.4 | 1540 | 2578 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 481 |  | 156 | 0.6 |

Table C.2-6. Water Quality Data for Homestake Site and Distal San Andres Aquifer Wells

| Well ID | Date | U (mg/l) | Mo (mg/l) | Se (mg/l) | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{NO}_{3}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | pH (s.u.) | TDS (mg/l) | $\mathrm{SC}(\mu \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{cm})$ | Ca (mg/l) | $\mathbf{M g}$ ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | Na ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | Cl (mg/l) | Ra-226 (pCi/l) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#2 Deepwell | 3/22/1984 | $<0.01$ | 0.01 | 0.01 | 669 | 6.2 | 7.8 | 1560 | 2125 | 250 | 49 | 14.0 | 245 | 549 |  | 156 | 0.9 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 5/25/1984 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2086 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 7/31/1984 |  |  |  | 629 |  |  | 1620 | 2193 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 9/24/1984 | $<0.01$ | 0.02 | 0.01 | 702 | 13.1 | 7.3 | 1660 | 2384 | 298 | 6.0 | 14.0 | 260 | 437 | $<0.00$ | 170 | 0.3 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 12/29/1984 |  |  |  | 779 |  |  | 2430 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 3/13/1985 | 0.02 | $<0.01$ | 0.02 | 762 | 4.1 | 7.1 | 1530 |  | 318 | 29.0 | 10.0 | 260 | 551 | $<0.00$ | 156 | 0.5 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 6/27/1985 |  |  |  | 702 |  |  | 3310 | 2346 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 9/12/1985 | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | 682 | 7.8 | 7.0 | 1650 |  | 276 | 10.0 | 14.0 | 257 | 548 | $<0.00$ | 156 | 1.8 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 12/20/1985 |  |  |  | 675 |  |  | 3030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 6/26/1986 |  |  |  | 718 |  |  | 1530 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 9/17/1986 | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | 0.02 | 707 | 5.4 | 7.7 | 1180 | 2384 | 279 | 10.0 | 13.0 | 275 | 471 | $<0.00$ | 163 | 1.0 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 1/9/1987 |  |  |  | 663 |  |  | 3090 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 7/15/1987 | 0.02 |  |  | 772 |  |  | 1730 | 2630 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 8/15/1987 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 772 | 2.7 | 7.0 | 1730 |  | 297 | 24.0 | 14.0 | 303 | 590 | $<10.0$ | 203 | 0.3 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 9/30/1987 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 806 | 2.5 | 7.3 | 1670 | 2360 | 298 | 2.0 | 14.0 | 295 | 449 | $<10.0$ | 156 | 0.6 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 12/22/1987 |  |  |  | 810 |  |  | 2950 | 2182 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 1/21/1988 |  |  |  | 815 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 2/21/1988 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2460 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 3/29/1988 | 0.03 | 0.01 |  | 682 | 1.4 | 7.7 | 1440 |  | 234 | 22.0 | 15.0 | 287 | 468 | $<10.0$ | 177 | 0.2 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 6/15/1988 |  |  |  | 690 |  |  | 3510 | 2207 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 9/27/1988 | 0.17 | 0.01 | <0.01 | 721 | 2.5 | 7.5 | 1500 |  | 279 | 15.0 | 14.0 | 278 | 421 | $<10.0$ | 170 | 0.2 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 12/8/1988 |  |  |  | 665 |  |  | 2820 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 6/21/1989 |  |  |  | 749 |  |  | 3680 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 12/19/1989 | 0.03 | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | 737 | 0.8 | 7.2 | 1850 | 2575 | 307 | 20.0 | 14.0 | 333 | 595 | $<10.0$ | 191 | <0.10 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 2/15/1990 |  |  |  | 731 |  |  | 1720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 5/9/1990 | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.01 | 765 | 3.1 | 7.3 | 177.0 |  | 297 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 303 | 540 |  | 177 | 0.3 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 8/7/1990 |  |  |  | 695 |  |  | 1700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 11/27/1990 |  |  |  | 700 |  |  | 1730 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 2/25/1991 |  |  |  | 927 |  |  | 1820 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 5/22/1991 | 0.04 | <0.01 | <0.01 | 716 | 3.6 | 7.0 | 1850 |  | 308 | 22.0 | 14.0 | 285 | 572 | $<0.10$ | 184 |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 8/21/1991 |  |  |  | 711 |  |  | 1870 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 11/6/1991 |  |  |  | 683 |  |  | 1840 | 2501 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 2/5/1992 |  |  |  | 711 |  |  | 1860 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 5/4/1992 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 831 | 3.3 | 7.4 | 1800 |  | 304 | 20.0 | 17.0 | 300 | 571 | $<0.10$ | 184 |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 8/12/1992 |  |  |  | 698 |  |  | 1830 | 2446 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | <0.20 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 11/12/1992 |  |  |  | 823 |  |  | 1860 | 2361.55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 3/3/1993 |  |  |  | 782 |  |  | 1870 | 2349.21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 5/14/1993 | 0.05 | <0.01 | $<0.01$ | 669 | 3.5 | 7.5 | 1800 | 2308.99 | 269 | 26.0 | 15.0 | 277.0 | 536 | <0.10 | 177 | 0.5 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 9/1/1993 | 0.02 |  | 0.00 | 691 |  |  | 1761 | 2369.97 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 11/8/1993 |  |  |  | 633 |  |  | 1808 | 2363.58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 2/9/1994 |  |  |  | 652 |  |  | 1777 | 2184.55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 5/5/1994 | 0.05 | $<0.03$ | <0.01 | 768 | 1.96 | 7.06 | 1808 | 2411.62 | 222 | 64.1 | 10.1 | 257 | 487 | $<0.10$ | 178 | 0.6 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 8/1/1994 | 0.01 |  | 0.01 | 705 |  |  | 1714 | 2357.41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 11/16/1994 | 0.01 |  | <0.01 | 677 |  |  | 1799 | 2362.69 | 214 | 69.8 | 11.5 | 256 |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 2/9/1995 | 0.01 |  | <0.01 | 646 |  |  | 1790 | 2496.87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table C.2-6. Water Quality Data for Homestake Site and Distal San Andres Aquifer Wells

| Well ID | Date | U (mg/l) | Mo (mg/l) | Se (mg/l) | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{NO}_{3}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | pH (s.u.) | TDS (mg/l) | $\mathrm{SC}(\mu \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{cm})$ | Ca (mg/l) | Mg (mg/l) | $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | Cl (mg/l) | Ra-226 (pCi/l) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#2 Deepwell | 5/10/1995 | <0.01 | <0.10 | <0.01 | 649 | 1.44 | 8.02 | 1817 |  | 218 | 74.0 | 11.4 | 250.0 | 549 | $<0.10$ | 192 | 0.6 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 8/16/1995 | 0.02 |  | $<0.01$ | 679 |  |  | 1813 | 2553.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 11/15/1995 | 0.01 |  | $<0.01$ | 704 |  |  | 1869 | 2525.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 3/13/1996 | 0.012 | $<0.03$ | <0.01 | 823 | 1.73 | 7.59 | 1854 |  | 267 | 86.7 | 12.0 | 253.0 | 560 | $<0.10$ | 244 | $<0.20$ |
| \#2 Deepwell | 5/14/1996 | 0.011 | <0.10 | <0.01 | 698 | 1.84 | 7.47 | 1836 | 2739 | 220 | 89.8 | 11.8 | 263 | 565 | $<0.10$ | 196 | 2.4 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 8/28/1996 | 0.019 | <0.03 | 0.009 | 662 |  |  | 1860 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 10/24/1996 | 0.008 | <0.03 | 0.009 | 700 | 1.96 | 8.01 | 1830 | 2647 | 228 | 72.6 | 11.8 | 264 | 555 | $<0.10$ | 206 | 0.4 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 2/27/1997 |  |  |  | 702 |  |  | 1800 | 2350 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 4/29/1997 | 0.011 | $<0.1$ | 0.004 | 627 | 2.25 | 7.83 | 1850 |  | 214 | 67.8 | 11.2 | 246 | 539 | 0 | 181 | 0.8 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 7/24/1997 |  |  |  | 1031 |  |  | 1850 | 2492 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 11/3/1997 | 0.025 | 0.007 | 0.006 | 730 |  |  | 1960 | 2699 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 2/4/1998 | 0.011 |  | 0.008 | 642 |  |  | 1850 | 2521 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 5/5/1998 | 0.012 | <0.03 | 0.008 | 661 | 1.71 | 7.8 | 1850 | 2597 | 212 | 69.3 | 11.4 | 257 | 558 | $<1.0$ | 195 | 0.3 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 8/3/1998 |  |  |  | 697 |  |  | 1860 | 2475 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 10/28/1998 |  |  |  | 716 |  |  | 1790 | 2453 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 2/3/1999 |  |  |  | 732 |  |  | 1780 | 2619 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 5/11/1999 |  |  |  | 693 |  |  | 1810 | 2806 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 8/17/1999 |  |  |  | 704 |  |  | 1790 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 11/2/1999 | 0.0106 | <0.03 | <0.0010 | 684 | 2.05 | 8.16 | 1800 | 3055 | 161 | 64.4 | 11.7 | 226 | 384 | <1.0 | 197 | $<0.200$ |
| \#2 Deepwell | 2/1/2000 |  |  |  | 688 |  |  | 1810 | 2480 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 4/27/2000 | 0.0119 | $<0.03$ | <0.005 | 654 | 2.39 | 7.79 | 1810 | 2840 | 210 | 69.1 | 12.1 | 237 | 529 | $<1.0$ | 218 | 0.4 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 8/1/2000 |  |  |  | 678 |  |  | 1920 | 2721 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 11/21/2000 |  |  |  | 693 |  |  | 1800 | 2766 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 5/2/2001 | 0.01 | $<0.03$ | 0.009 | 603 | 3.17 | 7.79 | 1790 |  | 211 | 69.1 | 10.7 | 237 | 512 | $<1.0$ | 189 | $<0.200$ |
| \#2 Deepwell | 5/7/2002 | 0.009 | $<0.03$ | 0.01 | 646 | 2.58 | 8.1 | 1780 | 2792 | 215 | 69.7 | 11.6 | 236 |  |  | 198 | $<0.200$ |
| \#2 Deepwell | 5/13/2003 | 0.0113 | $<0.03$ | 0.013 | 646 | 2.3 | 7.86 | 1770 | 2628 | 234 | 76.3 | 12 | 239 |  |  | 198 | 0.2 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 5/10/2004 | 0.0109 | $<0.03$ | 0.0070 | 693 | 2.61 | 7.53 | 1790 | 2449 | 218 | 73.5 | 12.3 | 230 |  |  | 211 | $<0.200$ |
| \#2 Deepwell | 4/5/2005 | 0.0091 | $<0.03$ | 0.0120 | 666 | 2.40 | 7.71 | 1730 | 2395 | 206 | 68.4 | 11.40 | 230.0 |  |  | 212.0 | 0.5 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 10/10/2005 | 0.0113 | $<0.03$ | 0.0080 | 602 |  |  | 1800 | 2455 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 5/23/2006 | 0.0108 | $<0.03$ | 0.0090 | 653 | 2.60 | 8.36 | 1970 | 2434 | 206 | 67.6 | 12.0 | 240 |  |  | 237 | $<0.200$ |
| \#2 Deepwell | 10/10/2006 | 0.0118 | $<0.03$ | 0.0090 | 681 |  |  | 1770 | 2670 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 5/7/2007 | 0.0115 | $<0.03$ | 0.0080 | 706 | 2.40 | 7.29 | 1780 | 2426 | 230 | 75.1 | 12.0 | 251 |  |  | 210 | $<0.200$ |
| \#2 Deepwell | 10/1/2007 | 0.0114 | $<0.03$ | 0.0090 | 612 |  |  | 1720 | 2389 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 5/5/2008 | 0.0110 | $<0.03$ | 0.0080 | 709 | 2.43 | 7.38 | 1660 | 2412 | 225 | 76.6 | 11.5 | 256 |  |  | 194 | 0.230 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 8/27/2008 | 0.0155 | $<0.03$ | 0.0070 | 719 | 4.80 | 7.51 | 1900 | 2549 | 251 | 81.8 | 12.1 | 272 | 512 | $<1.0$ | 216 | 0.0700 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 5/4/2009 | 0.0128 | $<0.03$ | 0.007 | 720 | 2.18 | 7.18 | 1890 |  | 227 | 76.2 | 12.3 | 267 |  |  | 222 | 0.25 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 10/5/2009 | 0.472 | 0.25 | 0.006 | 1050 |  |  | 2180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 3/29/2010 | 0.0904 | 0.0400 | 0.0070 | 757 | 1.20 |  | 1920 | 2711 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 210 |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 3/30/2010 | 0.0124 | $<0.03$ | 0.0070 | 714 | 2.00 |  | 1860 | 2659 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 216 |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 5/3/2010 | 0.0110 | $<0.03$ | 0.0090 | 736 | 2.00 | 7.21 | 1890 | 2576 | 228 | 76.0 | 12.7 | 290 |  |  | 230 | 0.0800 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 10/6/2010 | 0.0118 | $<0.03$ | 0.0070 | 684 |  |  | 1890 | 2600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 5/9/2011 | 0.0116 | $<0.03$ | 0.0080 | 716 | 2.10 | 7.74 | 1820 | 2586 | 225 | 72.5 | 12.1 | 281 |  |  | 218 | 0.1100 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 10/10/2011 | 0.0109 | $<0.03$ | 0.0070 | 698 |  |  | 1770 | 2509 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#2 Deepwell | 5/7/2012 | 0.0276 | $<0.03$ | 0.0060 | 712 | 1.50 | 7.68 | 1840 | 2528 | 224 | 71.6 | 11.3 | 265 |  |  | 198 | 0.0600 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 10/2/2012 | 0.0115 | <0.03 | 0.0080 | 709 |  |  | 1840 | 2538 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table C.2-6. Water Quality Data for Homestake Site and Distal San Andres Aquifer Wells

| Well id | Date | U (mg/l) | Mo (mg/l) | Se (mg/l) | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{NO}_{3}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | pH (s.u.) | TDS (mg/l) | $\mathrm{SC}(\mu \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{cm})$ | $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | Cl (mg/l) | $\mathrm{Ra-226}$ ( $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{l}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#2 Deepwell | 5/6/2013 | 0.0122 | $<0.03$ | 0.008 | 661 | 2.5 | 7.44 | 1790 | 2462 | 219 | 71.7 | 10.6 | 243 |  |  | 197 | 1.8 |
| \#2 Deepwell | 11/4/2013 | 0.0108 | $<0.03$ | 0.007 | 695 |  |  | 1800 | 2566 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 534 | 7/24/1956 |  |  |  | 252 | 19.0 | 8.2 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 258 | <0.10 | 28.0 |  |
| 535 | 11/8/1995 | 0.02 | $<0.03$ | 0.02 | 301 | 2.69 | 7.57 | 801 | 1154 | 143 | 34.0 | 3.2 | 77.0 | 322 | $<0.10$ | 35.5 | $<0.2$ |
| 545 | 5/6/2004 | 0.008 | $<0.03$ | 0.0090 | 327 | 3.94 | 7.36 | 857 | 1178 | 155 | 43.4 | 2.50 | 56.5 | 423 | $<1.0$ | 34.2 | <0.200 |
| 806 | 7/25/1956 |  |  |  | 392 | 6.9 | 7.3 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 392.0 | <0.10 | 72.0 |  |
| 806 | 9/18/1981 | <0.01 | 0.02 | $<0.01$ | 617 | 3.6 |  | 1330 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 398.53 |  |  | 0.5 |
| 806 | 11/9/1994 | 0.012 | $<0.03$ | 0.01 | 581 | 5.16 | 7.58 | 1500 | 1925 | 205 | 63.0 | 9.3 | 186 | 416 | $<0.10$ | 168 | 0.3 |
| 806 | 7/24/1996 | 0.013 | $<0.03$ | 0.008 | 578 | 4.06 | 8.06 | 1486 | 2190 | 200 | 62.8 | 9.1 | 182 | 404 | $<0.10$ | 156 | $<0.2$ |
| 806 | 11/12/1996 | 0.014 | $<0.03$ | 0.008 | 585 | 4.5 | 7.79 | 1440 | 2217 | 210 | 64.1 | 9.0 | 176 | 399 | $<0.10$ | 165 | $<0.2$ |
| 806 | 9/2/1997 | 0.01 | $<0.03$ | 0.007 | 592 | 4.42 | 7.95 | 1550 | 2063 | 212 | 63.4 | 9.2 | 184 | 406 | $<0.10$ | 165 | $<0.2$ |
| 806 | 8/10/1998 | 0.018 | 0.1 | 0.009 | 559 | 4.3 | 7.93 | 1500 |  | 197 | 61.7 | 8.8 | 179 | 406 | $<1.0$ | 154 | 0.5 |
| 806 | 8/22/2000 | 0.018 |  | 0.008 | 498 |  |  | 1480 | 2304 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 806 | 8/24/2001 | 0.018 |  | 0.011 | 540 |  |  | 1550 | 2178 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 806 | 10/17/2002 | 0.015 |  | 0.01 | 566 |  |  | 1570 | 2673 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 806 | 10/27/2003 | 0.015 |  | $<0.05$ | 589 |  |  | 1570 | 2120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 806 | 4/21/2005 | 0.015 | $<0.03$ | $<0.05$ | 607 | 3.90 | 7.62 | 1510 | 2173 | 188 | 63.8 | 9.30 | 193 | 404 | $<1.0$ | 193 | 0.300 |
| 806 | 11/18/2005 | 0.018 |  | 0.0090 | 1190 |  |  | 1460 | 2118 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 806 | 10/4/2006 | 0.018 | $<0.03$ | 0.0110 | 555 | 3.80 |  | 1530 | 2259 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 162 |  |
| 806 | 10/2/2007 | 0.0184 |  | 0.0090 | 605 |  |  | 1570 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806R | 9/24/2008 | 0.0178 | $<0.03$ | 0.008 | 634 | 4.10 | 7.13 | 1630 | 2258 | 234 | 76.8 | 9.90 | 211 | 423 | $<1.0$ | 189 | 0.41 |
| 0806R | 9/13/2010 | 0.0170 |  | 0.009 | 658 |  |  | 1660 | 2301 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806R | 4/14/2011 | 0.0203 | $<0.03$ | 0.010 | 636 | 3.80 | 7.37 | 1650 | 2276 | 219 | 69.5 | 10.8 | 226 | 447 | $<5.0$ | 191 | 0.33 |
| 0806R | 10/18/2011 | 0.0274 |  | 0.017 | 635 |  |  | 1530 | 2291 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 822 | 11/14/1988 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | 580 | 19.0 | 7.1 | 1400 | 2217 | 200 | 60 | 9.9 | 170 | 430 | <0.10 | 150 | 0.3 |
| 822 | 8/23/1995 | 0.01 | $<0.03$ | 0.01 | 592 | 3.18 | 7.34 | 1510 | 2174 | 185 | 59 | 8.9 | 230 | 442 | $<0.10$ | 152 | 1.3 |
| 822 | 11/20/1996 | 0.096 | $<0.03$ | 0.009 | 604 | 3.25 | 7.87 | 1490 | 2279 | 192 | 58.4 | 8 | 222 | 423 | $<0.10$ | 149 | 6.1 |
| 907 | 8/5/1948 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 296 | $<0.10$ | 28.0 |  |
| 907 | 8/10/1953 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 296 | $<0.10$ | 31.0 |  |
| 907 | 6/15/1955 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 296 | $<0.10$ | 33.0 |  |
| 907 | 7/17/1956 |  |  |  | 291 | 14.0 | 7.5 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 286 | $<0.10$ | 31.0 |  |
| 907 | 6/7/1957 |  |  |  | 277 | 17.0 | 7.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 284 | <0.10 | 31.0 |  |
| 911 | 7/17/1956 |  |  |  | 253 | 15.0 | 7.5 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 261 | $<0.10$ | 21.0 |  |
| 911 | 6/7/1957 |  |  |  | 255 | 12.0 | 7.5 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 262 | <0.10 | 22.0 |  |
| 911 | 7/17/1996 | 0.016 | $<0.03$ | 0.0080 | 300 | 5.1 | 7.61 | 869 | 946 | 134 | 37.3 | 3.5 | 67.6 | 298 | $<0.10$ | 44.8 | 0.4 |
| 911 | 8/25/2008 | 0.010 | $<0.03$ | 0.0150 | 336 | 4.2 | 7.80 | 823 | 1165 | 150 | 41.9 | 3.70 | 63.0 | 280 | $<1.0$ | 38.0 | -0.04 |
| 923 | 4/7/1993 | 0.44 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 960 | 15.47 | 6.78 | 2500 | 3729 | 290 | 110 | 14.0 | 320 | 480 | $<0.10$ | 370 |  |
| 923 | 10/11/1993 | 0.43 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 890 | 15.0 | 6.71 | 2300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 350 |  |
| 923 | 4/6/1994 | 0.35 | $<0.01$ | 0.01 | 910 | 15.91 | 6.72 | 2850 |  | 300 | 120 | 13.0 | 340 | 500 | $<0.10$ | 490 |  |
| 928 | 7/12/1946 |  |  |  | 829 | 0.60 |  | 2170 |  | 254 | 88.00 | 0.00 |  | 702 | <0.10 | 270 |  |
| 928 | 6/4/1947 |  |  |  | 794 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 669 | $<0.10$ | 238 |  |
| 928 | 8/4/1948 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 688 | $<0.10$ | 250 |  |
| 928 | 8/18/1949 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 686 | $<0.10$ | 254 |  |
| 928 | 10/16/1950 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 668 | $<0.10$ | 239 |  |
| 928 | 6/25/1952 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 682 | $<0.10$ | 245 |  |

Table C.2-6. Water Quality Data for Homestake Site and Distal San Andres Aquifer Wells

| Well ID | Date | U (mg/l) | Mo (mg/l) | Se (mg/l) | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{NO}_{3}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | pH (s.u.) | TDS (mg/l) | $\mathrm{SC}(\mu \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{cm})$ | $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | Mg (mg/l) | $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | Cl (mg/l). | Ra-226 (pCi/l) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 928 | 8/25/1952 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 675 | <0.10 | 250 |  |
| 928 | 10/6/1954 |  |  |  | 604 | <0.1 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 360 | <0.10 | 53.0 |  |
| 928 | 8/10/1955 |  |  |  | 772 |  | 6.8 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 656 | <0.10 | 242 |  |
| 928 | 7/12/1976 |  |  |  | 829 | 0.60 |  | 2520 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 702 |  | 270 |  |
| 928 | 07/09/1980 | 0.04 | $<0.05$ | $<0.01$ | 567 | 1.3 | 7.7 | 1400 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 333 |  | 49 | 0.86 |
| 928 | 01/12/1981 |  | $<0.05$ | $<0.01$ | 810 | 0.4 | 7.0 | 2170 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 520 |  | 180 |  |
| 928 | 11/15/1988 | 0.062 | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | 630 | 0.8 | 8.36 | 1200 | 2208 | 30.0 | 7.2 | 1.8 | 420 | 330 | 5.0 | 43.0 | 0.2 |
| 928 | 03/14/1994 | 0.086 | $<0.03$ | 0.01 | 818 | 0.48 | 7.71 | 1618 | 2236 | 81.5 | 16.8 | 2 | 439 | 299 | $<0.10$ | 47.3 | $<0.2$ |
| 928 | 10/24/1994 | 0.078 | $<0.03$ | 0.03 | 835 | 0.53 | 8.01 | 1652 | 2197 | 79.6 | 19.3 | 2.8 | 408 | 316 | <0.10 | 45.7 | $<0.2$ |
| 928 | 02/09/1995 | 0.033 | $<0.03$ | 0.01 | 569 | 0.15 | 8.4 | 1182 | 1819 | 18.1 | 4.9 | 1.6 | 372 | 319 | 4.5 | 40.9 | $<0.2$ |
| 928 | 3/8/1996 | 0.071 | $<0.03$ | 0.20 | 861 | 0.50 | 8.03 | 1580 | 2384 | 81.4 | 20.2 | 2.7 | 381 | 317 | <0.10 | 45.5 | $<0.2$ |
| 928 | 10/23/1996 | 0.072 | $<0.03$ | 0.02 | 774 | 0.62 | 8.19 | 1490 | 2271 | 67.2 | 16.2 | 2.4 | 403 | 250 | $<0.10$ | 43.2 | $<0.2$ |
| 928 | 09/02/1997 | 0.061 | $<0.03$ | 0.018 | 823 | 0.53 | 8.12 | 1500 | 2271 | 93.2 | 21.4 | 2.9 | 392 | 315 | <0.10 | 46.0 | $<0.2$ |
| 928 | 8/27/1998 | 0.101 | $<0.03$ | 0.14 | 826 | 0.74 | 8.13 | 1640 | 2297 | 83.4 | 20.3 | 3.0 | 416 | 307 | $<1.0$ | 49.2 | 0.4 |
| 928 | 8/26/1999 | 0.0945 |  | 0.03 | 836 |  |  | 1640 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 928 | 8/9/2000 | 0.106 |  | 0.034 | 790 |  |  | 1590 | 2844 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 928 | 8/29/2001 | 0.086 |  | 0.036 | 810 |  |  | 1710 | 2889 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 928 | 10/21/2002 | 0.087 |  | 0.042 | 799 |  |  | 1740 | 2973 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 928 | 12/9/2004 | 0.0822 |  | 0.0350 | 892 |  |  | 1700 | 2359 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 928 | 12/5/2005 | 0.0887 |  | 0.0390 | 849 |  |  | 1620 | 2330 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 928 | 12/10/2006 | 0.0853 |  | 0.032 | 863 |  |  | 1680 | 2335 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |
| 928 | 12/3/2007 | 0.0823 |  | 0.0290 | 806 |  |  | 1650 | 2321 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 928 | 9/15/2008 | 0.0400 | $<0.03$ | 0.0140 | 599 | 0.200 | 8.00 | 1230 |  | 31.7 | 7.50 | 1.60 | 422 | 319 | $<1.0$ | 39.0 | 0.130 |
| 928 | 9/16/2008 | 0.0330 | <0.03 | 0.0110 | 585 | 0.100 | 8.24 | 1210 | 1842 | 23.0 | 5.70 | 1.60 | 436 | 318 | <1.0 | 44.0 | 0.290 |
| 928 | 9/17/2008 | 0.0285 | $<0.03$ | 0.0100 | 556 | 0.100 | 8.19 | 1180 | 1819 | 15.6 | 4.10 | 1.50 | 431 | 317 | 2.0 | 44.0 | 0.720 |
| 928 | 3/24/2009 | 0.0326 | $<0.03$ | 0.011 | 639 | 0.2 | 7.96 | 1320 |  | 39 | 10.2 | 2.6 | 396 | 347 | <1.0 | 57 | 0.02 |
| 928 | 10/12/2009 | 0.0572 |  | 0.018 | 742 |  |  | 1390 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 928 | 12/7/2009 | 0.0474 | $<0.1$ | 0.015 | 660 |  |  | 1270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 928 | 5/3/2010 | 0.0568 |  | 0.016 | 720 |  |  | 1360 | 2065 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 928 | 6/3/2010 | 0.0291 | $<0.03$ | 0.009 | 537 | <0.100 | 7.94 | 1120 |  | 12.1 | 2.80 | 1.40 | 434 | 344 | <5.0 | 40.0 | 0.0060 |
| 928 | 6/14/2010 | 0.0323 | $<0.03$ | 0.008 | 546 | 0.100 |  | 1180 | 1804 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41.0 |  |
| 928 | 12/6/2010 | 0.0780 |  | 0.020 | 865 |  |  | 1620 | 1835 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 928 | 11/11/2011 | 0.0744 |  | 0.028 | 933 |  |  | 1640 | 2393 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 938 | 7/12/1946 |  |  |  | 268 | 21.0 |  | 691 |  | 126 | 42.0 | 0.00 |  | 308 | <0.10 | 32.0 |  |
| 938 | 6/15/1955 |  |  |  |  | 22.0 | 7.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 295 | $<0.10$ | 30.0 |  |
| 938 | 7/18/1956 |  |  |  | 295 | 14.0 | 7.50 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 281 | <0.10 | 23.0 |  |
| 938 | 9/14/2010 | 0.0086 |  | $<0.005$ | 316 |  |  | 819 | 1176 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 943 | 8/28/1956 |  |  |  | 563 | 0.6 | 7.8 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 305 | <0.10 | 88 |  |
| 943 | 6/15/1995 | 0.02 | $<0.03$ | 0.05 | 1053 | 8.56 | 8.04 | 2095 | 3108 | 22.5 | 4.4 | 2.3 | 615 | 261 | $<0.10$ | 73.2 | $<0.2$ |
| 943 | 6/15/1995 | 0.02 | $<0.03$ | 0.05 | 1066 | 8.29 | 8.04 | 2012 | 3108 | 22.6 | 4.5 | 2.3 | 620 | 262 | $<0.10$ | 71.5 |  |
| 943 | 6/12/1996 | 0.029 | $<0.03$ | 0.062 | 1189 | 8.36 | 8.13 | 2130 |  | 29.6 | 6.0 | 2.3 | 628 | 249 | $<0.10$ | 81.0 | $<0.2$ |
| 943 | 10/23/1996 | 0.025 | $<0.03$ | 0.069 | 1170 | 8.64 | 8.25 | 2080 | 3189 | 31.4 | 6.6 | 2.6 | 641 | 320 | $<0.10$ | 83.5 | $<0.2$ |
| 943 | 8/21/1997 | 0.007 | 0.05 | $<0.005$ | 1180 | 0.21 | 8.68 | 2040 | 3178 | 9.2 | 5.6 | 2.9 | 654 | 215 | 5.8 | 91.0 | $<0.2$ |
| 943 | 8/18/1998 | 0.0006 | $<0.03$ | <0.005 | 1100 | <0.10 | 8.29 | 1980 | 3046 | 8.4 | 6.5 | 4.3 | 623 | 222 | <1.0 | 83.9 | $<0.2$ |
| 943 | 9/2/1999 | 0.0024 |  | 0.006 | 1170 |  |  | 2070 | 3919 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table C.2-6. Water Quality Data for Homestake Site and Distal San Andres Aquifer Wells

| Well id | Date | U (mg/l) | Mo (mg/l) | Se (mg/l) | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{NO}_{3}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | pH (s.u.) | TDS (mg/l) | SC ( $\mu \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{cm}$ ) | Ca (mg/l) | $\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | Cl (mg/l) | Ra-226 (pCi/l) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 943 | 8/23/2000 | 0.0017 |  | $<0.005$ | 1070 |  |  | 2010 | 3832 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 943 | 8/29/2001 | <0.00030 |  | $<0.005$ | 1000 |  |  | 2040 | 3822 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 943 | 11/13/2002 | 0.001 |  | <0.005 | 1080 |  |  | 2010 | 3840 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 943 | 10/27/2003 | 0.0005 |  | $<0.005$ | 1090 |  |  | 2030 | 2899 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 943 | 3/9/2004 | 0.0180 | $<0.03$ | 0.029 | 793 | 5.25 | 7.43 | 1830 | 2505 | 166 | 52.9 | 8.80 | 314 | 391 | <1.0 | 188 | 0.3 |
| 943 | 12/8/2004 | 0.0136 |  | 0.020 | 690 |  |  | 1720 | 2315 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 943 | 4/19/2005 | 0.0136 | $<0.03$ | <0.05 | 712 | 4.2 | 7.66 | 1680 | 2365 | 165 | 54.3 | 8.8 | 282 | 399 | <1.0 | 181 | <0.2 |
| 943 | 12/5/2005 | 0.0160 |  | 0.027 | 658 |  |  | 1690 | 2314 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 943 | 3/16/2006 | 0.0179 | $<0.03$ | 0.029 | 695 | 4.0 | 7.80 | 1670 | 2551 | 167 | 54.8 | 10.2 | 261 | 412 | $<1.0$ | 161 | 0.400 |
| 943 | 12/19/2006 | 0.0149 | $<0.03$ | 0.022 | 716 | 3.8 | 7.12 | 1710 |  | 191 | 62.4 | 9.80 | 282 | 298 | $<1.0$ | 188 | <0.2 |
| 943 | 3/8/2007 | 0.0184 | $<0.03$ | 0.028 | 753 | 4.2 | 7.57 | 1790 | 2420 | 178 | 58.5 | 9.20 | 310 | 403 | <1.0 | 175 | 0.600 |
| 943 | 12/3/2007 | 0.0185 |  | 0.023 | 649 |  |  | 1700 | 2356 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 943 | 3/5/2008 | 0.0217 | $<0.03$ | 0.029 | 742 | 4.0 | 7.48 | 1640 | 2411 | 181 | 56.2 | 9.40 | 288 | 422 | $<1.0$ | 177 | -0.06 |
| 943 | 9/16/2008 | 0.0182 | $<0.03$ | 0.022 | 689 | 4.2 | 7.40 | 1650 | 2312 | 206 | 64.1 | 9.20 | 293 | 401 | $<1.0$ | 168 | 1.20 |
| 943 | 12/1/2008 | 0.0162 |  | 0.022 | 666 |  |  | 1700 | 2344 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 943 | 6/15/2009 | 0.0187 | $<0.03$ | 0.022 | 696 | 4.0 | 7.26 | 1670 |  | 162 | 55.4 | 12.2 | 263 | 413 | $<1.0$ | 182 | 0.18 |
| 943 | 12/7/2009 | 0.0199 | $<0.1$ | 0.024 | 733 |  |  | 1670 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 943 | 3/3/2010 | 0.0229 | $<0.03$ | 0.029 | 697 | 5.20 | 7.52 | 1710 | 2494 | 176 | 56.0 | 8.70 | 302 | 467 | $<5.0$ | 171 | 0.120 |
| 943 | 6/22/2010 | 0.0724 | $<0.03$ | 0.087 | 1150 | 6.80 | 7.74 | 2200 | 3378 | 52.0 | 13.3 | 3.40 | 670 | 330 | <5.0 | 144 | -0.0500 |
| 943 | 8/5/2010 | 0.0753 | $<0.03$ | 0.087 | 1330 | 9.40 | 8.09 | 2390 | 3502 | 62.0 | 15.6 | 3.30 | 788 | 347 | <5.0 | 160 | 0.0900 |
| 943 | 9/21/2010 | 0.0208 | $<0.03$ | 0.024 | 724 | 4.40 |  | 1700 | 2425 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 187 |  |
| 943 | 10/27/2010 | 0.0246 | $<0.03$ | 0.024 | 725 |  |  | 1740 | 2446 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 187 |  |
| 943 | 12/6/2010 | 0.0239 |  | 0.022 | 731 |  |  | 1770 | 2085 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 943 | 4/13/2011 | 0.0220 | $<0.03$ | 0.025 | 713 | 4.2 | 7.46 | 1750 | 2497 | 195 | 60.6 | 10.00 | 316 | 439 | <5.0 | 185 | 0.33 |
| 943 | 11/7/2011 | 0.0197 |  | 0.020 | 686 |  |  | 1620 | 2384 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 943 | 8/16/2012 | 0.0484 | $<0.03$ | 0.047 | 818 | 4.30 | 7.39 | 1850 | 2564 | 133 | 42.3 | 7.60 | 371 | 403 | <5.0 | 176 | 0.560 |
| 943 | 11/30/2012 | 0.0402 | $<0.03$ | 0.034 | 784 |  |  | 1810 | 2480 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 182 |  |
| 949 | 10/6/1954 |  |  |  | 394 | 11.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 377 | $<0.10$ | 65.0 |  |
| 949 | 7/17/1956 |  |  |  | 407 | 9.5 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 377 | $<0.10$ | 65.0 |  |
| 949 | 5/7/1957 |  |  |  | 407 | 9.1 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 377 | <0.10 | 65.0 |  |
| 949 | 11/14/1988 | <0.01 | $<0.01$ | 0.01 | 470 | 16.0 | 7.21 | 1000 | 1725 | 160 | 51 | 7.5 | 100 | 310 | <0.10 | 54.0 | 0.2 |
| 949 | 7/27/1994 | 0.010 | $<0.03$ | 0.01 | 531 | 5.36 | 7.67 | 1151 | 1626 | 193 | 50 | 6.2 | 87.4 | 327 | $<0.10$ | 89.8 | 0.6 |
| 949 | 11/20/1996 | 0.008 | $<0.03$ | 0.008 | 464 | 5.3 | 7.69 | 1110 | 1703 | 189 | 51.9 | 5.87 | 104 | 340 | $<0.10$ | 82 | 3.7 |
| 949 | 8/25/2008 | 0.012 | $<0.03$ | 0.009 | 512 | 5.00 | 7.63 | 1200 | 1620 | 184 | 56.6 | 6.80 | 128 | 344 | $<1.0$ | 108 | 0.170 |
| 951 | 04/15/1993 | 0.018 | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | 350 | 22.1 | 7.13 | 890 | 1422 | 140 | 42.0 | 4.7 | 74.0 | 260 | <0.10 | 60.0 |  |
| 951 | 10/05/1993 | 0.022 | $<0.01$ | <0.01 | 340 | 23.0 | 7.11 | 830 |  |  |  |  | 75.0 |  |  | 55.0 |  |
| 951 | 04/05/1994 | 0.022 | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | 350 | 20.8 | 7.08 | 890 | 1514 | 160 | 46.0 | 5.2 |  | 340 | $<0.10$ | 57.0 |  |
| 951 | 08/31/1995 | 0.019 | $<0.03$ | $<0.01$ | 327 | 0.16 | 8.27 | 841 | 1262 | 138 | 44 | 5.1 | 77.0 | 325 | <0.10 | 54.0 | $<0.2$ |
| 951 | 03/07/1996 | 0.017 | $<0.03$ | $<0.01$ | 567 | 0.11 | 8.21 | 993 | 1530 | 87.2 | 69 | 9.8 | 117 | 113 | $<0.10$ | 88.9 | $<0.2$ |
| 951 | 10/22/1996 | 0.005 | $<0.03$ | $<0.005$ | 7.4 | 2.66 | 7.66 | 104 | 213 | 27.6 | 3.7 | 11.7 | 2.3 | 94.5 | $<0.10$ | 3.1 | $<0.2$ |
| 951 | 08/21/1997 | 0.024 | $<0.03$ | <0.005 | 330 | 1.48 | 7.94 | 872 | 1388 | 153 | 43 | 5.2 | 75.6 | 346 | <0.10 | 50 | $<0.2$ |
| 951 | 12/17/1997 | 0.024 | $<0.03$ | 0.005 | 314 | 4.52 | 8.21 | 867 | 1243 | 148 | 42.3 | 5.2 | 73.0 | 340 | $<0.10$ | 51 | $<0.2$ |
| 951 | 8/18/1998 | 0.025 | <0.03 | <0.005 | 323 | 4.57 | 7.85 | 872 | 1478 | 148 | 43.2 | 5.6 | 76.5 | 342 | <1.0 | 50.3 | $<0.200$ |
| 951 | 8/19/1999 | 0.025 |  | 0.003 | 333 |  |  | 842 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 951 | 9/17/1999 | 0.026 |  | 0.005 | 313 |  |  | 855 | 1185 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table C.2-6. Water Quality Data for Homestake Site and Distal San Andres Aquifer Wells

| Well ID | Date | U ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | Mo (mg/l) | Se ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ ) | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{NO}_{3}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | pH (s.u.) | TDS (mg/l) | $\mathrm{SC}(\mu \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{cm})$ | Ca (mg/l) | $\mathbf{M g}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $K(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | Ra-226 (pCi/l) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 951 | 10/19/1999 | 0.025 |  | <0.005 | 335 |  |  | 838 | 1221 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 951 | 11/2/1999 | 0.023 |  | 0.003 | 335 |  |  | 857 | 1222 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 951 | 12/10/1999 | 0.020 |  | 0.006 | 350 |  |  | 861 | 1200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 951 | 1/20/2000 | 0.032 | $<0.03$ | $<0.005$ | 333 |  |  | 824 | 1240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 951 | 8/9/2000 | 0.003 |  | $<0.005$ | 270 |  |  | 623 | 1226 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 951 | 10/17/2002 | 0.028 |  | $<0.005$ | 314 |  |  | 896 | 1623 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 951 | 10/27/2003 | 0.031 |  | <0.005 | 342 |  |  | 942 | 1305 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 951 | 12/8/2004 | 0.027 |  | 0.0080 | 334 |  |  | 919 | 1288 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 951 | 4/25/2005 | 0.028 | <0.03 | <0.05 | 358 | 4.40 | 7.78 | 921 | 1318 | 145 | 43.1 | 4.90 | 80.5 | 331 | $<1.0$ | 68.0 | 0.2 |
| 951 | 12/5/2005 | 0.033 |  | 0.0050 | 316 |  |  | 892 | 1350 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 951 | 3/16/2006 | 0.037 | $<0.03$ | 0.0060 | 356 | 4.20 | 7.91 | 912 | 1459 | 145 | 43.4 | 5.60 | 79.9 | 342 | $<1.0$ | 83.0 | 0.800 |
| 951 | 3/9/2007 | 0.0317 | $<0.03$ | <0.005 | 360 | 4.50 | 7.79 | 916 | 1318 | 154 | 47.2 | 5.30 | 83.6 | 354 | $<1.0$ | 62.0 | $<0.200$ |
| 951 | 12/3/2007 | 0.0406 |  | 0.0060 | 325 |  |  | 932 | 1346 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 951 | 3/5/2008 | 0.0400 | $<0.03$ | 0.0060 | 349 | 4.50 | 7.49 | 938 | 1348 | 147 | 43.5 | 5.50 | 86.0 | 352 | $<1.0$ | 62.0 | 7.60 |
| 951 | 8/27/2008 | 0.0470 | $<0.03$ | 0.0050 | 382 | 5.00 | 7.66 | 976 | 1421 | 170 | 50.0 | 5.70 | 98.0 | 339 | $<1.0$ | 74.0 | -0.200 |
| 951 | 12/1/2008 | 0.0416 |  | 0.0060 | 348 |  |  | 982 | 1401 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 951 | 3/20/2009 | 0.0384 | <0.03 | 0.005 | 356 | 4.8 | 7.44 | 962 |  | 152 | 44.6 | 5.3 | 88.8 | 347 | $<1.0$ | 64 | 0.09 |
| 951 | 3/24/2009 | 0.0366 | <0.03 | 0.005 | 349 | 4.7 | 7.35 | 937 |  | 168 | 46.9 | 5.4 | 80.6 | 348 | $<1.0$ | 63 | -0.01 |
| 951 | 3/31/2009 |  |  | 0.0063 | 353 | 4.7 | 7.3 | 884 |  | 162 | 42.3 | 4.59 | 71.2 | 274 | $<10$ | 57 |  |
| 951 | 12/7/2009 | 0.0367 | $<0.1$ | 0.006 | 356 |  |  | 899 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 951 | 3/3/2010 | 0.0333 | $<0.03$ | 0.0070 | 341 | 4.40 | 7.39 | 872 | 1366 | 148 | 43.6 | 5.10 | 81.3 | 375 | $<5.0$ | 61.0 | 0.060 |
| 951 | 6/22/2010 | 0.0452 | $<0.03$ | 0.0060 | 37.2 | 4.30 | 7.47 | 990 | 1429 | 152 | 44.9 | 5.60 | 90.3 | 372 | $<5.0$ | 72.0 | 0.008 |
| 951 | 6/24/2010 | 0.0482 | $<0.03$ | 0.0070 | 391 | 6.30 |  | 1040 | 907 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 |  |
| 951 | 12/6/2010 | 0.0339 |  | $<0.005$ | 359 |  |  | 985 | 1005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 951 | 4/13/2011 | 0.0325 | $<0.03$ | 0.007 | 356 | 4.50 | 7.48 | 966 | 1340 | 153 | 44.0 | 5.50 | 85.0 | 360 | $<5.0$ | 59.0 | 0.09 |
| 951 | 7/6/2011 | 0.0410 | $<0.03$ | 0.0050 | 363 | 4.40 |  | 927 | 1379 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 64.0 |  |
| 951 | 10/12/2011 | 0.0371 | $<0.03$ | 0.005 | 360 | 4.6 |  | 927 | 1366 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 63.0 |  |
| 951 | 3/9/2012 | 0.0351 | $<0.03$ | 0.0060 | 348 | 4.50 | 7.47 | 960 | 1400 | 146 | 43.3 | 5.40 | 87.4 | 346 | $<5.0$ | 61.0 | 0.48 |
| 0951R | 4/24/2012 | 0.0317 | $<0.03$ | 0.009 | 536 |  |  | 1410 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 147 |  |
| 0951R | 6/11/2012 | 0.0228 | $<0.03$ | 0.009 | 520 |  |  | 1380 | 1973 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 139 |  |
| 0951R | 8/16/2012 | 0.0302 | <0.03 | 0.0090 . | 548 | 4.00 | 7.45 | 1490 | 2027 | 182 | 61.3 | 9.20 | 167 | 438 | $<5.0$ | 156 | 0.95 |
| 0951R | 8/27/2012 | 0.0286 | $<0.03$ | 0.007 | 555 | 3.20 |  | 1420 | 2045 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 156 |  |
| 0951R | 3/6/2013 | 0.0377 | 0.08 | 0.009 | 581 | 4 | 7.25 | 1490 | 2107 | 205 | 67.3 | 9.6 | 195 | 425 | $<5.0$ | 169 | 1.7 |
| 955 | 7/10/1980 | <0.01 | $<0.05$ | $<0.01$ | 414 | 5.80 | 7.20 | 1149 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 333 |  | 61.0 | 0.3 |
| 955 | 7/21/1994 | <0.00 | $<0.03$ | 0.01 | 495 | 3.27 | 7.73 | 1082 | 1468 | 157 | 47.1 | 5.9 | 106 | 315 | $<0.10$ | 64.3 | 1.0 |
| 955 | 11/3/1995 | <0.01 | $<0.03$ | 0.01 | 441 | 3.17 | 6.84 | 1031 | 1443 | 159 | 48.0 | 6.2 | 107 | 398 | $<0.10$ | 63.0 | 0.3 |
| 955 | 7/18/1996 | 0.006 | $<0.03$ | 0.007 | 433 | 3.08 | 7.58 | 1109 | 1645 | 152 | 48.1 | 6.2 | 109 | 320 | $<0.10$ | 63.4 | 0.50 |
| 955 | 10/22/1996 | 0.019 | <0.03 | 0.0110 | 454 | 3.56 | 7.85 | 1050 | 1577 | 159 | 48.8 | 6.2 | 109 | 328 | <0.10 | 65.7 | 0.30 |
| 955 | 5/1/2006 | 0.0054 | $<0.001$ | 0.011 | 461 | 3.60 | 7.84 | 1030 | 891 | 164 | 51.8 | 6.60 | 107 | 336 | $<1.0$ | 68.0 | 1.0 |
| 955 | 8/25/2008 | 0.0054 | $<0.03$ | 0.011 | 438 | 4.20 | 7.65 | 1030 | 1460 | 165 | 53.8 | 6.30 | 103 | 320 | $<1.0$ | 70.0 | 0.21 |
| 986 | 1/11/1900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 986 | 11/2/1995 | 0.01 | $<0.03$ | 0.010 | 435 | 4.53 | 7.95 | 1058 | 1476 | 170 | 47.0 | 5.60 | 99 | 331 | $<0.10$ | 70.0 | $<0.2$ |
| 986 | 11/3/1995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1476 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 986 | 5/2/2006 | 0.0458 | 0.0020 | 0.018 | 606 | 2.90 | 7.92 | 1330 |  | 139 | 39.5 | 3.10 | 268 | 410 | $<1.0$ | 48.0 | <1.0 |
| 986 | 05/15/2007 | 0.0514 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Well ID | Date | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | Mo (mg/l) | Se (mg/l) | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$ (mg/l) | $\mathrm{NO}_{3}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | pH (s.u.) | TDS (mg/l) | SC ( $\mu \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{cm}$ ) | Ca (mg/l) | Mg (mg/l) | $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | Cl (mg/l) | Ra-226 (pCi/l) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 986 | 8/22/2008 | 0.0111 | <0.03 | 0.01 | 468 | 4.30 | 7.62 | 1100 | 1290 | 166 | 50.7 | 5.70 | 119 | 328 | <1.0 | 71.0 | 0.35 |
| 986 | 8/23/2008 | 0.0094 | <0.03 | 0.01 | 474 | 4.90 | 7.60 | 1090 | 1310 | 1178 | 52.5 | 15.90 | 114 | 328 | <1.0 | 78.0 | 0.31 |
| 986 | 8/25/2008 | 0.0087 | <0.03 | 0.01 | 476 | 4.60 | 7.52 | 1150 | 1300 | 173 | 54.5 | 6.20 | 118 | 337 | <1.0 | 81.0 | 0.27 |
| 986 | 11/13/2008 | 0.0534 | <0.03 | 0.024 | 876 | 5.10 | 7.84 | 1760 | 2270 | 192 | 50.6 | 3.70 | 322 | 1384 | $<1.0$ | 65.0 | 0.05 |
| 986 | 111/13/2008 | 0.0573 | <0.03 | 0.024 | 1876 | 5.10 | 7.78 | 1730 | 1640 | 196 | 51.7 | 3.70 | 319 | 385 | <1.0 | 66.0 | 0.09 |
| 987 | 11/3/1995 | 0.01 | <0.03 | 0.010 | 422 | 4.74 | :7.61 | 1054 | 1487 | 143 | 41.0 | '5.30 | 139 | 353 | <0.10 | 161.0 | $1<0.2$ |
| 987 | 15/10/2007 | 0.0091 | 0.0010 | 0.011 | 1547 | 3.60 | 7.60 | 1170 |  | 172 | 51.2 | 6.00 | 116 | 366 | <1.0 | 59.0 | <0.2 |
| 991 | 11/8/1995 | <0.01 | <0.03 | $<0.01$ | 435 | 4.63 | 7.67 | 1064 | 1476 | 168 | 48.0 | 15.60 | 101 | 344 | <0.10 | '70.0 | <0.2 |
| 991 | 18/26/2008 | 10.0062 | <0.03 | 0.010 | 427 | 4.40 | 17.73 | 1030 | 11430 |  | 52.3 | 6.10 | 101 | 320 | <1.0 | 71.0 | 10.55 |
| 1995 | 6/28/1956 |  |  |  |  | 31.0 | 7.40 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 995 | [5/14/1958 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.60 |  |  |  |  | 10.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 8/23/1995 | 0.01 | <0.03 | 0.01 | ; 423 | 4.41 | 17.40 | 990 | 1489 | 169 | : 46.4 | 4.80 | 85 | 315 | <0.10 | 53.0 | 0.60 |
| Old \#1 | 17/19/1994 | 0.01 | 10.2 | 0.16 | 12.9 | < $<0.10$ | 9.77 | 696 | 1284 | 1.6 | 10.2 | 12.8 | 296 | 316 | 105 | 135 | 10.6 |
| Old \#1 | 3/8/1996 | 0.02 | <0.03 | <0.01 | 632 | <0.10 | 8.24 | 1382 |  | 7.6 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 440 | 1351 | <0.10 | 53.7 | $<0.2$ |

## Notes:

Data from tables in HMC's annual reports issued since 1996, "Water Quality Analyses for the San Andres Aquifer" (scan quality was poor in very early reports so some results are uncertain). HMC did not issue an annual report for the year 2009, so electronic data provided by HMC are used in some cases
This table is limited to key parameters; some analytes not regularly reported by HMC and/or not monitored in Bluewater site wells are excluded (e.g., chromium, Ra-228, Th-230, vanadium). Locations for most HMC wells are shown on Plate 7 (and Figures 16-19) of the Site Status Report; a few (e.g., Old \#1) are not mapped due to the paucity of data and/or lack of relevance. Although included in HMC's database, well 923 (three results from 1993-1994) was located at the Bluewater site in the region of San Andres well I(SG).
Results for HMC well 951 are duplicated in Table C.2-1 as it is at the Bluewater site boundary and is currently monitored by DOE
Results from Bahar 2007 were also consulted to verify and/or supplement uranium results reported by HMC (reference provided below).

Bahar, 2007. Letter from Dana Bahar, Manager, Superfund Oversight Section of New Mexico Environment Department, to Chris Clayton, Office of Long-Term Stewardship, U.S. Department of Energy Office of Environmental Management, and to Ron Linton, Senior Groundwater Hydrologist, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of Federal and State Materials and Environmental Management Programs. Subject: Request for DOE and NRC to sample San Andres aquifer. October 17, 2007.

Uranium data obtained from Figure 6 ("Data from HMC" of this letter. Note that the units for uranium concentrations in this exhibit, listed as ppb (parts per billion) are incorrect. As confirmed by comparison of results with those in Homestake's reports, the units should be as $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ uranium.
$\square$
Table C.2-7. Water Quality Data, from NMED 2010 (Tables 8 and 10)

| Sample ID | Fm | Alternate IDs <br> (see App. B) | Latitude | Longitude | Date Sampled | $\begin{aligned} & U \\ & (\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{U}-238 \\ & (\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{U}-235 \\ & (\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{U}-234 \\ & (\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | Se ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | Mo ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{S O}_{4} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{HCO}_{3} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | SC ( $\mu \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{cm}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{C a} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Mg} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | Na $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ | $\begin{aligned} & K \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BW-02 | SA | HMC-965 | 35.225595 | -107.888629 | 8/25/2008 | 6.4 |  |  |  | 10.9 | <2 | 434 | 267 | 1289 | 159 | 47.1 | 106 | 6.19 |
| BW-03 | SA | HMC-986 | 35.224344 | -107.888396 | 8/25/2008 | 11.7 |  |  |  | 10.8 | $<2$ | 463 | 273 | 1379 | 171 | 49.4 | 126 | 6.11 |
| BW-04 | SA | HMC-991 | 35.229749 | -107.888762 | 8/27/2008 | 7 |  |  |  | 9.2 | $<2$ | 434 | 262 | 1273 | 160 | 50.2 | 105 | 6.16 |
| BW-05 | SA | BW-05 | 35.258875 | -107.972292 | 8/25/2008 | 10.5 | 3.0 | 0.07 | 6.4 | 4 | $<2$ | 475 | 356 | 1613 | 170 | 52.7 | 178 | 6.32 |
| BW-06 | SA | HMC-938 | 35.231733 | -107.923966 | 8/25/2008 | 5.1 |  |  |  | 3.7 | $<2$ | 249 | 230 | 1115 | 109 | 38.5 | 46.9 | 2.9 |
| BW-07 | SA | BW-07 | 35.265414 | -107.994713 | 8/26/2008 | 9.4 |  |  |  | 2.2 | $<2$ | 1440 | 476 | 3231 | 422 | 96.1 | 420 | 13.7 |
| BW-08 | SA | BW-08 | 35.265967 | -107.975864 | 8/26/2008 | 4.9 |  |  |  | 2.5 | <2 | 245 | 249 | 847 | 138 | 35.2 | 37.3 | 3.38 |
| BW-09 | SA | BW-09 | 35.248517 | -107.976643 | 8/26/2008 | 7.8 |  |  |  | 4.8 | 2.1 | 345 | 293 | 1068 | 164 | 43.3 | 71.1 | 5.46 |
| BW-10 | SA | BW-10 | 35.256567 | -107.966417 | 8/26/2008 | 5.5 |  |  |  | 2 | $<2$ | 241 | 335 | 962 | 165 | 35.3 | 34.9 | 2.69 |
| BW-11 | SA | BW-11 | 35.25595 | -107.967767 | 8/26/2008 | 5 |  |  |  | <2 | $<2$ | 222 | 312 | 910 | 147 | 33.6 | 26.5 | 2.97 |
| BW-12 | SA | BW-12 | 35.242589 | -107.963738 | 8/27/2008 | 6.8 |  |  |  | 4.7 | $<2$ | 352 | 288 | 1474 | 143 | 47 | 95.7 | 7.83 |
| BW-13 | SA | BW-13 | 35.23951 | -107.961517 | 8/27/2008 | 6.4 |  |  |  | 3.8 | $<2$ | 342 | 286 | 1446 | 149 | 44.8 | 83.7 | 6.1 |
| BW-14 | SA | BW-14 | 35.252936 | -107.981026 | 8/27/2008 | 10.5 | 3.4 | 0.08 | 13.8 | 10 | $<2$ | 451 | 284 | 1688 | 196 | 59.4 | 56.1 | 3.99 |
| BW-15 | SA | HMC-911 | 35.21802 | -107.912511 | 8/25/2008 | 12 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 4.5 | 10.1 | $<2$ | 341 | 233 | 1033 | 134 | 39.1 | 63.5 | 3.59 |
| BW-16 | SA | HMC-998 | 35.213846 | -107.912278 | 8/27/2008 | 17.7 |  |  |  | 18.8 | $<2$ | 385 | 230 |  | 148 | 42.3 | 80.6 | 3.92 |
| BW-17 | SA | BW-17 | 35.233128 | -107.944206 | 8/28/2008 | 4.4 |  |  |  | 3.9 | 3.3 | 181 | 200 | 7889 | 79.3 | 37.7 | 33.8 | 2.18 |
| BW-18 | AL | Simpson, HMC-936 | 35.242809 | -107.924478 | 8/28/2008 | 3.6 |  |  |  | 31 | <2 | 529 | 188 | 1804 | 228 | 48.2 | 116 | 3.11 |
| BW-19 | SA | BW-19 | 35.236342 | -107.932447 | 8/28/2008 | 14.2 |  |  |  | 11.1 | 3.3 | 290 | 242 | 1113 | 131 | 43.7 | 63.8 | 3.8 |
| BW-20 | SA | HMC-545 | 35.23341 | -107.912254 | 8/28/2008 | 7.2 |  |  |  | 18.7 | $<2$ | 415 | 222 | 1373 | 185 | 52.3 | 56.6 | 4.39 |
| BW-21 | SA | BW-21 | 35.203787 | -107.908264 | 8/25/2008 | 11.8 |  |  |  | 16.3 | $<2$ | 329 | 220 | 995 | 125 | 36.5 | 72.5 | 3.45 |
| BW-22 | SA | BW-22 | 35.203835 | -107.915436 | 8/25/2008 | 5.4 |  |  |  | 7.3 | 3.4 | 162 | 182 | 644 | 73.3 | 29.2 | 32.5 | 2.22 |
| BW-23 | SA | HMC-949 | 35.234271 | -107.888866 | 8/25/2008 | 13.8 | 4.3 | 0.4 | 7.1 | 8.5 | $<2$ | 517 | 280 | 1624 | 187 | 54.5 | 133 | 7.02 |
| BW-24 | SA | BW-24 | 35.26193 | -107.97442 | 8/25/2008 | 10.9 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 14.4 | 4.1 | <2 | 478 | 362 | 2101 | 167 | 53.5 | 191 | 5.34 |
| BW-25 | SA | L(SG) | 35.271106 | -107.957824 | 8/27/2008 | $<2$ | -0.03 | 0.0008 | 0.01 | <2 | 16.5 | 2.5 | 102 | 1344 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 332 | 5.47 |
| BW-26 | SA | S(SG) | 35.268777 | -107.938559 | 8/27/2008 | <2* | 0.2 | -0.1 | 0.4 | $<2$ | <2 | 357 | <5 | 6753 | 758 | 61.6 | 113 | 1.84 |
| BW-27 | SA | OBS-3 | 35.271529 | -107.938604 | 8/27/2008 | <2* | 7.61 | 0.08 | 0.06 | $<2$ | <2 | 567 | <5 | 3727 | 83.5 | 132 | 535 | 15.3 |
| BW-28 | SA | I(SG) | 35.266163 | -107.907318 | 8/27/2008 | <2* | 0.04 | -0.01 | 0.4 | <2 | <2 | 103 | 80 | 1175 | 14.5 | 10.8 | 192 | 5.22 |
| BW-29 | SA | HMC \#1 Deepwell | 35.242032 | -107.856229 | 8/27/2008 | 8.9 | 0.3 |  |  | 7.3 | <2 | 749 | 485 | 2828 | 225 | 77.8 | 312 | 13 |
| BW-30 | SA | HMC \#2 Deepwell | 35.239529 | -107.864253 | 8/27/2008 | 16.7 |  |  |  | 7.7 | 3.5 | 727 | 426 | 2530 | 231 | 78.1 | 282 | 12.6 |
| BW-32 | SA | HMC-928 | 35.255295 | -107.86176 | 9/16/2008 | 29 | 11.0 | 0.5 | 22.9 | 5 | 9.0 | 555 | 315 | 1858 | 15.2 | 4.3 | 423 | 1.56 |
| BW-33 | SA | HMC-943 | 35.225191 | -107.876176 | 9/16/2008 | 20 |  |  |  | 16 | 1.0 | 678 | 400 | 2079 | 187 | 62.2 | 286 | 9.36 |
| BW-34 | SA | HMC-951 | 35.24748 | -107.923981 | 8/27/2008 | 53.3 | 12.3 | 0.5 | 13.5 | 5.3 | <2 | 383 | 274 | 1254 | 159 | 47.5 | 101 | 5.62 |
| BW-35 | SA | BW-35 | 35.279927 | -107.831931 | 8/25/2008 | 6.4 |  |  |  | 10.9 | <2 | 434 | 267 | 3857 | 159 | 47.1 | 106 | 6.19 |
| SMC-03 | AL | SMC-03 | 35.204251 | -107.897797 | $3 / 31 / 2009$ | 11 |  |  |  | 22.1 | $<50$ | 369 | 272 | 1481 | 172 | 40.1 | 54.3 | 4.1 |
| SMC-04 | UNK | SMC-04 | 35.206449 | -107.871402 | 3/31/2009 | 20.6 [19] | [5.61] |  | [11.1] | 5.8 | $<50$ | 200 | 284 | 1291 | 11.2 | 3.24 | 208 | 2.4 |
| SMC-05 | UNK | SMC-05 | 35.204204 | -107.872925 | 3/31/2009 | 26.2 [26] |  |  |  | 4.6 | $<50$ | 105 | 308 | 1126 | 2.63 | 0.58 | 199 | 0.5 |
| SMC-08 | UNK | SMC-08 | 35.266714 | -107.835451 | 3/30/2009 | <2 [9] | 2.8 | 0.2 | 3.9 | 3.8 | $<50$ | 911 | 10 | 727 | 106 | 23.4 | 341 | 2.3 |
| SMC-10 | AL | HMC-914 | 35.277739 | -107.830824 | 3/30/2009 | 30.9 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 32.1 | $<50$ | 2110 | 170 | 2341 | 567 | 149 | 261 | 7 |
| SMC-11 | AL | HMC-920 | 35.276939 | -107.84418 | 3/31/2009 | 228 [200] | 63.0 | 2.8 | 78.1 | 367 | $<50$ | 1580 | 188 | 3590 | 479 | 88.5 | 269 | 10.1 |
| SMC-12 | AL | HMC-950 | 35.289443 | -107.839515 | 3/31/2009 | 163 [150] | 52 [44.8] | 2.3 | 61.9 [54.6] | 382 | <50 | 955 | 210 | 3206 | 59 | 10.3 | 628 | 0.5 |
| SMC-13 | AL | HMC-921 | 35.275482 | -107.850652 | 4/2/2009 | 240 [220] | 64.3 | 3.2 | 75.8 | 618 | $<50$ | 1610 | 180 | 2922 | 389 | 73.7 | 355 | 8.4 |
| SMC-14 | AL | HMC-922 | 35.275194 | -107.859294 | 4/2/2009 | 23.2 [21] |  |  |  | 52.9 | $<50$ | 535 | 246 | 1643 | 4.94 | 0.84 | 434 | 1.1 |

See Notes on following page. Results for SMC- samples in brackets are SLD radiochemical data (as reported in NMED 2010, Table 10).

* Result suspect; see Notes on following page and Figure 57 of Site Status Report.

| Sample ID | Fm | Alternate IDs (see App. B) | Latitude | Longitude | Date Sampled | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cl} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NO}_{3}+\mathrm{NO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | Temp ( $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DO } \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ORP } \\ & (\mathrm{mV}) \end{aligned}$ | pH <br> (s.u.) | Fe <br> ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BW-02 | SA | HMC-965 | 35.225595 | -107.888629 | 8/25/2008 | 65 | 3.83 | 16.23 | 2.0 | 69.4 | 6.89 | <25 |  |
| BW-03 | SA | HMC-986 | 35.224344 | -107.888396 | 8/25/2008 | 73 | 4.28 | 16.5 | 2.21 | 99.8 | 6.79 | <25 |  |
| BW-04 | SA | HMC-991 | 35.229749 | -107.888762 | 8/27/2008 | 67 | 4.13 | 16.2 | 3.68 | -22 | 6.9 | 177 | Abbreviations |
| BW-05 | SA | BW-05 | 35.258875 | -107.972292 | 8/25/2008 | 101 | 1.75 | 18.51 | 1.98 | 118.6 | 6.69 | $<25$ | AL Alluvial Aquifer |
| BW-06 | SA | HMC-938 | 35.231733 | -107.923966 | 8/25/2008 | 20 | 4.39 | 13.25 | 4.15 | 118.5 | 7.14 | $<25$ | Fm Formation |
| BW-07 | SA | BW-07 | 35.265414 | -107.994713 | 8/26/2008 | 262 | 0.33 | 15.29 | 1.84 | 147.4 | 6.34 | 53.9 | SA San Andres Glorieta (San Andres) |
| BW-08 | SA | BW-08 | 35.265967 | -107.975864 | 8/26/2008 | 25 | 2.69 | 14.9 | 4.86 | 115.6 | 6.98 | $<25$ | UNK Unknown |
| BW-09 | SA | BW-09 | 35.248517 | -107.976643 | 8/26/2008 | 40 | 4.18 | 13.94 | 15.87 | 135.2 | 6.66 | $<25$ |  |
| BW-10 | SA | BW-10 | 35.256567 | -107.966417 | 8/26/2008 | 17 | 2.79 | 14.43 | 9.67 | 160.1 | 6.55 | $<25$ | Notes |
| BW-11 | SA | BW-11 | 35.25595 | -107.967767 | 8/26/2008 | 14 | 2.24 | 14.58 | 8.62 | 170.1 | 6.55 | $<25$ |  |
| BW-12 | SA | BW-12 | 35.242589 | -107.963738 | 8/27/2008 | 45 | 3.83 | 13.52 | 3.9 | 153 | 6.84 | $<25$ | 8 and 10 for sampling conducted in 2008 |
| BW-13 | SA | BW-13 | 35.23951 | -107.961517 | 8/27/2008 | 39 | 3.46 | 13.67 | 3.89 | 191.6 | 6.82 | $<25$ | (not all parameters reported by NMED are |
| BW-14 | SA | BW-14 | 35.252936 | -107.981026 | 8/27/2008 | 48 | 4.79 | 15.01 | 5.32 | 132.3 | 6.58 | $<25$ |  |
| BW-15 | SA | HMC-911 | 35.21802 | -107.912511 | 8/25/2008 | 33 | 4.13 | 13.96 | 13.15 | 113.9 | 7.25 | $<25$ | split samples of DOE and Homestake |
| BW-16 | SA | HMC-998 | 35.213846 | -107.912278 | 8/27/2008 | 42 | 4.2 |  |  |  |  | $<25$ |  |
| BW-17 | SA | BW-17 | 35.233128 | -107.944206 | 8/28/2008 | 11 | 3.51 | 15.55 | 7.89 | 104.2 | 7.14 | $<25$ | sampling. |
| BW-18 | AL | Simpson, HMC-936 | 35.242809 | -107.924478 | 8/28/2008 | 147 | 10 | 16.95 | 7.76 | 80.5 | 6.99 | $<25$ |  |
| BW-19 | SA | BW-19 | 35.236342 | -107.932447 | 8/28/2008 | 27 | 4.65 | 13.62 | 4.98 | 197.3 | 6.85 | <25 | Only 9 of the 27 unique SMC sample |
| BW-20 | SA | HMC-545 | 35.23341 | -107.912254 | 8/28/2008 | 60 | 4.77 | 13.88 | 5.12 | 37 | 7.15 | <25 | eferenced in NMED's study were |
| BW-21 | SA | BW-21 | 35.203787 | -107.908264 | 8/25/2008 | 31 | 3.36 | 14.21 | 10.81 | 129.7 | 7.25 | $<25$ | utilized in the Site Status Report: SMC-03, |
| BW-22 | SA | BW-22 | 35.203835 | -107.915436 | 8/25/2008 | 10 | 1.4 | 15.31 | 10.48 | 134.6 | 7.52 | <25 | SMC-04, SMC-05, SMC-08, SMC-10, SMC-11, |
| BW-23 | SA | HMC-949 | 35.234271 | -107.888866 | 8/25/2008 | 101 | 4.76 | 16.35 | 8.24 | 135.6 | 7.06 | <25 | SMC-12, SMC-13, and SMC-14. The |
| BW-24 | SA | BW-24 | 35.26193 | -107.97442 | 8/25/2008 | 107 | 0.34 | 15.42 | 1.53 | 125.5 | 6.72 | 45.4 | remaining SMC locations were not used |
| BW-25 | SA | L(SG) | 35.271106 | -107.957824 | 8/27/2008 | 217 | 0.02 | 17.16 | 0.81 | -232.8 | 10.21 | <25 | because they are outside the study region |
| BW-26 | SA | S(SG) | 35.268777 | -107.938559 | 8/27/2008 | 2380 | 0.07 | 17.94 | 1.03 | -75.4 | 5.4 | 564000 | addressed in the Site Status Report. |
| BW-27 | SA | OBS-3 | 35.271529 | -107.938604 | 8/27/2008 | 996 | 0.13 | 17.7 | 2.76 | -119.6 | 6.48 | 1020 |  |
| BW-28 | SA | I(SG) | 35.266163 | -107.907318 | 8/27/2008 | 216 | 0.07 | 15.38 | 0.89 | 88.6 | 8.66 | 68.5 | Uranium concentrations reported for BW- |
| BW-29 | SA | HMC \#1 Deepwell | 35.242032 | -107.856229 | 8/27/2008 | 219 | 1.02 | 20.47 | 3.97 | 124.4 | 6.69 | 87.2 | 26 (S(SG)), BW-27 (OBS-3), and BW-28 |
| BW-30 | SA | HMC \#2 Deepwell | 35.239529 | -107.864253 | 8/27/2008 | 209 | 2.33 | 18.13 | 9 | 132.2 | 6.75 | $<25$ | (I(SG)) are all suspect, as uranium |
| BW-32 | SA | HMC-928 | 35.255295 | -107.86176 | 9/16/2008 | 36.5 | 0.11 | 14.95 | 0.81 | 74 | 8.27 | <50 | concentrations in San Andres aquifer wells in |
| BW-33 | SA | HMC-943 | 35.225191 | -107.876176 | 9/16/2008 | 139 | 4.8 | 18.66 | 0.93 | 121.6 | 6.86 | <50 | this region are known to be higher. Results |
| BW-34 | SA | HMC-951 | 35.24748 | -107.923981 | 8/27/2008 | 57 | 4.61 | 13.92 | 8.95 | 172.8 | 7.22 | 33.4 | for San Andres well 16(SG), about $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| BW-35 | SA | BW-35 | 35.279927 | -107.831931 | 8/25/2008 | 65 | 3.83 | 22.99 | 3.8 | 64.9 | 8.48 | $<25$ | uranium, are considered more characteristic |
| SMC-03 | AL | SMC-03 | 35.204251 | -107.897797 | 3/31/2009 | 32 | 4.12 | 13.28 | 4.55 | 130.2 | 7.29 | $<25$ |  |
| SMC-04 | UNK | SMC-04 | 35.206449 | -107.871402 | 3/31/2009 | 33 | 0.82 | 12.79 | 1.29 | 25.2 | 8.57 | <25 |  |
| SMC-05 | UNK | SMC-05 | 35.204204 | -107.872925 | 3/31/2009 | 27 | 0.86 | 15.09 | 3.24 | 93.3 | 8.81 | <25 |  |
| SMC-08 | UNK | SMC-08 | 35.266714 | -107.835451 | 3/30/2009 | 78 | 0.05 | 12.74 | 5.01 | 116.5 | 8.36 | 2740 |  |
| SMC-10 | AL | HMC-914 | 35.277739 | -107.830824 | 3/30/2009 | 47 | 21.2 | 12.98 | 0.16 | -195.3 | 7.94 | <25 |  |
| SMC-11 | AL | HMC-920 | 35.276939 | -107.84418 | 3/31/2009 | 55 | 0.02 | 13.05 | 0.2 | 207.4 | 6.92 | $<25$ |  |
| SMC-12 | AL | HMC-950 | 35.289443 | -107.839515 | 3/31/2009 | 125 | 11.5 | 12.43 | 4.1 | 201 | 7.7 | $<25$ |  |
| SMC-13 | AL | HMC-921 | 35.275482 | -107.850652 | 4/2/2009 | 59 | 18.6 | 13.52 | 1.52 | 13.7 | 6.83 | $<25$ |  |
| SMC-14 | AL | HMC-922 | 35.275194 | -107.859294 | 4/2/2009 | 58 | 2.36 | 11.8 | 0.17 | -222.5 | 8.76 | 28.4 |  |

Table C.2-8. Uranium and Uranium Isotope Results from New Mexico Drinking Water Branch

| Sample Location (DWB Facility Name) | System Name | Latitude | Longitude | Well Depth (ft) | Sample Date | DWB <br> Hyperlink <br> ( $=$ Lab Sample No.) | Result Type | Sampling Point | Combined Uranium (mg/L) | Combined Uranium ( $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{U}-234 \\ & (\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{U}-238 \\ & \text { (units vary) } \end{aligned}$ | Activity Ratio | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grants Well \#1 (B-38) | Grants Domestic Water System | 35.1568 | -107.8672 | 300 | 10/27/2004 | RC20040452 | RAD | SP261330011 | 0.006 |  |  | $6 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  |
| Grants Well \#3 (B-40) | Grants Domestic Water System | 35.1631 | -107.8782 | 388 | 10/27/2004 | RC20040453 | RAD | SP261330031 | 0.006 |  |  | $6 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  |
| Well \#1 (B-23) | Milan Community Water System | 35.1748 | -107.8930 | 214 | 10/27/2004 | RC20040449 | RAD | SP255330011 | 0.005 |  |  | $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  |
| Well \#1 (B-23) | Milan Community Water System | 35.1748 | -107.8930 | 214 | 8/5/2008 | RC200800268 | RAD | SP255330011 | 0.005 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well \#1 (B-23) | Milan Community Water System | 35.1748 | -107.8930 | 214 | 12/2/2009 | RC200900327 | RAD | SP255330011 | 0.004 |  | 3.07 | $1.3 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 2.4 |  |
| Well \#1 (B-23) | Milan Community Water System | 35.1748 | -107.8930 | 214 | 5/26/2010 | RC201000119 | RAD | SP255330011 | 0.005 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well \#1 (B-23) | Milan Community Water System | 35.1748 | -107.8930 | 214 | 5/26/2011 | $\underline{2011019872}$ | RAD | SP255330061 | 0.004 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well \#1 (B-23) | Milan Community Water System | 35.1748 | -107.8930 | 214 | 7/2/2014 | $\underline{2014021196}$ | RAD | SP255330061 | 0.005 |  |  |  |  | See Note 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well \#3 (B-35) | Milan Community Water System | 35.2061 | -107.9004 | 185 | 6/19/1996 | RC960292 | RAD | SP255330031 | 0.011 | 8.4 | 6.26 | $3.69 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.7 | See Note 2 |
| Well \#3 (B-35) | Milan Community Water System | 35.2061 | -107.9004 | 185 | 10/27/2004 | RC20040450 | RAD | SP255330031 | 0.005 |  |  | $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  |
| Well \#3 (B-35) | Milan Community Water System | 35.2061 | -107.9004 | 185 | 8/5/2008 | RC200800270 | RAD | SP255330031 | 0.004 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well \#3 (B-35) | Milan Community Water System | 35.2061 | -107.9004 | 185 | 12/2/2009 | RC200900325 | RAD | SP255330031 | 0.004 |  | 2.6 | $1.25 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 2.1 |  |
| Well \#3 (B-35) | Milan Community Water System | 35.2061 | -107.9004 | 185 | 5/26/2010 | RC201000120 | RAD | SP255330031 | 0.004 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well \#3 (B-35) | Milan Community Water System | 35.2061 | -107.9004 | 185 | 9/15/2011 | $\underline{2011033474}$ | RAD | SP255330051 | 0.004 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well \#3 (B-35) | Milan Community Water System | 35.2061 | -107.9004 | 185 | 7/2/2014 | 2014021200 | RAD | SP255330051 | 0.004 |  |  |  |  | See Note 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well \#4 (Golden Acres) | Milan Community Water System | 35.2138 | -107.9123 | 165 | 6/23/1997 | RC980131 | RAD | SP255330041 | 0.008 | 7 |  |  |  | See Note 3 |
| Well \#4 (Golden Acres) | Milan Community Water System | 35.2138 | -107.9123 | 165 | 9/23/1997 | RC980131 | RAD | SP255330041 | 0.008 | 7 |  |  |  | See Note 3 |
| Well \#4 (Golden Acres) | Milan Community Water System | 35.2138 | -107.9123 | 165 | 12/23/1997 | RC980131 | RAD | SP255330041 | 0.008 | 7 |  |  |  | See Note 3 |
| Well \#4 (Golden Acres) | Milan Community Water System | 35.2138 | -107.9123 | 165 | 3/23/1998 | RC980131 | RAD | SP255330041 | 0.008 | 7 |  |  |  | See Note 3 |
| Well \#4 (Golden Acres) | Milan Community Water System | 35.2138 | -107.9123 | 165 | 10/27/2004 | RC20040451 | RAD | SP255330041 | 0.013 |  |  | $13 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  |
| Well \#4 (Golden Acres) | Milan Community Water System | 35.2138 | -107.9123 | 165 | 8/5/2008 | RC200800269 | RAD | SP255330041 | 0.013 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well \#4 (Golden Acres) | Milan Community Water System | 35.2138 | -107.9123 | 165 | 12/2/2009 | RC200900326 | RAD | SP255330041 | 0.012 |  | 5.79 | $3.81 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.5 |  |
| Well \#4 (Golden Acres) | Milan Community Water System | 35.2138 | -107.9123 | 165 | 5/26/2010 | RC201000121 | RAD | SP255330041 | 0.013 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well \#4 (Golden Acres) | Milan Community Water System | 35.2138 | -107.9123 | 165 | null | RC980131 | RAD | SP255330041 | 0.007 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well \#4 (Golden Acres) | Milan Community Water System | 35.2138 | -107.9123 | 165 | 7/2/2014 | $\underline{2014021198}$ | RAD | SP255330071 | 0.012 |  |  |  |  | See Note 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bluewater Well \#1 | Bluewater Water \& Sanitation District | 35.2485 | -107.9766 | 345 | 11/30/2005 | 10500973 | RAD | SP250330011 | 0.0066 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bluewater Well \#1 | Bluewater Water \& Sanitation District | 35.2485 | -107.9766 | 345 | 11/30/2005 | 82900W1 | RAD | SP250330011 | 0.0066 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bluewater Well \#1 | Bluewater Water \& Sanitation District | 35.2485 | -107.9766 | 345 | 5/1/2012 | $\underline{2012015919}$ | RAD | SP250330011 | 0.008 |  |  |  |  |  |

DWB Drinking Water Branch (State of New Mexico website)
U Uranium
0.011 Mass uranium (in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) calculated based on actual or assumed U isotope concentrations using: ( $\mathrm{a}^{*} 2.989$ ) $+\left(\mathrm{b}^{*} 0.4683\right)+\left(\mathrm{c}^{*} 0.00016\right)$, where $a=\mathrm{U}-238$ in $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{U}-235(\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L})$, and $\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{U}-234(\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L})$ U-235 contribution assumed to be negligible given lack of data for these samples. See Notes below.

## Notes

1 Results from July 2014 weren't available at the time the Site Status report was being developed, so these are not included in Figure 61 of the report.
2 Mass uranium calculated using the formula above. The combined uranium result for this record, $8.4 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$, is anomalous given reported activites of $\mathrm{U}-234$ and $\mathrm{U}-238$ isotopes for that sample.
3 Mass uranium calculated using the formula above assuming a U-234/U-238 activity ratio of 1.5 based on the 12/2/2009 result.
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## D1.0 Information Sources

Numerous sources of information were accessed to develop the Bluewater-site components and regional components of the conceptual model. Given the long history of the Bluewater site and widespread interest in how mill-related contaminant plumes have evolved in the study area, groundwater-elevation and water-chemistry data for the alluvial and San Andres aquifers were drawn from a variety of databases, government publications, and consulting reports. This chapter briefly summarizes the content of some of the information sources used for the conceptual model and highlights findings that have bearing on the long-term fate of uranium in the regional groundwater system. More detailed summaries of environmental investigations performed in the Grants-Bluewater Valley are available in the bibliography by Otton (2011).

## D1.1 Bluewater Site-Related Reports

From the late-1970s to the mid-1980s, the firm Hydro-Search, Inc. (Hydro-Search) developed several reports describing the hydrogeology of the Bluewater site and surrounding region, and presented water chemistry data collected from numerous wells in the Grants-Bluewater Valley. In the report Hydrogeology of the Bluewater Mill Tailings Pond Area, Valencia County, New Mexico, Hydro-Search (1977) summarized the geology of and groundwater conditions in the alluvial and San Andres aquifers at the Bluewater site and developed a conceptual model of contaminant seepage from the main tailings impoundment to the aquifers. The chemistry of tailings fluids was examined along with the chemistry at site wells to develop a contaminant source mechanism for plumes migrating east and southeast of the site. Taking into account the apparent impact of the Ambrosia Lake fault and the East-West fault on local groundwater flow, Hydro-Search (1977) described various flow processes by which contamination originating as tailings waste fluids was distributed between the two aquifers. A follow-up report the next year (Hydro-Search 1978a) refined some of the hydrologic- and transport-process descriptions as well as quantities attributed to the processes.

Hydro-Search (1978b) provided a thorough report on the groundwater-monitoring program at the Bluewater site as of the late 1970s, including construction details for the wells comprising the monitoring network and descriptions of the physical measurements and chemical analyses that facilitated characterization of the ambient and mill-impacted groundwater system. In addition, several recommendations were made in this report regarding monitoring system improvements to better evaluate spatial and temporal trends of site-related contaminant plumes. A detailed history of contaminant concentrations at key wells within the monitoring system was provided in a separate report a few years later (Hydro-Search 1981a).

Hydro-Search (1981b) conducted a study of the groundwater hydrology of the Grants-Bluewater Valley, producing a useful and insightful assessment of the potential impact of contamination stemming from milling at the Bluewater site on regional hydrologic resources. Map views and extensive tabulations of groundwater-elevation and groundwater-chemistry data provided an initial perspective on the extent to which impacted groundwater had, at the time, migrated eastward and southeastward in the alluvial and San Andres aquifers from the mill site.

The consulting firm Dames \& Moore (1981c) summarized the activities and results from several aquifer pumping tests performed on wells at the Bluewater site. The report shed light on the wide range of transmissivity, hydraulic conductivity, and storativity values that characterize the San

Andres aquifer. Several of the tests analyzed also provided information on the nature of groundwater flow in ancestral Rio San Jose deposits below basalt flows at the site.

Dames \& Moore (1986a) developed a comprehensive model of groundwater flow and transport processes at the Bluewater site and in downgradient areas. In addition to accounting for flow in both the alluvial and San Andres aquifers, the model simulated the transport of chloride, sulfate, and TDS in areas hydraulically downgradient of the Bluewater site. The model report provides detailed descriptions of the geologic units that comprise both the regional and site-specific groundwater flow and transport systems and summarizes numerous subsurface processes that impact the fate of inorganic constituents in the Grants-Bluewater Valley.

Much of the modeling effort by Dames \& Moore (1986a) focused on the steps taken to calibrate the regional flow and transport simulator. Multiple calibration targets in the form of measured water levels at regional wells, groundwater discharges at springs, and the concentrations of nitrate and chloride in Bluewater site wells were selected. One of the findings from the modeling was that an effective porosity of 0.02 for the San Andres aquifer was needed to accurately match the plume extents for contaminants transported east and southeast of the site. Dames \& Moore confirmed earlier findings from Hydro-Search that the velocities in the two aquifers were large enough to establish mostly steady-state constituent concentrations in onsite plumes within just a few years. Predictions made with the model suggested that both onsite and offsite contaminant concentrations would decrease steadily in following years.

Dames \& Moore (1986b) followed up its modeling investigation of the Bluewater site with a lengthy summary of water quality conditions in the alluvial and San Andres aquifers. The assessments of water quality was based on the preparation of plume maps of key contaminants in 1986 and temporal plots of contaminant concentrations at onsite wells over several years.

The consulting company Applied Hydrology Associates Inc. (1990, 1993, 1995) developed a corrective action program for the Bluewater site after assessing the benefits of site remediation steps designed to reduce the amount of contamination loaded into the local groundwater system and remove contamination residing in the subsurface. Several different alternatives were evaluated, all of which took into consideration a list of physicochemical processes that could impact contaminant migration. Though the list of contaminants included in the evaluations comprised uranium, selenium, and molybdenum, most of the technical assessments conducted dealt with uranium because of its relatively high mobility and apparently large extent in areas downgradient of the site. Applied Hydrology Associates, Inc. (1995) provided detailed estimates of the volume of tailings waste fluids and associated uranium contaminant mass that was loaded to the subsurface at the main tailings impoundment, covering the period from 1953 to 2000. Measured uranium levels at key wells were plotted over multi-year periods from the early 1980s through the early 1990s to ascertain the relative stability of uranium concentrations during that period, and to help in projecting future concentration beneath onsite areas.

Applied Hydrology Associates Inc. (1995) summarized the results of previous and recent aquifer pumping tests at the site to characterize hydraulic properties that govern contaminant transport in the alluvial and San Andres aquifers. Assessments of the aquifers' capacity to attenuate uranium transport with flow distance were also conducted. After a thorough evaluation of the various processes that influence long-term fate of contaminants at the Bluewater site, Applied Hydrology Associates, Inc. (1995) proposed ACLs for uranium, selenium, and molybdenum.

## D1.2 Homestake Site-Related Reports

The geology, hydrogeology, and groundwater remediation activities at the Homestake site have been documented in a large number of reports that have been prepared by a variety of sources, including HMC, environmental consultants to HMC, and the EPA. Annual reports on the progress of the GRP have been prepared by HMC and their consultants over the past few decades. A few of the more recent examples include HMC and Hydro-Engineering (2010, 2013). These reports provide detailed summaries of the remediation activities carried out each year under the GRP and tabulations and maps of monitoring results. Some map products and accompanying cross sections illustrate the latest understanding of the spatial distribution of hydrogeologic units, which include San Mateo alluvium, several distinct strata within the Chinle Formation, and the underlying San Andres Limestone. Other maps contain posted groundwater levels and concentrations of select contaminants, including uranium and sulfate, in the geologic units present, along with contoured representations of these parameters. Detailed tables provide time-varying values of these parameters over the course of the reporting year and potential explanations for anomalous changes in concentration for monitored contaminants. In recent years, monitoring results from a vast array of wells completed in the alluvial aquifer have made it possible to prepare contour maps of groundwater levels and uranium concentration in the aquifer, including at areas where ancestral Rio San Jose alluvium extends from near the Bluewater site and merges with San Mateo alluvium extending westward from the Homestake site. The annual reports (e.g., HMC and Hydro-Engineering 2010, 2013) also provide maps and tabulated versions of water quality results, including for uranium, from wells screened in the San Andres aquifer at and near the Homestake site.

A corrective action plan for the Homestake site, outlining the various components and procedures of the GRP, has been published a few times. Hydro-Engineering (1989) developed a version of the Homestake corrective action plan that comported with early phases of the GRP. An updated corrective action plan was prepared in 2012 (HMC 2012). The plans provide detailed descriptions of the geologic and hydraulic properties of the hydrogeologic units, including updates that result from new characterization activities. The latest water quality results for the components of the GRP are also reported.

Three five-year review reports have been prepared for the Homestake site, as mandated under Superfund. In addition to reporting on the progress being made by HMC in its attempt to meet prescribed groundwater remediation goals, the five-year reports (CH2M-Hill 2001; EPA 2006, 2011) identify potential problems with site-cleanup efforts and make recommendations for improving the groundwater remediation strategy and remediation activities. In the third and most recent five-year review of the Homestake site, the EPA (2011) expressed concern that the complex groundwater remedy might be contributing to elevated uranium concentrations observed at wells screened in the San Andres aquifer in the vicinity of the site. Specifically, the concern is that the combination of HMC's pumping from the San Andres aquifer and injection of water into shallow alluvium has created about 100 ft of hydraulic-head difference between the two aquifers, which, when combined with the local presence of a major fault zone that can act as conduit, has the potential to convey alluvial groundwater with high levels of uranium contamination to the San Andres aquifer. Elevated uranium concentrations have been observed locally in recent years.

## D1.3 USGS Reports

The USGS has published several reports that address the hydrology of the Grants-Bluewater Valley and the Zuni Mountains. The reports shed light on the potential impacts that both surface water and groundwater features in the region can have on contaminant migration from the Bluewater and Homestake sites.

A USGS report by Baldwin and Anderholm (1992) assessed regional groundwater flow in the San Andres Limestone and the underlying Glorieta Sandstone in west-central New Mexico, as well as in the alluvial aquifer in the Grants-Bluewater Valley. The effects that less permeable geologic units have on the regional hydrogeology, including the Triassic-age Chinle Formation and Permian-age formations underlying the Glorieta Sandstone, were also identified. The authors described how a large amount of subsurface flows in the region occurs within secondary permeability features, particularly solution channels, cavernous zones, and fractures in the San Andres Limestone. The presence of these features was identified using aquifer-test results and the rock lithologies reported in well logs for several key wells screened in the San Andres aquifer. In addressing the spatial variability of hydraulic properties for the aquifer, this report identified a wide range of values for transmissivity and hydraulic conductivity derived from aquifer tests at San Andres aquifer wells. The authors used this information to divide the region into seven transmissivity zones. The spatial distribution of the zone helped explain the regional potentiometric surface that is typically observed for the San Andres aquifer in the GrantsBluewater Valley.

Baldwin and Anderholm (1992) identified multiple recharge zones for the San Andres aquifer on the northeast flanks of the Zuni Mountains where outcrops of limestones and sandstones associated with the aquifer are observed. The importance of recharge from precipitation and surface water features in the region, including Bluewater Lake, Bluewater Creek, Rio San Jose, and several irrigation canals was also identified. A significant finding in the report was that recharge varies substantially from year to year depending on yearly precipitation amounts and the general availability of surface water over multi-year periods. Significant areas of groundwater discharge from the San Andres and alluvial aquifers, such as at springs, were also pointed out along with rough estimates of the discharge quantities. The combination of recharge and discharge features in the region allowed the authors to illustrate general directions of groundwater flow in the Grants-Bluewater Valley and the impact that faults have on regional flow patterns. Baldwin and Anderholm (1992) also described the inorganic chemistry and general quality of water in the alluvial and San Andres aquifers as well as in less permeable geologic units affecting aquifer flows. The chemical data helped in identifying flow patterns in the regional groundwater system.

Baldwin and Rankin (1995) authored a USGS report that summarized the hydrogeology of Cibola County and evaluated occurrence, availability, and quality of groundwater resources. Rocks of Precambrian through Quaternary age were studied. The report focused mostly on the most productive aquifers in the county, including Quaternary alluvium and basalt, sandstones in the Mesaverde Group, the Dakota-Zuni-Bluff aquifer, the Westwater Canyon aquifer, the Todilto-Entrada aquifer, and the San Andres-Glorieta aquifer. The authors described how well yields can vary greatly within the county. Baldwin and Rankin (1995) also described the water quality in the most productive aquifers in the region, characterizing dissolved-solids levels and the concentrations of the major anions and cations in each geologic unit.

Frenzel (1992) developed a numerical model of groundwater flow in the San Andres aquifer and overlying valley fill. The work was performed in cooperation with the New Mexico Office of the State Engineer, two Native American Pueblos east of Grants, and the U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs. The purpose of the study was to determine the effects of current and projected water development in the region containing the San Andres aquifer on hydraulic heads in the aquifer and flow in the Rio San Jose.

The digital, finite-difference flow model by Frenzel (1992) contained 2 layers, 76 rows, and 43 columns. In addition to simulating groundwater flow in the Grants-Bluewater valley fill, the model accounted for flow to and from Bluewater Lake and flow in Bluewater Creek and the Rio San Jose. A major spring in the region, Ojo del Gallo, was simulated as a stream. The effects of multiple faults in the region were assessed with the model. Historical groundwater withdrawals and recharge were simulated for the period of fall 1899 to fall 1985. Measured hydraulic heads and streamflows were considered to have been matched reasonably well by the simulated values.

A study was conducted by Risser (1983) to estimate the natural streamflow in the Rio San Jose just upstream of two Native American Pueblos east of Grants. The estimates were based on numerous streamflow and precipitation records compiled by the author, along with historical accounts of streamflow, records of irrigated acreage, and empirically derived estimates of the effects of Bluewater Lake, groundwater withdrawals, and irrigation diversions on surface water flows at various locations within the Rio San Jose watershed. The Risser study used 55 years of recorded and reconstructed streamflow data, from water years 1913 to 1972. The report provides historical precipitation data for meteorology stations in the region and streamflow data for several streams in the Rio San Jose drainage.

West (1972) examined the geologic and hydrologic environments in the vicinity of the Bluewater uranium mill to ascertain whether Permian formations older and beneath the Glorieta Sandstone were favorable for disposal of mill effluent via an injection well north of the main tailings impoundment. His investigation specifically evaluated the capacity of beds of sandstone in the Yeso Formation to accept effluent delivered by gravity flow at rates of 200 to 400 gallons per minute (gpm) at depths of 950 to about $1,400 \mathrm{ft}$ below ground surface. It was demonstrated in the study that a thick interval of siltstone, anhydrite, and gypsum of low permeability in the upper part of the Yeso Formation would separate the injection interval from the principal freshwater aquifer in the Glorieta Sandstone and the San Andres Limestone.

An exploratory disposal well was tested thoroughly during and following drilling (West 1972), and borehole core samples were analyzed for porosity and permeability. The water quality of native formation fluids was examined, which showed the injection interval contained $3,900 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ of dissolved solids, of which $2,200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ was sulfate. The exploratory well was subjected to various aquifer pumping tests, after which additional casing intervals were perforated and all perforated horizons were fractured hydraulically. A 90-day injection test followed, using intermittent inflow rates varying between 380 and $1,300 \mathrm{gpm}$. Operational injection began in December 1960, after which additional testing of the Yeso Formation was conducted and the capacity of the well to accept injection water was recorded. Some data from the testing suggested that the Yeso Formation might be leaking effluent to overlying formations. The injected water contained mill waste effluent with TDS concentrations as high as $13,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ and uranium concentrations considerably higher than regional background values.

## D1.4 Regional Studies

Gordon (1961) described the geology and hydrogeology of the Grants-Bluewater area at a time when uranium mining and milling activity in the region was approaching its peak. This work provided a scientific assessment of the aquifers in the region and summarized the development of regional groundwater resources while reporting on the historical importance of both the alluvial and San Andres aquifers to local agricultural, industrial, and municipal needs. Gordon (1961) reported on the physical effects of groundwater withdrawals in the valley and the potential environmental issues stemming from using the groundwater for irrigation and industrial uses, including uranium milling.

In addition to providing detailed descriptions of the physical, lithologic, and hydraulic properties of geologic units in the region, Gordon (1961) characterized the groundwater quality. The author discussed how hydrologic and other processes such as recharge, pumping, chemical weathering, and evapotranspiration might impact water chemistry. The earliest aquifer pumping tests performed on wells in the Grants-Bluewater Valley were discussed in Gordon (1961).

Kaufmann et al. (1975) summarized the degree to which contamination from uranium mining and milling activities in the Grants Mineral Belt affected regional groundwater quality. In their study, radium, selenium, and nitrate were of most value as indicators of contamination. The authors described how effluents from recent mining contained high radioactivity levels and milltailing seepage contributed to elevated levels of selenium in local, shallow alluvial aquifers. The study was sponsored by the EPA at the request of the New Mexico Environmental Improvement Agency in 1974. Water sampling and analysis occurred in 1975. Many of the findings in the EPA report were subsequently summarized in a journal paper (Kaufmann et al. 1976).

The New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) (2010) conducted a study in 2008 of the groundwater chemistry at a large number of wells in the Grants-Bluewater Valley to ascertain whether the chemical results could be used to determine the source of mill-related contaminants in the valley. The region included in this investigation extended from the Bluewater site to the Homestake site, and covered areas between the Ambrosia Lake mining district and the Homestake site. A large variety of chemical parameters were measured in 2008 at the wells in the study region, ranging from major ions to TDS, metals and other dissolved inorganic constituents, and both stable and radioactive isotopes.

NMED (2010) examined isotopic ratios of carbon, oxygen, hydrogen, sulfur, and the radioactive uranium series from a limited number of groundwater samples. One of the objectives of this effort was to determine if discrepancies in the isotopic ratios could distinguish background water quality from groundwater impacted by releases from uranium mining and milling operations. Utilization of environmental forensic methods such as these was expected to more accurately define baseline water quality conditions in groundwater sources with and without possible anthropogenic impacts.

## D1.5 DOE Reports

DOE (1997) developed a long-term surveillance plan (LTSP) for the Bluewater site. The plan described physical, geological, and hydrological features of the site and addressed how groundwater contamination resulting from the former uranium mill operations would be
monitored by DOE in coming years. Relevant construction features of the main tailings disposal cell and the carbonate tailings disposal cell were described to help facilitate future inspections of remnant features of the milling activities.

DOE (2014) assessed the water balance of the main tailings disposal cell at the Bluewater site (Appendix A). The assessment took into account the history of the cell from its origin as an impoundment for the storage of tailings fluids produced by the Bluewater mill. Technical reviews of previous work performed by various environmental consultants aimed at quantifying the seepage rates and volumes of tailings fluids discharging to the subsurface from the base of the impoundment and disposal cell were also performed. As part of its assessment, DOE (2014) took into consideration some recent geologic characterization work aimed at describing the physical and potential hydraulic relationships between the hydrogeologic units underlying the disposal cell, concentrating a great deal on the impacts of the Ambrosia Lake fault and the EastWest fault on local and regional groundwater flow.

Conclusions drawn from the water balance assessment included the recognition that nearly half of the mass loading of uranium contamination from the main tailings impoundment and disposal cell occurred prior to 1960 . The water balance report also concluded that most of the acidic tailings fluids that had drained from the impoundment in earlier years of mill operation had been neutralized, such that the contaminants in the fluids remained in the alluvial and San Andres aquifer media as solids, in adsorbed and mineralized phases. As a result of the subsurface neutralization processes, dissolved concentrations of uranium and other tailings-related contaminants had been greatly reduced. DOE (2014) found no evidence that the groundwater beneath and near the main tailings disposal cell had received a pulse of contamination from the cell over the past several years. The mineralized zone beneath the disposal cell was expected to be a continuing source of groundwater contamination for an indefinite period in the future.

## D1.6 Miscellaneous Papers

Longmire et al. (1984) authored a paper that described the general impacts of the uranium industry in the Grants Mineral Belt on groundwater quality in the region. The paper described the thermodynamic controls on the geochemistry of the principal contaminants in mill tailings and raffinates, including uranium, iron, selenium, and molybdenum, and some of the controlling mineral reactions. It noted differences in the aqueous geochemistry of raffinates and the seepage from mill tailings, and between the seepage derived from acid-leach mill processes and those derived from alkaline-leach mill processes. Contamination of groundwater from acid-tailings seepage was characterized by sulfate, chloride, nitrate, iron, aluminum, manganese, and other metals (Longmire et al. 1984). Contamination of groundwater from alkaline-tailings seepage was characterized by elevated levels of arsenic, sodium, bicarbonate, nitrate, selenium, molybdenum, sulfate, and uranium.

Zielinski et al. (1997) identified a tool that can sometimes be used to help identify sources of dissolved uranium at mill and mining sites. The method involves the examination of uranium isotope distributions in water samples collected at several monitoring locations. Specifically, the ratio of the activity concentrations for uranium-234 (U-234) and uranium-238 (U-238) are calculated under the hypothesis that mill-related contamination would have a U-234/U-238 value, or uranium activity ratio (AR), that was noticeably different from that of naturally derived uranium. In applying this logic to a former uranium mill site near Cañon City, Colorado, Zielinski et al. (1997) showed that the AR in contaminated groundwater samples exhibited ratios
generally reflective of secular equilibrium ( $\mathrm{AR} \cong 1$ ), while those of natural waters had ratios greater than 1.3. The Cañon City study built upon previous work by researchers that suggests natural waters tend to show an excess of U-234 activity in comparison to that of $\mathrm{U}-238$ at the mineral/water interface during prolonged mild leaching of subsurface uranium-bearing rock by groundwater. This excess comprises a form of isotopic fractionation related to alpha recoil displacement (Zielinski et al. 1997) of the U-234 atom from its U-238 parent, with the net effect of enhanced leachability of U-234. In contrast, high-grade uranium ores with more recent histories of open-system alteration appear to be mixtures of materials with both $A R<1$ and AR $>1$, which, when leached over periods of just a few decades or more, yield waters with an AR of $1.0 \pm 0.1$. The work by Zielinski et al. (1997) suggests that uranium isotope data can be used in areas on and near the Bluewater site to distinguish mill-related uranium with ARs of about 1.0 with naturally-occurring uranium with ARs higher than 1.1.

## Appendix E

## Glossary
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## Glossary

absorption. The incorporation of a chemical in the interior of a solid.
adsorption. The adhesion of molecules (in a thin layer) to the surfaces of solid bodies or liquids with which they are in contact.
advection. The process by which solutes are transported by the bulk motion of the flowing groundwater.
advection-dispersion equation (ADE). The most widely used equation for simulating solute transport in porous media. Also referred to as the classical advective-dispersive equation.
advective flux. The mass of chemical in a fluid passing through a unit cross-sectional area per unit time due to advection. Advective flux is calculated as the product of Darcy velocity (specific discharge) and the chemical concentration.
advective front. The location downgradient of the source in a contaminant plume that is equal to the product of average linear velocity and time since onset of groundwater contamination.
aerobic. Living, active, or occurring only in the presence of oxygen.
alluvium. General term for deposits of clay, silt, sand, gravel, or other particulate material deposited by a stream or other body of running water in a streambed, on a floodplain, on a delta, or at the base of a mountain.
anaerobic. Living, acting, or occurring in the absence of free oxygen.
analytical model. A mathematical model that uses closed formed solutions of the governing equations applicable to groundwater flow and chemical transport processes.
anion. A negatively charged ion.
anisotropy. The condition of an aquifer in which the value of a material property (such as hydraulic conductivity) varies depending on the direction of measurement.
aquifer. (1) Stratum of permeable rock, sand, or gravel that can store and supply groundwater to wells and springs. (2) A geologic formation, group of formations, or part of a formation that contains sufficient saturated permeable material to yield significant quantities of water to wells and/or springs.
aquitard. A less permeable bed in a stratigraphic sequence that is incapable of yielding significant quantities of water to a pumping well. A semipervious geologic formation transmitting water at a very slow rate compared to the aquifer.
average linear velocity (groundwater). The Darcy velocity divided by aquifer effective porosity. Also known as mean pore water velocity or seepage velocity.
bedrock. A general term for the consolidated (solid) rock that underlies soils or other unconsolidated surficial material.
biodegradation. The chemical alteration of substances through the action of biota.
breakthrough curve. A representation of the concentration of solute in a fluid as a function of time at a selected point.
calibration. The process of refining a model representation of flow and transport in a groundwater system in order to achieve a desired degree of correspondence between the model simulation and observations of the groundwater system.
capillary fringe. Zone of constant water saturation extending upward from the water table, containing water held in capillary tension. Capillarity is the cohesion of water molecules and the adhesion of water to solid materials. The thickness of the capillary fringe depends on the soil properties and the uniformity of pore sizes.
cation. Positively charged ion.
chemical precipitation. The process of removing a substance from solution by chemical reaction.
complex. A type of compound in which a central metal ion is surrounded by a number of ions or molecules, called ligands, that can also exist separately, also known as a coordination compound. A chelate is a type of complex.
complexation. Combination of cations and anions to form a more complex ion.
complexing agent. A dissolved ligand that binds with a simple charged or uncharged molecular species in a liquid solution to form a complex, or coordination compound.
computer code. The assembly of numerical techniques, bookkeeping, and control language that represents a mathematical model of groundwater flow and contaminant transport.
conceptual model. An interpretation or working description of the characteristics and dynamics of a groundwater system.
cone of depression. The depression of hydraulic heads around a pumping well caused by the withdrawal of groundwater.
confined aquifer. A permeable geologic unit located between two saturated, less permeable units (i.e., between confining beds).
confining bed. A geologic unit that will not readily transmit water and which impedes or stops the free movement of water into or out of an aquifer. Confining beds have also been called aquicludes, aquitards, or semi-confining beds.
contaminant. Harmful or hazardous matter introduced into the environment.
continuous release. A contaminant release from a source area that continues indefinitely at a relatively constant rate.
coprecipitation. The incorporation of elements into other compounds, such as metal oxide minerals, as they precipitate from solution.

Darcy velocity. The volumetric flow rate of groundwater per unit cross-sectional area perpendicular to the flow direction. Also known as Darcy flux or specific discharge.
denitrification. Conversion by microorganisms of nitrate or nitrite to more reduced states, ending in nitrogen gas under anaerobic conditions.
deterministic model. A model in which there is an exact mathematical relationship between the independent and dependent variables that characterize a groundwater system.
diffusion. (1) the transport process of a chemical in the direction of decreasing concentration of the chemical due to thermal kinetic energy (and resulting Brownian motion) of the dissolved chemical. (2) The natural tendency of molecules to move out of areas of high concentration into areas of low concentration until a solution or gas has a uniform concentration of the molecules. Also known as molecular diffusion.
diffusion coefficient. The capacity of a specific chemical to migrate through a specific material (e.g., water or air) by the process of molecular diffusion. It is expressed in terms of the mass of chemical that will diffuse through a unit area in a unit time under the influence of a unit concentration gradient.
diffusive flux. The mass of a contaminant or other constituent passing through a unit area per unit time due to molecular diffusion. Diffusive flux is calculated as the product of a diffusion coefficient and a concentration gradient.
discharge. (1) With respect to fluid flow, the rate of flow at a given instance in terms of volume per unit of time; pumping discharge equals pumping rate, usually given in gallons per minute (gpm); stream discharge, usually given in cubic feet per second (cfs). With respect to groundwater, the movement of water out of an aquifer. Discharge may be natural, as from springs, as by seepage, or by evapotranspiration, or it may be artificial as by constructed drains or from wells. (2) With respect to mass movement, the rate of mass movement in terms of mass per unit of time. See also mass discharge.
dispersion (in porous media transport). Fluid mixing due to velocity variations at unresolved spatial scales. These velocity variations are often attributed to unresolved heterogeneities in permeability, and other phenomena existing at the pore scale or larger. Dispersion is usually the greatest in the direction parallel to flow (longitudinal direction), and is usually less in the transverse directions. Also known as mechanical dispersion.
dispersion coefficient. Capacity of a specific chemical to migrate through a specific material (e.g., water or air) by the process of hydrodynamic dispersion. It is expressed in terms of
the mass of chemical that will disperse through a unit area in a unit time under the influence of a unit concentration gradient.
dispersive flux. The mass of chemical in a fluid passing through a unit cross-sectional area per unit time due to dispersion. Dispersive flux is calculated as the product of a dispersion coefficient and a concentration gradient.
dispersivity. a parameter representing the spreading potential of a solute-porous medium system.
dissolved constituents. Chemical compounds in solution, also called solutes.
dissolved oxygen. The amount of free (not chemically combined) oxygen in water. Usually expressed in milligrams per liter ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ).
drawdown (groundwater). The depression or decline of the hydraulic head or water level in a pumped well or in nearby wells caused by pumping. At the well, it is the vertical distance between the static water level and the water level under pumping conditions.
effective porosity. (1) The percent of the total volume of soil or rock that consists of interconnected pore space. (2) The porosity through which flow can occur.

Eh. Oxidation-reduction potential; the relative susceptibility of a substrate to oxidation or reduction.
equipotential line. A contour line on a map or cross section along which hydraulic heads are the same.
equivalent porous medium. A concept that is used to model or simulate the flow of groundwater in fractured rocks. The concept is that is you take a large enough volume, the fractured geologic material will behave mathematically like a porous medium.
evaporation. Process by which water is changed from the liquid state to the vapor state. See also evapotranspiration, transpiration.
evapotranspiration. Process by which water is returned to the air through direct evaporation, or by transpiration from vegetation.

Fickian model. A model that simulates contaminant transport as governed by the classical advection-dispersion equation and linear, equilibrium sorption.

Fickian transport. Contaminant transport that can be simulated with models based on the classical advection-dispersion equation and linear, equilibrium sorption. Also referred to as ideal transport.
finite-difference method. A numerical technique for solving a system of equations using a rectangular mesh representing an aquifer or other hydrostratigraphic unit and solving for the dependent variable in a piece wise manner.
finite-element method. A numerical technique for solving a system of equations using an irregular triangular or quadrilateral mesh representing an aquifer or other hydrogeologic unit and solving for the dependent variable in a continuous manner.
floodplain. Land bordering a stream. The land was built up of sediment from overflow of the stream and is still subject to flooding when the stream is at flood stage.
flow. The movement of a fluid.
flow path. The idealized path followed by particles of water. Also known as a flow line.
flux. Fluid or mass discharge per unit area.
gaining stream. A river, or a reach of a stream or river, that gains in flow from upward groundwater seepage from the streambed, or from springs in, or alongside, the river channel; sometimes called an effluent stream.
groundwater. Water in the saturated zone that is under a pressure equal to or greater than atmospheric pressure. More generally, all subsurface water as distinct from surface water; specifically, the portion of subsurface water within the saturated zone.
groundwater flow model. Application of a mathematical model to represent a site-specific groundwater flow system.
groundwater storage. The amount of water in storage within the defined limit of an aquifer.
half-life. The time required for half of the atoms of a radioactive substance to disintegrate.
heterogeneous. Consisting of diverse or dissimilar constituents.
hydraulic conductivity. The capacity of a rock or soil formation to transmit water through it under hydraulic gradients. It is expressed as the volume of water of a given viscosity that will move in unit time under a unit hydraulic gradient through a unit area, measured at right angles to the direction of flow. It is a combined property of the porous medium and the fluid flowing through it.
hydraulic gradient. Change in hydraulic head per unit of distance measured in the direction of the steepest change. In a three-dimensional coordinate system, the hydraulic gradient consists of three components, with two corresponding to horizontal ( $x$ and $y$ ) axes, and one corresponding to the vertical ( z ) axis. A non-zero hydraulic gradient represents the potential for flow to occur.
hydraulic head. (1) The height above a datum plane of a column of water. In a groundwater system, it is the sum of elevation head and pressure head. (2) The height at which water stands in a piezometer or well due to the presence of elevation and pressure forces in groundwater surrounding the well. Also called piezometric head.
hydrodynamic dispersion. Fluid mixing due to the combined effect of mechanical dispersion and molecular diffusion.
hydrograph. A graph showing the stage, flow, velocity, or other property of water with respect to the passage of time. Hydrographs of wells show the changes in water levels during the period of observation.
hydrologic unit. Aquifer or surface water body.
hydrolysis. The splitting of a bond by a reaction with water, specifically the addition of the hydrogen cation and the hydroxide anion of water.
hydrophobic compound. A nonpolar organic compound that tends to exhibit low solubility in water and a preference for sorbing to the organic matter component of a soil matrix porous medium.
hydrostratigraphic unit. A geologic formation, group of formations, or part of a formation that consists of materials with similar hydraulic properties, in contrast to adjacent formations or parts of a formation. Also referred to as a hydrogeologic unit.
immobilization. The precipitation or binding of a substance so that it is no longer able to circulate freely.
inorganic compounds. Chemicals that do not contain carbon; for example, metals are inorganic.
insoluble. Not readily dissolved in a liquid.
ion. An atom or group of atoms that carries a positive or negative electric charge as a result of having lost or gained one or more electrons; a charged subatomic particle (as a free electron).
ion exchange. A reversible reaction in which ions are interchanged. This phenomenon is common in soils.
isotope. Any of two or more species of atoms of a chemical element with the same atomic number (number of protons) and nearly identical chemical behavior but with a different number of neutrons, hence a different atomic weight.
isotropy. Having the same properties in all directions.
karst aquifer. An aquifer in which the flow of groundwater is or can be appreciable through one or more of the following: joints, faults, bedding-plane partings, and cavities-any or all of which have been enlarged by dissolution
leaching. The process of separating the soluble components from some material by percolation.
ligand. A group, ion, or molecule coordinated to a central atom or molecule in a complex.
long-term stewardship. The physical controls, institutions, information, and other mechanisms needed to ensure protection of people and the environment.
losing stream. A river, reach of a stream or river, that loses a portion of its flow to groundwater through seepage in, or alongside, the channel. Sometimes called an influent stream.
mass discharge. The mass of chemical in a fluid that passes from one point to another per unit time. Mass discharge is the product of the fluid discharge rate and the concentration of the contaminant (in units of mass per unit volume) in the fluid.
mass flux. The mass of chemical in a fluid that passes through a unit cross-sectional area per unit time. Mass flux can be caused by advection (advective flux), dispersion (dispersive flux), and molecular diffusion (diffusive flux).
mathematical model. The representation of a physical or chemical system by mathematical expressions from which the behavior of a groundwater system can be simulated.
mechanical dispersion. pore-scale spreading of a chemical caused by flow through a macroscopically tortuous and nonuniform porous medium with nonuniform pore size.
milligrams per liter or mg/L. The mass in milligrams of any substance contained in 1 liter of liquid. (Equivalent to parts per million for values less than about $7,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ).
non-Fickian model. A model that simulates contaminant transport as governed by the classical advection-dispersion equation and linear, equilibrium transport.
non-Fickian transport. Contaminant transport that does not coincide with that simulated by models governed by the classical advection-dispersion equation as affected by linear, equilibrium sorption. Also referred to as ideal transport.
numerical methods. A set of procedures used to solve the equations of a mathematical model in which the applicable partial differential equations are replaced by a set of algebraic equations written in terms of discrete values of state variables at discrete point in space and time.
oxidation. The reaction of a substance, in the presence of oxygen, with a chemical that causes removal of electrons from the original substance.
pE. A dimensionless measure of the oxidizing or reducing tendency of a solution. By definition, $\mathrm{pE}=-\log _{10}[\mathrm{e}]$, where [e] is equal to electron activity. pE is analogous to pH , which is used to measure hydrogen-ion activity.
perched groundwater. Water within a saturated zone of material underlain by a relatively impervious stratum which acts as a barrier to downward flow and which is separated from the main groundwater body by a zone of unsaturated material above the main groundwater body.
permeability. The capacity of a material to transmit fluids. Permeability is a material property that is not dependent on the property of the fluid.
phreatophyte. A plant that habitually obtains its water supply from the zone of saturation, either directly or through the capillary fringe.
physicochemical. Of or pertaining to both physical and chemical properties, changes, and reactions.
piezometer. A device or type of well used to measure hydraulic head at a point in the subsurface.s
piezometric head. The height at which water stands in a piezometer or well due to the presence of elevation and pressure forces in groundwater surrounding the well. Also called hydraulic head.
plume. An elongated body of fluid that is used to define the contaminated areas of an environment.
porosity. The ratio of the total volume of pore space (voids) in a rock or soil to its total volume, sometimes stated as a percentage. Effective porosity is the ratio of the volume of interconnected voids to the total volume. Unconnected voids contribute to total porosity but are ineffective in transmitting water through the rock.
porous medium. A multi-phase material consisting of a continuum of solid matrix with some interconnected void space.
potentiometric surface. An imaginary surface representing the static head of groundwater in tightly cased wells that tap a water-bearing rock unit (aquifer); or in the case of unconfined aquifers, the water table.
precipitation. The process whereby a solid settles out of a solution.
pressure head. Fluid pressure expressed as the height of an equivalent column of water. Calculated by dividing the fluid pressure by the product of fluid density and the acceleration due to gravity.
pulse release. A contaminant release from a source area that occurs for a finite period of time. See also slug release.
radioactivity. Spontaneous emission by radionuclides of energetic particles through the disintegration of their atomic nuclei; the rays emitted.
radioisotope. An isotope of an element that has an unstable nucleus; it tries to stabilize itself by giving off radioactive particles and undergoes spontaneous decay.
radionuclide. Radioisotope.
reactant. A substance that enters into and is altered in the course of a chemical reaction.
reaction. A process in which one or more substances are changed chemically into one or more different substances. Examples include biotransformation, radioactive decay, and hydrolosis.
recalcitrant. (1) Resistant to degradation/transformation. (2) Resistant to decreases in concentration.
recharge. The addition of water to the saturated zone in an aquifer by infiltration, either directly into the aquifer or indirectly by way of another soil or rock formation. Recharge may be natural, as when precipitation infiltrates to the water table, or artificial, as when water is injected through wells or spread over permeable surfaces for the purpose of recharging an aquifer.
redox reaction. Oxidation-reduction reaction in which electrons are transferred between two or more compounds.
retardation. The slowing of the rate of movement of a solute due to partitioning to and from stationary solid material within the porous media.
retardation factor. a parameter in the advection-dispersion equation that accounts for association of a dissolved chemical with immobile phases in a porous medium (e.g., sorption)
riparian vegetation. Vegetation growing on the banks of a stream or other body of surface water.
rock. Any naturally formed, consolidated or unconsolidated material (but not soil) consisting of two or more minerals.
runoff. The part of the precipitation that appears in surface streams.
saturated zone. The subsurface zone in which all the connected interstices or voids in permeable rock or soil formations are filled with water under pressure equal to, or greater than atmospheric pressure. The saturated zone should not be confused with isolated zones of perched groundwater.
secondary permeability. The increased permeability or hydraulic conductivity due to the presence of secondary porosity.
secondary porosity. Voids and associated hydraulic media that form through physical and chemical processes following deposition, including compaction, fracturing, faulting, dissolution, and mineralization.
sediment. Material in suspension in water or deposited from suspension or precipitation.
seepage. (1) The infiltration or percolation of water through rock or soil to or from the surface.
(2) The very slow velocity movement of groundwater.
simulation. One complete execution of groundwater modeling computer program, including input and output.
sink. In groundwater flow modeling, a process whereby, or a feature from which, water is extracted from the groundwater system. In transport modeling, a process whereby, or a feature from which, a contaminant is extracted from the groundwater system.
slug release. A contaminant release from a source area that occurs for a finite period of time. See also pulse release.
soil-water distribution coefficient $\left(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{d}}\right)$. The ratio of the mass fraction of a chemical adsorbed to the solid phase to the concentration of the chemical in aqueous solution.
solubility. The relative capacity of a substance to serve as a solute, usually in reference to water as the solvent.
soluble. Able to be dissolved; to pass into solution.
solute. Any material that is dissolved in another, such as salt dissolved in water.
solution. A homogeneous mixture of a solute in a solvent. When a solute is dissolved in a solvent, the solute molecules are separated from one another and dispersed throughout the liquid medium.
solution channel. Tubular or planar channel formed by solution in carbonate rock, usually along joints and bedding planes. It is the main water carrier in carbonate rocks.
sorption. The process by which a chemical partitions between solid and fluid phases. Sorption, exchange, absorption, adsorption and desorption are often used synonymously, although these terms may represent different physical processes.
sorption isotherm. A regression of sorbed-phase concentrations against aqueous-phase concentrations at a given, constant temperature.
source. The process by which a contaminant is released or fed into subsurface water.
specific discharge. Darcy velocity.
specific storage. The volume of water that a unit volume of porous medium releases from storage per unit change in hydraulic head. In confined aquifers, a quantity with units of $1 /$ Length that represents the volume of water released from storage in a unit volume of the aquifer per unit change in hydraulic head.
specific yield. The ratio of the volume of water that will drain under the influence of gravity to the volume of saturated soil or rock. In an unconfined aquifer, a dimensionless quantity
representing the volume of water that is released from storage per unit surface area of aquifer, per unit decline in the water table. Specific yield is relevant only to unconfined aquifers, and is analogous to storativity in a confined aquifer.
stable plume. A contaminant plume, or a portion of a contaminant plume, in which groundwater concentrations are virtually constant with time. Also referred to as a steady-state plume or a steady plume.
static water level. The level at which water stands in a well screened in a confined or unconfined aquifer when no water is being removed from the aquifer either by pumping or free flow to the ground surface.
steady-state flow. A condition where the magnitude and direction of the flow field are constant with time.
stochastic process. A process in which the dependent variable is random, so that prediction of its value depends on a set of underlying probabilities, and the outcome at any instant is not known with certainty.
storativity. The volume of water released from storage in a unit prism of an aquifer when the hydraulic head is lowered a unit distance. In a confined aquifer, a dimensionless quantity representing the volume of water an aquifer releases or takes into storage per unit surface area of the aquifer per unit change in hydraulic head. Storativity, which is equal to the product of specific storage and aquifer thickness, is synonymous with the storage coefficient of a confined aquifer and analogous to the specific yield of an unconfined aquifer.
stratification. The layered structure of sedimentary rocks and alluvium.
stream, ephemeral. A stream or portion of a stream that flows only in direct response to precipitation. Such flow is usually of short duration.
stream, perennial. A stream that normally has water in its channel at all times and flows continuously.
streamflow. The discharge that occurs in a natural channel of a surface stream course.
subsurface. The geologic zone below the surface of the Earth.
surface water. An open body of water, such as a stream, pond or a lake.
total dissolved solids (TDS). An aggregate of anions (carbonates, bicarbonates, chlorides, sulfates, phosphates, nitrates, etc.) and cations (calcium, magnesium, manganese, sodium, potassium, etc.) which form salts. High TDS solutions have the capability of changing the chemical nature of water.
transient flow. A condition that occurs when at some point in a flow field the magnitude or direction of the flow velocity changes with time.
transmissivity (groundwater). The rate at which water at the prevailing water temperature is transmitted through a unit width of the aquifer under a unit hydraulic gradient. It was traditionally expressed as gallons per day through a vertical strip of the aquifer 1 foot wide under a gradient of 1 foot per foot. More recently, it has been expressed as cubic feet per day through a vertical strip of the aquifer 1 foot wide under a gradient of 1 foot per foot.
transpiration. Process by which water is absorbed by plants, usually through the roots. The residual water vapor is emitted into the atmosphere from the plant surface. See also evaporation, evapotranspiration.
transport. Conveyance of solutes and particles in flow systems.
unconfined aquifer. A permeable geologic unit with the water table forming its upper boundary; also referred to as a water-table aquifer.
unsaturated zone. Soil or rock partially saturated with water, lying above the capillary fringe. Sometimes used to refer to the vadose zone.
vadose zone. The zone containing both the unsaturated zone and the capillary fringe just above the water table. Sometimes used to refer to the unsaturated zone.
valence. The property of an element that determines the number of other atoms with which an atom of the element can combine.
volumetric moisture content. In porous media, the volume of water divided by the combined volume of solid, liquid, and vapor.
water budget. An accounting of the inflow to, outflow from, and storage changes of water in a hydrologic unit.
water table. The level in the saturated zone at which the pressure is equal to atmospheric pressure; the upper surface of the zone of saturation. Also called the phreatic surface. See also potentiometric surface.
withdrawal. Water removed from the ground or diverted from a surface water source for use.

## Appendix F

## Processes Affecting Contaminant Plumes
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## F1.0 Introduction

Physical processes such as advection, mechanical dispersion, and recharge can play significant roles in the attenuation of groundwater contamination at LM sites. These processes by themselves contribute to reductions in contaminant concentration but do not cause net reduction of contaminant mass. Consequently, physical influences on contaminant transport are generally considered "nondestructive." However, mass-reducing, or "destructive," processes at LM sites would not occur to the degree observed were it not for the manner in which processes like advection, dispersion, and recharge facilitate them. Destructive processes such as biodegradation and abiotic chemical transformation rely on groundwater flow and the resulting mixing of contaminants with other reactants to effect significant attenuation. Moreover, additional processes like volatilization and sorption are influenced by the hydraulic transport of contaminants. Most of this appendix discusses the nondestructive contributions of transport processes to contaminant attenuation.

Before a discussion of how attenuation of dissolved constituents occurs in aquifers, it is important to clarify what "concentration" represents. Often, concentration represents the dissolved mass of a contaminant within a limited volume of groundwater that has been collected in a piezometer, which is screened over a small vertical interval (e.g., $\leq 3$ feet). Such a concentration can be considered a point value. Alternatively, concentration can also represent the contaminant mass collected in water pumped at a relatively high rate from a well with a long screen (e.g., $>3$ feet), which tends to represent a mixture of water from various depths. This latter type of concentration can be considered a vertically averaged, composite value rather than a point concentration.

Point concentrations can be used to assess the three-dimensional distribution of a contaminant in an aquifer. However, complete spatial characterization of a contaminant plume using point concentrations is rarely achieved because the associated costs can be prohibitive. Rather than collecting the entire suite of concentrations necessary for showing the full horizontal and vertical extents of a plume, it is common practice to assume that transport occurs solely within a horizontal plane in a limited-depth aquifer, and that contaminant concentrations do not vary significantly with depth in the groundwater. In such cases, the two-dimensional, horizontal distribution of a contaminant is sometimes described using vertically averaged concentration values measured by purging wells with long screens. Unfortunately, this latter approach to plume delineation can result in poor estimation of flow direction, inaccurate bifurcation of the plume, incorrect identification of contaminant source areas and release mechanisms, and overestimation of natural attenuation impacts (Martin-Hayden and Robbins 1997). The challenges to plume delineation, regardless of the monitoring practices employed, suggest that caution should be applied when attempting to interpret measured contaminant levels and identify influential transport processes.

## F2.0 Groundwater Flow and Velocity

## F2.1 Darcy's Law

The direction and rate of groundwater flow at a given point in an aquifer is governed by Darcy's law. Several mathematical expressions of this law exist, depending on the number of spatial
dimensions that are used to describe the flow, and the specific hydraulic properties of the porous medium in which the flow is occurring. Discussions of groundwater flow in this appendix focus initially on the more general form of Darcy's law that applies to a three-dimensional domain containing aquifer materials that transmit water more readily in some directions than in others. A simplified form of the law is also given to represent flow in domains that tend to be less complex than those represented by the law's general form.

The general form of Darcy's law is (Bear 1979)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{q}=[\mathrm{K}] \mathbf{J} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{q}=$ Darcy velocity (length/time),
$[\mathrm{K}]=$ hydraulic conductivity (length/time), and
$\mathbf{J}=$ hydraulic gradient (dimensionless).
Boldface lettering is applied to the symbols for Darcy velocity and hydraulic gradient to indicate that each of these variables is a vector. Vectors are used to describe three-dimensional fields. That is, velocity and gradient are each characterized by a direction and three components (Bear 1979), with the components describing the magnitude of the variable parallel to horizontal ( $x$ and $y$ ) and vertical ( $z$ ) directions in space. In Equation (1), hydraulic conductivity is placed in brackets to indicate that it is a $3 \times 3$ matrix consisting of 9 components. The vectors $\mathbf{q}$ and $\mathbf{J}$ are sometimes referred to as first-order tensors, and the matrix $[\mathrm{K}]$ is sometimes referred to as a second-order tensor (Bear 1972).

Each of the nine components of $[\mathrm{K}]$ has subscripts $i$ and $j$, with the first representing the direction of the Darcy velocity and the second representing the direction of the hydraulic gradient. The three components composing the diagonal of the hydraulic conductivity matrix are symbolized by $\mathrm{K}_{x x}, \mathrm{~K}_{y y}$, and $\mathrm{K}_{z z}$, wherein $\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{j}$. In contrast, the indices i and j are different in each of the offdiagonal components (e.g., $\mathrm{K}_{x y}$ ). A simple interpretation of each [ K ] component is that it is the hydraulic conductivity value determining the Darcy velocity in the i direction due to a hydraulic gradient in the j direction.

Equation (1) is applicable to an anisotropic domain (Bear 1979), wherein the hydraulic conductivity components in the $x, y$, and $z$ directions are not equal to each other. If the axes of the 3-dimensional domain are oriented so that $x$ and $y$ are parallel to the direction of sediment bedding, and $z$ is perpendicular to this direction, the off-diagonal components of $[\mathrm{K}]$ have zero values. Furthermore, in most alluvial aquifers, it is usually assumed that $\mathrm{K}_{x x}$ is equal to $\mathrm{K}_{y y}$, which signifies that the only anisotropy applicable to the aquifer is attributed to differences between K in the horizontal and vertical directions. In cases where Darcy's law is applied to an anisotropic medium, the Darcy velocity ( $\mathbf{q}$ ) will not be oriented in the same direction as the hydraulic gradient (J) (Bear 1979).

If a porous medium is isotropic, all components of the hydraulic conductivity tensor take on a uniform value, symbolized by K . K in this case is described as a scalar value, or a zero-order tensor (Bear 1972). Darcy's law in this simplified instance can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{K} \mathrm{~J} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad \mathrm{q}=$ a single value of Darcy velocity in the direction of the hydraulic gradient (length/time), and
$\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{a}$ single value of the hydraulic gradient (i.e., in the direction of maximum drop in hydraulic head) (dimensionless).

## F2.2 Average Linear Velocity

Though the Darcy velocity is useful for describing quantities of water and associated contaminants that move in specific directions, it is not a direct indicator of the rate at which the contaminant is moving through space. This latter rate is estimated using the average linear velocity (Freeze and Cherry 1979), which is the average rate at which water moves through the pores of an aquifer

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{v}=\frac{\mathbf{q}}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{e}}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad \mathbf{v}=$ average linear velocity (length/time), and
$\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{e}}=$ effective porosity of the aquifer (dimensionless).
Because $\mathbf{q}$ is a vector, consisting of both a direction and a magnitude, $\mathbf{v}$ is also a vector with three components, each aligned with the $x, y$, and $z$ axes. Average linear velocity is more commonly described with a single, scalar value that applies to the direction of groundwater flow.

## F3.0 Contaminant Transport in Groundwater

## F3.1 Contaminant Mass Balance

The movement and concentration of a chemical in groundwater is affected by four general factors: (1) advection, (2) mechanical dispersion, (3) molecular diffusion, and (4) sources and sinks of the contaminant, such as chemical and biological reactions, or sorption onto the solid materials that compose the porous medium and solid matrix (Domenico and Schwartz 1997). Models of aqueous-phase transport are based on mass-balance equations that describe these factors. In general terms, the contaminant mass balance can be written (Mercer and Waddell 1993):

Advection by natural flow + advection by pumping or injection + dispersion + diffusion + contaminant sources and sinks = rate of change of mass of aqueous-phase contaminant stored in the medium.

The various components of Equation (4) are discussed further in the following sections.

## F3.2 Advection

Transport by advection consists of the movement of a contaminant caused by the net or average motion or flow of the groundwater (Mercer and Wadell 1993). For a non-reactive contaminant, the rate of transport is equal to the average linear groundwater velocity, v , as defined by Equation (3). The effects of advection on plume behavior can be examined by first considering a plume that emanates from, or is "fed" by, a "continuous contaminant source." This type of source maintains relatively constant contaminant concentràtions along its downgradient edge and remains undepleted (Mercer and Waddell 1993).

Advection, by itself, does not cause attenuation of a contaminant plume fed by a continuous source. The reason for this is seen in the advection of a dissolved contaminant in a hypothetical stream tube located directly downgradient of the source (Domenico and Schwartz 1997). By definition, the stream tube is associated with a steady flow system, and flow does not occur across the stream tube walls. And when only advection is operating, the contaminant front in the stream tube at any given time is a flat surface determined by the average linear velocity along the transport path. Because contaminant mass cannot spread beyond either the stream tube walls or the plume front, the concentration at all points in the stream tube is equal to the concentration observed on the downgradient edge of the source, and no attenuation occurs. This concept is illustrated in Figure F-1a, which shows the effects of processes on flow and transport along a 1-dimensional (1-D) plume originating at a continuous contaminant source in a steady-state flow field. Pure advection, denoted by process A in Figure F-la, affects the location but not the concentration of a dissolved contaminant in 1-D transport. A continuous source is also referred to as a continuous-release source in this appendix.

In cases where the contaminant source varies in strength over time, it is possible for pure advection to appear as contributing to natural attenuation. This occurs, for instance, when a contaminant source is depleted or removed and uncontaminated water moves in behind the released contaminant. Process A in Figure F-1b illustrates this phenomenon for 1-D flow and transport fed by a "pulse" source. In effect, the clean water has displaced the contaminant pulse through advection, moving the pulse farther down the flow path. Such displacement of contaminated water by uncontaminated water can potentially occur in a vertical direction when recharge to a groundwater system occurs above the dissolved contaminant plume. A pulse source is also referred to in this appendix as a pulse-release source, and in the scientific literature as a slug source.

## F3.2.1 Travel Time

The time it takes for a non-reactive contaminant to migrate from one location to another in the direction of groundwater flow is called the travel time. It is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{a}} / \mathrm{v} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{a}=$ advective travel time (time), and
$\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{a}}=$ travel distance (length).
Because it is determined using the average linear velocity $v$, the parameter $t_{a}$ represents the average time it would take for a non-reactive contaminant to migrate the travel distance. In a
porous medium, the velocities with which molecules of water travel through the medium's pores vary around the average value. A non-reactive constituent in groundwater is sometimes referred to as a conservative constituent.

## F3.2.2 Mass Flux and Mass Discharge

The chemical mass flux due to advection is equal to the product of the Darcy velocity and the aqueous concentration of the chemical. Because Darcy velocity in three-dimensional space has three components, advective mass flux can also have three components. For example, the advective mass flux of a contaminant in the $x$-direction is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ax}}=\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{w}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ax}}=$ advective mass flux in the $x$-direction, [(mass/area)/time],
$\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{x}}=$ Darcy velocity in the $x$-direction (length/time), and
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{w}}=$ dissolved contaminant concentration (mass/volume).
Typically, mass flux is simply described using a single scalar value representative of the direction of groundwater flow.

Another measure of mass transport brought about by advection is mass discharge, which is equal to the product of volumetric discharge of the groundwater and the aqueous concentration of the dissolved chemical in that discharge, i.e.:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{d}}=\mathrm{Q} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{w}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\quad \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{d}}=$ mass discharge (mass/time),
$\mathrm{Q}=$ volumetric discharge rate (volume/time), and
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{w}}=$ dissolved contaminant concentration (mass/volume).
Example units for the parameters in Equation (7) are milligrams per liter for $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{w}}$, liters per day for Q , and milligrams per day for $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{d}}$.

## F3.3 Hydrodynamic Dispersion

Advection in real groundwater systems is neither perfectly uniform in space nor steady in time. Water migrates in the direction of flow at variable velocities, and water flowing in individual stream tubes mixes with water in adjacent stream tubes. In addition, some dissolved contamination may move between adjacent stream tubes if contaminant concentrations in the stream tubes differ. The effects of these phenomena are described using the concept of hydrodynamic dispersion.

Hydrodynamic dispersion is a term used to describe the spreading of contaminants in groundwater caused by both mechanical processes (mechanical dispersion) and molecular-scale chemical processes (molecular diffusion). Each of these processes can be considered potential contributors to plume attenuation because they can non-destructively reduce contaminant concentrations compared to the concentration that emanates from the contaminant source.

## F3.3.1 Mechanical Dispersion

Mechanical dispersion in porous media flow is water mixing that occurs as a consequence of local variations in velocity around the average, or mean, water velocity (Domenico and Schwartz 1997). Because this mixing occurs in response to groundwater velocity variations, it is the product of advective processes, rather than chemical processes. The net impact of mechanical dispersion on dissolved mass transport is to cause spreading of a contaminant plume beyond the plume extent that would be expected based on bulk advection alone.

The effects of mechanical dispersion have traditionally been represented as if the dispersion process obeyed Fick's first and second laws of diffusion (Anderson 1984). Fick's first law expressed for dispersion in porous media is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{d}}=-\left[\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}\right] \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{c}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{d}=$ the dispersive flux of mass [(mass/length ${ }^{2}$ )/time, or (mass/area)/time],
$D_{m}=$ the coefficient of mechanical dispersion (length ${ }^{2} /$ time $^{3}$ ), and
$\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ the dissolved concentration gradient [(mass/length $\left.{ }^{3}\right) /$ length, or (mass/volume)/length].

Note that the dispersion coefficient $D_{m}$ can have as many as three or more components depending on the dimensionality of the groundwater system being studied and the manner with which dispersion is characterized (Bear 1979). Mechanical dispersion in a 1-D plume occurs only along the direction of groundwater flow. A plume influenced by mechanical dispersion in two or three spatial dimensions will spread groundwater contamination in directions normal to (perpendicular to) the flow as well as parallel to the flow.

The effects of mechanical dispersion as governed by Fick's laws in a 1-D plume fed by a continuous source are illustrated in Figure F-1a. The concentration-versus-distance curve reflective of both advection and dispersion (processes $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{D}$ ) in this graphic shows that contaminant spreading has occurred both downgradient and upgradient of the transport distance associated with advection alone (process A). However, the concentration at the source remains at the same concentration as that attributed solely to advection. Figure F-1b shows the combined influences of advection and mechanical dispersion in a 1-D plume supplied by a pulse source. In this case, contaminant spreading has occurred along both the leading and trailing portions of the plume, resulting in a peak concentration that is less than the concentration associated with pure advection.

Equation (8) is based on the assumption that the concentration gradient is a driving force for mechanical dispersion, and the dispersive flux will increase linearly with increasing gradient. This is a mathematical convenience rather than a representation of cause-and-effect. In reality, mechanical dispersion is caused by velocity variations at various spatial scales.

Laboratory-scale experiments in the 1960s designed to identify the relationship between mechanical dispersion coefficients and the velocity of water in a porous medium generally found that, in cases where the effects of longitudinal dispersion overwhelm the effects of molecular diffusion, the dispersion coefficient is proportional to velocity, i.e.:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{mi}}=\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}|\mathbf{v}| \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{m i}=$ the mechanical dispersion coefficient in direction $i$ (length ${ }^{2} /$ time),
$\alpha_{i}=$ the dispersivity in the direction i (length), and
$|\mathbf{v}|=$ the magnitude of the average linear groundwater velocity (length/time).
On the basis of this relationship, it is typically assumed that a porous medium, at least at a laboratory scale, can be characterized by single values of dispersivity in the longitudinal and transverse directions. Centimeters and feet are commonly used units for dispersivity in the scientific literature. Commonly used units for the dispersion coefficient include centimeters ${ }^{2} /$ second and feet ${ }^{2} /$ day.

Many groundwater transport models that simulate advection and dispersion use the linear relationship in Equation (9) between the dispersion coefficient and the average linear velocity. Thus, average linear velocities are determined separately from the transport model and the modeler chooses dispersivities for input in the model. The dispersivity values are often finalized through model calibration. Though early column-based experiments that focused on the quantification of dispersion coefficients (e.g., Harleman and Rumer 1963) found the relationship in Equation (9) to be accurate, more recent laboratory experiments have shown that it is not always correct (e.g., Olsson and Grathwohl 2007). For problems dealing with dispersive transport at a field scale (e.g., hundreds to thousands of feet), the direct proportionality between the dispersion coefficient and flow velocity is questionable.

Mechanical dispersion in groundwater can be analyzed in terms of the three scales upon which it is observed: microscopic (local scale), macroscopic (local to field scale), and megascopic (field to regional scale). Variations in velocity leading to dispersion at each of these scales are produced by nonidealities in the porous medium. At the microscopic scale, the nonidealities are attributed to pore-size distribution, different pore geometries, and such phenomena as dead-end pore space (Domenico and Schwartz 1997). Macroscopic nonidealities consist of variations in medium properties that occur within a given formation or between neighboring wells. Included in this latter category are nonuniform hydraulic conductivities, permeability trends, directional permeabilities, and variations in aquifer stratification. Dispersion on a macroscopic scale is expected to be larger in a very heterogeneous aquifer than in a less heterogeneous system. Megascopic nonidealities, which occur at the interformational and regional scales, are features such as large changes in geologic structure and the overall stratigraphic framework (Domenico and Schwartz 1997). The multiple scales over which dispersion occurs results in mechanical dispersion coefficients that appear to increase as a function of plume length (e.g., Gelhar et al. 1992).

## F3.3.2 Longitudinal and Transverse Mechanical Dispersion

Mechanical dispersion can be characterized as being either longitudinal or transverse. Longitudinal dispersion is the mixing that occurs along the direction of flow, whereas transverse dispersion is the mixing that occurs in directions normal to (perpendicular to) the flow path (Mercer and Waddell 1993). The combined influence of longitudinal and transverse dispersion is seen in 2-dimensional (2-D) and 3-dimensional (3-D) plumes fed by sources with limited, finite dimensions.

Figure F-2a illustrates the relative influences of longitudinal and transverse dispersion on plumecenterline concentrations downgradient of a continuous source of limited width in a 2-D groundwater system with a uniform (non-varying) velocity in the direction of flow. Whereas longitudinal spreading affects concentrations in the leading portion of the plume, transverse dispersion causes spreading laterally from the interior of the plume. As a result, contaminant concentrations at a given time along the plume centerline are less than those resulting solely from combined advection and longitudinal dispersion (Domenico 1987). In effect, the downgradient migration of a plume affected by transverse dispersion is lessened, or retarded, in comparison to a plume subject to dispersion only in the direction of flow. Similar effects from transverse dispersion are observed in a 2-D plume fed by a pulse source (Figure F-2b).

Contaminant spreading in a 3-D plume is governed by three components of dispersivity (longitudinal, transverse horizontal, and transverse vertical). Dispersivities are not amenable to direct measurement, though evaluation of carefully conducted field-scale tracer tests with a highresolution monitoring network may yield valid site-specific values. Generally, the horizontal transverse dispersivity is less than the longitudinal dispersivity, and the vertical transverse dispersivity is less than the transverse horizontal dispersivity. Vertical dispersivities are strongly influenced by the natural stratification of an aquifer.

In most modeling investigations of contaminated sites, dispersivity values are estimated through model calibration. Models that resolve heterogeneities at smaller spatial scales usually require smaller values of dispersivity to achieve an acceptable calibration to field data. If field concentration data are insufficient for model calibration, empirical relationships between plume length and longitudinal and transverse dispersivities (EPA 1986a, 1986b; ASTM 1995; Xu and Eckstein 1995) can be employed to estimate dispersion parameters. These latter methods are subject to considerable uncertainty.

An increased "apparent dispersion" in directions transverse to groundwater flow may be observed in transient flow systems (e.g., Goode and Konikow 1990, Cirpka and Attinger 2003, Swain and Chin 2003). As illustrated in Figure F-3, changing flow directions in these systems create individual plumes with different orientations, which, when considered together, suggest that the plume is wider than would be observed in a steady-state flow field.

Some field investigations that focus on very detailed characterization of the concentrations in a 3-D plume (e.g., Rivett et al. 2003) have suggested that transverse dispersion is a less important transport process than is frequently assumed. In addition, modeling studies tend to over-represent the magnitude of dispersion (Gelhar et al. 1992, Cirpka et al. 1999). The magnitude of transverse mixing can strongly affect overall plume attenuation (Cirpka et al. 1999). The mixing between contaminated and uncontaminated water along the lateral borders of a plume facilitates chemical reactions that are destructive of contaminant mass. As a consequence, the plume is shorter than it would be if no reactions took place.

Gelhar et al. (1992) compiled and evaluated dispersivity data from 59 separate sites. The data collected in the study indicated a systematic increase of longitudinal dispersivity with the observation scale. On the basis of this and similar work, dispersion in many modeling investigations has been treated as a scale-dependent process (e.g., Falta et al. 2007). At a given scale, Gelhar et al. (1992) found that estimated longitudinal dispersivities tended to vary over 2 to 3 orders of magnitude. In addition to reflecting the propensity for dispersion to increase in
magnitude with increasing heterogeneity in the subsurface media examined, the large variability in derived dispersivities indicated that it is inappropriate to represent longitudinal dispersion using a single, universal relationship between transport scale and dispersivity.

## F3.3.3 Molecular Diffusion

Contaminants can migrate in groundwater in response to spatial variations in dissolved concentration, from an area of greater concentration to an area where it is less concentrated. This phenomenon is referred to as molecular diffusion, a mixing process caused by random molecular motions due to the thermal kinetic energy of the dissolved contaminant (Domenico and Schwartz 1997). Molecular diffusion will occur as long as a concentration gradient exists, even if the water is not moving. Aqueous diffusive transport in a subsurface medium obeys a form of Fick's first law for diffusion that has been adapted to porous media:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}^{*}=-\mathrm{D}^{*} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{c}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{F}^{*}=$ the diffusive flux of mass [(mass/area)/time],
$\mathrm{D}^{*}=$ the effective diffusion coefficient (area/time), and
$\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ the dissolved concentration gradient [(mass/volume)/length].
The effective diffusion coefficient in a porous medium is smaller than the bulk diffusion coefficient for a given contaminant in pure water. This reduction in magnitude accounts for a decreased diffusive flux caused by (a) the limited pore space through which diffusion can occur, as represented by the porosity; and (b) the tortuous path that diffusing molecules must follow to transport the chemical around soil grains (Domenico and Schwartz 1997). In relatively permeable groundwater systems, the contributions of molecular diffusion to spreading of contamination are generally regarded as less than those attributed to mechanical dispersion. Diffusion transverse to the ambient flow direction provides another mechanism for mixing contaminated water with uncontaminated water, thus helping to facilitate reactions that are potentially destructive of contamination.

## F3.4 Advective-Dispersive Transport

- Assessments of aqueous-phase contaminant migration in porous media typically account for the cumulative effects of advection, mechanical dispersion, molecular diffusion, and contaminant sources and sinks, which are the processes listed in the mass balance expression in Equation (4). Appropriately, this combination of processes is called advective-dispersive transport (Cherry et al. 1984). Most models of contaminant transport in groundwater are formulated upon a partial differential equation representative of one form or another of Equation (4) (e.g., Bear 1979, Freeze and Cherry 1979, Domenico and Schwartz 1997, Karanovic et al. 2007).

Traditional models of advective-dispersive transport attribute contaminant spreading to the. combined influences of mechanical dispersion and molecular diffusion, assuming that both are proportional to the concentration gradient [Equation (8) and Equation (10)]. The combined process is referred to as hydrodynamic dispersion, with coefficients defined by

$$
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{hi}}=\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{mi}}+\mathrm{D}^{*}
$$

where: $D_{h i}=$ the coefficient of hydrodynamic dispersion in direction $i$ (area/time), $D_{m i}=$ the coefficient of mechanical dispersion in direction $i$ (area/time), and $D^{*}$. = the effective diffusion coefficient (area/time).

Contaminant spreading in 3-D models of transport is simulated using hydrodynamic dispersion coefficients in the longitudinal, transverse horizontal, and transverse vertical directions.

Because hydrodynamic dispersion is assumed to obey Fick's laws (see Section F.3.3.1), advective-dispersive models are commonly referred to as Fickian models. The differential equation upon which the models are based is called the advection-dispersion equation, or simply the ADE. Because it has become tradition over several decades to use models of this kind to simulate contaminant transport in groundwater, the ADE is also sometimes referred to as the classical ADE.

Researchers and practitioners alike have long recognized that Fickian models do not accurately represent transport processes in real groundwater systems. With this recognition, it is not surprising that predictive transport simulations are rarely borne out by subsequent plume monitoring, despite the best efforts of groundwater modelers to calibrate their models. Konikow (2011) describes several characteristics of the Fickian model that do not comport with transport phenomena observed in real groundwater systems. Deviations of the observed transport behavior from that expected by the Fickian model is generally referred to as non-Fickian transport. In response to the fundamental differences between real transport behavior and the results of models based on the classical ADE, Konikow (2011) has called for the development of a better governing equation of transport in groundwater, an equation that captures non-Fickian transport. He is encouraged by recent efforts directed toward that goal, of few of which are briefly mentioned later in Section F3.8.

## F3.5 Dispersion Contributions to Plume Stability

A contaminant plume fed by a continuous source could eventually reach a stable, or steady-state, configuration due solely to the effects of transverse dispersion. The development of such a stable plume without the benefit of contaminant degradation processes may seem counterintuitive. However, as discussed in the scientific literature dealing with contaminant transport (e.g., Domenico and Schwartz 1997), virtually steady conditions can result from transverse dispersion alone. As a plume evolves, transverse spreading of contaminant mass across an increasing area causes concentrations to decrease with flow distance, eventually producing contaminant levels at the plume front and margins that are less than the background concentration. In effect, enough time has elapsed and the plume has migrated sufficiently far downgradient that concentrations outside the zone containing constant concentrations (i.e., along the plume edges) are so low as to be considered inconsequential. At this later time, loss of contaminant mass along the plume's border, as defined by the background concentration, occurs at the same rate new contaminant mass is added to the aquifer from the plume source area.

Figure F-4 illustrates conceptually how steady concentrations gradually evolve in a plume that is fed by a continuous source of constant concentration $C_{0}$ and is subject to both longitudinal and transverse dispersion. This graph shows concentration-versus-distance profiles along the centerline of the plume for successive times $t_{1}$ through $t_{6}$. As the plume front migrates, increasing lengths of the plume, extending downgradient from the downstream edge of the source, become
stable. This process continues until all parts of the plume with concentrations greater than or equal to the background concentration $C_{b}$ have effectively stabilized. In Figure 4, the steady-state concentration equal to the background concentration $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}$ occurs at location $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{b}}$ beginning at time $\mathrm{t}_{5}$. At this time, concentrations upgradient of $S_{b}$ are stable, ranging between $C_{0}$ and $C_{b}$, while concentrations downgradient of $S_{b}$ are less than background and in a transient state. As of time $t_{6}$, the plume front has moved even farther downgradient of location $S_{b}$ (Figure F-4), but it may be a challenge to distinguish the contaminant plume in this area from naturally occurring uranium because contaminant concentrations near the plume front are less than the background value.

The discussion above regarding plume stability is strictly theoretical in the sense that steady-state concentrations are rarely, if ever, observed in groundwater plumes. In real groundwater systems, fluctuations of measured concentration at each location in space are a natural consequence of hydrologic and transport processes and measurement error. Nonetheless, the concentrations at each point within a so-called stable plume tend to fluctuate around an average, representative value for that point, instead of showing an increasing or decreasing trend. These average concentrations are, in effect, representative of the steady concentrations that would be observed in a theoretical system.

Domenico (1987) used an analytical solution to the transient form of the advection-dispersion equation to illustrate that the steady concentrations produced solely by transverse dispersion occur in areas some distance upgradient of the plume's advective front, which is defined as the product of average linear velocity and the time since the onset of contamination in the groundwater. The distance separating the downgradient extent of steady concentrations from the advective front is small in cases where the influence of longitudinal dispersion is relatively minor in comparison to the influence of advection (Domenico 1987). The length of a stable plume created by transverse mixing processes and the concentrations within the plume can also be calculated directly using analytical solutions to the steady-state version of the advectiondispersion transport equation (e.g., Domenico and Palciauskus 1982, Domenico and Robbins 1985, Leij and Bradford 1994). The mathematical derivations of the steady-state models assume that transverse concentration gradients determine the width of the plume and that longitudinal dispersion is an insignificant process.

In most real-world situations, a relatively long transport distance is necessary in order for transverse mixing, by itself, to produce a steady-state plume with border concentrations that are inconsequential. At LM sites, this might require transport distances of a mile or more. Though groundwater flow paths at most LM sites might not meet this requirement, the available transport distance downgradient of the contaminant source at a few sites is sufficiently long for development of effectively stable plumes.

## F3.6 Sorption and Retardation

Sorption is one form of the "contaminant sinks" in Equation (4) that can cause the mass of a contaminant in solution to decrease. "Sorption" is a general term that encompasses four general processes known as absorption, adsorption, ion exchange, and desorption (McCutcheon et al. 1993). Absorption refers to the incorporation of a chemical into the interior of a solid.

Adsorption signifies the attraction of a dissolved chemical to the surface of solid particles, and ion exchange is a specific form of adsorption involving the charge-for-charge replacement of an ionic species on a solid surface by other ionic species in solution. Desorption, in which the
affected chemical dissolves back into the aqueous phase, is the opposite of each of the above adsorption mechanisms. In much of the literature dealing with subsurface transport, it has become generally accepted to use the term sorption as if it specifically represents adsorption (Mercer and Waddell 1993).

The phenomenon of adsorption, in which contaminants leave the dissolved state and affix to the surface of solid materials composing a porous medium, is commonly conceptualized as a partitioning process (i.e., a mass-transfer process) between phases. Chemicals once dissolved in water are said to partition from the aqueous phase to the solid phase (McCutcheon et al. 1993). Because the contaminant is being removed from solution, the adsorptive process effectively reduces the aqueous-phase concentration of the contaminant.

Several relationships can be used to mathematically describe the relative distribution of a contaminant between dissolved and adsorbed states. The most common relationship used in transport modeling assumes linear, equilibrium adsorption. In this context, "equilibrium" means that there is a unique, one-to-one relationship between the aqueous-phase and solid-phase concentrations of the contaminant. This relationship allows the propensity for a chemical to adsorb to solid materials to be described in terms of a soil-water distribution coefficient (Freeze and Cherry 1979):

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=K_{d} C_{w} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ = the quantity of chemical mass adsorbed on the solids surface (mass/mass),
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}=$ the soil-water distribution coefficient (volume/mass), and
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{w}}=$ the dissolved chemical (contaminant) concentration (mass/volume).
The parameter $K_{d}$ is also sometimes referred to as a soil-water partition coefficient (EPA 1996). The larger the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}$ value, the greater the tendency is for the contaminant to adsorb to subsurface media.

Equation (11) is representative of a linear isotherm. A sorption isotherm is a curve through several experimentally derived points relating adsorbed concentration to dissolved concentration at a specific temperature (Freeze and Cherry 1979). Contaminant transport in some media may not conform to a linear isotherm, and is better simulated using nonlinear expressions. The Freundlich and Langmuir isotherms are examples of mathematical models that are sometimes used to represent adsorption in nonlinear sorption fields (Mercer and Waddell 1993).

Adsorption slows the downgradient movement of a contaminant in groundwater in comparison to the movement provided by advection and dispersion. Consequently, transport of the contaminant is described as being retarded. In effect, equilibrium partitioning of the contaminant between phases causes its rate of advance to be slower than the average groundwater flow velocity. This is manifested in a concentration-versus-distance profile along the plume's length that is upgradient of the profile resulting from no sorption. Figure F-la shows the concentration profile attributed to the combined effects of advection, dispersion, and sorption (processes $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{S}$ ) in a 1-D plume fed by a continuous source. In a 1-D plume supplied by a pulse source
(Figure F-1b), the combination of these three processes not only retards plume migration but also reduces the peak concentration in the plume.

A retardation factor, which measures the ratio of the average groundwater velocity to the average velocity of a sorbing chemical, can be determined from the chemical's $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}$ (Freeze and Cherry 1979)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}=1+\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}} \rho_{\mathrm{b}}}{\mathrm{n}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where: $\mathrm{R}=$ the retardation factor (dimensionless),
$\rho_{\mathrm{b}}=$ dry soil bulk density (mass/volume), and
$\mathrm{n}=$ porosity (dimensionless).
The structure of Equation (12) dictates that R will always have a value that is greater than or equal to 1 . An R value greater than 1 signifies that the contaminant migration is retarded relative to the movement of groundwater. Stated another way, an R value greater than 1 signifies that contaminant migration is retarded relative to the average linear velocity of the groundwater.

Contaminant transport models that simulate advective-dispersive transport with sorption defined by Equations (11) and (12) are described as simulators of linear, equilibrium adsorption, or linear, equilibrium sorption. More commonly, a model of this kind is referred to as a simulator based on the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}$ approach, or simply a $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}$ model. $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}$ models are still considered to be Fickian because the governing transport equation is identical to the classical ADE with the exception that the average linear velocity and the hydrodynamic dispersion coefficient are reduced by a factor equal to $R$.

The mechanisms by which dissolved species adsorb to solids vary depending on the type of chemical in solution and the porous media through which transport is occurring. Inorganic chemicals such as metals are adsorbed primarily because of the positive electric charges they carry or chemical reactions that bind them to solid surfaces. Inorganics are particularly adsorbed by hydrous ferric oxide and clay minerals, which typically have very large surface areas and carry an overall negative electric charge. Inorganic chemical $K_{d} s$ can be measured in laboratory experiments or determined through field tracer studies (Domenico and Schwartz 1997).

From a theoretical perspective, equilibrium sorption does not attenuate the long-term concentration of a contaminant at a given location if the plume is supplied by a continuous contaminant source. This is because the contaminant will eventually arrive at the downgradient location with the same concentration it would have if it were not affected by sorption (i.e., if it were a non-reactive contaminant). In contrast, attenuation of the long-term concentration at a given location due to sorption is possible in a plume fed by a pulse source because the peak concentration in such a plume decreases with increasing transport distance (see Figure F-1b).

## F3.7 Accounting for Variable Sorption

Contaminant transport models based on the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}$ approach were adopted decades ago as a mathematical convenience, primarily in the interest of simplifying the simulation of advectivedispersive transport of adsorbing contaminants. Though this simplification has made prediction of contaminant fate more efficient, the results of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}$ models do not comport with real-world conditions. This is partly because contaminant sorption is a non-equilibrium (kinetic) process rather than an equilibrium process. In addition, the amount of contaminant adsorbed to the
aquifer medium is not solely a function of the contaminant's aqueous-phase concentration, as assumed in Equation (11), but also the chemistry of the groundwater and the mineralogy of the aquifer solids. When the variable water chemistry of a groundwater system is taken into account along with the mineral composition of the sediment composing a porous medium, researchers tend to find that the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}$ for a specific chemical can vary greatly in both space and time. Accordingly, models that allow for a spatially and temporally variable $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}$ dependent on ambient aquifer conditions are likely to provide more realistic appraisals of groundwater remedies.

To overcome the limitations of uranium transport models that adopt a constant $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}$, models based on surface complexation theory (e.g., Davis and Curtis 2003) have been developed. A considerable amount of aquifer sediment characterization is necessary for the development of a surface complexation model (SCM) for a specific site. But such characterization can prove worthwhile if the SCM accurately accounts for variable sorption as affected by the geochemical characteristics of a groundwater system.

Studies focused on the development of surface complexation models addressing the sorption of hexavalent uranium at LM sites show that uranium $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}$ values are strongly affected by water pH and the aqueous-phase concentrations of uranium, calcium, and bicarbonate. These studies have demonstrated that equilibrium uranium $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{S}$ for a given site can vary by more than an order magnitude and that uranium transport is considerably more retarded than was previously assumed. They also tend to suggest that the solid-phase uranium available in alluvial aquifers as a contaminant source is much larger than was estimated on the basis of characterization activities at the LM sites.

## F3.8 Secondary Sources and Contaminant Tailing

Monitoring of contaminant plumes in groundwater during the past few decades indicates that aqueous-phase concentrations tend to attenuate at much slower rates than predicted by advectivedispersive transport models. This is generally attributed to slow release of contamination from secondary sources in the aquifers containing the plumes. Secondary contaminant sources are distinguished from primary sources in that they consist of contamination beneath or downgradient of the original source of contamination, which was usually at or near the ground surface. The contaminant mass in the secondary sources was left in the subsurface in earlier days of site contamination, when both the contaminant concentrations and the rate of contaminant mass loading to the subsurface were especially high.

Secondary sources can consist of low-permeability sediments in which groundwater velocities are particularly low; intraparticle storage of contaminants in the fractures and dead-end pores of individual sediment grains (intraparticle porosity); adsorbed mass that is released back to groundwater at rates much slower than the rate at which contamination was originally taken out of solution; and solid-phase minerals containing the contaminant that precipitated out of solution due to differences in water chemistry between the primary source fluids and the ambient groundwater chemistry. Because secondary sources release contaminant mass back to groundwater at slow rates, aqueous concentrations in the subsurface tend to remain relatively constant for many years, and often at levels that exceed the applicable groundwater standard. This is manifested as "contaminant tailing" in temporal concentration plots for monitoring wells located downgradient of the original source (Figure F-5). The slow release of secondary contamination to groundwater is sometimes referred to as back-diffusion.

Expected cleanup times for contaminated aquifers are commonly predicted using advectivedispersive transport simulations with Fickian models based on the classical ADE, and linear equilibrium sorption is often assumed to govern the exchange of contaminant mass between the solid and aqueous phases (i.e., the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}$ approach). As illustrated in Figure F-5, such models cannot capture the slow, delayed release of contaminant mass from secondary sources and are thus incapable of simulating the contaminant tailing observed at monitoring wells. Consequently, the predicted cleanup times for plumes using model simulation tend to be grossly over-optimistic.

Despite the apparent shortcomings of Fickian models, it is still common for a groundwater modeler to rely on a calibrated $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}$ model to estimate the remediation time for an aquifer. As a consequence, a modeler can predict full plume remediation within a decade or so, only to realize several years beyond the predicted cleanup the presence of persistently high contaminant concentrations (i.e., contaminant tailing). Moreover, new predictive transport simulations using a revised $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}$ model are shown to be no more reliable than before. Though there are potentially multiple reasons for such poor predictive performance, reliance on Fickian models assuming equilibrium sorption provides the primary explanation for the overly optimistic projections. In effect, the modeler, by applying a $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}$ model to evaluate contaminant removal, has vastly underestimated the total contaminant mass that must be flushed from the subsurface to achieve aquifer cleanup. Models capable of simulating non-equilibrium contaminant transport are necessary for capturing contaminant tailing attributed to secondary sources.

A type of model used to simulate non-equilibrium transport assumes that the groundwater system consists of two distinct pore domains, with linear contaminant transfer between them. One domain represents the more permeable sediments in an aquifer that, when connected form preferential pathways (mobile domain) in which contaminant migration is rapid. The second domain (immobile domain) represents media that slowly feed contaminants to the preferential pathways, such as low-permeability sediments or intraparticle porosity. Simulators of this type, which are referred to as dual-porosity, dual-permeability, or dual-domain models, assume that the linear exchange of mass between the domains can be handled with a single, constant mass transfer coefficient. The mass transfer coefficient is typically treated as a model calibration variable. An example of a non-equilibrium model that uses analytical solutions to the governing equations of dual-domain transport is found in Leij and Toride (1997).

More-sophisticated modeling techniques have been developed over the past few decades to improve simulation of the effects of non-equilibrium exchange of contaminant mass between domains. Rather than labeling them as non-equilibrium simulators, these methods are generally referred to as non-Fickian transport models because they attempt to overcome fundamental shortcomings of models based on the classical ADE. Three non-Fickian methods have been sufficiently developed to be of practical use for this purpose, including the continuous time random walk method (e.g., Berkowitz et al. 2006), the fractional advection-dispersion equation (fADE) method (e.g., Benson et al. 2000), and the multi-rate mass transfer (MRMT) method (e.g., Haggerty and Gorelick 1995).

The flow domain in an MRMT model consists of a mobile zone and any number of immobile zones. Transport in the mobile zone conforms to the classical ADE. However, mass transport between the immobile domains and the mobile domain is a diffusion process, enabling the

MRMT model to capture non-Fickian phenomena. The mathematical formulation of a MRMT model produces multiple equations that are solved simultaneously to produce, at each time step, a contaminant concentration in the mobile domain as well as a unique concentration in each of the immobile zones. Mass transfer between each immobile zone and the mobile zone is governed by a unique mass transfer coefficient; generally, the values of the coefficients are stochastically determined via a predefined probability density function. The MRMT approach has been successfully applied to simulate non-Fickian uranium transport phenomena at DOE sites (e.g., Ma et al. 2010). Because MRMT models have been shown to be reliable for simulating contaminant tailing behavior (e.g., Zhang et al. 2007) in alluvial groundwater systems, they could prove useful for capturing recalcitrant contaminant behavior at LM sites, thereby improving the prediction of groundwater remedy performance.
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Figure F-1. Contaminant concentration profiles with distance in a one-dimensional plume fed by (a) a continuous-release and (b) a pulse-release source (after Keely et al. 1986).


Figure F-2. Relative effects of longitudinal and transverse dispersion on contaminant concentrations along the centerline of a two-dimensional contaminant plume fed by (a) a continuous source and (b) a pulse source.

flow
Figure F-3. Schematic illustration of how changing flow direction in a transient flow system produces an apparent dispersion in directions transverse to the average flow direction


Figure F-4. Graphical Depiction of Contaminant Plume Evolution-Concentration Profiles Along the Plume Centerline at Successive Times $t_{1}$ Through $t_{6}$


Figure F-5. Late-Time Non-Fickian Behavior and Contaminant Tailing at Monitoring Wells Due to RateLimited Mass Transfer from Secondary Sources
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