



Submitted: June 20, 2014

*Preserving America's Heritage*

April 7, 2014

Mr. Kevin Hsueh  
 Chief, Environmental Review Branch  
 Division of Waste Management  
 and Environmental Protection  
 Office of Federal and State Minerals  
 And Environmental Management Programs  
 Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
 Washington, DC 20555-0001

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Official Hearing Exhibit			
In the Matter of:		POWERTECH USA, INC. (Dewey-Burdock In Situ Uranium Recovery Facility)	
	ASLBP #:	10-898-02-MLA-BD01	
	Docket #:	04009075	
	Exhibit #:	NRC-018-D-00-BD01	Identified: 8/19/2014
	Admitted:	8/19/2014	Withdrawn:
	Rejected:		Stricken:
	Other:		

REF: Dewey-Burdock In-Situ Uranium Recovery Project  
 Fall River and Custer Counties, South Dakota

Dear Mr. Hsueh:

Enclosed is a copy of our signature page from the Programmatic Agreement (PA) for the referenced project. Our signature completes the requirements of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation's (ACHP's) regulations at 36 CFR Part 800. By carrying out the terms of the PA, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) will fulfill its responsibilities under Section 106 of the NHPA and the regulations of ACHP. We will retain a copy of the PA on file at our office. We recommend you provide a copy of the PA to the South Dakota State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) and the other signatories for their records.

As part of the Section 106 consultation for this project, the NRC has had to balance a range of issues and concerns raised by consulting parties and other stakeholders. The effort to identify historic properties of religious and cultural significance to tribes and the nature of tribal participation in that effort was particularly challenging. We know that NRC worked with the consulting tribes and the project proponent, Powertech, in an attempt to come to agreement on an appropriate scope of work for a tribal survey. When it was evident that they could not achieve consensus, NRC utilized an alternative open site survey approach which a number of the consulting tribes chose to participate in.

This PA incorporates a path forward to continue working with consulting tribes to conclude the identification and evaluation process. In addition, the PA sets forth a process for addressing adverse effects to historic properties that can't be avoided as the project is implemented. Consulting tribes will review and comment on all determinations of eligibility and effect and in the development of treatment plans for adverse effects. NRC's agreement to this protocol, and its willingness to continue to collaborate with the consulting tribes and other consulting parties as Dewey-Burdock is implemented, is the appropriate next step. Accordingly, we have signed the PA to conclude the Section 106 review process. We appreciated the efforts of NRC staff to negotiate an outcome that balances project goals and historic preservation concerns.


ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION

1100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 803 • Washington, DC 20004

Phone: 202-606-8503 • Fax: 202-606-8647 • achp@achp.gov • www.achp.gov

If we may be of further assistance as the PA is implemented, please contact John Eddins, PhD, at (202) 606-8553, or via e-mail at [jeddins@achp.gov](mailto:jeddins@achp.gov).

Sincerely,

  
for Reid Nelson  
Director  
Office of Federal Agency Programs

Enclosure