



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

September 9, 2014

Mr. Dean Curtland, Site Vice President
c/o Michael Ossing
Seabrook Station
NextEra Energy Seabrook, LLC
P.O. Box 300
Seabrook, NH 03874

SUBJECT: SEABROOK STATION, UNIT 1 – ACCEPTANCE OF PROPOSED LICENSING
AMENDMENT REQUEST REGARDING CHANGES TO TECHNICAL
SPECIFICATION 3.3.3.1, "RADIATION MONITORING FOR PLANT
OPERATIONS" (TAC NO. MF4572)

Dear Mr. Curtland:

By letter dated July 24, 2014 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML14209A919), NextEra Energy Seabrook, LLC (NextEra or the licensee) submitted a license amendment request to the Technical Specification (TS) at Seabrook Station, Unit 1 (Seabrook). The proposed change is to modify TS 3.3.3.1, "Radiation Monitoring for Plant Operations," to eliminate duplicate requirements, resolve an inconsistency, and correct a deficiency.

The purpose of this letter is to provide the results of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff's acceptance review of this amendment request. The acceptance review was performed to determine if there is sufficient technical information in scope and depth to allow the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review. The acceptance review is also intended to identify whether the application has any readily apparent information insufficiencies in its characterization of the regulatory requirements or the licensing basis of the plant.

Consistent with Section 50.90 of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), an amendment to the license (including the technical specifications) must fully describe the changes requested, and following as far as applicable, the form prescribed for original applications. Section 50.34 of 10 CFR addresses the content of technical information required. This section stipulates that the submittal address the design and operating characteristics, unusual or novel design features, and principal safety considerations.

The NRC staff has reviewed your application and concluded that it does provide technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review and make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposed amendment in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety and the environment. Given the lesser scope and depth of the acceptance review as compared to the detailed technical review, there may be instances in which issues that impact the NRC staff's ability to complete the detailed technical review are identified despite completion of an adequate acceptance review. You will be advised of any further information needed to support the NRC staff's detailed technical review by separate correspondence.

D. Curtland

- 2-

If you have any questions, please contact me at (301) 415-3100 or via e-mail at John.Lamb@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "John G. Lamb". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "John" being the most prominent part.

John G. Lamb, Senior Project Manager
Plant Licensing Branch I-2
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-443

cc: Distribution via Listserv

D. Curtland

- 2 -

If you have any questions, please contact me at (301) 415-3100 or via e-mail at John.Lamb@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

/RA/

John G. Lamb, Senior Project Manager
Plant Licensing Branch I-2
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-443

cc: Distribution via Listserv

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC	LPLI-2 R/F	RidsNrrLAABaxter Resource
RidsNRRDorlLpl1-2 Resource	RidsNrrPMSeabrook Resource	
RidsNrrDorlDpr Resource	RidsRgn1MailCenter Resource	RidsNrrDorlResource
RidsAcrsAcnw_MailCTR Resource		

ADAMS Accession No: ML14245A204

OFFICE	LPL1-2/PM	LPL1-2/LA	LPL1-2/BC (A)	LPL1-2/PM
NAME	JLamb	ABaxter	RSchaaf	JLamb
DATE	09/02/14	09/05/14	9/09/14	9/09/14

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY