

## EXAM ASSIGNMENT TICKLER

<b>Chief:</b>	Larson	<b>Facility:</b>	CAL	<b>Date of Written Exam:</b>	3/25/2013
<b>Start of Op Test:</b>			3/18/2013	<b>End of Op Test:</b>	3/22/2013
<b>Written Exam Developed By: NRC / Facility</b>			<b>Operating Test Developed By: NRC / Facility</b>		
<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Date Complete</b>	<b>Initials</b>	<b>Notes</b>	
9/17/2012	Written Exam & Op Test Dates Confirmed	6.28.12	ARL		
11/12/2012	NRC Examiners & Facility Contact Assigned	6.20.12	ARL		
11/12/2012	Facility Contact Briefed on Security & Other Req's	6.20.12	ARL		
11/12/2012	Corporate Notification Letter sent	6.28.12	ARL	ES-201 Att-4 produced by CE	
12/17/2012	Reference material due (if NRC authored)	N/A	ARL	ES-201 Att-3	
12/31/2012	Integrated exam outlines due	12.20.12	ARL		
1/7/2013	Outlines reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	1.11.13	ARL	ES-201-2 signed by CE & BC	
1/7/2013	Feedback on integrated outlines provided to facility	1.11.13	ARL		
1/28/2013	DRAFT exam / docs / support reference material due	1.24.13	ARL		
2/11/2013	Peer review of written exam complete	2.7.13	ARL	Document review on ES-401-9	
2/11/2013	Preliminary license applications due	2.11.13	ARL	NRC Forms 398/396	
2/18/2013	Preliminary license applications and waivers reviewed	2.18.13	ARL	2 GFES	
2/18/2013	DRAFT exam reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	2.3.13	ARL		
2/18/2013	Feedback on DRAFT exam provided to facility	2.3.13	ARL		
2/11/2013	On-site validation & 10% audit of license applications	2.14.13	ARL		
3/4/2013	Final applications due & List of Applicants prepared	3.4.13	ARL	ES-201-4 prepared by LA	
3/11/2013	Final applications approved & waiver letters sent	3.11.13	ARL		
3/11/2013	Branch Chief approves FINAL exam (Written & Op Test)	3.18.13 Op 3.21.13 Written	ARL	LA produces / BC signs Exam Approval Letter (ES-201 Att-5)	
3/11/2013	Proctoring/written exam admin guidelines reviewed w/ facility	3.1.13	ARL		
3/11/2013	Exam material to exam team	3.4.13	ARL		
3/18/2013	Administer Operating Test	3.18.13	ARL		
4/1/2013	Facility post-exam documentation due	3.28.13	ARL		
4/1/2013	NRC written exam grading completed	5.2.13	ARL	ES-403-1 to BC	
3/29/2013	Examiner's document op test results on ES 303's	5.2.13	ARL		
4/8/2013	Chief Examiner review of written exam & op test completed.	5.2.13	ARL	Signed ES 303's to BC	
4/15/2013	Branch Chief review of exam results completed	5.2.13	ARL		
4/22/2013	Waivers/deferrals reviewed for impact on licensing decision	5.2.13	ARL		
4/22/2013	License/Denial letters mailed; Facility notified of results	5.2.13	ARL		
4/22/2013	RPS/IP number of examinees updated	5.27.13	ARL	print Report-21	
5/6/2013	Examination Report Issued	6.13.13	ARL	produced by CE	
5/13/2013	SUNSI checklist complete and exam docs to ADAMS	5.30.13	ARL	SUNSI checklist to LA	
5/20/2013	Ref Mat'l Returned after Final Resolution of Appeals	N/A	ARL		
Replaces NUREG-1021, Revision 9, Supp 1, Forms ES-201-1 and ES-501-1					

Facility:		Date of Examination:		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c#
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	AW	8	AR
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	AW	8	AR
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	AW	8	AR
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	AW	8	AR
2. S I M U L A T O R	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	AW	8	AR
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	AW	8	AR
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	AW	8	AR
3. W I T	a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.	AW	8	AR
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	AW	8	AR
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	AW	8	AR
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	AW	8	AR
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 56.45 sampling is appropriate.	AW	8	AR
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	AW	8	AR
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	AW	8	AR
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	AW	8	AR
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	AW	8	AR

  

a. Author	Larry Wilhelm	Printed Name/Signature	Date
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	Frederick B. B. B.		12/20/12
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	BRANDT LARSON		12/11/12
d. NRC Supervisor	Vincent (MATH) Vincent Conolly		12/18/12

  

Note: # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.  
\* Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines.

Facility: Callaway		Date of Exam: 3/25/2013		Exam Level: RO X SRO X		
Item Description				Initial		
				a	b*	c
1.	Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.			JW	gpc	112
2.	a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available.			JW	gpc	112
3.	SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401			JW	gpc	112
4.	The sampling process was random and systematic (if more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR OL program office).			JW	gpc	112
5.	Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the examinations were developed independently; or <input type="checkbox"/> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or <input type="checkbox"/> other (explain)			JW	gpc	152
6.	Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.	Bank 17 (75%) / 8 (32%)	Modified 2 (2%) / 0 (0%)	New 47 (80%) / 17 (88%)	JW	gpc 112
7.	Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.	Memory 34 (41%) / 144 (44%) 17	C/A 50 (60%) / 144 (60%) 17	JW	gpc	112
8.	References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.			JW	gpc	112
9.	Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.			JW	gpc	112
10.	Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.			JW	gpc	112
11.	The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.			JW	gpc	112
a. Author b. Facility Reviewer (*) c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) d. NRC Regional Supervisor				Printed Name / Signature Larry P. Wilhelme James Cortez Brian T. Laban Vincent Gaddy / Vincent Cortez		Date 1/23/13 4/23/13 3-20-13 3/20/13

Note: \* The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations.  
 # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.

Facility: Callaway		Date of Examination: 3/18/2013		Operating Test Number: 2013301	
1. General Criteria			Initials		
		a	b*	c#	
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 19 CFR 65.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	JW	JPC	JR	
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	JW	JPC	JR	
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s) (see Section D.1.a.)	JW	JPC	JR	
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	JW	JPC	JR	
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	JW	JPC	JR	
2. Walk-Through Criteria					
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• initial conditions</li> <li>• initiating cues</li> <li>• references and tools, including associated procedures</li> <li>• reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee</li> <li>• operationally important specific performance criteria that include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature</li> <li>- system response and other examiner cues</li> <li>- statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant</li> <li>- criteria for successful completion of the task</li> <li>- identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards</li> <li>- restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	JW	JPC	JR	
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	JW	JPC	JR	
3. Simulator Criteria					
The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.			JW	JPC	JR
		Printed Name / Signature	Date		
a.	Author	Larry P. Wilhelm / <i>[Signature]</i>	1/23/13		
b.	Facility Reviewer(*)	Joan S. Cortez / <i>[Signature]</i>	1/23/13		
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (#)	Brian T. Larson / <i>[Signature]</i>	3-13-18		
d.	NRC Supervisor	Vincent Gaddy / <i>[Signature]</i>	3/18/13		
NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.					

Facility: Callaway		Date of Exam: 3/18/2013		Scenario Numbers: 1 / 2 / 3 / 4		Operating Test No.: 2013301	
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES				Initials			
				a	b*	ct	
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.			AM	JP	SL	
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.			AM	JP	SL	
3.	Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated</li> <li>the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event</li> <li>the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew</li> <li>the expected operator actions (by shift position)</li> <li>the event termination point (if applicable)</li> </ul>			AM	JP	SL	
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.			AM	JP	SL	
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.			AM	JP	SL	
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.			AM	JP	SL	
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.			AM	JP	SL	
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.			AM	JP	SL	
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.			AM	JP	SL	
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.			AM	JP	SL	
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).			AM	JP	SL	
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).			AM	JP	SL	
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.			AM	JP	SL	
Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)				Actual Attributes			
Scenarios				1 / 2 / 3 / 4	AM	JP	
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)			7 / 7 / 8 / 8	AM	JP	
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)			3 / 2 / 4 / 2	AM	JP	
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)			4 / 4 / 2 / 3	AM	JP	
4.	Major transients (1-2)			1 / 1 / 1 / 1	AM	JP	
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)			7 / 7 / 8 / 8	AM	JP	
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)			2 / 2 / 1 / 1	AM	JP	
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)			3 / 3 / 3 / 3	AM	JP	

Facility: <u>Callaway</u>		Date of Exam: <u>3/26/2013</u>		Exam Level: RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Item Description	Initials				
	a	b	c		
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	<u>dh</u>	<u>h</u>	<u>AR</u>		
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>		
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	<u>dh</u>	<u>h</u>	<u>AR</u>		
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80 $\pm$ 2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, $\pm$ 4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	<u>dh</u>	<u>h</u>	<u>AR</u>		
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	<u>dh</u>	<u>h</u>	<u>AR</u>		
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	<u>dh</u>	<u>h</u>	<u>AR</u>		
Printed Name/Signature		Date			
a. Grader	<u>Daniel Harris</u>	<u>3/28/2013</u>			
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	<u>STEVE KOCHERT</u>	<u>3/28/13</u>			
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	<u>BRIAN T. LARSON</u>	<u>5/1/13</u>			
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	<u>Vincent Gaddy</u>	<u>5/2/13</u>			
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.					

# Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 03/01/2013 To 04/01/2013

15:33:38

05/27/2013

Report 21

Region: 4 Phase Code: Operating Reactors (5)

Exam Week	Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	# Candidates	Type	Exam Author	Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
01/01/2013	Callaway / 05000483 / 2013301 TAC #: X02500		Prep	FFF	LARSON, BRIAN T.	APGER, GABRIEL W. FARINA, THOMAS J. LARSON, BRIAN T. STRICKLAND, DUANE G.
03/18/2013	Callaway / 05000483 / 2013301 TAC #: X02500	RO - 4 SROU - 3	Admin	FFF	LARSON, BRIAN T.	APGER, GABRIEL W. FARINA, THOMAS J. LARSON, BRIAN T. STRICKLAND, DUANE G.
03/24/2013	Callaway / 05000483 / 2013301 TAC #: X02500		Doc		LARSON, BRIAN T.	APGER, GABRIEL W. FARINA, THOMAS J. LARSON, BRIAN T. STRICKLAND, DUANE G.

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 03/01/2013 To 04/01/2013

Region: 4 Phase Code: Operating Reactors (5)

Summary By Date

01/2013	CALL - Callaway	RO - 0	SROI - 0	SROU - 0	LSRO - 0	Total for Callaway: 0
01/2013		RO - 0	SROI - 0	SROU - 0	LSRO - 0	Total for 01/2013: 0
03/2013	CALL - Callaway	RO - 4	SROI - 3	SROU - 3	LSRO - 0	Total for Callaway: 10



Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 03/01/2013 To 04/01/2013

15:33:38

Region: 4      Phase Code: Operating Reactors (5)

Summary By Site

CALL - Callaway

RO - 4

SROI - 3

SROU - 3

LSRO - 0

Total for Callaway: 10

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule  
From 03/01/2013 To 04/01/2013

Page 4 of 4  
05/27/2013  
Report 21  
Region: 4  
Phase Code: Operating Reactors (5)

Summary By Region

Region 4	SROI - 3	SROU - 3	LSRO - 0	Total for Region 4: 10
RO - 4				

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of Mar 18, 2013 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of Mar 18, 2013. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. R.B. Mundy, III	Exam Developer	R.B. Mundy, III	11/12/12	R.B. Mundy, III	3/27/13
2. Larry Wickham	Exam Developer	Larry Wickham	11/12/12	R.B. Mundy, III	3/27/13
3. Mark Waples	Clerical Support	Mark Waples	11/12/12	R.B. Mundy, III	3/27/13
4. Rick T. Johnson	ITS	Rick T. Johnson	11/12/12	R.B. Mundy, III	3/27/13
5. Edward Keene	SFS - SIMULATOR	Edward Keene	11/12/12	R.B. Mundy, III	3/27/13
6. John Ryan	Admin Support	John Ryan	11/12/12	R.B. Mundy, III	3/27/13
7. James J. Velt	Admin Clerical	James J. Velt	11/12/12	R.B. Mundy, III	3/27/13
8. Michael Peterson	Admin - R. G. SUP TALK	Michael Peterson	11/12/12	R.B. Mundy, III	3/27/13
9. Mark Skelley	NEXTERA TRAINING OVERSIGHT	Mark Skelley	11/12/12	R.B. Mundy, III	3/27/13
10. John Rogers	Simulator Program Writer	John Rogers	11/12/12	R.B. Mundy, III	3/27/13
11. Michael Henkel	Accred Team Manager	Michael Henkel	11/12/12	R.B. Mundy, III	3/27/13
12. Eric T. Schultz	Simulator Engineer	Eric T. Schultz	11/12/12	R.B. Mundy, III	3/27/13
13. Andrew G. Wilson	SIM. ENGINEER	Andrew G. Wilson	11/12/12	R.B. Mundy, III	3/27/13
14. Deborah Kuba	Clerk	Deborah Kuba	11/12/12	R.B. Mundy, III	3/27/13
15. Amanda Easley	Admin Clerk	Amanda Easley	11/12/12	R.B. Mundy, III	3/27/13

NOTES:

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of Mar 18, 2013 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of Mar 18, 2013. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. JOHN E. OAKES	SIMULATOR ENGINEER	<i>[Signature]</i>	1/2/13	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/27/2013
2. John R. Hostman	Trng Supv - Sim	<i>[Signature]</i>	1/3/13	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/27/13
3. John A. Parsons	RO / Validation	<i>[Signature]</i>	1/7/13	<i>[Signature]</i>	3-28-13
4. James E. Parsons	RO / Validation	<i>[Signature]</i>	1-7-13	<i>[Signature]</i>	3-28-13
5. Jeremy C. Schuch	SRP / Validation	<i>[Signature]</i>	1-7-13	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/28/13
6. Cliff Starnes	SRP Validation	<i>[Signature]</i>	1-7-13	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/28/13
7. James K. King	SRP Validation	<i>[Signature]</i>	1-7-13	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/28/13
8. Jeff Y. Y. Y.	Reactive Engineer	<i>[Signature]</i>	1/8/13	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/28/13
9. JAYE S. S. S.	RO	<i>[Signature]</i>	1/10/13	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/28/13
10. JAYE S. S. S.	RO	<i>[Signature]</i>	1-16-13	<i>[Signature]</i>	3-28-13
11. JAYE S. S. S.	RO	<i>[Signature]</i>	1-16-13	<i>[Signature]</i>	3-28-13
12. JAYE S. S. S.	SRP	<i>[Signature]</i>	1-17-13	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/28/13
13. JAYE S. S. S.	SRP	<i>[Signature]</i>	1-17-13	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/28/13
14. JAYE S. S. S.	Ops and Maint	<i>[Signature]</i>	1-23-13	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/28/13
15. JAYE S. S. S.	OS Training	<i>[Signature]</i>	2/11/13	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/28/13

NOTES:

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of MAE 18, 2013 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of MAE 18, 2013. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. <u>Dylan Parker</u>	<u>SRO</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2-12-13</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-27-13</u>
2. <u>Gerald Hooney</u>	<u>RO</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2-12-13</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-27-13</u>
3. <u>Jeff Landrum</u>	<u>RO</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2-12-13</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-27-13</u>
4. <u>Richard Hughes</u>	<u>OPS TRAINING</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2-12-13</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-27-13</u>
5. <u>Stacy Kuehn</u>	<u>OPS TRAINING</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-18-13</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-28-13</u>
6. <u>Robert Sandoz</u>	<u>OPS TRAINING</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-18-13</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-28-13</u>
7. <u>Don Heni</u>	<u>ST-1LT</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-18-13</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-27-13</u>
8. <u>Greg Niska</u>	<u>OPS TRAINING</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-18-13</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-27-13</u>
9. <u>Paula Swan</u>	<u>OPS TRAINING</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-18-13</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-27-13</u>
10. <u>Clay Cottrell</u>	<u>OPS TRAINING</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-18-13</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-27-13</u>
11. <u>Cody Embanks</u>	<u>OPS TRAINING</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-18-13</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-27-13</u>
12. <u>Steve Jones</u>	<u>OPS TRAINING</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-18-13</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-27-13</u>
13. <u>STEPHANIE BANKER</u>	<u>DIRECTOR TRAINING</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-18-13</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-27-13</u>
14. <u>Steve Donell</u>	<u>RO</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-18-13</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-27-13</u>
15. <u>Steve Petrol</u>	<u>Regulatory Affairs Eng</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-21-13</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-27-13</u>

NOTES:

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of Mar 13, 2013 of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of Mar 13, 2013. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE
1. David T. Huer	ADM-TRAINING	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/21/13	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/27/13
2. GREGORY L. BRADLEY	DIRECTOR	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/21/13	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/2/13
3. Mark Croy	ADM-SUPV	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/21/13	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/28/13
4. David McHall	Operations Director	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/21/13	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/28/13
5.					
6.					
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					
11.					
12.					
13.					
14.					
15.					
NOTES:					