

Crawford, Carrie

From: Piccone, Josephine
Sent: Wednesday, August 27, 2014 4:52 PM
To: Wagner, Mary Louise
Cc: Boyle, William; Crawford, Carrie
Subject: RE: Letter and OUO Document from the Department of Energy

ML

I don't think the question is relevant to the document in question. NRC did not mark this document. There was some reason that DOE determined required the OUO marking, as it is stamped on every page of the document. Our Sensitive Unclassified Non-safeguards Information (SUNSI) review team did not identify a reason to keep it non-public but the determination to release or not has to be made by DOE since it was marked by DOE. Hope this helps.

Josie

Josephine M. Piccone, Ph.D.
Director, Division of Spent Fuel Alternative Strategies (SFAS)
Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards (NMSS)
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001
(301) 287-0622

From: Wagner, Mary Louise [<mailto:MaryLouise.Wagner@Nuclear.Energy.Gov>]
Sent: Wednesday, August 27, 2014 11:37 AM
To: Piccone, Josephine
Cc: Boyle, William; Wagner, Mary Louise
Subject: Re: Letter and OUO Document from the Department of Energy

Josie -

I shared the letter and document w- Bill and he is chasing this down. Since he is out of town, I am pasting immediately below the additional info he needs to get started.

From Bill -

How does NRC treat similar documents from their licensees? The YMP document describes a mechanical device that transports spent fuel. Every NRC licensee with dry storage has an equivalent device. How does the NRC mark such documents from their licensees?

Thanks.

MLW

From: Piccone, Josephine [<mailto:Josephine.Piccone@nrc.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, August 26, 2014 06:15 PM
To: Wagner, Mary Louise
Cc: Rubenstone, James <James.Rubenstone@nrc.gov>; Crawford, Carrie <Carrie.Crawford@nrc.gov>

Subject: Letter and OUO Document from the Department of Energy

Hi ML,

Please see attached the document you and I discussed last Friday. I have included the transmittal letter, and only the OUO Enclosure that we are requesting to be able to make public.

The letter is to Christian Jacobs, NRC, from Jeffrey R. Williams, DOE, dated June 8, 2009 – Responds to Letter from Christian Jacobs, NRC to Jeffrey Williams, DOE, dated May 13, 2009 – Yucca Mountain – Request for Additional Information. The Enclosure is Enclosure 11 – *Mechanical Handling Design Report: Waste Package Transport and Emplacement Vehicle*.

Thanks, Josie

Josephine M. Piccone, Ph.D.
Director, Division of Spent Fuel Alternative Strategies (SFAS)
Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards (NMSS)
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001
(301) 287-0622