

ACKNOWLEDGED

DO NOT REMOVE

FILE: ENVIRO

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|--|------------|--------------|
| FROM: State of North Carolina Raleigh, N. C. 27603 Randolph Hendricks | | | DATE OF DOC 7-12-73 | DATE REC'D 7-16-73 | LTR x | MEMO | RPT | OTHER |
| TO: Daniel R. Muller | | | ORIG 1 | CC | OTHER | SENT AEC PDR x SENT LOCAL PDR x | | |
| CLASS | UNCLASS x | PROP INFO | INPUT | NO CYS REC'D 1 | DOCKET NO: 50-261 | | | |
| DESCRIPTION: Ltr trans the following: | | | | ENCLOSURES: COMMENTS on draft environmental statement from North Carolina Department of Natural and Economic Resources (1 cys rec'd) | | | | |
| PLANT NAME: H. B. Robinson | | | | | | | | |

FOR ACTION/INFORMATION 7-18-73 LB

| | | | |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------|------------|
| BUTLER(L) | SCHWENCER(L) | ZIEMANN(L) | ✓REGAN(E) |
| W/ Copies | W/ Copies | W/ Copies | W/ 4Copies |
| CLARK(L) | STOLZ(L) | DICKER(E) | |
| W/ Copies | W/ Copies | W/ Copies | W/ Copies |
| GOLLER(L) | VASSALLO(L) | KNIGHTON(E) | |
| W/ Copies | W/ Copies | W/ Copies | W/ Copies |
| KNIEL(L) | ✓SCHEMEL(L) | YOUNGBLOOD(E) | |
| W/ Copies | W/1 Copies (info) | W/ Copies | W/ Copies |

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

| | | | | |
|-------------------|--------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|
| ✓ <u>REG FILE</u> | <u>TECH REVIEW</u> | DENTON | <u>LIC ASST</u> | <u>A/T IND</u> |
| ✓AEC PDR | HENDRIE | GRIMES | BROWN (E) | BRAITMAN |
| OGC, ROOM P-506A | SCHROEDER | GAMMILL | DIGGS (L) | SALTZMAN |
| ✓MUNTZING/STAFF | MACCARY | KASTNER | GEARIN (L) | |
| CASE | KNIGHT | ✓BALLARD | GOULBOURNE (L) | <u>PLANS</u> |
| GIAMBUSO | PAWLICKI | SPANGLER | LEE (L) | MCDONALD |
| BOYD | SHAO | | MAIGRET (L) | DUBE |
| MOORE (L)(BWR) | STELLO | <u>ENVIRO</u> | SERVICE (L) | |
| DEYOUNG(L)(PWR) | HOUSTON | MULLER | ✓SHEPPARD (E) | <u>INFO</u> |
| ✓SKOVHOLT (L) | NOVAK | DICKER | SMITH (L) | C. MILES |
| P. COLLINS | ROSS | KNIGHTON | ✓TEETS (L) | |
| | IPPOLITO | YOUNGBLOOD | WADE (E) | |
| <u>REG OPR</u> | TEDESCO | REGAN | WILLIAMS (E) | |
| ✓FILE & REGION(3) | LONG | PROJECT LDR | WILSON (L) | |
| MORRIS | LAINAS | | | |
| STEELE | ✓BENAROYA | ✓HARLESS | | |
| | VOLLMER | | | |

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

| | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
| ✓1 - LOCAL PDR Hartville, S. C. | ✓(1) [REDACTED] - NATIONAL LAB'S ANL | 1-PDR-SAN/LA/NY |
| ✓1 - DTIE(ABERNATHY) | 1-R.Schoonmaker, OC, GT, D-323 | 1-GERALD LELLOUCHE |
| 1 - NSIC(BUCHANAN) | ✓1-R. CATLIN, E-256-GT | BROOKHAVEN NAT. LAB |
| 1 - ASLB(YORE/SAYRE/ | 1-CONSULTANT'S | 1-AGMED(WALTER KOESTER |
| WOODARD/"H" ST. | NEWMARK/BLUME/AGBABIAN | RM-C-427-GT |
| 16 - CYS ACRS HOLDING | 1-GERALD ULRIKSON...ORNL | 1-RD..MULLER..F-309 GT |

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA
DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION



JAMES E. HOLSHOUSER, JR.
GOVERNOR

WILLIAM L. BONDURANT
SECRETARY

REPLY TO:

CLEARINGHOUSE AND INFORMATION CENTER
116 WEST JONES STREET
RALEIGH, N. C. 27603
(919) 829-4375

July 12, 1973

Mr. Daniel R. Muller
Assistant Director for Environmental
Projects
Directorate of Licensing
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C. 20545



Dear Mr. Muller:

Re: Draft Environmental Statement, H. B. Robinson
Steam Electric Plant Unit 2, Carolina Power
and Light Company, Docket No. 50-261

Enclosed for your use are the comments of the North Carolina Department of Natural and Economic Resources relative to the subject draft statement. We trust that your staff will consider these comments to the extent possible, although the prescribed 45-day review period has expired.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Randolph Hendricks".

RANDOLPH HENDRICKS
Planning Coordinator

RH:pg

5482

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL AND ECONOMIC RESOURCES

Box 27687

Raleigh 27611



JAMES E. HARRINGTON
SECRETARY
TELEPHONE
AREA CODE 919-829-4984

JAMES E. HOLSHOUSE, JR.
GOVERNOR

July 11, 1973

MEMORANDUM

TO: Randolph Hendricks

FROM: Art Cooper *Art*

SUBJECT: Draft Environmental Statement - Robinson Nuclear Steam
Electric Plant Unit 2, Hartsville, S. C.

Staff of the Department of Natural and Economic Resources has reviewed the subject impact statement and finds it satisfactory. Staff has raised relatively few questions concerning the impact statement.

The Office of Forest Resources finds no direct connection between the continued operation of this unit and North Carolina's forest resources. The Office of Earth Resources feels that the impact statement adequately treats the implications of the proposed project. The Water Quality and Water Planning Divisions of the Office of Water and Air Resources indicate construction and operation of this facility will have no adverse impact on water quality in North Carolina. The Wildlife Resources Commission finds no reason to anticipate that either the fish or wildlife resources of North Carolina will be significantly affected by this project.

The Office of Recreation Resources has raised some questions concerning the recreational use of the cooling lake. I attach a copy of these comments for transmission along with our response to the impact statement.

Attachment

June 1, 1973

To: Art Cooper
From: Jim Stevens
Subject: Draft Environmental Statement, Robinson Nuclear Plant,
Hartsville, South Carolina

We find very little that suggests that recreation use of the lake was of importance, or even of interest, to the power company. From the information presented there should be significant potential in recreation development and use of the area.

This is especially true of the Eastern Shore which, for most sample points, has lower water temperature. The shoreline is alternate areas of woods and cleared land, water quality is reasonable (except temperature), fishing is "reported" good and the land has slopes suitable for installing recreation fixtures and facilities.

The water temperatures for portions of the lake seemed higher than should be required. We do not actually question the data or standards, however, since the facility has approval and a permit from the State of South Carolina.

The nearby population is sufficient to support an increased recreation site. Within 50 miles there is a total population of 614,000 although there is only one city with population in excess of 25,000 (Florence, South Carolina). There are several population centers between 50 and 100 miles; Charlotte, Gastonia, Fayetteville in North Carolina; and Georgetown and Columbia, South Carolina. Spartansburg and Charleston, South Carolina are slightly more than 100 miles from the site.

It is our conclusion that the site has significant potential for recreation that is not being realized or even seriously considered. We recommend that the power company be requested to consider a more aggressive attitude toward recreation and arrange for expanded facilities and development. If the power company does not want to be involved in recreation, that there be inducements made to the State of South Carolina to develop, and operate, the site.

Regulatory

File Cy.

