

From: [Lubinski, John](#)
To: [Muessle, Mary](#)
Cc: [Moore, Ross](#); [Hite, Christine](#)
Subject: Charges for License Renewal Activities over the last 10 years
Date: Tuesday, August 05, 2014 3:02:39 PM

Mary,

This e-mail is to serve as a matter of record to ensure full disclosure of the billing charges reported in response to the congressional request.

In response to a congressional request (Agencywide Documents Access Management System (ADAMS) ML13330A589), which included a request for a list of costs billed each year to each licensee for the review of license renewal applications for the past 10 years, including ongoing reviews, OCFO, in coordination with NRR, provided direct billing charges associated with license renewal reviews (ADAMS ML13340A081). As a result of developing the response to this question, the NRC identified that licensees were billed for license renewal activities both before the NRC had received a license renewal application and after the NRC had already issued the renewed license. These hours billed were reviewed for whether they were part of the review of a license renewal application and categorized as follows:

- 1) Charges that occurred before the license renewal application was received; these pertain to pre-application inspections and meetings. These are correct charges for the review of a license renewal application and were included in the table.
- 2) NRC contractor charges for which the NRC was billed after the license was renewed, corrections to charges that were identified after the license was renewed, or charges for NRC time incurred during the previous billing period. These charges are correct charges for the review of a license renewal application and were included in the table.
- 3) There were charges for which the accounting code description was related to license renewal activities but are for operating reactor licensing activities. For example, they were charges for license review activities associated with conditions or commitments specifically included to support requirements for renewing the license. These are correct charges that should be and were billed to the licensee for operating reactor licensing actions. However, these activities are not associated with the review of a license renewal application and these charges were not included in the table.

It is important to note that all were correctly billed to the applicant in support of license activities each applicant requested and that no billing errors were identified. At this time, OCFO is not requiring HRMS adjustments prior to the current FY 2014 unless license fee billing is impacted therefore, prior-year corrections were not done. NRR has, however, taken steps to ensure that these activities are now associated with the correct budget codes and additionally, all erroneous entries in HRMS for FY 2014 were corrected.

I appreciate the great efforts of OCFO and NRR folks in handling these issues.

Thank you,
John