

ACCELERATED DISTRIBUTION DEMONSTRATION SYSTEM

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR:9010260096 DOC.DATE: 90/10/19 NOTARIZED: YES DOCKET #
 FACIL:50-261 H.B. Robinson Plant, Unit 2, Carolina Power & Light C 05000261
 AUTH.NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 VAUGHN,G.E. Carolina Power & Light Co.
 RECIP.NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
 Document Control Branch (Document Control Desk)

SUBJECT: Resubmittal of application for amend to License DPR-23,
 changing Tech Spec Table 3.5-7 re radiation monitors.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A001D COPIES RECEIVED:LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 6+10
 TITLE: OR Submittal: General Distribution

NOTES:

	RECIPIENT		COPIES			RECIPIENT		COPIES		
	ID CODE/NAME		LTTR	ENCL		ID CODE/NAME		LTTR	ENCL	
	PD2-1 LA		1	1		PD2-1 PD		1	1	
	LO,R		2	2						
INTERNAL:	NRR/DET/ECMB 9H		1	1		NRR/DOEA/OTSB11		1	1	
	NRR/DST 8E2		1	1		NRR/DST/SELB 8D		1	1	
	NRR/DST/SICB 7E		1	1		NRR/DST/SRXB 8E		1	1	
	NUDOCS-ABSTRACT		1	1		OC/LFMB		1	0	
	OGC/HDS1		1	0		<u>REG FILE</u> 01		1	1	
	RES/DSIR/EIB		1	1						
EXTERNAL:	NRC PDR		1	1		NSIC		1	1	

NOTE TO ALL "RIDS" RECIPIENTS:

PLEASE HELP US TO REDUCE WASTE! CONTACT THE DOCUMENT CONTROL DESK,
 ROOM P1-37 (EXT. 20079) TO ELIMINATE YOUR NAME FROM DISTRIBUTION
 LISTS FOR DOCUMENTS YOU DON'T NEED!

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 17 ENCL 15

A.A/2
wt



Carolina Power & Light Company

SERIAL: NLS-90-222

OCT 19 1990

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission
ATTENTION: Document Control Desk
Washington, DC 20555

H. B. ROBINSON STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT, UNIT NO. 2
DOCKET NO. 50-261/LICENSE NO. DPR-23
RESUBMITTAL OF REQUEST FOR LICENSE AMENDMENT - RADIATION MONITORS

Gentlemen:

In accordance with the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, Parts 50.90 and 2.101, Carolina Power and Light Company (CP&L) hereby requests a revision to the Technical Specifications (TS) for the H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit No. 2. This submittal supercedes and replaces previous submittals dated August 21, 1990 and September 21, 1990. The changes are required as a result of Plant Modifications M1005 which removes, modifies, and installs radiation detection equipment related to the plant vent system. Specifically, the modification includes: (a) upgrade the plant vent radiation monitor (particulate, iodine, and noble gas detection), (b) upgrade the stack flow monitor and incorporate isokinetic sampling of the plant vent effluents, (c) provide new control room indication and recording equipment for the upgraded instrumentation, and (d) permanently divert the condenser air ejector discharge from the atmospheric vent to the plant vent and remove the automatic divert interlock from the condenser air ejector radiation monitor.

As a result of these changes, certain sections of the Technical Specifications are impacted. Table 3.5-7, Radioactive Gaseous Effluent Monitoring Instrumentation, requires revision to correctly identify the instruments and their combined functions that are implemented by the modification. The Minimum Channels Operable (MCO) for Item 1.b must be revised from 1 of 2 to 1 monitor required since a backup monitor is eliminated by the project. Item 4, Condenser Vacuum Pump, should be eliminated since the discharge is permanently directed to the plant vent and, therefore, monitored by the plant vent instrumentation. Although the condenser vacuum pump discharge path to the atmospheric vent is being eliminated, the radionoble gas monitor, associated with that vent path will continue to be maintained and used as an indicator of steam generator tube leakage. Table 4.10-2, Radioactive Gaseous Waste Sampling and Analysis Program, requires revision to eliminate the statement that on high activity, condenser off-gas is discharged to the plant vent. Table 4.19-2, Radioactive Gaseous Effluent Instrumentation Surveillance Requirements, requires revision to correctly identify the instrumentation tag numbers which are changed by the project. Also, minor typographical errors are corrected.

411 Fayetteville Street • P. O. Box 1551 • Raleigh, N. C. 27602

9010260096 901019
PDR ADOCK 05000261
PDC

A001
11

Document Control Desk
NLS-90-222 / Page 2

Attachment 1 is a Supporting Analyses/Safety Analysis which discusses these changes; Attachment 2 is a Significant Hazards Determination; Attachment 3 is the proposed changed pages. Changes are indicated by a single bar in the right margin.

The modification requiring these changes is scheduled for refueling outage 13; accordingly, approval of the amendment is requested to support plant startup, presently scheduled for December 15, 1990.

If you have any questions concerning this request, please contact Mr. L. I. Loflin at (910) 546-6242.

Yours very truly,




G. E. Vaughn
Vice President
Nuclear Services Department

JSK/cwh (852RNP)


Enclosure

cc: Mr. S. D. Ebnetter
Mr. L. Garner (NRC-HBR)
Mr. R. Lo
Mr. Heyward G. Shealy (SC)
Attorney General (SC)

G. E. Vaughn, having been first duly sworn, did depose and say that the information contained herein is true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief; and the sources of his information are officers, employees, contractors, and agents of Carolina Power & Light Company.


Notary (Seal)

My commission expires: 7/12/94



Attachment 1

Support Analysis/Safety Analysis

This project upgrades the instrumentation and equipment that monitor gaseous releases through the plant vent, and permanently diverts the condenser air ejector discharge to the plant vent.

This project does not constitute an unreviewed safety question. The plant vent radiation monitors and sampling system are upgraded. The new radiation monitors perform the same function as the existing radiation monitors. The existing monitors are being replaced to improve system reliability and operability. The stack sample extraction nozzles are replaced to provide a more representative particulate sample in conjunction with an isokinetic sample flow control system. The stack flow sensor is also replaced with a multiple probe system for improved reliability and accuracy.

This project does not increase the probability of the occurrence of an analyzed accident or equipment malfunction. This project upgrades the instrumentation to reduce the probability of any equipment malfunctions. Up-to-date equipment utilizing technology that has been proven in service increases reliability and decreases downtime for improved operability. This project upgrades instrumentation which is designed to follow the course of an accident; this equipment does not perform any control functions associated with any analyzed accident. Therefore, this modification does not increase the probability of the occurrence of any analyzed accidents or equipment malfunctions.

This project does not increase the consequences of an analyzed accident or equipment malfunction. The equipment replaced by this modification is not required to function to mitigate the consequences of an accident. Equipment failure cannot result in radiological release which exceeds the limits of 10 CFR 100. Eliminating the need to divert condenser discharge from the atmospheric vent to the plant vent on high activity levels reduces the consequences of equipment malfunction since the condenser air radiation monitor no longer performs a control function. Replacing the plant vent gas monitors with a single monitor does not increase the consequences of an equipment malfunction since the two monitors do not perform redundant functions and the capability to obtain grab samples of the plant vent is provided and required in the event of a failure of the plant vent monitor. The existing plant vent low range gas monitor (R-14), is energized by battery-backed instrument bus 7A. The existing plant vent particulate, iodine, and low range gas monitor (R-34) is energized by lighting panel LP-27 which can be energized by either diesel generator system via automatic transfer switches. The new plant vent radiation monitor (R-14) is also energized by lighting panel LP-27. Since the new plant vent radiation monitor can also be energized by either emergency diesel generator system, this plant modification does not impact the design criteria for the original plant vent monitors and therefore does not increase the consequences of an analyzed accident (including loss of off-site power). In the event of an equipment malfunction, backup sampling capability is provided under all conditions, including a loss of off-site power, by either of the two local sample pump assemblies; one is located in the radiation monitoring building and the other is located on the stack service platform. Power to both of these local sampling points can be

provided by either emergency diesel generator system via transfer switches. This plant modification meets the intent of UFSAR Section 3.1.1.2.3, Nuclear and Radiation Controls, which states that the plant vent is sampled for radioactivity concentration during all normal operations, anticipated transients, and accident conditions.

This project does not create any new types of accidents that have not been analyzed. This project upgrades plant vent monitoring equipment and permanently diverts condenser air ejector discharge to the plant vent. The new equipment performs the same function as the existing equipment. There are no new operating conditions or functions associated with this project.

This project does not decrease the margin of safety as defined in the bases for the Technical Specifications. Although the plant vent radiation monitor does not perform any safety-related functions to prevent or to mitigate the consequences of any analyzed or unanalyzed accidents, its operation is a Technical Specification item and is required to monitor and assure that plant operation is within the guidelines. The five detectors associated with the replacement plant vent radiation monitoring system have equal or greater equipment performance specifications as compared to the existing detectors. The detection of particulate radiation also improves because the new isokinetic sample nozzles have a greater particle collection efficiency. The replacement plant vent radiation monitors are installed in the same location as the existing off line detectors, so there is no significant change in the sample transport tubing.

This project requires changes to the plant Technical Specifications to correctly identify instrumentation which monitor plant gaseous effluents. The Technical Specifications will also be revised to eliminate the requirements of the condenser evacuation system radiation monitoring equipment. This equipment is no longer a Technical Specification requirement since effluents from this system are discharged to the plant vent and are monitored by the plant vent radiation detection equipment. In either case, the safety margins as defined in the bases are not reduced. At present, there are two low range noble gas detectors monitoring the plant vent. One detector provides isolation of the waste gas system on high activity level and indication and alarm functions. The second detector provides backup indication and alarm functions. These two low range noble gas detectors are replaced with a single low range gas detector. This single detector provides the control, indication, and alarm functions of the existing two detectors. The new detector incorporates present-day technology with highly reliable components for improved performance and operability. Manual sampling of the specific release paths and of the plant vent are required by the operating procedures should the plant vent monitor fail; therefore, this project does not reduce any plant safety margins.

The Technical Specifications provide specific requirements concerning fire barriers which will be affected during the implementation of this project. When the implementing procedures require fire barriers to be breached, the procedures with specific reference to the appropriate Technical Specification items will require approval from the Shift Foreman and/or Fire Protection Personnel. In this manner, the margin of safety as defined in the bases for the Technical Specification is not reduced.

Attachment 2

The basis for the determination that the proposed amendment does not involve significant hazards consideration is as follows:

1. Operation of the facility, in accordance with the proposed amendment, would not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously analyzed.

Regarding the probability of previously analyzed accidents, the instrumentation changes which require the proposed amendment merely provide effluent accountability. Neither the existing monitors nor the new monitors participate in any accident sequence, therefore, the new monitors cannot increase the probability of any accident previously evaluated. This proposed amendment does not increase the probability of a previously evaluated accident because it upgrades instrumentation designed to follow the course of an accident and thereby reduces the probability of equipment malfunction. This equipment does not perform any control function associated with any analyzed accident.

Regarding the consequences of an accident previously analyzed, the equipment which requires the proposed amendment is not required to function to mitigate the consequences of an accident. Further, eliminating the need to divert condenser discharge from the atmospheric vent to the plant vent on high activity levels eliminates the consequences of equipment malfunction since the condenser air radiation monitor no longer performs a control function. Replacing the two plant vent gas monitors with a single monitor does not increase the consequences of an equipment malfunction since the two monitors do not perform redundant waste gas system isolation functions.

2. Operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated. The equipment changes which require the proposed amendment upgrade plant vent monitoring equipment and permanently divert condenser air ejector discharge to the plant vent. The new equipment performs the same function as the existing equipment. No different operating conditions or functions associated with this project are created, therefore, the proposed amendment does not create the possibility of a new or different accident from any accident previously evaluated.
3. Operation of the facility, in accordance with the proposed amendment, would not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

Although the plant vent radiation monitor does not perform any safety related functions to prevent or to mitigate the consequences of any analyzed and unanalyzed accidents, its operation is a Technical Specification item and is required to monitor and assure that plant operation is within limits. The five detectors associated with the replacement plant vent radiation monitoring system have equal or greater equipment performance specifications compared to the existing detectors. The detection of particulate radiation also improves because the new

isokinetic sample nozzles have a greater particle collection efficiency. The replacement plant vent radiation monitors are installed in the same location as the existing off line detectors, so there is no significant change in the sample transport tubing. Therefore, there is no significant decrease in a margin of safety.

This effort requires changes to the plant Technical Specifications to correctly identify instrumentation which monitor plant gaseous effluents. The Technical Specifications are also being revised to eliminate the requirements of the condenser evacuation system radiation monitoring equipment. This equipment is no longer a Technical Specification requirement since effluents from this system are discharged to the plant vent and are monitored by the plant vent radiation detection equipment. At present, there are two low range noble gas detectors monitoring the plant vent. One detector provides isolation of the waste gas system on high activity level plus indication and alarm functions. The second detector provides backup indication and alarm functions only. These two low range noble gas detectors are replaced with a single low range gas detector. This single detector provides the control, indication, and alarm functions of the existing two detectors. The new detector incorporates present-day technology with highly reliable components for improved performance and operability. Manual sampling of the specific release paths and of the plant vent are required by the operating procedures should the plant vent monitor fail. Therefore, the proposed amendment does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.