

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8609040086 DOC. DATE: 86/08/28 NOTARIZED: YES DOCKET #
 FACIL: 50-261 H. B. Robinson Plant, Unit 2, Carolina Power & Light C 05000261
 AUTH. NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 CUTTER, A. B. Carolina Power & Light Co.
 RECIP. NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
 RUBENSTEIN, L. S. PWR Project Directorate 2

SUBJECT: Application for amend to License DPR-23, revising Tech Spec
 Section 3.3.1.2 re valve requirements for consistency w/
 B51113 application to delete paragraphs of Tech Spec Section
 3.3.1.1 re dilution of boron injection tank. Fee paid.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A001D COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 341
 TITLE: OR Submittal: General Distribution

NOTES:

RECIPIENT		COPIES		RECIPIENT		COPIES	
ID CODE/NAME		LTTR	ENCL	ID CODE/NAME		LTTR	ENCL
PWR-A EB		1	1	PWR-A EICSB		2	2
PWR-A FOB		1	1	PWR-A PD2 LA		1	0
PWR-A PD2 PD 01		5	5	REQUA, G		1	1
PWR-A PSB		1	1	PWR-A RSB		1	1
INTERNAL: ADM/LFMB		1	0	ELD/HDS1		1	0
NRR/ORAS		1	0	REG FILE	04	1	1
RGN2		1	1				
EXTERNAL: EG&G BRUSKE, S		1	1	LPDR	03	1	1
NRC PDR 02		1	1	NSIC	05	1	1

w/ check
 \$150.00
 #748389



Carolina Power & Light Company

AUG 28 1986

SERIAL: NLS-86-298

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Attention: Mr. Lester S. Rubenstein, Director
PWR Project Directorate #2
Division of PWR Licensing - A
United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

H. B. ROBINSON STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT, UNIT NO. 2
DOCKET NO. 50-261/LICENSE NO. DPR-23
REQUEST FOR LICENSE AMENDMENT
CORRECT PARAGRAPH REFERENCES

Dear Sir:

Carolina Power & Light Company (CP&L) hereby requests a revision to the Technical Specifications (TS) for the H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit No. 2 (HBR2) in accordance with the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, Parts 50.90 and 2.101.

Summary

In our November 13, 1985 submittal (NLS-85-332) regarding the dilution of the Boron Injection Tank (BIT), several paragraphs were deleted from TS Section 3.3.1.1 and subsequent paragraphs renumbered. References to the renumbered paragraphs in TS Section 3.3.1.2 were not changed in the original submittal and, therefore, need to be corrected.

Significant Hazards Consideration

Carolina Power & Light Company has reviewed the amendment in accordance with the standards set forth in 10 CFR 50.92 and determined that the proposed TS revision involves no significant hazards consideration. In part, this determination was based upon consideration of the guidance concerning application of this standard as provided through examples in the Federal Register on April 6, 1983 (48 FR 14864), "Examples of Amendments Considered Not Likely to Involve Significant Hazards Consideration."

Correction of these references is considered to be fundamentally administrative or editorial in nature. As such, this amendment has been determined to present no significant hazards consideration by virtue of its direct correspondence to example (i) of the NRC published guidance.

That guidance states that the amendment would likely be found to involve no significant hazards consideration if it was "a purely administrative change to the TS: for example, a change to achieve consistency throughout the TS, correction of an error, or a change in nomenclature."

8609040086 860828
PDR ADOCK 05000261
P PDR

411 Fayetteville Street • P. O. Box 1551 • Raleigh, N. C. 27602

Acc 11/11 w/ check \$150.00 #748389

Furthermore, CP&L has reviewed the requested TS change with the criteria provided in 10 CFR 50.92. Discussion of each of the three significant hazards consideration standards follows:

1. *Would the operation of the facility involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated?*

This change is essentially administrative in nature since it involves only elimination of an inconsistency. Therefore, there would not be an increase in the probability or consequences of an accident if these changes were made.

2. *Would operation of the facility cause the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated?*

As stated above, this is essentially an administrative change. There will be no possibility of a new or different kind of accident.

3. *Would operation of the facility involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety?*

This change does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety because it is a purely administrative change intended to eliminate an inconsistency within the TS.


Administrative

The affected page is included in Enclosure 1 for your use.

In accordance with 10 CFR 170.12, a check in the amount of \$150 in payment of a license amendment application fee is attached.

If you have any questions concerning this request, please contact Mr. S. R. Zimmerman at (919) 836-6242.

Yours very truly,


A. B. Cutter - Vice President
Nuclear Engineering & Licensing

ABC/BAT/vaw (4042BAT)


Enclosures

cc: Dr. J. Nelson Grace (NRC-RII)
Mr. G. Requa (NRC)
Mr. H. Krug (NRC Resident Inspector - RNP)
Mr. Heyward Shealy (SC)
Attorney General (SC)

A. B. Cutter, having been first duly sworn, did depose and say that the information contained herein is true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief; and the sources of his information are officers, employees, contractors, and agents of Carolina Power & Light Company.

My commission expires: 11/27/89


Notary (Seal)



ENCLOSURE 1
REVISED PAGES